

Number of
2. Date of receipt 2-10
3. Initials of Receiver

M.R.O. 1-32,400-22-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

180

Press
Mis.

Dated

15-5-

1866.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

17

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st August 1865.*

No. 1. Read the following letter from G. L. MORRIS, Esq., Collector of Tanjore, to W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Point Calimere, 30th June 1865, No. 722:—

SIR,

1. As directed in the Proceedings of Government, Petition Department, dated 15th May 1865, with reference to a Petition* from E. Kondul Row, late Tahsildar of Sheally in this District, dated

19th April 1865, No. 959 of 1865, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of the depositions taken from the witnesses cited by Kondul Row for the purpose of establishing his answer to the principal charge made against him by Mr. Cadell, viz., habitual disregard of the standing rules with respect to the examination of the treasury, and the custody of the treasury keys.

2. The standing rules with respect to the examination of the treasury and the custody of the treasury keys are, that the Tahsildar, if present, is to examine the treasury every month, and to certify that the contents were found correct; and that he is to keep the treasury keys when at the Cusbah.

3. The witnesses examined by me on behalf of Kondul Row, are the Sheally Talook Sheristadar; two Gumashtas, one of whom was Treasury Gumashta of Sheally from July 1862 to January 1864, and the other from February to April 1864; the late Sheally Head Shroff; the present Under Shroff; and a Talook Peon.

4. From the depositions of Kondul Row himself and of these witnesses, it is clearly shewn that the Memorandums of the balance of cash remaining in the Sheally Treasury on the last day of every month (called enclosure A. in No. 1, Appendix D. of the Talook Manual Accounts) from July 1862, when Kondul Row was re-appointed Sheally Tahsildar, to May 1864, when Mr. Cadell reported against him, were regularly forwarded to the Collector's Cutcherry; that Kondul Row's signature is attached to this Memorandum for every month except April, May, July, August, October, and December 1863 and February 1864; that he always examined the treasury when he signed the Memorandum, and that he kept the keys of the treasury when at the Cusbah, except from about the months of January to June, when he used to hand them over to the Sheristadar for ten days in each month, in consequence of large quantities of money coming daily into the Treasury, and the Sheristadar living much nearer to the Talook Cutcherry than he did.

5. In his letter to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th May 1864, No. 162, Mr. Cadell writes as follows:—"From the enclosures relative to the trial of the late Shroff of the Salt Station at Neidavasal, it will be seen that the Tahsildar Kondul Row admits that he has habitually left the keys of the Talook Treasury with the Sheristadar, and that he has only twice examined his treasury between the months of August 1862 and April 1864. The Sheristadar of the Talook, on the other hand, states that the treasury has only been examined once."

6. On referring to the enclosures alluded to by Mr. Cadell, viz., the depositions of Kondul Row and the Talook Sheristadar before the Sessions Court at Tranquebar, I find that what Kondul Row says is as follows:—"I have examined the treasury twice, I think since I was appointed Tahsildar of Sheally in July 1862. Once when I took charge, and the second time on the 28th December or thereabouts. The key of the treasury is with the Talook Sheristadar. I entrusted it to him in order to avoid delay during my absence in villages in the District." The Talook Sheristadar's statement is in the following words:—"The Tahsildar has not examined the treasury since he took charge, and though the Tahsildar did not examine the treasury after he took charge, yet it was examined seven or eight times since his appointment by his order, by me and the Gumashtas."

7. On being questioned by me as to what they meant by the foregoing statements, both the Tahsildar and the Sheristadar assert that they were not refer-

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st August 1865.*

ring to the periodical monthly examinations or general custody of the treasury keys; the Sessions Judge having told them that they were not being questioned about regular monthly examinations.

8. It is of course impossible for me to say positively what the Tahsildar and Sheristadar meant before the Sessions Court at Tranquebar; but I am decidedly of opinion that it is highly improbable that they could have been referring to any periodical examinations; for as shewn before, the monthly Cash Memorandum was regularly sent in every month, and bears the Tahsildar's signature for fourteen out of twenty-one months: so that if they had included these examinations, it would have been, as much as gratuitously acknowledging that the Tahsildar had not only habitually neglected his duty, but had for fourteen months been putting his signature to a falsehood.

9. I think, therefore, that Kondul Row has satisfactorily shewn that he did not disregard the standing rules with respect to the examination of the Sheally Treasury from July 1862 to May 1864, but that his explanation with regard to the custody of the treasury keys is not so satisfactory, for his witnesses allow that he handed them over to the Talook Sheristadar for ten days in every month when the collections were very heavy, even when he was at the Cusbah, in consequence of his house being distant from the Talook Treasury.

10. With regard to Kondul Row's conduct during the whole time of his employment in the public service, I find that he has served the Government for upwards of thirty years, during twenty years of which he was a Tahsildar in this District; that he was on one occasion suspended for six months for misconduct in a Magisterial case, and on another, removed by the Collector, Mr. Forbes, to a lower paid Tahsildarship on charges brought against him by Mr. Cadell; that his services were dispensed with by Mr. Cadell himself on the reduction of Talooks in 1860; and that he then entered the Police at Trichinopoly, and afterwards resigning his post in that Department was re-employed as Tahsildar of Sheally by the express orders of the Board of Revenue.

11. Mr. Cadell, in paragraph 6 of his letter to the Board of Revenue, dated 17th May 1864, No. 162, says that Kondul Row was reduced whilst in the Police for "insolence and insubordination." Such may have been the case, but I think it my duty to add that when I was Acting Collector in 1862, I privately asked the Tanjore Superintendent of Police to find out for me whether Kondul Row had done any thing whilst in the Police at Trichinopoly, which rendered it undesirable that he should be re-employed as a Tahsildar, and was not only informed by the Superintendent that he had done nothing at all, but was also assured in person by Mr. Robinson shortly afterwards, that he was glad to see Kondul Row re-employed as a Tahsildar.

12. I have myself known Kondul Row as a Tahsildar in this District since 1850, and have no hesitation in saying, that though he was not on the whole a very desirable Tahsildar, yet he was much superior to many whom I could name, and who are now in receipt of Government pensions. One of his great faults was, that he never would associate with the natives about him, and another that he would not condescend to enter into the details of his work: he was consequently not only extremely disliked for his exclusiveness, but left many things which he should have done himself in the hands of his subordinates; on the other hand, I always found him very straightforward and truthful, as well as very shrewd; and I am sure that his worst enemies cannot accuse him of having been a bribe-taker, which is not what can be said of many of the Tanjore Tahsildars. As a proof of his rectitude in the matter of bribes, I have only to point to the fact of his being a poor man after twenty years' service as a Tahsildar in Tanjore!

13. Under these circumstances, and considering the facts connected with Kondul Row's removal from office in 1864, I am of opinion that he should be granted the amount of pension to which he would have been entitled if he had then been obliged to retire on account of sickness or any other cause over which he had

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
1st August 1865.*

no control, viz., a monthly pension of Rupees 63, being a moiety of the average salary drawn by him for the five years previous to 1864.

No. 2.

ORDER THEREON, 1st August 1865, No. 1,775.

Miscellaneous.

1. The Government are not altogether satisfied with Kondul Row's explanation, but on a review of his case they see reason to think that dismissal without pension is a more severe punishment than the circumstances warrant, and they resolve, therefore, to admit him to a reduced pension of Rupees 40 per mensem, from the date of his removal from office under G. O. dated 1st November 1864.

2. It is observed from the Official List of Revenue Establishment for 1864, that on the above date Kondul Row had served nearly 34 years, and from the Collector's present letter it appears that the moiety of his pay on the average of the five years preceding 1864, would be Rupees 63.

(True Extract.)

Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Public Department.
,, the Revenue Board.
,, the Accountant General
,, the Examiner of Claims.
,, the Collector of Tanjore.
Copy of G. O. to Kondul Row, through Petition Department.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

4 Copies sent

No. 533.

No. 7.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

SIR,

Miscellaneous.

1. I am directed to submit the following case for the favorable consideration of the Government of India.
2. Kondul Row, ex-Tahsildar of Sheally in the Tanjore District, has been in the service of Government for upwards of thirty years, twenty-eight of which have been passed in grades qualifying for pension. As a Tahsildar he was guilty of grave faults and irregularities, which in the end led to his dismissal; but he maintained to the last a good reputation for honesty, and when he left the service he was, in the words of the present Collector Mr. Morris, still a poor man.
3. The case came before Government in 1864, when Mr. Cadell, who was then the Collector, reported that Kondul Row was unfit to be a Tahsildar. The Board did not agree with the Collector, and declined to sanction Kondul Row's dismissal; but the Government being referred to by the Board were of opinion that the Collector had made out his case, and Kondul Row was accordingly removed from office.
4. The charges which were proved against Kondul Row involved no moral delinquency, and although the Government were at first of opinion that he had no claim to a pension, they came to the conclusion after careful deliberation that it would be a punishment more severe than had been deserved, and a precedent injurious to the best interests of the State, if a man of Kondul Row's character and standing were turned adrift after so long a service without the means of subsistence.
5. If Kondul Row had retired on a Medical Certificate he would have been entitled to a pension of Rupees 66 per mensem; and the Government are of opinion, that under the circumstances a reduced pension of Rupees 40 per mensem is the least that should be granted to him.
6. An Order to this effect was indeed passed in the belief that Kondul Row's service in pension grades had lasted for more than thirty years, but as the report of the Examiner of Claims shews that this is not the case, the Order has been held in abeyance pending the result of this reference.
7. I am accordingly directed to request that the Government of India will permit a pension of Rupees 40 per mensem to be paid to Kondul Row, from the date of his retirement.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

7A

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
19th April 1866.

No. 260. Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of India, (Financial Department), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Fort William, the 29th March 1866, No. 1,457 :—

In reply to your letter No. 533, dated 1st March 1866, I am directed to communicate the sanction of the Government of India to the grant of a reduced pension of Rupees (40) forty a month to Kondul Row, ex-Tahsildar of Sheally in the Tanjore District.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing be sent to the Accountant General, Madras.

No. 261. ORDER THEREON, 19th April 1866, No. 904.

Miscellaneous.

Communicated to the Board of Revenue.

(True Extract.)

J. Erle.

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Public Separate Department.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Row Tahsildar of Shadly Zillah

11A

Press No. 3060, G. M. - 12.12.05 - 1000

(2)
Just. Dept.
No. 106.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras, 19 January 1866.
MEMORANDUM.

Memo from the Examiner of Claims

No. 4358 dated 17th January 1866.

Condal Row was enlisted as 3rd Class Inspector of Police Trichinopoly on the 1st September 1860. He was reduced to 4th Class on the 1st August 1861 and resigned his appointment on the 5th November 1861.

DA & AG Office
Recd 22
Ans'd 1. 1866

[Signature]
Captn

Assistant Inspector General of Police.

TO
THE Examiner of Claims
Fort St George.

Row Sept 18 4 20/11/60 10/60 Condal Row 1865

10430.

Proceedings of Government
Revenue Department
1866

Oct 1879
1879

Communicating the same
to the Inspector of Police
Trichinopoly on the 1st September
1860. He was reduced to 4th Class
on the 1st August 1861 and resigned
his appointment on the 5th November
1861.

Q. 1870

Statement of service of Kondul Row Tahsildar of Sheally Zillah
Tanjore

Post	From	To	Period	Pay	Remarks
Writer Civil Engineers Department Trichinopoly	1 st January 1835	31 st August 1837	2 - 8	28 - 35	In the Books from 1835 to 1837 his name is not shown. District Engineer Trichinopoly states that he has no records now extant to verify this service. Note: Remarks in the service list forwarded by the Col.
Muzoor Javalnevis	1 st September 1837	31 st January 1838	5	14	Broken period cannot be checked.
Goomastah in Saulgudi Talug	6 th February 1838	24 th January 1840	11 19	14	Verified in Books for 1838 and 1839.
Sayer Sumprate in Muzoor	25 th January 1840	3 rd February 1840	10	17 8	Broken period cannot be checked.
Davastanum Goomastah in the Muzoor	6 th February 1840	2 nd September 1840	6 28	21	This post cannot be shown in the permanent establishment but can be reckoned for pension.
Davastanum Thiristadar in the D.	4 th September 1840	7 th March 1842	1 6 1	28	D. D.
Revenue Head Javalnevis	8 th March 1842	7 th February 1843	11	42	Verified in Books from 1842 to 1843. One Cadulroyal appears as Tahsildar of Kuttalam Talug instead of Kondulrow.
Tahsildar of Talcondahporam Talug	8 th February 1843	10 th August 1843	6 2	70	
D. of Mooseri D.	11 th August 1843	3 rd January 1845	1 4 24	70	Mooseri habitation for 1843-45 shows not the names but the appointments of servants in different establishments and Talugs.
D. of Villukatti D.	4 th January 1845	18 th April 1845	3 14	70	
D. of Monad D.	19 th Feb. 1845	2 nd May 1845	14	85	
D. of Kuttalam D. in Tanjore Distt.	3 rd May 1845	15 th June 1847	2 1 13	100	
D. of Sheally D.	16 th June 1847	3 rd August 1850	3 1 18	100	Verified in Books from 1848 to 1850.
D. of Sheally Talug	4 th February 1851	2 nd Sept. 1852	1 6 29	100	Verified in 1851 & 1852.
D. of Kuttalam D.	3 rd Sept. 1852	9 th July 1853	10 7	100	D. in 1853.

6792

Post	From	To	Period	Pay	Remarks
Tahsildar of Pattucotta Talug	1 st Sept. 1853	30 th Nov. 1856	3 3	85	Verified from 1854 to 1856.
D ^o of Tirupundi	1 st Dec. 1856	31 st Jan. 1857	2	120	Broken period
D ^o of Paupanasem	1 st Feb. 1857	10 Dec. 1857	10 10	100	Verified in 1857.
D ^o of Kodavaspal	11 th Dec. 1857	15 th Dec. 1858	1 5	100	D ^o in 1858.
D ^o of Kunnelum	16 th Dec. 1858	31 st Dec. 1859	1 16	100	D ^o in 1859.
D ^o of D ^o	1 st Jan. 1860	15 th May 1860	5 15	100	D ^o in 1860.
D ^o of Parallum	16 th May 1860	30 June 1860	1 15	100	Broken period

Police Inspector at
Trenchinopoly { 1st Sept. 1860 to 1st Nov. 1861 1 2 4 100

The Book of Establishment for 1861 does not show the names or the appointments of Inspectors except the names of Superintendents of Police

Managers in the House Agency 15th July 1862 to 25th July 1862 11 1100

Tahsildar of Pattucotta in Tanjore District { 25th July 1862 to 31 Dec. 1862 5 6 150

D^o of Sheally Talug 1st Jan. 1863 to 8 Nov. 1864 1 10 8 175

In 1863, he is shown to have been acting Tahsildar of Sheally drawing full salary of Rs 175, and in 1864 as permanent Tahsildar of Sheally on the same pay from 1st Jan. 1863. Pay drawn up to 8th November 1864.

Office of Examiner of Claims
Fort Saint George
31st January 1866.

Ronald
Examiner of Claims

Comp'd R

No 72
Tanjore Collectors Office
Tanjore 28th January 66
134

From
G. Lee. Morris Esquire
Collector of Tanjore

To
W. Donald Esquire
Examiner of Claims
Madras

Sir,
In reply to your Memo: No 1357 of the 17th January 1866, I have the honor to state that there is nothing in the records to show the cause of the break in Donald's service between 14th & 15th February 1838 & 4th & 5th February 1840.

RECORDED
Recd 26
Ans'd 1 1866

As regards the period during which he is shown in the book of Establishments of 1863 to have been acting Tahsildar of Sheally I have the honor to observe that he is to be considered to have been the permanent incumbent during the period in question.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt servant

G. Morris
Collector

DL

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

1886 Department

From Mr

The Collector

of Yangon

To Mr Examiner

of Claims

dated 23rd 3rd January
 received - 3 1886

1886

Referring to a letter
 from the Examiner
 of Claims, dated 17th January
 1886, No 4357 on the claims
 of G. H. Bond & Co. -

6967

Statement of total period of Service

Statement of leave during the whole period of Service other than privilege and preparatory leave.

Establishment	Appointment	Salary R a P	Date of appointment	Period of Service in each appointment	Remarks	Date of leave	Period of leave in each year
Civil Engineer's Department Trichinopoly &c	Writer	28 5 35	From January 1835 to August 1837	2 8	Specified in the List as omitted by Honorable Board but the Eng. states that he has no record now extant to verify the position which he occupies to enter the service of the Government performed by Honorable Board in that collection		
	Agar Javalanar	14	From September 1837 to January 1838	5	no other leave other		
	Amastah in Sulgudisalak	14	From 1 st February 1838 to 24 th January 1840	1 11 19	which the Board appears from the record to have been granted		
	Sagar Sampatti in Agar	17 8	From 25 th January 1838 to 1 st February 1840	1 11 19	to enter the service of the Government performed by Honorable Board in that collection		
	Demastanum Amastah in the Agar	21	From 1 st February 1840 to 1 st September 1840	6 28	to enter the service of the Government performed by Honorable Board in that collection		
Trichinopoly	Perishatadar in the D ^o	28	From 4 th September 1840 to 7 th March 1842	1 6 14			
	Revenue D ^o Javalanar	42	From 8 th March 1842 to 7 th February 1843	11			
	Sahildar of Valikondapuram	70	From 8 th February 1843 to 10 th August 1843	6 2			
	D ^o of Moseri - D ^o	70	From 11 th August 1843 to 10 th January 1845	1 4 24			
	D ^o of Vittakatti D ^o	70	From 4 th January 1845 to 18 th April 1845	3 14			
	D ^o of Kanad D ^o	55	From 19 th April 1845 to 2 nd May 1845	1 14			
	D ^o of Kattalam D ^o	100	From 3 rd May 1845 to 15 th June 1847	2 1 15			
	D ^o of Shialli D ^o	100	From 16 th June 1847 to 3 rd August 1850	3 1 18	Suspended for 6 months		
	D ^o of - D ^o - D ^o	100	From 4 th February 1851 to 2 nd September 1852	1 6 29			
	D ^o of Kattalam D ^o	100	From 3 rd September 1852 to 7 th July 1853	10 7	From 10 th July suspended.		
	D ^o of Pattakottai D ^o	85	From September 1853 to November 1853	3 3			
	D ^o of Pithapandi D ^o	120	From December 1853 to January 1857	2			
	D ^o of Dapannasam D ^o	100	From February 1857 to 10 th December 1857	10 10			
	D ^o of Kodanagall D ^o	100	From 11 th December 1857 to 15 th December 1858	1			
	D ^o of Kannilam D ^o	100	From 16 th December 1858 to December 1859	1			
	D ^o of D ^o - D ^o	100	From 1 st January 1860 to 15 th May 1860	5 15			
	D ^o of Parallam D ^o	100	From 15 th May 1860 to June 1860	1 15	abolished in the revision of these posts		
Trichinopoly	Police Inspector	100	From 1 st September 1860 to 4 th November 1861	1 2 14	Resigned 5 th Nov 1861		
Coast Agency - Tanjore	Manager	140	From 15 th to 25 th July 1862	11			
	Sahildar of Pattakottai	150	From 26 th July 1862 to 3 rd December 1862	5 5			
Tanjore	D ^o of Shialli	175	From 1 st January 1863 to 8 th November 1864	1 10 8			
				28 5 2			

DA & AG. OFFICE
Recd 24 11 1865
Ans'd

See Morris
Secretary

1865 D. Martine

In an Application

John

Director.

100

Ed. Lamm

Water 20

27 miles - 1805

6

2

of the

178

11. 11. 11.

Chenieres Dr. 248

Dec 27 1800

2

24 of 19-10-18

Dear & Dearly are we
O: Kiss

G. P. Farnham

dar's Healthy in the Camp

District.

Superannuations

Handfuls Row

—

Quart.


Register of an application for superannuation pension from the Establishment of the Tanyrie
Collectorate preferred under the amended revised Pension rule published under date the 3^d May 1864 17A

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Name of pensioner sex or caste residence	Present employ- ment	Total period of service Years Months Days	How long em- ployed and under what circumstances and under what authority reinstated	Present identifica- tion	Age of pensioner at the time of appli- cation Years Months Days	Average salary during the last 5 years proposed amount of pension less of payments made from which to commence	Abstract of the grounds for applica- tion	Remarks by the Head of the office on the past character and conduct of the ap- plicant.
Hondal Row Bramin - Siva - Cottaluno	Subsidiary of Shelly	28 5 2	y: M 1-6-11 unemployed. The cause of the sus- pension for 6 months in 1850 & 1-21 in 1853 is not known	Stout: square face: marked with the small pay: light coffee color	+16 56 4 16	average salary 132 6- proposed amount #4.0 " " Vide G.O. from dated 1 st Aug- 9 th Nov 1864 quote 65/1775 By order of J. J. J. J.	"	"

* As per G.O. dated 1st August 65
No 1775.

Tanyrie Collector's Office
20th November 1865

J. J. J. J.
Collector



13/2/66
194

The above is a
in service dept is directed
to present the accompanying
papers to the dept with the
this dept with the following
remarks.

When Joseph relates to this
case of Handel now late date
children shall be duly taken
who was dismissed in Jan/64
but to show for in the dept
papers to point a person
of £400 in then proved as
1175 of the in Aug/65. - The
hand representation of his
service being here called for
because there late record -

It appears that Handel was
never practicing for pension in
of 28.5.2 only - but 25
year - and of this period the
first 2 years sets up as Handel
has no statement. -

Under the rules in force
the for 2 years are pre-
cluded from pension from
pension to any one under
30 years counting service
except on absolute grounds
a medical certificate, in case
city hall further service. -
This under aged children, it

Office of Government of Bengal,
31st January 1866 -
To, The Chief Secretary,
Government of Bengal,
Calcutta.

1st August 1865 No 1775 (Revenue)
Now a Pension of Rs 400 a year order of Govt dated
modification of which Government have granted
average salary during the last 5 years in co-
=sted to Pension of Rs 66 equal to a majority of his
board having been verified the application to cut
for the space of 2-8-0 of which no record can be
Jualkying Service of 28-5-2 having exact

259-2 1326-
Jualkying Service
of 28-5-2

178
Jualkying Service
of 28-5-2

is impossible for the
 to ~~find~~ obtain, while
 the facts of the case are
 not such as to
 have a recommendation
 the part of India on his
 behalf.

When the view the
 Dept. is unable to pay the pension,
 and the matter is referred to
 request that the matter may
 be reconsidered in the light of
 such further facts as he found
 as far as the Dept. is
 the difficulty.

the Secretary

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again Pros. of Govt. Revenue
 Department dated 22nd December 1864 and 1st August
 1865 Nos 2304 & 1775.

Read also the following Papers.

From the Collector of Tanjore (Here enter 20th November 1865
 No. 1304)

Report of the Examiner of Claims (— " — 31st January 1866 No. 268)

Memo. from the Under Secy to Govt. to the Revenue Deptt. (— " — 13th February 1866 No. 7)

Pros. of Govt. Revenue Deptt. (— " — 19th April 1866 No. 904)

Order thereon 15th May 1866 No. 180.

In pursuance of the
 sanction of the Govt. of India,
 the Right Honble the Governor
 in Council is pleased to autho-
 rize the grant to Kondul
 Row, Tahildar of Sheally
 in the Tanjore District,
 of a monthly pension of
 Rs. 40.00.00 to have effect
 from the 9th November 1864.

When the project the
 parts in the

2

The Accountant General
will issue the necessary Permanent
Payable order.

MA

[Signature]

To the Collector of Tanjore }
To the Accountant General } Dec 21st/66 For Entry

GA & AG Office
Recd 16
Ans'd 5 1869

W

2

Journal
Peruvia 1866. Department.

No. 180.

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 15th May 1866.

Press No. 1366, G. M. - 124-66-500.

629

c8-ang
R12H