

J. C.

M.R.O. 1-82 400-22-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	425	Press Mis.
Dated	17-11-	18 65.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

J. C.

No. 2233

Office of Examiner Pay Department,

Fort Saint George 19th June 1865

To The Paymaster Mysore Circle
Bangalore

Your letter dated 23rd May 1865 No 73

The claim in the accompanying abstract of the
Dy. Insp. General, at Bellary, for difference of
gratuity of 6 months pay between Head Butwall and
Inspector of Police, sanctioned in P.O. (Mysore District) 15th
September 1864 No 381, should be adjugated in the Civil Dept.

It is observed, that from the above Order of Government
gratuity of six months pay of Inspector in the Mysore
Police No 85. A month has been sanctioned for the

above individual. The full amount of gratuity is therefore,
chargeable to the Civil Department, and information is
accordingly requested, as to where the portion of gratuity
(300 Rs) at 50 Rs per month. Pay as Head Butwall.

sanctioned in P.O. (Mysore District) 16th Feb 1864
No 60, was drawn, and entered, if adjugated in the Military
Department

Signed, Hiderout Major

Examiner Pay Department

True Copy

Usman Captain

M. A. C. General

his last service was as Inspector of Police on
Rs. 85 per mensem.

(True Contract)

/Sd/ B. A. Walker

Secy. to Govt.

To
The Commissary General
/True Copy/

Residing Lieutenant
Sub. A. C. General

Copd C. 16
17-9-64

To The Executive Commissariat of Police
Bellary

for information.

C. H. H.

Acct. Department.
No 272

Deputy Police Officer
Bellary, 10 July/63
5

To,

M. A. Blake Esquire,
Deputy Collector of
Bellary.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith a Bill
received from the Deputy Assistant
Commissary General Bellary for Rs 210-
being gratuity sanctioned on account
of late Head Constable Mohamed H. of Bellary,
I have the honor to request you will be
good enough to enclose the amount due
paid to the Bellary Const. No 547 Shik. Mahomed
who is directed to receive the same.

I have the honor to be,

(Signed) F. J. H. Smith
Superintendent of Bellary

/True Copy/

F. J. H. Smith
Superintendent of Bellary

Good
What
have about this
is G. A. I think
but has clearly
shown in line of

Returned unaudited. The gratuity claimed in
the bill is not susceptible of audit in this office being
a military nature.

Accountant General's Office

Fort Saint George

21st August 1865

To The Supdt. of the Police

Raf

Ag. Dy. Accountant General

Challany

9/8/1864

Her Majesty's Government of India

To amount of difference of gratuity of late Police Inspector Mahomed Hossain as mentioned in Proceedings of the Madras Government No 381 dated 13 September 1864. 210

Total Rs. 210

Received the amount of two hundred & ten Rupees only.

District Police Officer Bellary 10 August 64

Wicks Ldnt Supt of Police

Contents received Wicks Supt of Police

(Paid on the 10 August 1864)

Deputy Inspector

34 of 64

No 34

11

1865 DEPARTMENT.

From, *The Deputy Collector in charge of
Treasury Bellary.*

To, *The Superintendent of Police
Bellary*

Dated 10th July 1865

Received

No. 490

Enclosure

Your letter No. 272 dated
30th ultimo

*Best to return the bill for
a gratuity of Rs. 210 to be paid
to late Head Constable Mahomed
Hossein received with the above
letter, and to state that the charge
appears to be one that should be
borne by the Military Department*

from the pay of the Head Kotwal having been paid from
that Department.

R. A. Blake
Deputy Collector.

Ex. 2 H. 1. H.
74.

Account Department
No. 318.

13
District Police Office
Bellary 25th July 1855.

To,

R. A. Blake Esquire,
(Deputy Collector of
Bellary.)

With reference to your docket
No. 190 dated 16th December, I have the
honor to transmit the accompany-
ing Bill for Rs. 210-0-0 being
difference of gratuity of late Head
Custwal Mahomed Hopen together
with a copy of Government Proce-
dings No. 381 dated 13th September 1855.
And also copy of a letter from the
Examiner, Pay Department, from
which it would appear that the
said amount of gratuity is to be
charged in the Civil Department.
I therefore request that you will be

of cheques has also objected to the
the

the good enough to order the pay-
ment of Rupees 210 - - to the bearer
hand.
I have the honor to
be, Sir,
Yours faithfully,
J. J. Minto
Superintendent of M. Police
Dine Catty
M. M. Minto
Superintendent of M. Police

Madras Government
No. 381 dated 13th
September 1864 -

W. Blake
Deputy Collector

Soora Row.
I agree with Mr. Blake
make out the bill as
he suggests

5-8-65

done
7/11

8/11

1865 DEPARTMENT.

From,
Deputy Collector in charge of
Treasury Bellary.

To,
The Superintendent of Police
Bellary

Dated 4th August 1865
Received

No. 114

Enclosure.

Your letter No. 308 dated
26th July 1865 received this day.

Begs to state that
having read over carefully
the order of Government
received with the above
he has arrived at the
conclusion that the bill
(herewith sent) for the
difference of gratuity

Sanctioned by Government to Mohamed Hossain
should be signed by the Superintendent of Police
as the gratuity is allowed on account of
services rendered last in the Police Depart-
ment - He thinks also that the wording
of the bill should be altered as follows, in
to that effect; because on fresh view, it
appears from it, that the gratuity is on
account of services rendered in the Military
Department as Head Constable, and thereby
gives room to an unnecessary reference
by the Accountant General if the bill is
sent to him, as it is at present.

"To amount of difference of gratuity
of late Police Inspector Mohamed Hossain
as sanctioned in Proceedings of the

17
District Police Office
Bellary 25 Sept/85.

From,

Lieutenant F. J. Hicks,
Superintendent of Police
Bellary.

To,

Captain Mc. W. Carr,
Asst. Secy. Genl of Police
Maddur.

With reference to Memo. from
the Acting Deputy Accountant General
Fort Saint George dated 21st August/85
objecting to pass the sum of Rupees 210 as
being amount of difference of gratuity
of late Police Inspector Mohamed Hossain
sanctioned in the Proceedings of Madras
Government No 381 dated 13 September/85
on the ground that the charge was of a
military nature, I have the honor to forward
the accompanying correspondence and in-
form you that the Examiner of Pay Depart-
ment of Madras has also objected to allow

the said amount of gratuity being charged
in the Military Department on the ground
that the charge was of a Civil nature.

From the Government Proceedings
No 381 dated 13 September 1864 above alluded
to, I presume the charge is purely of a civil
nature and therefore request you will
be good enough to furnish me with instruc-
tions as to how the said amount ^{is to} be
recovered.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedt. Servant

W. H. H.

Lt. Col.

Inspector of Police.

4/10

21 Jan 1 Ode 1885

POLICE 1885, DEPARTMENT.

From, *Wm. J. G. Wicks,*
Superintendent of Police

To, *1418* Bellary.

Captain Wicks,
At 10:15 P.M. 1885

Dated *25th*

Despatched *25th* *1st Jan 1885*

Received

1418
No. 301

ENCLOSURE

Your No. dated,

*I enclose certain Enclosures
referring to the 3rd. Amendment
General Order to Reg. No 210
being difference of gratuity of 100
Rs. 100. The subject is the same
and the subject is the same*

Audit Dept.
no 1964

Office of the Sup^t Genl of Police
Kotagiri, 6th October 1865

From
W Robinson Esquire
Inspector General of Police

To
The Honorable A J Schultze
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Sir,
I beg to submit for the orders
of Government a letter from the Su-
perintendent of Police, Bellary dated
25th September 1865 n^o 301, having refe-
rence to a gratuity granted by
Government to Mohamed Hassan late
an Inspector of Police and formerly
Head Cutwall in the Commissariat De-
partment Bellary.

2. It appears that an abstract
for the additional gratuity sanctioned
by the second Government order above
quoted (13th September 1864) was first
submitted in the Military Depart^t
in May 1865. It was then disallowed

Enclosure in letter
from the Sup^r General of
Police to the Chief Secretary
to Government Fort St George
Sept dated 6 October 1865
No 964-

G.O. 16th Feb 1864
n^o 60 and

G.O. 13th Sept 1864

n^o 381 Pension Dept

Correspondence regarding
gratuity granted & by
sent to Mohamed Hassan
late an Inspector of Police.
n. D. no 596 of 1865.

1865

1865-66
1865-66
1865-66

by the Examiner Pay Depart^t, on the score of its being a Civil charge. The Commissariat Officer thereupon requested the Superintendent of Police to draw the amount in the Civil Department and the bill was finally paid by the Deputy Collector in August 1865, eleven months from the date of Government order sanctioning the gratuity.

3. The Deputy Accountant Genl now objects to audit the bill, as he considers the charge to be of a Military nature and Lieut. Hicks will doubtless be called upon to refund the amount.

4. It appears to me that no good grounds exist for the objection raised by the Military Account Depart^t; and that the Superintendent of Police should not have moved in the matter. The orders of Government referred to were not communicated

to

25
to me; but I presume the gratuity was granted for service in the Commissariat Police, under the provisions of Government order of the 14th June 1864, No 868 I.D.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant
W. W. W.
Inspector General of the Police

D.

Press No: 2378, G. M.—19.10.65—500.

E.

OFFICE OF CONTROLLER OF MILITARY ACCOUNTS,
Fort Saint George, 11 November 1865.

From The Acting Controller of Military Accounts,
Fort St. George,

To
The Secretary to Government
Pension Department

Sir,
With reference to Memorandum,
dated 14th Ultimo, A. 45, I have the
honour to inform you that it appears
from a letter from the Commissary
General, that the gratuity of Rupees 300

dated 7th Nov
1865, A. 4698.

was

Received
1865 de 1st

From

The Chief General
of Police

To The Chief of Police
of Fort St. George

Dated 6th Dec 1865

Read 11th Dec 1865

Enclosure

7 401964-76 2148

Submit for the order of
grant a letter from the
Chief of Police of Fort St. George
having reference to a gratuity
granted by Govt to the deceased
Muzam Lakshmi an Inspector
of Police.

M. S. 805796 of 1865.

A. 80425-

was disbursed by the late Paymaster
Bellary to Mahomed Hussain, late
Head Constable in the Military Police
at Bellary, in March 1864. —

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Obedient Servant

M. S. and Majors

Long & duties of Const. & M. S. & Majors

Pension 1865-Departt.

W^o. 1007.

From

The Controller of Military Accounts,
Fort St. George

To

The Secretary to Govt
Pension Deptt

No. B. No 5796 of 1865

No.

Dated 11th Aug } 1865.
Received 13th }

Enclosure. 0

Endorsement.

Intimates that the
Gratuity of Rupees 300
was paid by the late
Paymaster & Bellary to
Mahomed Hussain -
O. A. 2, 25

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again Proceedings of Govt
Pension Department dated 16th February and 13th September 1864. W^o. 60.

Read also the following Papers
From The Inspector General of Police (Muz. 5th October 1865 No. 1964)
From The Controller of Military Accounts (— " — 11th November 1865 E)

Order thereon 17th November 1865. W^o. 25

In their Proceedings
this Department W^o. 60 of the
16th February 1864 - Government
granted to Mahomed Hussain
late Head Cutwall in the
Military Police of Bellary
which he was employed for
years) and more lately Inspector
of Mosfussib Police, (a post
he held for two years and
months) a gratuity of 6 months
salary calculated on his last

as Cutwal. of Rs. 50, his service in the Mofussil Police being rejected as probationary. Subsequently on the 13th September 1864 in Government Order No. 381, Government accepted Muhammad Hussain's service of 2 years in the Mofussil Police, and accordingly sanctioned his receiving the difference ^{between} 6 months pay at Rs. 50 Rs., and the pay of the same period at Rs. 85-0-0, his salary as Police Inspector. This sum Rs. 210 was applied for in the Military Department but disallowed by the Examiner and it was subsequently paid by the Dy. Collector, but the Dy. Accountant General refuses to audit the charge as being one properly debitable to the Military Department.

2. It appears from a report

report now received that the original gratuity was paid in the Military Department and the Government consider that the augmentation should also be paid in the same - the Military Dept. The charge will accordingly be passed by the Controller of Military Accounts.

The papers will therefore be referred to the Military Dept. for consideration as to whether the ~~aug~~ ^{subsequently} gratuity ~~should~~ ^{should} be paid in the Military or ~~Judicial~~ Department. —

To the Inspector General of Police
To the Collector of Bellary.
To the Controller of Military Accounts.
To the Military Dept. Dated 17/11/65

Indians 1865. Department.

No. 4226

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT.

Dated 27th November 1865