

Number of pages. 14
Date of repair. 14.8.35
Name of Referencer. P. B.

M.R.O. 1-82400-22-11-80

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 93

~~Press~~
Mis.

Dated

1. 3

1864.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

P. B.
14.8.35

Notes.

120

May 7. } In the case of Ponnumbala Pillay, his service during which he was paid from the Lump Sum was excluded.

Subbaroyan Pillay Case No. 161

The Peer Subbaroyan however appears to have been paid all along from the Lump Sum and as his name has not been given in the Returns received in the Civil Paymaster's Office, his service as given by the P. S. Amcor of Tinnevely was assumed to be correct and gratuity accordingly granted.

The Talyars referred to were granted gratuity in common with 351 individuals who were thrown out of employ in consequence of the recent revision of the Revenue Establishments. If the rules were against these Talyars receiving gratuity as village servants they had no opportunity given them to earn the same in the service of Govt and in making theirs a special case it would appear that Govt had in view the hardship which their sudden dismissal would otherwise have entailed upon them.

Copialac Sashageram Case No. 8. } In this case service was given on the reduced period of his service or for that which the Civil Paymaster was able to verify and the remainder was excluded.

Vedataramiah Case No. 15. } Pension in this case was given on actual service which amounted according to the Civil Paymaster's verification to 30 odd years.

Subbaroyan Pillay Case No. 81. } In this case the unverified portion of service was excluded in calculating for pension.

16.8.35

Cases No 10411
and 31.

The Govt of India enquire with reference to these cases the cause of the long interval which elapsed between the date of the discharge of the men and the date of sanction to their pensions.

10411

The applicants in this case were displaced by the revision of the Revenue Establishment in Tanjore. They were first held to have had no title to a pension - and a reference was made to the Collector of Tanjore as to whether the individuals could, according to his statement that they were unfit for any employment, obtain the Medical Certificate required by the rules, or in the event of their being reported still fit for duty, whether or no any employment could be given them. Nearly a month elapsed before the Collector made his reply, and more time was taken to verify the applicants' services in the Audit Office. For all these reasons, the ultimate grant of pension was unavoidably delayed.

No 31

In the other case No 31, the applicant was reported not entitled either to pension or gratuity, under the operation of an order of the Govt of India - he having been paid from a fixed monthly allowance. Subsequently on a renewed application, and the then Civil Daymaster's report that he was eligible to a pension of $\frac{1}{3}$ his salary, he was admitted to a grant equal to that amount. The original application was made in May 1862, and allowing for the numerous references which were doubtless necessary in the case between the

Civil

Civil Judge of Chittoor and the Audit Office, it was finally disposed of in September 1862.

34

They were recommended for pension on account of their discharge, the (Master though not requiring them to produce medical certificates because he thought them the fit pension without it, then stating that they were in reality unfit for further duty.

Memo

540

Original Papers forwarded to the Secy. to the Govt of Quebec
with their enclosures of 2/3/64 -

Case No 80. Order No 220 of 28th July 1863 with 11 enclosures.

" No 148 - " - No 267 of 31st August - " with 3 - " -

" No 6 - " - No 203 of 13th July - " with 6 - " -

" No 7 - " - No 198 of 9th July - " with 1 - " -

" No 31 - " - No 282 of 25th Sept - " with 2 - " and with the order

No 217 of 15th July 1862 with 11 enclosures -

To.

The Chief Secretary to Government
Madras.Financial Dept^r Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your Endorsement No 715, dated 7th November 1863, forwarding Statement of Gratuities sanctioned by the Government of Madras, during the Quarter ended September 1863, I am

Case 80 Porumbhala Pillay
Head Gomastah in
the Salem Moonsiff's
Court

" 448. Subbaroyan
Peon in the Principal
Sudder Ameer's Court
Tinnevely

directed to request that Copies of the papers in the cases marginally noted may be furnished to this Department, and to enquire whether the periods of Service during which the men were paid from the lump sum allowed to the Moonsiff and the Principal Sudder Ameer for office expenses has been excluded from the Calculation of Service for gratuity.

2 Referring to the cases reported in items 143 @ 151, I am to remark that Talajars being Village Servants, Gratuity should not, apparently, have been allowed to them, or, if under the recent revision of

of village establishments, they are now regarded as Government Servants, still gratuity should not have been allowed for the portion of their service which was passed as servants in the employ of the villages.

For William
The 12th December
1863.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

Rd. W. Cunningham
Secy to the Govt of India

21/12

Request that the
cases connected with
Cases No 89, 84, 48 & in
the statement of
the Master, Maurice,
by the Master, Wood, during
the quarter ended 31st Oct
1863, may be furnished
to the Secy.

21/12

Received 21/12 1863
Secy to Govt of India
Rd. W. Cunningham

No 6175

9/10

Co

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
Port Saint George,

Financial Department Sir

In acknowledging the receipt of your
Endorsement No 716 dated 7th November 1863 for
forwarding a statement of pensions granted by the
Government of Madras during the Quarter ended
September 1863. I am directed to request that
a copy of the papers in cases 6, 7 and 31 may be
furnished to this Department, and to enquire whether
the portion of service of the men rendered before
the establishments of Moonsiffs and Sudder
Ameens were sanctioned in detail, has been
excluded in calculating their service for pension.

2 In regard also to case 31 as well as to
Cases 10 and 11, it is requested that explanation
may be furnished as to the cause of the long
interval which ^{elapsed} between the dates of the discharge
of the men and the date of sanction to their pen-
sions.

I have the honor to be
Sir

For William
The 14th December 1863 } Your most obedient servant,

Rd. W. Cunningham
Secretary to the Government of India

17-12

Dec. 2/3/61

N^o 93

116

The Secy to Govt of India.

Financial Dept.

21/1 March 1864

En

Sheweth how by despatch of the 1st of Dec^r 1863
~~the Secy to Govt of India~~
 has been requested to acknowledge the receipt of your letters of the 12th & 14th Decem^r last No. 6175 & 6265 & as therein requested to forward herewith the original papers in the cases of pensions & gratuities granted by this Govt. acted in the margin.

Case No. 80 - Pros. 28th July 1863 No. 220
 -- " -- 448 -- 31st Aug^t 1863 No. 257
 -- " -- 6 -- 13th July 1863 -- 203
 -- " -- 7 -- 9th Oct^r -- 8th -- 198
 -- " -- 31 -- 15th Oct^r 1863 217
 -- " -- " -- 25th Sept^r 1863 282

It will be seen from these ^{papers} that this Pension in calculating the pensions & gratuities allowed in cases 6, 7, 31, 80, & 448. the periods of service during which the men were paid from the lump sum allowed to the widows & Sudder Amiens were excluded, the service of such individual ^{persons} excepting the person in case 448, who in ¹¹⁶ which case the person was not entered by name in the Civil Paymaster's records, being restricted to that supplied by the Civil Paymaster.

Paymaster 1864 Depant

Shew

The Secretary to Govt of India. Secy to Govt

21/11, to Secy 1863.
 By 4th Jan^y 1864

210X

Calling for certain papers, also for certain information, with reference to the statement of pension granted by this Govt. during the quarter ended 31st Dec^r 1863.

With reference to cases 143 & 152, & the
remark made in your letter that
"Palatka being Village servants,
privately should not apparently have
been allowed them" I am directed
to explain that the men in question
were not Village servants but an inferior
class of Palatka persons paid by the
State whose services were dispensed
with in consequence of the recent
reduction of retainerment.

4. The delay in case 2231 was
owing to a protracted discussion
as to the individual's eligibility
for pension wh. was finally settled
in the War Dept. Office in his favor.
In case 10,411 the delay was
caused by the Auditor's having at
first omitted to cause the applicants
to appear before a Medical Officer
under the mis-apprehension that
they were eligible for pension

138
without production of more certificates
of eligibility for further duty.

I have the honor to be

Yours

Wm. H. Hall

Memor 1864

Rev.

N^o 93

To

The Secretary to Government
of India
Financial Dept.

Dated 1st March 1864

Forwarding Colan
papers and information letter
for with reference to the
statement of pension and
gratuities granted by the
Govt during the quarter
ending 30 September 1863.