32
5-8-38

M.R.O. 1—82,400—22-11-30.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

---

## Government of Madras.

Pensions **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 158 ~~Press~~ Mis.

Dated 15-5- 1863

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

No 90.

I do hereby certify that Bandaru Bhasikarlu late Peishcar of Coring is incapacitated by indisposition from the performance of his duty.

His present state of health is owing to weakness of vision and debility and as far as I am able to judge, not the result of intemperance or other irregula habits, and I am of opinion that no permanent benefit is likely to result from medical treatment or relaxation from duties so as to render him agai an Efficient government servant and that he is therefore a fit object for Superannuation gratuity.

Civil Surgeon
Cocanada

Cocanada
30: Nov: 1862

J. No. 553

1862. Dept.

In a letter from
the Collector G. D
to The Civil Paymaster
Fort St George
Dated 12th Decr 1862
Recd
No 464

Medical Certificate
in the case of B.
Bushkarlee -

---

2nd Decr No 91

I do hereby certify that Ganagam Venkapah late Samuldar of Kadali in the old Nagaram Taluk is incapacitated by indisposition from the performance of his duty

His present state of health is owing to old age and debility and as far as I can judge not the result of intemperance, or other irregular habits and I am of opinion that no permanent benefit is likely to result from medical treatment or relaxation from duties so as to render him again an efficient Government servant and that he is therefore a fit object for superannuation gratuity.

E. Lyn Lloyd
Civil Surgeon
Coconada

Coconada
1st Decr 1862

Inclo 553
1862 Dept
In a letter from
the Collector G.D.
To the Civil Paymaster
Fort St George
Dated 12th Decr/62
Recd
No 464
No

Medical Certificate
in the case of
G. Verikapa -

---

Inclo No 406

1862 Dept.
In a letter from
the Collector
Godavery District
To the Civil Paymaster
Fort St George
Dated 8th October 1862
Recd
No. 374
No

Statement shewing
the applications for
gratuity -
Vide
Memo to the
Collr dated 1st
Novr/62

CIVIL PAYMASTERS OFFICE
16 OC 1862

# Statement shewing the applications for gratuity.-

| Number | Names of the individuals. | Total period of service in various situations | | | Age | Date of Removal | Monthly salary received at the time of removal | Amount of gratuity recommended to be granted | Past character and conduct of the party.- |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Y. | M. | D. | | | | | |
| 1 | Bandaru Bashikarlu Peshkar of Coringa division | 33 | 2 | 29 | 61 | 25 March 1862 | 20 " " | 120 " " | Suspended from 24th December 1845 to 3rd February 1846 whe Peshkar of Coringa in a case of suspected fraud in the import of Rice and other articles, and was appointed to a lower post on 4th February 1846. He was reduced on another occasion from the same situation of Peshkar Coringa to a lower post on the 25th July 1856 for not preparing accounts correctly.- |
| 2 | Ganagam Venkapa Samutdar in Na:garam Taluk | 32 | 5 | 1 | 56 | 1st February 1862 | 10 " " | 60 " " | Suspended on two occasions for one year and six months respectively for not having brought to accoun certain lands watered by the Anicut Channels. he was also reduced to a post of lower emolument for the same rea |
| 3 | Dontaraz Ramia Samutdar in Amla:puram Taluk | 25 | 3 | 3 | 57 | 17th April 1862 | 12 " " | 72 " " | Suspended for 3 months for neglect of duty in collecting r venue and in the execution of Maramut works &c whe Samutdar of Vainechintalapudi.- |
| 4 | Amalakotta Sanki:ramadu 2nd Class Daffadar in the Ta:luk of Peddapur. | 33 | 10 | 2 | 60 | 1st November 1861 | 10 " " | 60 " " | |
| 5 | Ranganadhum Suria Huzur Dalayet | 33 | 8 | 10 | 64 | 29 April 1862 | 6 " " | 36 " " | |
| | | | | | | | | 348 " " | |

Godavery District
Collector's office, Cocanada
8th October 1862.

Exd D Ramachendarow
Hd Clerk

A Purvis
Collector.-

406
1 Encl.
(9)

CIVIL PAYMASTERS OFFICE
10 OC 1862

Godavery District
Collector's Office, Coconada
8th October 1862.

No. 384.

From
A. Purvis Esqr.
Collector of the Godavery District

To
W. S. Raynor Esqr.
Civil Paymaster
Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a statement of applications received from five individuals for a gratuity of 6 months salary. These individuals served in various situations in this district for a length of time and were thrown out of employ on the introduction of the new Police arrangements. They are unequal to further service from age and infirmity and are not under rules entitled to Pension. They appear fairly entitled to the gratuity prayed for.

I have the honor to be
16/10/62
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

A Purvis.
Collector.

G
7313

Entd [illegible]
B. C. Clerk

Pension 1862 No. 4/5

From the Collector
Godavery District
To the Civil Paymaster
Fort St George
Dated 3 Decr 1862
No. 384
Enclosure 1

No 228

Forwarding a
statement shewing
the applications for
pensions &c.

---

553
3 Encs
(1)

CIVIL PAYMASTER'S OFFICE
18 DE
1862

Godavery District
Collector's Office
Peddapur
12th December 1862

From
A. Purvis Esquire
Collector of the Godavery District

To
W. L. Raynor Esquire
Officiating Civil Paymaster
Fort St. George

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum of the 1st ultimo, I have the honor to forward a statement shewing the details of services of B. Bashkarlu, G. Venkapa and D. Ramia. - I supposed that the clauses of Chapter III of the Civil Auditor's Manual alluded to in your Memorandum applied only in cases of applications for superannuation pensions. - I now however forward Medical Certificates granted by the Civil Surgeon at Cocanada to the first named two individuals. The third person not being now in this District, a certificate has not been obtained -

X 920521

Gr 8824

According to the recent orders from the Secretary of State for India, such Certificates are not required even in support of Applications for Superannuation Pensions from persons of twenty years service thrown out of employ.

published at Page 839 of Fort St George Gazette dated 25th Novr. 1862

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant
A Purvis
Collector

Ed. D. Ramasawmy Moodelliar
H. C. Clerk

13

1862. Dept.
From
the Collector
Godavery Distt.
to the Civil Paymaster
with Enclosure
Dated 12th Decr 1862
Recd
No 464
Enclosures 3
So
Forwarding a
Statement shewing
the details of services
of certain individuals.

---

Genl. Deptt.
No. 202.

## Report of the Civil Pay Master shewing the Gratuity to which the undermentioned individuals on the Establishment of the Collector of Godavery District, are entitled.

| No. | Period of Service | Last Salary | Gratuity to which entitled under the rules. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 33.2.25 | 20 .. .. | 120 .. .. | The Service of Bandaru Bashikaralu, late Peishkar of Coringa Division, can be verified from the Records of the Civil Pay Master's Office from 18th November 1828 to 23rd December 1845, and from 4th February 1846 to 25th March 1862. For the interval between 23rd December 1845 and 4th February 1846, Mr. Purvis states that Bashikarlu was suspended when Peishkar of Koringa in a case of suspected fraud in the import of rice and other articles. His Service in grades qualifying for Pension amounts to only 18 years, 9 months & 24 days. He is eligible to a gratuity equal to 6 months' Salary, as shewn in the last Column. |
| 2 | 31.5.. | 10 .. .. | 60 .. .. | Ganagam Venkapa, late Samuldar of the Nagarum Taluk, can count Service according to the Records of Civil Pay Master's Office from 13th October 1840 to 30th April 1841, from 1st May 1842 to 31st December 1856, from 1st January 1858 to 5th August 1859 & from 6th February 1860 to 31st January 1862. His Service from 1st May 1841 to 30th April 1842, is excluded from the period shewn in the 2nd Column, as it was of a temporary nature. For the interval between 31st December 1856 & 1st January 1858, and from 6th August 1859 to 5th February 1860, Mr. |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Mr. Purvis states that Venkapa was suspended for not having brought to account certain lands watered by the Anicut Channels - His Service from 1st March 1828 to 12th October 1840, or for a period of $\overset{y}{12}-\overset{m}{7}-\overset{d}{12}$ cannot be verified, as he was then employed on Estates - Inclusive of this period, his Service in all amounts to 31 years & 5 Months - Of this period only $\overset{y}{4}-\overset{m}{''}-\overset{d}{19}$ were in grades qualifying for Pension. He is eligible to a gratuity equal to 6 Months' Salary as shewn in the last Column. | |
| 3 | 25-3- | 12 " " | 72 " " | The Service of Dontaray Ramia, late Samutdar in the Talook of Amalapuram, can be verified from the Records of the Civil Pay Master's Office from 8th July 1837 to 31st March 1844; from 28th January 1847 to 27th August 1849, and from 28th November 1849 to 16th April 1862. His Service from 13th March 1833 to 30th September 1836, or for a period of $\overset{y}{3}-\overset{m}{6}-\overset{d}{19}$ cannot be verified, as he was then employed on Estates - Inclusive of this period his Service amounts to 25 Years and 3 Months as Shewn in the 2d Column - Of this period only $\overset{y}{17}-\overset{m}{2}-\overset{d}{4}$ were in grades qualifying for Pension - For the interval between 30th September 1836 and 8th July 1837, and between 31st March 1844 and 28th January 1847, Mr. Purvis states, that Ramia was without employ consequent upon the Estate having been made over to the Zemindar and from the abolition of the Sayer Department; and that between 27th August and 29th November 1849, he was under suspension for neglect of duty in Collecting Revenue and in the execution of Maramut works &ca. He is eligible to a gratuity equal to 6 Months' Salary as shewn in the last Column. | |
| 4 | 33-10-2 | 10 " " | 60 " " | Amalakathu Janikeramudu<br>Duffadar in the Taluk of Peddapuram. | The Services of these individuals cannot be verified from the Records of the Civil Pay master's Office, as only the appointments and Salaries of duffadars and peons, without their names, are shewn in the Returns received; but assuming their Service and Salaries as given by Mr. Purvis to be correct, they are severally eligible to the Gratuity shewn in the last Column against their respective names. |
| 5 | 33-8-10 | 6 " " | 36 " " | Runganathum Saria<br>Huzoor Deloyet | |

Fort Saint George
Civil Pay Master's Office
7th May 1863

Offg. Civil Pay Master

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Civil Pension Deptt.

Pension 1863 Departt.

N.o 229

Report of the Civil
Paymaster

Dated 7.th May } 1863
Recd. 12.th " }

Reporting on the
services of 5 individuals
on the Establishment
of the Collector of Godavery
District and their entitle:
:ment to Gratuities.

P.O.No. 137.

---

Int No. 553
1862. Depts.
a letter from
the Collector
Godavery Distt.
to the Civil Paymaster
Fort St. George
Dated 12th Decr 1862
Recd
No. 464
No

Statement shewing
the details of services
of certain Individuals
who claim gratuity.

Seven Enclo.

1st Nov. 61

(19)

CIVIL PAYMASTER'S OFFICE 18 DE 1862

# Statement shewing the applications for gratuity.

| No. | Names of the individuals | | Total period of service in various situations | yrs | m | D | age | Monthly salary received at the time of removal | Amount of gratuity recommended to be granted | Past character & conduct of the party. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Bandarn Bashikarlu Peshkar of Koringa Division. | 10 | Sea Custom Gumashta in Koringa from 18th November 1828 to 21st April 1843 at 10 Rupees per mensem | 14 | 5 | 4 | | | | Suspended from 24th December 1845 to 3d February 1846, when Peshkar of Koringa in a case of suspected fraud in the import of rice & other articles and was appointed to a lower post on 4 February 1846. He was reduced on another occasion from the same situation of Peshkar of Koringa to a lower post on the 25th July 1856 for not preparing accounts correctly. |
| | | 20 | Peshkar of Do from 22d April 1843 to 23d December 1845 at 20 Do | 2 | 8 | 2 | Break Fm 24 Decr/45 to 3d Feb 1846 | | | |
| | | 14 | Madutgar of the taluk of Amlapuram from 4th February to 24th May 1846 at 14 Rs per Do | " | 3 | 19 | | | | |
| | | 17½ | Acting Magistrate Javobnevis at the Huzur Cutcherry from 25th May 1846 to 30th September 1847 at 17½ Rs per mensem | 1 | 4 | 7 | | | | |
| | | 15 | Peshkar of Cocanada Division from 1st October to 18th November 1847 at 15 Rupees Do | " | 1 | 18 | | | | |
| | | 17½ | Acting Assistant Magistrate Javobnevis from 19th November 1847 to 12th July 1849 at 17½ Rs per Do | 1 | 7 | 24 | | | | |
| | | 20 | Head Mudatgar of the taluk of Hapavarum from 13th July to 30th November 1849 at 20 Rupees Do | " | 4 | 19 | | | | |

CIVIL PAYMASTER'S OFFICE
18 DE
1862

# Statement shewing the applications for gratuity.

| No. | Names of the individuals | Total period of service in various situations | yrs | m. | D | age | Monthly salary received at the time of removal | Amount of gratuity recommended to be granted | Past character & conduct of the party. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Peshkar of the Division of Koringa from 1st December 1849 to 24th July 1856 at 20 Rs per mensem | 6 | 7 | 24 | | | | |
| | | Head Madatgar of the Taluk of Peddapur from 25th July 1856 to 16th November 1858 at 15 Do Do | 2 | 3 | 23 | | | | |
| | | Peshkar of the Division of Koringa from 17th November 1858 to 25th March 1862 at 20 Rupees Do on which day he was thrown out of employ | 3 | 4 | 9 | | | | General conduct has been good |
| | | | 33 | 2 | 29 | 61 | 20 | 120 | |
| 2 | Ganagam Venkapa Samutdar of the Nagaram Taluk. | Samutdar of Atteli Estate under the management of the Court of Wards from 1st March 1828 to 12th October 1840 at 10 Rupees per mensem | 12 | 7 | 12 | | | | Suspended on two occasions for one year and 6 months respectively for not having brought to account certain lands watered by the Anicut channels, he was also reduced to post of lower emolument for the same reason. |
| | | Do of Gopavaram in the Taluk of Amlapuram from 13th October 1840 to 21st April 1844 at 12 Rupees per mensem | 3 | 6 | 10 | | | | |

CIVIL PAYMASTER'S OFFICE
18 DE
1862

# Statement shewing the applications for gratuity.

| No. | Names of the individuals | Total period of service in various situations | ys | m | D | age | Monthly salary received at the time of removal | Amount of gratuity recommended to be granted | Past character & conduct of the party. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 12 | Samutdar of Sakenatipalli in the Taluk of Nagaram from 22d April 1844 to 31st October 1845 at 12 Rupees per mensem | 1 | 6 | 9 | | | | |
| | 1-6-9<br>2 6-10<br>4-0 19<br>10 | Do of Nagaram from 1st November 1845 to 30th November 1852 at 10 Rupees Do | 7 | 1 | | | | | With the above exceptions conduct good. |
| | 10 | Do of Kadali from 1st December 1852 to the end of January 1862 at 10 Rs. Do on which day he was thrown out of employ — 9.2<br>Deduct under suspension on 2 occasions — 1.6 | 7 | 8 | .. | | | | 1- Suspended fm 16 [illegible] to 31st Dec 1857<br>18 Sept to 5 Feb 1860 — 1 year 6 months |
| | 32 5 1<br>1 6<br>30 11 1 | | 32 | 5 | 1 | 56 | 10 | 60 | |
| 3 | Dontaraz Ramia Samutdar in the Taluk of Amlapuram.<br>10 | Samutdar of Kandrakota in the Taluk of Peddapuram under the management of the Collector from 13th March 1833 to 30th September 1836 at 10 Rupees per mensem. The Estate having been made over to the Zamindar his services were dispensed with | 3 | 6 | 19 | | | | Suspended for 3 months for neglect of duty in collecting revenue and in the execution of Maramut works &c. when Samutdar of Vannechintalapudi. |

21

CIVIL PAYMASTER'S OFFICE 18 DE 1862

# Statement shewing the applications for gratuity.

| No. | Names of the individuals | Total period of service in various situations | yrs | m. | D | age | Monthly salary received at the time of removal | Amount of gratuity recommended to be granted | Past character & conduct of the party |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ✕ | 10 | Sayer Gumasta of Turni Chouki from 8th July to 8th December 1837 at 10 Rupees per mensem | .. | 5 | | ✓ | | | ☒ Estate having been made over to the Zemindar<br>Break from 1 Oct/36 to 7 Jul/37 for 9 months & 7 days |
| | 10 | Do of Mandapeta from 9th December 1837 to 1st March 1841 at 10 Do Do | 3 | 2 | 24 | | | | |
| | 15 | Sayer Peshkar of Drachauram Division from 2d March to 27th September 1841 at 15 Rupees per mensem | . | 6 | 25 | | | | |
| | | Do of Pithapuram from 28th September 1841 to 31st March 1844 at 15 Rs Do. The sayer having been abolished his services were dispensed with | 2 | 6 | 4 | | | | Break by abolition of Sayer Depart from 1 Apl/44 to 27 Jan/47 for 2-9-27 |
| | 10 | Samutdar of Inavilli in the Taluk of Amlapuram from 28th January to 12th November 1847 at 10 Rupees Do | . | 9 | 16 | ✓ | | | 2-6<br>1-7-16 |
| | | Do of Vaunechuntalapudi in do from 13th November 1847 to 27th August 1849 at 12 Do | 1 | 9 | 16 | ✓ | | | suspended Cause as stated<br>1-9-15<br>10-7-4<br>17 6 4 |
| | | Do of Perur in Do from 28th November 1849 to 12th September 1851 at 12 Do | 1 | 9 | 15 | ✓ | | | Break from 28 Aug/49 to 27 Nov 1849 for 3 months — Explanation [illegible] in the preceding [illegible] |

CIVIL PAYMASTER'S OFFICE
18 DE
1862

# Statement shewing the applications for gratuity.

| No. | Names of the individuals | Total period of service in various situations | yrs | m | D | age | Monthly salary received at the time of removal | Amount of gratuity recommended to be granted | Past character & conduct of the party. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 12 | Samutdar of Inavilli in the Taluk of Amlapuram from 13th September 1851 to 16th April 1862 at 12 Rs per mensem on which day he was thrown out of employ | 10 | 7 | 4 | | | | Conduct good |
| | | | 25 | 3 | 3 | 57 | 12 | 72 | |
| 4 | Amalakattu Jankiramulu 2d Class Duffadar in the taluk of Peddapuram | | 33 | 10 | 2 | 60 | 10 | 60 | He was thrown out of employ in the new arrangement on 1st November 1861. His services have been continuous.<br>Conduct good |
| 5 | Ranganadhum Saria Huzur Delayet | | 33 | 8 | 10 | 64 | 6 | 36 | Do Do on 29th April 1862<br>do Do.<br>Conduct Good. |
| | | | | | | | Total | 348. | |

Godavery District
Collector's office
Peddapur 12th December 1862

A. Purvis
Collector

Compd D. Ramachandrum [illegible]

23

AP 29 1863

No 205 Godavery District
Collectors Office
Cocanada
23rd April 1863

From
A. Purvis Esquire
Collector of the Godavery District

To H. A. Mangles Esquire
Civil Paymaster
Fort Saint George

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No 37 of the 31st January last, I have the honor to state that Ganagam Venkapa was on the first occasion suspended for one year from 1st January 1857 while holding the situation of Samutdar of Kakarparu in the Tanuku Taluk on a salary of Rupees 12, (to which post he had only been transferred a few days before) for not having brought to account certain irrigated lands when he was Samutdar of Kadali, and at the Expiration of the term of suspension, reduced to his former post of Kadali Rupees 10- up to the date of his suspension, the actual period of his service was Years Months 28 - 10 -

In the second instance he was

G
2493

suspended for 6 months on 6th August 1859 for having failed to discover concealments of irrigated land by the Village officers. - The total period of his service up to that date is Y. M. Days 30-5-6 after deducting the period of one year during which he was under suspension as above stated -

2. Ganagam Venkapa was uninterruptedly employed as Sumntdar of Gopavaram from 13th October 1840, on which date the Estate reverted to Government, up to 21st April 1844, but it appears that his name was not entered in the Annual Return for 1842 submitted to the Audit Office as the Sanction of Government to charge the salary under the head of fixed establishment had not been received - It was charged under the head of "Extra" - Tho' his salary was drawn under Extra Establishment, the whole period of his service should, it would appear, be allowed to count as he was employed in the above situation after the Estate reverted to Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servant
A Israil
Collector

Exd D Ramachendrum
Hd Clerk

on 2nd February 1830, and as his name was not entered in the Pay lists as acting, the Collector is led to believe that the appointment was of a permanent nature. P. P. Kungen Menon himself was not re-entertained in Government employ till 28th August 1838, or upwards of 8½ years afterwards. It does not appear that during this time he made any appeal from the Collector's decision.

5. Looking to all the facts of the case, the appointment of another servant in the applicants' post about the time the trial may have been concluded, the fact that this appointment was apparently of a permanent nature, the very long period which elapsed before the applicant obtained another situation, and the fact that during all that time he never

---

Pension 1863. Dept.
From the Collector
Godavery District
To the Civil Paymaster
Fort St. George
Dated 23rd April 1863
Recd.
No 205
Enclosures None
No.

Replying to the
Memo of the 31st January
last regarding Ganojam
Venkapa.–

29 Apl/63

never appealed against his indefinite suspension, it appears to the Governor in Council that this suspension was tantamount to dismissal, and that the service which preceded it has thereby been forfeited. His Excellency in Council is therefore pleased to grant P.P. Kungen Menon a monthly pension of Rs. 5-12 only, being a third of his salary during the last 5 years.

To The Collector of Malabar with Original & Copy Certificate Des: 19/5/63
— " — Civil Paymaster with Copy Certificate Des: 19/5/63.
Ent. & Recd. 20-5-63

O.C.P.

This pension will be made payable from the 21st October 1862, the date on which he obtained a medical certificate.

Exd.

---

84

(37)

Pension Department.

CIVIL PAYMASTER'S OFFICE
MY 20
1863

## PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From The Collector of Malabar to the Civil Paymaster (Here enter 6th Novr. 1862. No 5325 A)

Report of the Civil Paymaster ( —— " 29th January 1863 No 33)

Order thereon 15th May 1863. No. 138

1. The service of this applicant P. P. Kungen Menon, alias Kungen Vaidier, is in two periods amounting respectively to $\overset{y.}{7}$-$\overset{m.}{10}$-$\overset{d.}{21}$ and $\overset{y.}{22}$-$\overset{m.}{6}$-$\overset{d.}{20}$. The break intervening between them lasted $\overset{y.}{8}$-$\overset{m.}{8}$-$\overset{d.}{28}$.

2. During this interval the applicant is stated to have been under suspension. If, as in the case of suspension for any definite time, this break is not allowed to interfere with his previous service, he will be eligible to a pension equal to a moiety of his salary. His second service by itself will only entitle him to the lower

lower rate of pension, or one third of his average salary

3. There is no rule specially applicable to this case, unless it be the general provision that any break exceeding ~~six~~ twelve months will entail the forfeiture of previous service, which rule, however, as before observed, does not apply to a servant suspended for any given period, whatever may be its duration. The case must therefore be treated on its merits.

4. The applicant was a Taluk Goomastah, and a charge having been brought against him of having acted partially in the investigation of a murder case, he was summoned to the Huzur for trial on 1st December 1829. The result of the trial is not recorded, and it remains doubtful whether he was suspended or dismissed. Another man, however, was nominated to his post on

Pension to C. P. Kungan
Menon alias Kungan
Vaidier -

Pension 186 . Department.

No. 138

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT,

Dated 15th May 1863

Circulate

7876] Madras—Printed at the Government Press.

C G McKay
17/5