11619
2. Date of Return 1-8-35
3. Initials of Referencer.

180.26

M.R.O. 1—32,400—22-11-30.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 327 ~~Press~~
Mis.

Dated 4-10-1862

Not to be folded or roughly handled

To
The Right Honorable
The Governor in council &c &c &c &c &c

The humble petition of
A. Jagannath Row late
Tahsildar of Atmakur Taluq
District Nellore

Humbly Sheweth

That your Petitioner is a long servant of $34\frac{1}{2}$ Years service during 18 years of which he held the high & responsible post of Tahsildar in February last your petitioner was advised by the Collector to retire on a superannuation pension of one half his pay for which he was recommended to Government by that Officer Your pensioner now learns with sorrow that a pension of $\frac{1}{3}$ of his pay has been now accorded to him the Civil Auditor having excluded his previous service in consequence of his dismissal from Office in November 1835

The above having been done upon the mere report of the Civil Auditor your Petitioner begs leave to bring under the

Consideration of Government the Circumstance of his dismissal in 1835 in the hope that if as the petitioner trusts they shew that he was not guilty of any misconduct on the above occasion Government may be induced not to withhold from him that Consideration & claim to a higher rate of pension which his long service of 34½ Years in high & responsible situations would otherwise entitle him to. Accompanying are translations of the Orders issued by the Collector on the occasion of your petitioner's removal from Office in November 1835 and of his reappointment 8 Months afterwards to a higher post by the same Collector. These are the only papers available, but your Petitioner trusts that the order will sufficiently shew that he was removed for no misconduct or offence of his own, but simply on the ground of your Petitioner not having brought to notice a private letter alleged to have been written to your petitioner by a post Office writer asking him for a contribution towards making up a deficiency in the Post Office collections. This letter did not come to the hands of Your Petitioner and it was only shewn to him by the Collector several Months after its date and the Post Office writer in question having been several Months before that dismissed upon an enquiry into the same defalcation there was no occasion for the Petitioner coming forward on the matter. So manifestly unjust was the removal of your petitioner from Office that the same collector after a reconsideration of his case was induced to reappoint him to even a higher post of Rs. 20 Salary in consideration of the injury done to him. Thus it will be observed that the dismissal inflicted upon your Petitioner was not " " for any misconduct of his of the nature contemplated in the Government Circular order dated 30th November 1855 nor was it Confirmed in an Appeal to higher authorities but on the contrary was set aside by the very same Collector as undeserved. Your Petitioner therefore begs that the break of only 8 Months in his service caused by the above circumstan may not deprive him of the benefit of his previous services with reference to the expressed provision made to that effect in the order of 1855 which only excludes cases of servants dismissed for misconduct finally and conclusively

If the accompanying Takeeds are not sufficient to afford sufficient an explanation of the subject your Petitioner most respectfully begs that the real state of the Circumstances of the case may be ascertained from the District Authorities before he is finally refused superannuation pension equal to half of his salary in the hope of obtaining which alone he was induced to retire from the service as he could have otherwise easily completed the remaining few years required to make up 30 Years in the event of his services before his dismissal in 1835 being excluded

For which act of justice & kindness as in duty bound your Petitioner shall ever pray

I beg to remain
Sir
Your Most Obdt. Servt.
A. Jugganadrow late Tahasildar
of Autmacoor Talook

No. 291

To,

Attavanum Jagganath Row
English Writer of Hoozoor

It is mentioned in a letter dated 9th March 1835 written to your address to the effect that you must pay 50 Rs. because there is less 166 Rs. in the Post Office money by John Fillix late Post Office writer of Nellore and which letter was confessed to have been received and seen when it was shewn by you. Although you know well that the Circar money was taken by him yet you did not report that circumstance at that time or afterwards and you are also unable to explain the cause for your doing so you are dismissed from 26th Instant thinking that you unfit for the Circar employment who concealed the above circumstances and you must deliver over your

charge

charge to the care of the accountant

27th November 1835 } (Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Principal Collector

(Signed) M. V.
H. M.

Salt Department

No. 14

To,

Attavanum Jagganatha Row

You are appointed from this 9th date as Goomastah of Gangapatam Cottar on a monthly Salary of Rs. 20 in Kistnapatam Division. As soon as you receive this Order proceed to the Superintendant's presence and took Charge from him and gave him receipt and went Gangapatam and must perform the duties attached to your employment with most care and deligence

Nellore
9th August 1836 } (Signed) T. V. Stonhouse
Principal Collector

(Signed) M. A.
Hd. M.
(Signed) S. R.
Hd. Shr.

Enclosure.

In a letter from the
Collector of Nellore to the
Chief Secretary to Govern-
ment, Fort Saint George,
dated 11th September 1812.
No. 31.

Petition to the address
of the Right Honorable
the Governor in Council,
from Attravanam
Jagganadha Row
late Tahseldar of
Atmakur Taluq in
the Nellore District claiming
a Pension of half the
average salary of 5 years.

11

No. 31.

Nellore,
Collectors Office,
11th September 1812.

From,
J. B. Dyke Esqre.,
Collector of Nellore.

To,
D. Pycroft Esqre.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for the consideration of the Honorable the Governor in council an original Petition to the address of His Excellency from Attravanam Jagganadha Row, late Tahseldar of the Atmakur Taluq in this District.

2. The Petitioner having applied for a Superannuation Pension in April last his application was duly forwarded for the sanction of Government by Mr. E. J. Eliott, the Sub Collector late in charge of the District with a recommendation that one half* the applicants' average salary should

As P
* Rupees 74-5-4

should be accorded to the Petitioner as a retiring Pension from the date on which Petitioner ceased to be employed on account of his service of upwards of 34 years, the last 18 of which were passed in the high and responsible office of Tahsildar.-

3. The Government on consideration of Petitioners' claim were pleased to accord* to him the lower rate of Pension, viz Rs 49-8-, or one third Petitioners' average salary in the last 5 years.- And it is for a review of this order and a reconsideration of his claim that Petitioner now prays.-

* Order of Government Pension Dept. dated 4th July 1862, No. 206

4. Petitioner supposes that his service prior to 1835 has been disallowed consequent on his dismissal in the month of November of that year, & he urges that with regard to this supposed objection, that in that case he is nevertheless entitled to half pension, as by the order of Government of the 27th November 1855, Para 3, twelve months may be allowed to pass between two periods of service without the servant forfeiting his claim to count the first period as well as the second towards a retiring Pension, & he (Petitioner) was re-entertained in the Public Service within 12 months of his dismissal in November 1835.-

5. Subsequent to the dismissal in 1835 there are but two small breaks in Petitioners' service, one for 4 days in 1837 from 14th to 17th March 1837, when Petitioner was suspended pending enquiry into certain charges brought against him, but

which

15

which having been found to be wholly false he was restored to duty, & for this break therefore no blame can be attached to Petitioner; And the other likewise for one day, the 12th March 1839, for which there is no cause whatever apparent from the records of this Kachiri on Petitioners' part.

6. Under the foregoing circumstances Petitioner appears to have good grounds to claim reconsideration of his case & on these grounds I beg to submit his Petition for the favorable consideration of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt. Servt.,

J. D. B. Dykes

Collector

Compd. J. V. Ele.

Pension
1862. Department.

From, No. 494

the Collector of Nellore.

To, the Chief Secy. to Govt.

Fort St. George.

Dated 11th
Despatched 12th } September/62.
Received 15th

In Cons.

One Enclosure. (1.)

No. 31.

Submits for the favor=
=able consideration of Govt.
an Original Petition to
the address of the Honor=
=able the Governor, from
Attavanam Jagannadha Row
claiming a Pension of half
his average salary of 5 years.

17

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.

Read letter from J. A. Dykes Esqr. Collector of Nellore.- d/11 Septr. 1862.

Order thereon 4th October 1862 No. 327

With this letter the Collector of Nellore submits a petition from Attravanam Jaggunadha Rao lately a Tahsildar in the Nellore, and who by the Govt order 4th July. 1862. has been permitted to retire on a pension of Rs 47..8 as. being one third of his average salary.

Petr. claims one half of his average salary, having served for 36 years. He pleads that a break in this service of less than one year, ~~[illegible]~~ in consequence of dismissal, should not be held as cancelling 10 years service prior to his dismissal.

From copies of the records which accompany this Petn it appears that the Petr. was dismissed for concealing certain defalcations, in a Post office, ~~[illegible]~~ which had come to his knowledge.

He was re-employed in the same district a few months after his dismissal. but there is nothing to shew that the decision ~~[illegible]~~ arrived in the case was reversed. ~~[illegible]~~

The Govt do not see any sufficient reason in the present case for departing from the Pension Rules.

The forfeiture of previous service as counting for pension at the close of ~~[illegible]~~ his career, is a portion of the punishment inflicted on the Petr. for a gross neglect of his duty.—

RCE

To The Collector of Nellore Dis. 4/10/62

Recd 4th July 1862

③

Pension 1862 Desptch

No. 327

Proceedings of Government
of 4th October 1862

Case of A. Jagannadha
Row, late Tahsildar Nellore
District

c26 Sept
R1 Oct