1 to 26
23-7-3

M.R.O. 1—88 400—22-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension. DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	30	Press Mis.
Dated	29-1-	1862.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No 2676
11/9/61.

To,

The President and Members of the
Board of Revenue
Fort Saint George.

Humbly Sheweth. The humble Petition of
Moongamoor Chenchooramiah
late Tahsildar of Mundicotcoor
Talook in the Zellah of Kurnool.

That your Petitioner respectfully begs to submit that as he was holding the said post of Tahsildar Mr. Minchen the Acting Collector of that District in carrying out Mr. Pelly's scheme removed your Petitr. from his situation and promised to recommend him for Super-annuation Pension by allowing him to count his previous service too, although he was some time vacant during the intervening period, but the Govt: having called upon your Petitioner to furnish proofs of his not having been previously dismissed from the service on any recorded misconduct, your humble Petitioner begs to request the favor of your ordering copies of the Marginal documents* in the Office of the Bd. of Revenue to be furnished to him so as to enable him to shew to Govt: that he was not then dismissed from the service on any misconduct and that the Board of Revenue had issued orders for his restoration to Office.

* Return of Servants dismissed during the last quarter of 1831, which was submitted to the Board by Mr. J. C. Whish the late Principal Collector of Nellore.

2. Proceedings of the Board thereon to restore your Petitioner to his Office of Salt Mazoom:dar in the ~~Hoozoor~~ of that Collectorate.

For this act of Justice your Petitioner as in duty bound.

Shall Ever Pray.

Moongamoor Chenche Ramiah
Late Tahsildar of Mundicotcoor
Taluq in the Zellah of Kurnool

Madras.
11th September
1861.

No 2016 of 1861

From Mungamuri Chenchuramiah late Tahsildar of Nandecotcur Talug in the Zillah of Karnúl

Dd 11th

Recd 11th Septr /61

Stating that the Collr has promised to recommend him for superannuation pension by allowing him to count his previous service also though he ^was^ unemployed for some time prior to his restoration, prays that he may be furnished with the copies of the within mentioned documents to enable him to shew to Govt. that he was not then dismissed from office for any misconduct.

The Petitioner should apply to the Collector, who is authorised to supply him with Copies or Extracts of any orders relating to his (Petitioner's) own case.

By order

W Dalyell

Sub Secretary

Revd Bd Office
Chepauk
31st Octr 1861

Revenue Department

To

The Principal Collector of
Nellore.

Sir

Para 1. I am directed by the Board of Revenue to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 11th Instant enclosing Returns of Servants suspended and dismissed and of fines imposed from 1st October 1831 to 31st December 1832.

2 With reference to the observations contained in the 2d para of your letter the Board direct me to remark that there is no absolute bar to the re-employment of servants who have been dismissed even for serious misconduct. It is always open to a collector to recommend such a measure when he considers it advisable, and the Board will not be backward to support this recommendation when it is made upon good grounds. The Government has thought proper to reserve to itself the power of deci-

-sion

in such cases, but it has not determined rigidly to exclude for ever from the public service every one who has once been dismissed from it. The sanction of Government is requisite for the reemployment of any person who has been dismissed from the public service for recorded misconduct; but the Board apprehend that this rule is not meant to apply to servants who have been removed from Office merely for neglect of orders, unfitness for its particular duties, or from any considerations of expediency, without the imputation of criminality or malversation. Under this view the Board think you are at liberty in the exercise of a sound discretion to restore any of the servants removed by you for reasons which fall within exceptions above specified. — They desire me to draw your attention particularly to the case of the Head Moonshee

in

Fm Govt. 30th Nov/31

in the returns for the quarter ending 31st Decr. 1831 who appears to have been removed from Office after a service of upwards of 30 years, on account of his inability to attend to the duties of his Office from ill health — if this person is capable of service in a less arduous situation it would seem to be proper to re-employ him. The Board also desire me to observe with reference to the case Nos. 10 & 11 in the Statement C for the quarter ending 31st December 1832 that the circumstances stated do not appear sufficient to warrant the permanent exclusion from Office of the old servants therein named.

3 The Board would suggest to you that a distinction should be made in the terms of the Sunnuds dismissing servants for recorded misconduct and

of

of those merely removing servants from Office upon other grounds, and that a like distinction should be made in the returns.

4 In addition to the returns which have been received, the Board wish to have another of the situations which have become vacant by resignation or death in the same period viz. from 1st October 1831 to 31st December 1832, shewing the date of the resignation or death as the case may be of each individual, the date of his appointment to the Office, the whole period of his employment in the public service and who was appointed in his place.

5 The Board trust that you will be careful that the Returns of Fines and of Servants suspended and dismissed are transmitted regularly in future.

Rev: Board Office
Fort St. George
21st March 1833

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
(Signed) W. Bannerman
Ag Secretary.
(True Copy)

Collector

P.R.
E.R.H.

C

Extract from the return of Public servants suspended or dismissed from Employment in the Nellore & Ongole Districts from 1st October to 31st December 1831

No.	Names of the persons suspended or dismissed.	Office	Amount of Pay	Date of suspension or dismissal.	Cause of suspension or dismissal.	Date of appointment to the Office from which he is suspended or dismissed.	Whole period of Employment in the Public Service	Remarks.
1	2	3	4 Rs.	5	6	7	8 y. - m. - d.	9
5	M. Chinchum=ramiah.	Salt Mazumdar	50	8th Octo. 1831	For having contrary to orders interfered in the Marammut duties from which he was removed on account of improper conduct & for thwarting the person employed to perform the said duties.	15th January 1827	4 - 8 - 24	The situation has not been filled up.

CeHS
19/2/11

(True Extract)

Collector

P.R.
C.R.H.

11

To

Moongamoor Chenchoorameah

Salt Moozoomdar in the Roogor

Although you had been directed on account of your misconduct not to perform the Maramut duties you are still contrary to that order engaged in the performance of those duties and prevented the person whom we entrusted with the same from doing his work you are therefore dismissed from service from this date ___

Mooloomoody
8th October 1831

(Signed) J. C. Wish
Principal Collector

Compd 12th January 1861
(Sigd) J. Moonappa
Record keeper

(True Copy)
(Sigd) W. B. Dykes
Collector

A True Translation

James Dun Minchin
Acting Collector

No 1

Kurnool
Collectors Office
11 January 1862

From,
James Innes Minchin Esquire
Acting Collector of Kurnool

To,
The Acting Deputy Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir,

With reference to the last para of the Government Proceedings, under date the 2d August 1861, I have the honor to forward two documents obtained from the Collector of Nellore, regarding the conduct of M. Chenchooramiah late Thasildar of Nandicotcoor Talook, and the cause of his removal from the office of Salt Muzumdar in that District.

2 A translation of the order directing his dismissal is also forwarded, the reasons assigned for which would certainly not warrant such a punishment at the present day.

3 The letter of the Board of Revenue reviewing the return of Servants suspended and dismissed, of whom the present petitioner was one, shows that the highest authorities had then a very different opinion as to the nature of a dismissal from the Service, to what is now entertained.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
James Innes Minchin
Acting Collector

Revenue 1861
Department
No. 36
From
The Ag. Collector
of Kurnool
To
The Ag. Deputy
Secretary to Govt.
Fort Saint George
Dated 11th January 1862
Received 16th d. R.S.W.
(Enclosures 4)
No. No. 1

Forwarding documents regarding the conduct of Moongamoor Chenchoo:rammiah late Tahsildar of Nandikotkoor Talook

P. W. 30

My dear Mr. [illegible],

Will you kindly let me know whether you think the case referred to in the accompanying papers is one for the favorable consid-eration of Government, & calling for an exception to the Pension Rules.— When I drafted the Order now put up I did not think it was, and drew the Order up accordingly. the 3rd para was added by Lt. Lewis wish, and in accordance therewith Kinchin now submits papers shewing how the applicant was dismissed from the public service. The reason was his having engaged in measurement duties contrary to the orders that had been sent him & hindering the parties specially deputed for the purpose. The offence was certainly not a grave one, but it was followed by a break of upwards of 8 years, the rules only allow a break of one year

21

to elapse to admit of the individual reckoning prior service toward pension, & this being the case I do not see how after so excessive an interval, this man's prior service can be allowed now. — He has been sanctioned a very handsome gratuity. Rs. 50. Do you think anything more need be done for him? — No. I would let the ordinary grant

Yrs very truly

17th

H. S. Whiteside

J. P.

since although I think the party was not dis-missed for misconduct.

Pension Department 23

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read letter from the Collector of Kurnool Dated 11th Jan: 1862.

Order thereon 29th January 1862 No 30.

Resolved that the Collector of Kurnool be informed with reference to his foregoing letter that considering the 4-

-tween length of the break which occurred in his Uncovenanted service subsequent to his dismissal from the Public Service, they do not consider it advisable to make any alteration of their Order No 268 dated 2nd August 1861 in which a gratuity of Rs 450 was assigned to that individual

R. S. R.
Ag Ch Secy to Govt

To
The A. Collector of Kurnool. Dec. 29/1-

Pension 1862 Despatch

No. 30

Proceedings of Govt.

Dated 29th January /62

Do not consider it advisable to make any alteration of their order of 2d August 1861. regarding Chenchoosamiah

Vide P. W. 268 of 2d Aug/61.

C. 21 Jany.
R 28 "