

1. Number of pages. 18
2. Date of repair. 11/7/35
3. Initials of Referencer. S
16-3-35

M.R.O. 1-82,400-22-11-80.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	88	Press Mis.
Dated	13-3-18	61

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11/7/35

10/1
Register of an application for a Superannuation Pension from the Establishment of the Collectorate

Name of the Person by whom the pension is applied for with the name of his Father.

Melaghiri RAO Son of
Shrinivasa Rao

Number in the Establishment	Identification of applicant person	Size		Age of applicant at the time of application			Religion Caste or Tribe	Where residing			Total period of service		Applicant's service Continuous or not	How long unemployed and under what circumstances	Date of application to Government	Average salary or Authorized official allowance per annum for the five years preceding the date of application	Salary or Authorized official allowance per annum at the time of application
		Feet	Inches	Years	Months	Days		Province	Pergunnah	Village	Present employment	Years	Months				
1	Dark Complexion Two scars on the right hip	5	4	51			Mathura Bramin	South Arcot	Viluppuram	Viluppuram	Peshcar in the Viluppuram Taluk	30	4	Not Continuous	Suspended on 4 different occasions for dereliction of duty -	1860	
															20 th December	33 - 13 - 821	

Particulars of Service

Javabueas in the Trecoilar Taluk from 23 April 1829 to April 1831 at Rs per month	2 - 0 - 8
D. in Sisadi D. from 1 May 1831 to 10 January 1849 at D. per D.	17 - 8 - 10
D. in Viluppuram D. from 11 January 1849 to 11 January 1851 at D. per D.	2 - 0 - 4
Sheristadar in Cuddalore D. from 15 January to 14 October 1851 at 21 - - - per D.	9 - 0 - 0
Peshcar in Srenomalai D. from 1 February 1852 to 23 November 1853 at D. per D.	1 - 9 - 23
Sheristadar in Chittambaram D. from 26 December 1853 to 31 October 1855 at 10 - - - per D.	11 - 11 - 7
D. in Mannargudi D. from 1 December 1855 to 24 March 1857 at D. per D.	1 - 3 - 24
D. in D. D. from 13 July 1857 to 23 June 1858 at D. per D.	0 - 11 - 11
D. in Chaitpas D. from 26 June to 13 October 1858 at D. per D.	0 - 3 - 20
Peshcar in Viluppuram D. from 19 November 1858 to June 1860 at Rs 21 - - - per D.	1 - 7 - 12
	<u>30 - 4 - 29</u>

The Applicant held Substantive Appointment directly under Government and did not draw any gratuity in consequence of the abolition of situation.

We do hereby certify that the present age of 51 years entered in this Register for Melaghiri Rao is correct.

J. H. Clerk
K. Srinivasan
Thiruvannamalai

of South Arcot preferred under the Rules passed by Government under date 15th May 1851 54

Abstract of the grounds of Application	Remarks of the Head of office	Proposed Amount of Pension for Pensioner and the date from which it is to commence	Treasury at which the party if Pensioned wishes to draw his Pension	Order of Government
Revision of Establishment	The Applicant was Pishcar in the Salug of Kilappuram. He was thrown out of employ in consequence of the Revision of the Salug under the Order of Government dated 11 April 1860 1855 but as he has served Government with zeal and activity for a period extending to 30 years the Collector has the honor to recommend in accordance with the views expressed by the Honorable the President in Council in his Minute dated 14 June 1859 that a pension of Rupees 16-14-10 being a moiety of the Salary enjoyed by the Applicant during the last 5 years may be granted to him commencing from the 1st July 1860 the date on which his services were dispensed with. The Medical Officer refuses to give this person a Certificate of disqualification on account of infirmity but his case comes within the line drawn by the Governor General in a Resolution dated 20th September 1860.	16-14-10 from 1st July 1860	South Arcot	

South Arcot
Cello Cuddy
Cuddalore
18th December 1860

A. Woods
Collector

The Off^{ce} Civil Auditor has the ^{allowance} honor to report that the Authorized Official drawn by Melaghiri Rao, late Peshkar of Veluppuram Taluq on the establishment of the Collector of South Arcot, has for the last five years of his Service, averaged Rupees 33-13-9 per mensem; and his Service extends over a period of 30 years 4 Months & 29 days viz:

From 23^d April 1829 to 14th Oct^r 1851 - 22 - 5 - 22
 " 1st February 1852 to 31st October 1855 - 3 - 9 -
 " 1st Dec^r 1855 to 24th March 1857 - 1 - 3 - 24
 " 13th July 1857 to 13th October 1858 - 1 - 3 - 1
 " 19th Nov^r 1858 to 30th June 1860 - 1 - 7 - 12

Total years 30-1-29-

Before determining the amount of pension to which the applicant is entitled under the rules, three points should be clearly decided. 1st Does suspension constitute a break, rendering service now continuous? Such has been the ruling hitherto in reports from this office, but the Acting Civil Auditor is of opinion that though the time during

Referred to the Civil Auditor for the usual verification.

Fort Saint George,

Fort Saint George, } *L. T. Banks*
9th Jan'y. 1850. } *Depy. Secy. to Government.*

To

The Civil Auditor

Dec 9/1/67

which the Servant may be suspended from
Office cannot be reckoned towards his pension.
He does not actually quit the Service of Govt.
during the interval; & his employment may
therefore be regarded as continuous.

2^d How many breaks or intervals may
be allowed in the whole course of Service
without disqualifying for pension? To the
undersigned it appears clear from the
Honorable Court's despatch to the Govt. of
India N^o 75 of 1855 dated 15th August
published in Port St. George Gazette Page 1426
that only one can be granted. He puts the question
however as this Department has hitherto inter-
-preted the "two periods" into an admission of
two intervals & so it is printed in the Margin
of the Uncovenanted Servants Vademecum Page
73 Para 219-

3^d If an Officer's Service is not continuous,
and the larger portion has occurred in the early
course of his Service he is of course unable to
reckon his subsequent employments with a
view to claiming a higher rate of pension:
but it does not seem certain whether his
Salary should be calculated on the former
or latter scale. Thus if the first situation

were 20 Rs. held for 25 years & the second
30 Rs. for 8 years, he could only claim $\frac{1}{3}$ pen-
-sion but would that be $\frac{1}{3}$ of 30 Rs. or of 20 Rs.

If suspension do not constitute
a break, the case is clear. The applica-
average salary for the last 5 years is Rs. 33-
& he is entitled by the rules to half pension
if Government rule otherwise, a further
report shall be submitted when the
several points in doubt are cleared up.

Civil Audit Office,
Port Saint George,
15th February 1861.

C. J. M.

W. M. F. M.
Off. Civil Auditor.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Civil Pension Department.

Separate

Public Department

Orders of the Madras Government

Read the following

From the Collector of South Arcot - 18th December 1860

From the Off^{ce} Civil Auditor - 16th February 1861

Order thereon 13 March 1861. No 88.

1. Three questions are raised in the above letter.

1st. whether suspension constitutes a break rendering service not continuous.

2nd. How many breaks may occur in the whole period of service without disqualifying for pension.

3rd. How - when a break has occurred - the pension is to be calculated, i.e., whether on the

WV

WV

Separate. Feb 1861. Government

No 88

The Off^{ce} Civil Auditor

The Chief Secretary to Govt
Civil Pension Dept^{mt}

Dated 16th February 1861

No 84

No

Reports on the

Service of Madras Pres

late President on the 21st

Resident of South Arcot

Salary of the first ¹² of
the second period.

2. On the first
two points the Act.
Civil Auditor has
decided correctly.

3 - ~~Para 3~~ -

~~loosely worded, and~~
The ^{of Para 3} meaning is not
very clear. [⊕]

The first would
observe that if
an Officer's
service be not con-
tinuous, and he
be thereby precluded
from counting the
period prior to the
break, it is clear
that he can be ad-
mitted to pension
only if his subsequent
service be of itself
^{in duration} sufficient to entitle
him. The pension ^{should} ~~can~~
never be calculated
upon the salary of

x/p 3.

The first period unless,
both periods being ¹³
admissible, the second
falls short of 5 years.
In this case the com-
plement is made
up from the first
period. In the case
supposed, A holds an
appoint^{mt} of 20 Rs. for
25 years, is then out
of employ - say in con-
sequence of the ab-
sition of his office -
for 6 months, and
again serves for 8
years on a salary
of Rs 30. He is clearly
entitled to reckon the
aggregate of the two
periods just as if no
break had occurred,
and would thus be
entitled to a more

of his average salary
during the last 5
years of his service:-
viz Rs. 57 p.m.
And this would be
the case whether the
later salary were
larger or smaller
than that previously
drawn.

4. It may here
be observed that
a break, when caused
by abolition of office,
may, under the ruling
marginally noted,
extend to 10 years,
without prejudicing
the right to count
both periods.

5. As regard
Melagiri Rām, the
Govt have not suffici-
ent information
before them to allow

154
of their deciding whether
he is deserving of a
pension. They desire
that the ~~Act.~~ Col^d will
report the circumstan-
ces under which he
was suspended, stating
particularly the grounds
of the charges and
the final result.

L. F.

JF

20th Dec^r 1858
No. 301. S. P. Dep^t
Man. III. 113(a)

To

The Com^r of S. Arst Dec^r 13/3/58.

The Civil Auditor Dec^r 13/3/58.

Dec 18-19-197-29/5/67

Ypsanti

Publi 10 H. 10th

18-20.

Dec. 18-19-197-29/5/67

Publi 10 H. 10th

P. 18-19-197-29/5/67