

1 to 18  
18-7-35  
F  
14526

M.R.O. 1-32,400-22-11-20-

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

*Pension* DEPARTMENT.

|          |           |               |
|----------|-----------|---------------|
| G.O. No. | 312       | Press<br>Mis. |
| Dated    | 12-9-1861 |               |

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*K. Ravi*



subject to Government without referring to the orders passed in the case,  
I applied to the present Acting Collector to grant me a copy  
of the same on the stamp paper, I presented but he declined to  
grant my request by a Wukam dated 27<sup>th</sup> June 1861 No. 56.

The Government have herewith passed several orders  
that my complaints that may be preferred to them should be accom-  
panied with the decisions or orders passed by the lower authorities  
thoroughly distinctly showing the grant of copies of such orders on application  
and the same are accordingly carried out.

While it was the case, as it is quite unjust to refuse me  
copies of the Government orders passed in my case, I pray the Government  
will be pleased to order the Acting Collector to grant me copy of the  
same and also to forward the same to the Government for their consideration.

For which act of justice I shall be under a duty bound  
to express my thanks to the Government and to the Acting Collector.

I am, Sir, Your most obedient servant,  
The Acting Collector.

I am, Sir, Your most obedient servant,  
The Acting Collector.

I am, Sir, Your most obedient servant,  
The Acting Collector.

To

Hampden

The Chief Secretary of the Government.  
Fort Saint George

M. Malins



with some subordinate  
situations, if the medical  
officers refuse to cer-  
tify him as fit for  
pension.

Herein refers to his  
former petition dated  
1<sup>st</sup> January/61 requesting  
to be restored to his former  
situation.

*pur-*  
No. 2167 of 1861  
From P. Ramannab the  
Acting Superintendent  
for the Salt Cotar at  
Pandoraka in Krishna  
District.

Dated 13 July 1861  
Recd 22

130/312  
Prays that the collector  
may be instructed to  
furnish petitioner on  
stamp paper with a  
copy of the Proceedings  
of the Government passed  
in his case under date  
the 14<sup>th</sup> February 1861  
directing the collector  
to provide the petitioner  
Copies put up.

Parize Ramanna Pantulu, for several years had Christadur of Guntur & latterly Manager of the same District, was made acting Manager when the Kistnah District was formed, and remained in that appointment for <sup>half</sup> a year. The new arrangement for Collector's establishments coming into operation at the close of May 1860, Mr. Knox who had merely retained the man in office because "well acquainted with the mode of procedure in Guntur" and therefore likely to be useful in the Jamabandi, turned him out because he was deaf and not acquainted with English, and recommended him to the Board for a pension on 15 June 1860.

Register of application for pension.

The Board retained the application for three months & then told the Collector to apply direct to Govt.

He did so on the 9 October 1860. The application was then sent to the Civil auditor who kept it till the beginning of December, and then reported that out of the Applicant's service of 37½ years, only

24½ years counted for pension,  
because he had been dismissed  
from service on two occasions, prior  
to 29<sup>th</sup> December 1835. He was de-  
clared eligible to a pension of  
one-third his average salary or  
Rs 50 per mensem provided he  
could furnish the prescribed  
Medical certificate of unfitness  
for further service.

8 Dec/60. The Govt accordingly called  
upon the Collector to obtain the  
required certificate.

8 Dec/60. The certificate furnished &  
declared that the applicant's "mental  
& Bidie's  
certificate  
18 Dec/60" faculties seem unimpaired, and  
"he has no bodily defect, to the  
"best of my belief, that can unfit  
"him for actual duty, unless a  
"slight deafness from which  
"he suffers would, for his par-  
"ticular situation, be consider-  
"ed to do so."

2 January  
1861. No. 2. Mr Thornhill in forwarding  
this, wrote that the applicant's deafness  
was a decided bar to his employ-  
ment in the post of Sheristadar  
or Tahsildar; that though not abso-  
lutely incapacitated, he is of ad-

91  
-ranged age, and that it would  
be unadvisable to employ him  
again. His reemployment in his for-  
mer post would cause very great  
confusion, & being ignorant of English,  
he w<sup>d</sup> be of little use.

Record  
G.O.  
November  
1860.  
No. 2043.  
R.D.

The Collector therefore recom-  
mended that under the Resolution of  
the Govt of India dated 20 September  
1860, the applicant might be pen-  
sioned as he had completed up-  
wards of 37 years service.

That Resolution vested this  
Govt with authority to grant pen-  
sions, in future, at discretion, to  
persons thrown out of employ by  
reduction of establishment, who  
can show 30 or more years of ser-  
vice but who cannot produce  
medical certificates of unfit-  
ness for further duty.

Feb/61  
No. 55  
Separate

The Govt observed that tho'  
he had been employed 37 years, only  
24½ counted for pension, and  
as he was unable to produce a  
medical certificate they could  
"only suggest that if, as appears,  
"the case, the man is unfit  
"for the higher grades of appoint-  
"ments, he should be employed

in some subordinate position better  
suited to his qualifications." They  
observed further that having  
been dismissed by the H<sup>o</sup> of New  
York in 1836 for "inefficiency  
and misconduct" he has no claim  
to special consideration.

In his present petition P. Ka-  
mauna refers to a former petition  
submitted in January 1860,  
to which a reply has never been  
sent. The petition in question was  
put up with the papers connec-  
ted with the G.O. mentioned in the  
preceding paragraph. It has not  
been further noticed. Its purpose  
was that he might be restored to the  
post from which he had been moved  
for no fault of his own, & under  
the anticipation that a pension <sup>Geo. H. per memo 57</sup>  
has not been allowed, would be  
given to him. He also alluded to the  
provision in the pension rules which  
direct that persons for whom ap-  
plications for pensions have been  
sent in, should be retained in the ser-  
vice until such pension is saved.

114

Pondraka on the 4<sup>th</sup> March 1861.  
up to which date he drew no  
salary. i.e. since his removal  
from the office of Manager  
in May 1860 - or for 9 months.

The object of his present  
petition is to obtain a copy of  
the G.O. - 14 February 1861 - enabling  
him once again to address Govt.  
The collector has refused to grant  
him a copy -

Though Petitioner was dis-  
missed for misconduct four and  
twenty years ago, he has since that  
time from a subordinate position  
to be the head native Revenue Office  
in the District - and he was only turned  
out of that under the supposition  
that he wished a pension. and after  
being kept unemployed & unpaid  
for nine months was appointed  
to an acting subordinate post.  
It is certainly a hard case.

It may be contrasted with  
the case of Strivasa Rao a Tah-  
sildar in the Chingleput District,  
who appealed to the Secretary of  
State against his suspension, and  
(his salary having been "amalgamated"  
in the mean time) against the Collec-  
tor's order declining to reemploy  
him. Sir Charles Wood while declining

either to cancel the suspension or to  
direct his reemployment, expressed  
his opinion that "as his office has

been abolished, it is not unreason-  
able that the two years wanting  
to complete a service of thirty years  
should be conceded to him, if your  
Govt. do not deem it convenient  
for the public service that he  
should be allowed to complete  
that period in active service."

30 years service implies half  
pension of average salary for the  
last five years, without the ne-  
cessity for the production of a  
medical certificate.

If this concession is to be made  
in the case of a man whose suspen-  
sion the Secretary of State declined  
to cancel, & whose character rendered  
it undesirable that he should be  
reemployed, surely a person in the  
position of the present petitioner,  
whose removal from office was  
equally in consequence of reduction

of establishment, and against  
whose character nothing was forth-  
coming beyond "deafness" and "ignorance  
of English," is entitled to the 5 1/2 years  
which will enable him to obtain the  
same advantage. If the nine months  
been unemployed

From Sec of  
State 7 June  
1861. No.  
41 - records  
in S. O.  
18 July 61  
No. 1432.

780th mention  
his dismissal  
24 years pre-  
viously

may be counted there would remain less than 5 years to be so conceded. Though the office of Manager of the Kistnah District, from which he was actually removed, is now abolished. his former post of Manager in the Guator District was abolished.

In acceptance, generally, of the principle involved in the Despatch in question, might lead to a modification of the existing Pension rule.

Orders are requested as to the reply to be made to this petition i.e. is the Petitioner to be allowed a copy of the Govt order he asks for? or is it to be refused? or is any other order to be passed?

Yll  
14/5/6

I concur in this view

Yll

Considering that this man has his charge for no fault or mis conduct I think he might have the benefit of his whole service so far as to dispense with a medical Certificate & bring him within the scope of the Resolution of

the Supreme pt. of 20 Nov  
1870. but not so far as to  
increase his pension. In  
this case he would get  $\frac{1}{3}$   
of his average salary - His  
dismissal should I think  
therefore deprive him of the  
benefits of his previous  
service.

J. D. S.

For orders

Wm. D. B. Butler

Proceedings of the Madras Government  
Mad Petition of P. Nannamma  
Puntala  
His order No. 2167 of 1864

Order thereon

The Government decline to  
comply with Petitioner's  
application for a copy of  
their order No 55 dated  
the 14<sup>th</sup> February 1864.

2. In consideration, however,  
of the peculiar circum-  
stances of his case the  
Government resolve to  
inform P. Nannamma Puntala  
that if he is desirous of  
retiring at once from

the Public Service upon  
the Pension of the third  
his salary. They are prepared  
to allow him the benefit  
of his whole service of  
37 years, so far as to dis-  
pense with the produc-  
tion of a medical cer-  
tificate, but nothing  
more than this can be  
conceded, as his dismissal  
by order of the Board  
of Revenue in 1836

Does not prevent of his  
previous service be-

ing now reckoned toward  
Mission

H. S. M.

L.S.

To  
V. Ramanna Pantulu  
Superintendent of  
Salt Cotar-Kushna  
District -

Dec. 12/9/62

London 18th Decr 1832

My dear Sir

I received your letter of the 12th

inst. and am glad to hear

of your success in the

affair of the 12th inst.

is confirmed that the

Lord. Secretary to the

Government of the

to return a more prompt answer.