1. Number of pages. 1 to 64
2. Date of repair. 4-7-35
3. Initials of Repairer.

M.R.O. 1—82 400—22-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 310 Press/Mis.

Dated 12—9— 1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 36 of 1842.

To
D. A. Blane, Esquire,
Acting Secretary to Government
Bombay.

Sir,

It is with considerable regret that I feel myself called upon to report the misconduct of Narrain rao Mamlutdar of the Dharwar Talooka.—

2. On taking charge of the Collectorate, I could not but observe that this Officer had conducted his duties in a most unsatisfactory manner:- being, however, very desirous of making as few examples as poſsiſible, and of carrying on the busines of the Collectorate with the servants employed under my Predeceſsor, I informed the whole Establishment, in the Kutcherry, that I had no wish to take notice of the past, provided they would, for the future faithfully execute such orders as were given them. But as this servant, in a particular, has evinced a determination to throw every poſsible obstacle in the way of the remedial measures I am anxious

to introduce, and has been detected in making reports which were grossly false, I have been compelled to suspend him from Office, pending the orders of Government.-

3. On several occasions, since my receiving charge of the Collectorate, the Mamlutdar has been guilty of what, in any other district would be deemed very very serious faults; and the following are instances of misconduct which should not, I conceive, be passed over.-

On commencing the Jummabundy of the Talook. I found the whole of the Village accounts in such a state, as to render it utterly impossible, for me, to make the settlement until fresh returns were prepared.

The Records of the Mamlutdar's Office, are in a disgracefully imperfect state. On visiting his Kutcherry, unexpectedly, I found Cows & Goats kept in the same place, with the Dufters.-

When in the Districts, I met with several Beams of Teak, which had been smuggled into the Collectorate without a Pass.- I sent them to the

Mamlutdar

Mamlutdar, who intentionally allowed them to be removed to a place, where there were a number of other Beams which had been stamped in token of the usual fees having been paid - thus, rendering difficult, proof of the charge of smuggling, by enabling the owner of the Beams, I had seized, to maintain that those, which had been stamped were his property.-

I have, already, reported to Government, the embezzlement, of nearly twelve hundred (1200) Rupees, of the Government Money, in his Treasury.-

He, also, without either reporting the circumstance to the Collector, or noting it in the papers prepared at any of the settlements which have been annually framed, since he received charge of the Talook, continued the highly objectionable practice of entering, as Government land occupied by Government Ryuts, some Fields which certain Enamdars wished to hold in exchange for some Enam land, they had given up, in compliance with the wishes of Government, and of entering the whole of the assessment, which had actually been recovered by the Enamdars from the cultivating Ryots, as Government Balance due for the land, by the cultivating Ryots themselves.—

The Mahalkurry of Betgeree has, in the most gross manner possible, avoided carrying out an order which I had issued regarding the transmission of a Revenue Defaulter, to the Authorities in the Belgaon Collectorate, and the Mamlutdar has, to say the very least, been guilty of connivance.—

Last year, a recommendation that Rupees Forty two thousand, of Outstanding Balance, should be remitted as irrecoverable, was sanctioned by Government.— Understanding that some of the accounts, from which the statement, exhibiting this sum, was prepared, had been fabricated in the Hoozoor Dufturs, I ordered the Mamlutdars of the different Talookas to furnish returns exhibiting the Rs. 42000

manner

manner in which the accounts had been made up, and the names of the different persons whose balances were to be struck off. I have failed in getting a single return from any one of the Talooks, but, being on the spot, I have pressed the Dharwar Mamlutdar, in particular, on the subject, and he has often made divers petty excuses. At length, I insisted on a reply, and after my repeatedly extending the period within which it was to be furnished, he declared that he had no accounts to show. I demanded a written declaration, to this effect, but, for some time he was most unwilling to furnish one, as he knew that it must criminate either himself or the late Dewan.—

4. With reference to the Districts generally, I may here observe that about three and three quarter lacs of Rupees appear in the Talook Books, and but a fourth of that sum in the Hoozoor Accounts, as outstanding Balance. There is every reason to believe that the greater part of the difference, between these sums,

has been collected from the Ryots by the Government Authorities and embezzled and, consequently, that the remission of these balances, by Government, instead of being a benefit to the Country has been but a signal for severity in pressing the Ryots for payment.- I have directed my Assistants to see that every rupee entered as Balance, in the Talooka Accounts, is entered in the Village Books, and to make careful Coolwar enquiries which will, I greatly fear, bring to light many very serious abuses.-

5. A perusal of the annexed copy of a circular, which I addressed to my Assistants, will convey to Government some idea of the state of the Accounts in this Zilla.-

6. There is, also, another serious abuse that has existed in these Districts, I am not, at this moment, prepared to exhibit details, in consequence of the want of Accounts, but there is not a doubt that Transit Duties have been collected in many of the Enam Villages and petty States and, particularly, in the instances of Heblee and Savanoor. The former of

which

which is under the superintendence of the Mamlutdar whose misconduct I am now reporting.-

7. It seems upon an enquiry, that the orders sent to these states for the suspension of the collection of Transit Duties, were so worded that it left it optional with the Chiefs and Enamdars, to continue or not, the collection; the Heblee Chief has been proved to have continued the collections, and been informed by me, that he has, therefore, no kind of claim to any compensation, but it is a circumstance, at once, disgraceful to this Mamlutdar and to the late Dufturdar's Establishment, that they prepared the accounts, claiming for this petty Chief a compensation for past years, well knowing that he had levied the collections, and proving by the same act, that they well understood the interpretation of the orders of Government.-

8. These Accounts were submitted for Government sanction on the 22nd June last but fortunately rejected,x had they been accepted, the intentions of Government Native servants can scarcely be doubted.-

x Govt. Letter of 2d Novr. 1840 No. 2362.

9. I trust that, at an early period,

I

I shall be enabled to submit detailed accounts, regarding the Outstanding Balances and Remission of Transit Duties.-

Dharwar
Collector's Office
Camp Hooblee
12th February 1842. } I have the honor to be &ca
(Signed) A. N. Shaw
Actg. Collector

Gentlemen-

On examining the accounts of Hoobly Talook, I regret to say every thing was in the most shameful condition, the Rozkirdee containing erasures - and not signed by the Mamlutdar excepting once a Month - and no mention was made by whose orders, or under what date, the orders for payment were made. The Katee Whayee the Turnenese Kirdee were kept in the same manner, and the Turnenese Khatee was not commenced.-

2. Strict orders have been given that sums above 10,000 Rupees should not be allowed to remain in the Talook Treasuries, yet the Mamlutdar of Hoobly had in his Treasury on the 1st of November 29,800 Rupees, and on the 22nd of the same month 49,000, before he made any remittance to the Hoozoor.

and

and at Turus the Mahalkurree has, at this moment, 6.328 Rupees, although the orders direct he should never have more than one thousand.-

3. These circumstances I bring to your notice, and beg to call your attention to the most important part of our duties, a correctness and uniformity in accounts, without which our labour is in vain, and Jummabundee settlements and examinations in outstanding balances all a farce.-

4th Standing orders, such as these, should not be so glaringly neglected.-

I have the honor to be &ca

Dharwar
2nd February 1842. } (Signed) A. N. Shaw
Actg. Collector

(True Copy)
(Signed) A. N. Shaw
Actg. Collector.-

No. 593 of 1842.
Territorial Department
Revenue.

To

A. N. Shaw, Esquire
Collector of Dharwar.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated 12th ultimo. No. 36. with enclosure, complaining of the misconduct of Narrain Rao, Mamlutdar of the Dharwar Talooka, and to acquaint you that the Honble the Governor in Council authorizes you to dismiss that Officer from his situation.

2. From all the circumstances of this case the Honble the Governor in Council fears that there has been great mismanagement in the Dharwar Collectorate.

I have the honor to be &ca

Bombay Castle
1st March 1842.

(Signed) L. R. Reid
Chief Secy. to Govt.

(True Copies)

W. Elliot

Secretary to Government

Copies of Testimonials-

I believe that the Goomasta to whom this order[x] was addressed is every way fit for the Office; he is a very good accountant, and has for many years served in the Huzur Kacheri-

(Signed) Stevension
S.C.

This is written at his request 25th October 1830.

[x] The order is in the Maratta language-

Narain Row, Head Goomasta in the Hoobly sub Collectorate, has been long in his present situation, and has shown himself an industrious and able accountant.- Some time ago, a proposal was transmitted from Government for making certain additions to the pay of deserving servants of this class in proportion to their merits. Should this plan be carried into effect, I consider Narain Row to possess strong claims for such an indulgence-

(Signed) Walter Elliot
S.C.

October 1833.

Narain Row Mamlutdar of Dharwar has been in charge of the Dharwar Talook during the whole time of my stay here. I have been very well satisfied with the manner in which he has conducted the cases which he has prepared previous to transmission to me and his magisterial duties generally. Although I have not myself had charge of the revenue department of Dharwar, I can state that the annual receipts have increased nearly Rs 50,000. Since Narain Row has been appointed Mamlutdar.

(Signed) Charles Forbes
21st May 1840. Acting 3d Assist.
Dharwar.

I believe that on account of his activity in police, and other business, he has made several enemies in Dharwar.

(Signed) Charles Forbes.

Narain Row, now Mamlutdar of Dharwar Talooka, is a very old servant of Government, and I believe, a very respectable man. In all my dealings with him, I have always found him polite in his deportment, and obliging in his acts.

April 29th 1841. (Signed) J. Shaw
S. J.

Narain Row Amildar of Dharwar is an able and intelligent man, and has conducted himself during the time I have known him to my entire satisfaction.

(Signed) E. B. Mill
Dharwar
25th October
1841.
Collector.

Guntoor March 10th 1845.

Narain Row.

I am sorry to hear you have not got a situation yet. If you like to come here, I will see what I can do for you but I shall only remain till about June, after which I hope to return to Madras.

Your friend
(Signed) Walter Elliot.

Camp Dachapally. September 22d 1854.

At the request of Rengondah Narain Row Toomerugode Tahsildar, I have much pleasure in stating that his services have been very valuable to me in the difficulty I had in passing my Regiment over the Kistna river.

(Signed) Captn
45th Regiment N. I.

(True Copies)
(Signed) T. Chase
Acting Sub Collector

(True Copies)

Collector.

Compd.
J. D. Cunha
Hd. Clerk.

Statement shewing the different situations held by Narrayenrow Tirmul in the Dharwar Collectorate

Name	Dates and Stations of the several appointments held by the applicant	Monthly Salary.	Period of Service in each Grade.	Remarks as to the cause of removal from situation.
Narrayenrow.	1st September 1817. Head Goomasta Havanoor Talooka	17.8..	3.2.20.	Having received promotion_
	21st Novr. 1820. Hoozoor Goomasta	21	.. 8.14	Do.
	5th August 1821. __ Do. __ Do.	24.8.	4.1.27.	Do.
	1st October 1825 __ Do. __ Do.	31.8.	.. 10.25.	Do.
	26th August 1826. Head Goomasta_	38.8.	2.2.6	Do.
	1st Novr. 1828._ Do. __ Do.	40	1.2..	Do.
	1st Jany. 1830._ Do. __ Do.	35.4.	.. 3 ..	Do.
	1st April 1830 _ Do. __ Do.	35	4.11.20.	Do.
	21st March 1835. Mamlutdar of Hangul Talooka	150	2.5.11.	Do.

1st September 1837 Man=:lutdar of Nowlgoond Talooka	125	..	..	..	5.	11.
12th February 1838. Ditto of Dummul Ditto	125	..	..	..	2.	17.
1st May 1838. - Ditto - of Ranebednoor Ditto	150	..	..	..	5.	14.
15th November 1838 to 9th February 1842. Mamlut=:dar of Dharwar	150	..	..	3.	2.	25.

Dismissed by order of Govt. on account of the following reasons. - Inattention to the arrangement of records & preparation of the Annual Jumma=bundee papers & having written off the accounts as remissions, balances recoverable from certain individuals which circumstances he neglected to bring to notice after enquiry. - Deficiency in the Cash balance of his Treasury &ca.

Dharwar Collr's Office
11th May 1860.

Supy. 3rd Asst. Collector
In ch: S. S.

In W. 292/60

No. 16.

Kistna District
Sub Collector's Kacheri
at Guntoor
13th February 1860.

From
T. A. N. Chase Esqre
Acting Sub Collector of
Kistna District Guntoor

To
William Knox Esqre
Collector of Kistna District.

Sir,

1. With reference to your Yad ost No. 28 dated 9th instant regarding my dismissal of Rangondar Narain Ráwu late Sheristadar of this Taluq, I have the honor to inform you that, as, from his age, I deemed him to be incapacitated from rendering efficient service, I felt it my duty to put in a person with whom I have been long acquainted, and know to be fit for the work.-

2. Narain Ráwu was first employed on the 26th August 1817 on a salary of Rs 17-8-, from which he rose to Rs 150. In 1842, he was dismissed from the service, as he says, for the fault of the Shroff under him,

Rupees 1,200 being deficient in the Cash Chest, for which he was responsible —

3. In 1845, he was employed in the Repalli Táluq on Rupees 40 from which, after some changes, he was promoted to the Tasildarship of Ponnúr on Rupees 120 - After which he was reduced to Rs 80 - " - " then promoted to Rupees 100; and again in 1856, reduced to Rs 40 - This last appointment he lost on the Nizampatam Salt Establishment being abolished and after a break of 3 Months and 8 days he obtained the appointment of Sheristadar which he held till I turned him out on the 4th Instant - He has all the Hukums of his appointments as well as two of his dismissal, and one for each of the reductions from Ponnúr to Dachepalli, and from Tummurugode to Nizampatam — I enclose copies of these last four, as well as of the English testimonials he has from time to time received, (the best of which is from Mr Walter Elliot) together with a list[x] of his services —

[x] In Telugu.

4. Tho' I am aware that it is not strictly in conformity with the pension rules, I recommend, taking into consideration, his long service namely 38 · 11 · 21 (Y. M. D.), or nearly 43 years since he was first employed, and his being made to vacate because of his infirmities, that he, as a favor, may receive a pension of half his average salary for the last five years —

I have &ca

(Signed) T. Chase
Acting Sub Collector.

(True Copy)

W Knox
Collector.

Compd
J. B. Carter
Hd. Clerk.

In Re 292/60

I hereby Certify that I have this day examined Panugonda Narayenaroo, and found his Eye-sight so defective & other infirmities the results of age and long Service so abundant that I Consider him unfit for further Service

Guntoor
20th February 1860

Geo. Bidie MD
Civil Surgeon

Rev. 292/60

No. 2,501.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 23rd May 1860.

Read letter from the Collector of Kistna District, dated 18th April 1860, No. 76.
(In Con., 23d April 1860, No. 1,831.)

Read also letter from the same Officer, dated 10th May 1860, No. 89.
(In Con., 14th May 1860, No. 2,236.)

Read again letter from the same Officer, dated 8th May 1860, No. 87.
(In Con., 14th May 1860, No. 2,235.)

Government Order, 4th November 1859, No. 1,499, paragraph 10, Revenue Department, in Con., 28th Novr. 1859, No. 5,930.
Government Order, 3d December 1859, No. 1,643, paragraph 3, Revenue Department, in Con., 6th December 1859, No. 6,077.
Government Order, 28th February 1860, No. 314, paragraphs 1 and 2, Revenue Department, in Con., 29th February 1860, No. 962.

In the above letters the Collector of the Kistna District furnishes the information required by Government, regarding the number of Servants displaced, &c., in consequence of the absorption of Guntoor and the formation of the Godavery and Kistna Districts.

2. Excluding Peons and Press employes, the Establishments of Guntoor and Masulipatam comprised 111 Servants, of whom 63 have been re-employed and 48 were displaced by the new arrangements.

3. Of these last, however, 10 have since obtained permanent, and 15 temporary employment, so that only 23 remain wholly unprovided for.

Statement C. in letter from Kistna District, dated 18th, in Con., 23d April 1860, No. 1,831, and Enclosure in from Kistna District, 8th, in Con., 14th May 1860, No. 2,235.
Statement D. in from Kistna District, dated 18th, in Con., 23d April 1860, No. 1,831.

4. Mr. Knox proposes to pension 11 Servants, and recommends eight others for Gratuities.

5. The persons proposed to be pensioned are entered in the following abstract:

	Name.	Office.	Pay.	Service.	Age.	Pension proposed.	
			R.	Y.	Y.	R.	
1	M. Narasingarow Puntulu...	Manager, Masulipatam..	175	31	56	87	
2	M. Kistnama Naidu..........	Assistant Accountant in do....................	30	24	45	10	
3	T. Seviah......................	Head Shroff in do.......	26	33	63	13	
4	Gulam Ali......................	Persian Munshi in do...	20	36	60	10	
5	Mirza Fagalla Alli...........	HeadMagistrate'sJavabnevis in do............	28	26	56	14	
6	Andrew Johns.................	Assistant Accountant in do.	35	34	58	18	
7	M. Lutchmenarrainah........	English Record-keeper in Guntoor............	35	26	51	17	
8	A. Ramaswami................	English Writer in do...	60	34	54	30	
9	K. Narasingarow.............	Do. do...	17½	* 30	52	6	* 18 only admissible.
10	N. Tirmalrao..................	Serishta Madutgar in do.	40	† 23	45	13	† Do.
11	V. Vencatakistna Row.......	Head Muchi in do... ...	17	34	53	9	
						227	Per mensem.

Gratuity

6. It will be seen that with two exceptions these men are all above 50 years of age, and are consequently not likely to obtain re-employment. The two exceptional cases are Nos. 2 and 10, the ages of those persons are 45 years. The last person in the list is of a grade entitled only to gratuity but is recommended for pension on the special ground of long service.

7. The Collector's List D, shows only eight persons above the grade of Peons, &c., recommended for gratuities. Of these, however, two declined other appointments, and unless they can adduce good reasons for this conduct their claim to gratuity is questionable. The persons of lower grades recommended for gratuities are 59 in number, four* of whom have refused acting appointments. Two of these§ last have served respectively 29 and 35 years, and this refusal at their age is reasonable, but this cannot be said of the others.

8. The Collector has generally recommended gratuities of six months' pay, but in some instances smaller amounts are proposed.

9. The Board presume that in dealing with these cases, Government will proceed on some general principle equally applicable to those which are likely to arise when the revision of the Huzur and Taluq Establishments is carried out. All that the Board need do on this occasion, therefore, is to make known to the Collectors of the Telugu Districts the names of those persons still out of employ, who from Mr. Knox's remarks or from their age, &c., are likely to do good service to the State for some time to come.

Paragraph 4, General Order, 28th Feby. 1860, No. 314, R. D., in Con., 29th Feby. 1860, No. 962.

10. A list is accordingly appended to these Proceedings, but it cannot be expected that the Collectors of these Districts with the large reductions about to take place shortly in their own Districts, will be able to provide for these men.

11. The Collector appears to the Board to have acted with impartiality and discretion in selecting persons for his, and his Subordinate's Offices.

12. Mr. Knox states that he deferred replying to the calls of Government, until he could ascertain how many Servants could be provided for by the Collector of the Godavery, &c.

13. Mr. Purvis has not yet replied to the Board's Proceedings, dated 15th March last, No. 1,327.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to W. Knox, Esq., Collector of the Krishna District.

„ A. Purvis, Esq., Collector of Godavery District.

Exd. J. Wright.

---

* Nos. 35, 41, 42 and 51.
§ Nos. 41 and 51.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue :—

Submitting with the Board's remarks letters from the Collector of the Krishna District furnishing the information required by Government regarding the number of servants displaced, &c., in consequence of the absorption of Guntoor and the formation of the Godavery and Krishna Districts.	(Here enter 23d May 1860, No. 2,501.)

ORDER THEREON, 16th June 1860, No. 1,001.

1. The Statements of proposed Pensions and Gratuities which form enclosures to these Proceedings, will be transferred for disposal to the Pension Department, with the remark that, as the recommendations are made under special circumstances, i. e., on account of the abolition of the late Masulipatam District, no superannuation certificates have been transmitted, nor are they required.

2. With reference to the Board's remark in paragraph 9, the Government have to observe that no general principle is required to be laid down as the existing rules fully provide for the cases supposed.

3. The Board's remarks in paragraphs 10 and 11 are approved.

(True Extract)

Deputy Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ Public (Separate) Department. with Rdl. Pro. & G Enclos.

Exd. Wm. Thomas.

3 Copies sent

C 30 June
R 24 July

Separate
Public 1860 Departt
No. 269
Proceedings of Government
in the Revenue Deptt
No 1001
Dated 16th June 1860.
Recd. 19th " "

No. 11.

Kistna District Collector's
Kacheri at Masulipatam
29th May 1860.

From
W. Knox Esqre
Collector of Kistna District
To J. D. Bourdillon Esqre
Secretary to Government
in the Public Department
Fort St. George

Sir,

1. With reference to the Civil Pension rules passed by Government under date the 15th May 1851, and published in the Fort St. George Gazette dated 20th Idem page 441, I have the honor to forward herewith Copy of an application from the late Acting Sub Collector of this District, for the grant of a Superannuation Pension to Pengonda Narain Rão late Sherishtadar of the Guntoor Tãluq; together with a Register of the same.

2. From the enclosed Statement received from the Collector of Dharwar, the Government will observe that the applicant Narraina Rão served in that District for a period of $\overset{Y}{24}$ - $\overset{M}{5}$ - $\overset{D}{10}$ -, and was at last dismissed for misconduct, and neglect of duty as detailed in the Column of Remarks. After a lapse of three years, applicant was

again employed in Guntoor District in 1845, where he served for 14 years. I have no personal experience of the conduct of the applicant; but from the accompanying Copies of testimonials he obtained from several Gentlemen, it appears that he served at Dharwar with unblemished character till the last occasion on which he was thrown out. Applicant is far advanced in years and unfit for service on account of infirmities and defectiveness in his eyesight. This induced Mr. Chase to recommend him for Pension.

3 Though the applicant was dismissed at the end of 25 years service, yet as he was re-entertained in the Public service and continued in it for the last 14 years, I trust the Government will be pleased to shew the same lenity to the applicant as that shewn in the case of Dharwar Achappa; and favor him with one third Pension Rs. 19 from 4th February last the average salary or authorized official allowances per mensem for the 5 years preceding the date of

Proceedings of the Madras Government 25th April 1860 - No. 135 Para 4.

application

application being Rupees 56-9-1.

4 The original Medical Certificate required by Extract Minutes Consultation dated 12th May 1856 No. 103 is herewith transmitted.

5. With reference to the notification of the 6th May 1856 published in the Gazette Page 701, I beg to state that the leave of absence obtained by the applicant during the period of his 14 years service in the late Guntoor District did not exceed 3 months. I am not aware of the period of private affairs &ca leave which he obtained while employed at Dharwar District.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.

Collector

Compd J. F. Carter
H. Clerk

15/5

Public ~~Separate~~ 1860. Depptt
No. 292

From
The Collector of
Kistna District
To The Secretary to
Govt. Public Deptt.
Fort St. George
Dated 29th May 1860
Received 29 June 1860.

Enclosures 5.

7255

Transmitting an
application for a
superannuation Pension
for Penugonda Narrain
Row late Sheristadar
of Guntur Taluq

With an Order

Referred to the Civil Auditor for the usual verification.

Fort Saint George, 29 June 1860. } L Bowker Depy. Secy. to Government.

To

The Civil Auditor. 29/6/60.

30/6

Register of an application for a Superannuation Pension from the Establishment of the Collector of the Kistna District prepared under the rules passed by the Government under date the 15th May 1851—

Name of the Person by whom the Pension is applied for with the name of his father	Number on the Establishment	Identification of the applicant's person	Size Feet	Size Inches	Age of applicant at the time of application Years	Months	Days	Religion, caste or Tribe	Where residing Province	Parganah	Village	Present employment	Total period of Service— Dates and stations of the several appointments held by the applicant—	Salary per month Rs	a	p	Years	Months	Days	Applicant's services continuous or not	How long unemployed and under what circumstances	Date of application to Government	Average salary or authorized official allowances per mensem for the 5 years preceding the date of application Rs	a	p	Salary or authorized official allowance per mensem at the time of application Rs	a	p	Abstract of the grounds of application	Remarks by the head of the Office	Proposed amount of Pension per mensem Rs	a	p	Treasury at which the Party if pensioned wishes to draw his Pension	Orders of Government
Rengonda Náráina Ráo son of Simalráo	2	Pock holes all over the body and particularly on the face & a mole on the right ankle—	5	2¼	66	4	"	Mardhava Bramin.	Bellary District.	Kodikonda Taluq.	Chowtakuntapalli.	Sherustadar of the Guntoor Taluq in the Kistna District.	Bombay Presidency. Dharwar Collectorate.							Not continuous.	The break in the service is Y M D 3.5.22. At Dharwar he was dismissed from the service on account of inattention to the arrangement of Records & preparation of the annual Jamabandy Papers, and having written off the accounts as remissions balances recoverable from certain individuals which circumstances he neglected to bring to notice after enquiry & deficiency in the cash balance of his Treasury. At the late Guntoor District the Nizampatam Salt Establishment having been abolished applicant was kept out of employ for 3 Months & 8 Days. This can be taken to account—	26th May 1860.	56	9	1	30	"	"	Infirmities of age, and defective sight as testified by the medical certificate sent herewith	Mr. Melvilli Ag. Head Assistt. Collector in charge of the Sub division says that the applicant has not served since he took charge but bore a good Character.	19	"	"	In the Treasury of the Commissioner of Mysore Bangalore Division.	
													Head Gumasta of Havanoor Taluq from 1st Novr. 1817 to 20th Novr. 1820	17	8	"	3	2	20																
													Huzur Gumasta from 21st Novr. 1820 to 4th August 1821	21	"	"	"	8	14																
													Do. Do. from 5th August 1821 to 30th Septr. 1825	24	8	"	4	1	27																
													Do. Do. from 1st Octr. 1825 to 25th Augt. 1826	31	8	"	"	10	25																
													Head Gumasta from 26th August 1826 to 31st October 1828	38	8	"	2	2	6																
													Do. Do. from 1st Novr. 1828 to 31st Decr. 1829	40	"	"	1	2	"																
													Do. Do. from 1st January to 31st March 1830	35	4	"	"	3	"																
													Do. Do. from 1st April 1830 to 20th March 1835	35	"	"	4	11	20																
													Mamlutdar of Hangul Taluq from 21st March 1835 to 31st August 1837	150	"	"	2	5	11																
													Do. of Nowlgoonda Taluq from 1st September 1837 to 11th February 1838	125	"	"	"	5	11																
													Do. of Dusumal Taluq from 12th February to 30th April 1838	125	"	"	"	2	17																
													Do. of Raneebednur Taluq from 1st May to 14th November 1838	150	"	"	"	6	14																
													Do. of Dharwar from 15th November 1838 to 9th February 1842	150	"	"	3	2	25																
													Total				24	5	10																
													Madras Presidency. Late Guntoor District—																						
													Ameen of Rapalli Taluq from 4th June to 9th Novr. 1845, receiving 40 Rs. a Month out of the pay of Vinukonda Ameen	40	"	"	"	5	6																
													Do. of Rapalli Taluq from 10th November 1845 to 31st January 1847	70	"	"	1	2	21																
													Tahasildar of Guntoor Taluq from 1st February 1847 to 17th Novr. 1852	100	"	"	5	9	17																
													Do. of Ponnur Taluq from 18th Novr. 1852 to 31st March 1853	120	"	"	"	4	13																
													Do. of Dachapalli Taluq from 1st April 1853 to 8th Septr. 1854	80	"	"	1	5	8																
													Do. of Summurugoda Taluq from 9th September 1854 to 31st July 185	100	"	"	1	10	22																
													Tandar of Nizampatam from 1st August 1856 to 15th July 1857	40	"	"	"	11	15																
													Seristadar of Guntoor Taluq from 23d October 1857 to 3d February 1860	30	"	"	2	3	12																
																	14	4	24																
																	38	10	4																

Kistna District
Collector's Kacheri

Register of an Application for Superannuation Pension of P. Narain Rao

Sr. No. 292/60

No. 184 Genl. Dept.

Report of the Civil Auditor.

The Civil Auditor has the honor to report that the authorized Official allowance drawn by Pengonda Narain Rao is Rs. 30 — per mensem & that his Service in the Madras Presidency extends over a period of 14 years 4 months & 24 days viz from 4th June 1845 to 15th July 1857 & from 23d October 1857 to 3d February 1860.

During the interval of 3 months & 8 days he was out of employ, the establishment to which he belonged having been abolished.

His Service in the Bombay Presidency is inadmissible having terminated in his dismissal.

He is, entitled to a gratuity under the Rules.

Fort St. George
Civil Audit Office,
5th July 1860.

Exd RH.

Edwd Harvin —
Civil Auditor.

To,
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Civil Pension Dept.

SEPARATE.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

No. 218

Proceedings of the Madras Government
~~Extract from the Minutes of Consultation~~,
dated 17th July 1860.

(Read the following paper.)

Proceedings of Government. (Here enter 12th July 1860)

The ~~Right~~ Honorable the Governor in Council resolves to recommend for the sanction of the Government of India a Gratuity of Rupees (3050) Three thousand & fifty to the Servants thrown out of employment, in consequence of the absorption of the Guntoor and Masulipatam Districts. in conformity with the rules contained in the Despatch from the Honorable the Court of Directors to the Government of India, in the Financial Department, dated 24th June No. 43 of 1857, and directs that the following ~~grounds of recommendation be inserted in the~~ Tabular application ~~to~~ be submitted to that authority.

" ~~GROUNDS OF RECOMMENDATION~~."

L. F.
Dy Secy to Govt

To
The Secretary to the Government of India } Desp. 12/7/60.

Separate
Public 1860 Deptt.
From No. 317
The Civil Auditor
To
The Chief Secretary
C. P. Deptt.
Dated 5th July } 1860
Recd 10 July } 1860

No. 184
Enc. 7
No.

Verifying the services of P. Narrain Row & reporting upon his being entitled only to a gratuity.

P. W. 310.

Ch. Sep
1211 "

Separate
Public 1860 Departt.
No. 218

Proceedings of Government
Dated 17th July 1860

No. 2712 of 1860
Revenue Department.

To,
T. Pycroft, Esquire,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
Madras.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of the letter No. 220, dated the 18th Ultimo, with accompaniment, from Mr. Deputy Secretary Forbes, and in reply, to forward a copy of the papers as per margin, from which the Madras Government will learn the circumstances under which Narayen Rao was dismissed from employment in this Presidency.

Letter from the Acting Collector of Dharwar No. 36 dated the 12th February 1842, with enclosure.
Reply to No. 593 dated 1st March 1842.

2. With reference to the question whether Narayen Rao's service previous to dismissal should be allowed to count for pension, I am instructed to request the attention of the

Madras Government to the Extract marginally quoted from a dispatch of the late Honorable Court of Directors.-

> No. 75 dated 15th August 1855.
>
> Para: 3. If an Uncovenanted Servant be dismissed for misconduct, and be subsequently re-admitted to employment, his first service is not to count for Pension x x.-

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

Poona,
20th August 1860.

W E Ellis

Secretary to Government.

Copd by

Separate
Public 1860 Despatch

No. 431

12 Sept No. 310

From

The Secretary to the Govt.
of Bombay

Dated 20th } August 1860.
Recd. 28th }

Enc 1.

Reporting the circumstances under which Narrain Rao was dismissed from Employment in the Bombay Residency.

C4 Sf
R11 v

49

Application for the grant of Gratuities to the undermentioned Individuals.

District in which Claimants are occupied	Department of Service	Names	Nature of Occupation	Age Years	Age Months	Years of Service Years	Years of Service Months	Amount of Salary last drawn by applicants	Amount of Gratuity proposed	Grounds of recommendation	Remarks by the Head of Applicants' Office	Remarks by the Local Government	Orders of the Government of India
Guntoor	Revenue	N. Tirmalrao	Serista Mudutsar	45	„	23	„	40 „	240 „	Applicants have served Government for the periods specified opposite their names. They have been thrown out of Employment in consequence of the ~~absorption~~ union of the ~~Masulipatam and~~ Guntoor and part of the Masulipatam Collectorates.	Favorable	Recommended	
		V. Vencatakistna Rao	Head Muchi	53	„	34	„	17 „	102 „				
Masulipatam	„	M. Nagalingum	Serista Mudutsar	„	„	35	„	30 „	180 „				
Guntoor	Revenue	V. Ramiah	Moonshee Do.	„	„	8	3	12 „	36 „				
		S. Sadasevarow Puntulu	Cash Keeper	„	„	19	5	80 „	400 „				
		S. Seetaramiah	Asst. Moturpah Mudutsar	„	„	5	10	12 „	36 „				
		S. Paupiah	Head Shroff	„	„	24	9	40 „	240 „				
		Shaik Audum	Dufterbund	„	„	68	„	7 „	42 „				
		M. Cunniah	Hakilman	„	„	25	„	7 „	42 „				
		M. Narayoodoo	Do.	„	„	28	„	7 „	42 „				
		M. Vencatachellum	Do.	„	„	8	9	5 „	15 „				
		C. Appiah	Water Brahmin	„	„	27	„	4 „	24 „				
		C. Venkamah	Do. Do.	„	„	27	„	4 „	24 „				
		W. Sashoo	Takaly	„	„	30	„	12 „	72 „				
		P. C. Ramasawmy	Moochy	„	„	18	„	10 8	52 „				
		P. P. Ramasawmy	Do.	„	„	14	„	7 „	28 „				
		A. Beig	Jamadar	„	„	52	„	20 „	120 „				
		Shaik Trepoo	Do.	„	„	35	„	10 „	60 „				
		D. Seetaramoodoo	Dalayet	„	„	26	„	7 „	42 „				
		C. Govindoo	Do.	„	„	11	6	7 „	28 „				
		Mahomed Khasim	Do.	„	„	17	6	6 „	30 „				
		Shaik Emam	Do.	„	„	5	6	6 „	18 „				
		Mahomed Selamon	Do.	„	„	52	„	6 „	36 „				
		S. Goorapah	Do.	„	„	13	6	6 „	24 „				
		V. Nursoo	Do.	„	„	40	„	6 „	36 „				
		P. Lutchmoodoo	Do.	„	„	14	6	6 „	24 „				
		Shaik Hoossain	Do.	„	„	14	6	6 „	24 „				
		Narasingakhan	Kacheri Peon	„	„	11	„	5 „	20 „				
		G. Vencatasawmy	Do.	„	„	10	„	5 „	20 „				
		R. Pitchanah	Do.	„	„	8	„	5 „	15 „				
		Dervish Hamad	Do.	„	„	26	„	5 „	30 „				
		Essapoodly Khan	Do.	„	„	26	„	5 „	30 „				
		Ebram Khan	Do.	„	„	11	6	5 „	20 „				
		B. Vencatanursoo	Do.	„	„	33	„	5 „	30 „				
		Shaikoodavud	Do.	„	„	31	„	5 „	30 „				
		Hussunkhan	Polis Peon	„	„	11	„	5 „	20 „				
		Haji Hamid	Do.	„	„	29	„	5 „	30 „				
		C. Chenabapada	Do.	„	„	6	„	5 „	15 „				
		Khasimkhan	Do.	„	„	37	„	5 „	30 „				
		Khasimkhan	Do.	„	„	7	„	5 „	15 „				
		Mahomed Khasim	Do.	„	„	25	„	5 „	30 „				
		M. Cotappa	Do.	„	„	10	„	5 „	20 „				
		R. Ramasawmy	Do.	„	„	17	„	5 „	25 „				
		Shaik Hoossain	Do.	„	„	11	„	5 „	20 „				
		V. Veeranah	Do.	„	„	40	„	5 „	30 „				
		Mahomed Ibram	Do.	„	„	35	„	5 „	30 „				
		Shaik Audum	Do.	„	„	28	„	5 „	30 „				
		Dandoo	Mashaljee	„	„	40	„	4 „	24 „				
		B. Veeradoo	Do.	„	„	25	„	4 „	24 „				
		Gooravadoo	Bellow Boy	„	„	20	„	3 „	16 „				
		B. Subbadoo	Mashaljee	„	„	13	„	4 „	16 „				
Masulipatam	Revenue	C. Puttabheramoodoo	Peon	„	„	30	„	5 „	30 „				
		N. Naganah	Do.	„	„	40	„	5 „	30 „				
		P. Codundaramoodoo	Do.	„	„	36	5	5 „	30 „				
		P. Vencatachellum	Do.	„	„	36	„	5 „	30 „				
		B. Iyapa	Do.	„	„	20	„	5 „	30 „				
		M. Yellapa	Do.	„	„	37	5	5 „	30 „				
		Lutchmansing	Do.	„	„	30	„	5 „	30 „				
		Syed Hoossain	Naib Jamadar	„	„	40	„	14 „	84 „				
		S. Danapah	Peon	„	„	32	„	5 „	30 „				
		Mahomed Saib	Duffadar on the Sub. Collector's Establishment	„	„	33	„	12 „	72 „				
		K. Soobaroydoo	Peon	„	„	11	„	5 „	20 „				
		Devi Sing	Do.	„	„	30	„	5 „	30 „				
		B. Venkatasawmy	Do.	„	„	6	„	5 „	15 „				

56

Fort Saint George
17th July 1860

Separate. No. 218 Public Department

Forwarded for sanction to the Government of India.

Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Fort St. George

53

Application for the grant of Gratuities to the undermentioned Individuals

District in which claimants are occupied	Department of Service	Names	Nature of Occupation	Age		Years of Service		Amount of Salary last drawn by Applicant		Amount of Gratuity proposed		Grounds of recommendation	Remarks by the Head of Applicant's Office	Remarks by the Local Government	Orders of the Government of India
				Years	Months	Years	Months								
Guntoor	Revenue	N. Tirmalrao	Serista Madulgar	45	"	23	"	40	"	240	"	Applicants have served Government for the periods specified opposite their names they have been thrown out of employment in consequence of the absorption of the Masulipatam and Guntoor Collectorates.	Favorable	Recommended	
		V. Vencatakistna Rao	Head Muchi	53	"	34	"	17	"	102	"				
Masulipatam	"	M. Nagalingum	Serista Mudulgar	"	"	35	"	30	"	180	"				
Guntoor	Revenue	V. Ramiah	Moonshee Do	"	"	8	3	12	"	36	"				
		S. Sadasevarow Puntulu	Cash Keeper	"	"	19	5	80	"	400	"				
		S. Seetaramiah	Asst. Motusfah Madulgar	"	"	5	10	12	"	36	"				
		L. Paupiah	Head Shroff	"	"	24	9	40	"	240	"				
		Shaik Audum	Dafterband	"	"	68	"	7	"	42	"				
		M. Cunniah	Kaktman	"	"	25	"	7	"	42	"				
		M. Narayoodoo	Do	"	"	28	"	7	"	42	"				
		M. Vencatachellum	Do	"	"	8	9	5	"	15	"				
		C. Appiah	Water Brahmin	"	"	27	"	4	"	24	"				
		C. Venkamah	Do Do	"	"	27	"	4	"	24	"				
		W. Sashoo	Pakaly	"	"	30	"	12	"	72	"				
		P. C. Ramasawmy	Moochy	"	"	18	"	10	8	52	"				
		P. P. Ramasawmy	Do	"	"	14	"	7	"	28	"				
		A. Beig	Jamadar	"	"	52	"	20	"	120	"				
		Shaik Jeepoo	Do	"	"	35	"	10	"	60	"				
		D. Seetaramoodoo	Dalayet	"	"	26	"	7	"	42	"				
		C. Govindoo	Do	"	"	11	6	7	"	28	"				
		Mahomed Khasim	Do	"	"	17	6	6	"	30	"				
		Shaik Emam	Do	"	"	5	6	6	"	18	"				
		Mahomed Selamon	Do	"	"	52	"	6	"	36	"				
		S. Goorapah	Do	"	"	13	6	6	"	24	"				
		V. Nursoo	Do	"	"	40	"	6	"	36	"				
		P. Lutchmoodoo	Do	"	"	14	6	6	"	24	"				
		Shaik Hoossain	Do	"	"	14	6	6	"	24	"				
		Narasingabhan	Kacheri Peon	"	"	11	"	5	"	20	"				
		G. Vencatasawmy	Do	"	"	10	"	5	"	20	"				
		R. Pitchanah	Do	"	"	8	"	5	"	15	"				
		Derwish [illegible]	Do	"	"	26	"	5	"	30					
		Essapoolly Khan	Do	"	"	26	"	5	"	30					
		Ebram Khan	Do	"	"	11	6	5	"	20					
		B. Vencatanursoo	Do	"	"	33	"	5	"	30					
		Shaikoodawood	Do	"	"	31	"	5	"	30	"				
		Hussunkhan	Police Peon	"	"	11	"	5	"	20	"				
		Haji Hamud	Do	"	"	29	"	5	"	30	"				
		C. Chenabapada	Do	"	"	6	"	5	"	15	"				
		Khasimkhan	Do	"	"	37	"	5	"	30	"				
		Khasimkhan	Do	"	"	7	"	5	"	15	"				
		Mahomed Khasim	Do	"	"	25	"	5	"	30	"				
		M. Cotappa	Do	"	"	10	"	5	"	20	"				
		R. Ramasawmy	Do	"	"	17	"	5	"	25	"				
		Shaik Hoossain	Do	"	"	11	"	5	"	20	"				
		V. Veeranah	Do	"	"	40	"	5	"	30	"				
		Mahomed Ibram	Do	"	"	35	"	5	"	30	"				
		Shaik Audum	Do	"	"	28	"	5	"	30	"				
		Daudoo	Mashaljee	"	"	40	"	4	"	24	"				
		B. Veeradoo	Do	"	"	25	"	4	"	24	"				
		Goosavadoo	Bellow Boy	"	"	20	"	3	"	18	"				
Masulipatam	Revenue	B. Subbadoo	Mashaljee	"	"	13	"	4	"	16	"				
		C. Puttabhiramoodoo	Peon	"	"	30	"	5	"	30	"				
		N. Naganah	Do	"	"	40	"	5	"	30	"				
		P. Codundaramoodoo	Do	"	"	36	5	5	"	30	"				
		S. Vencatachellum	Do	"	"	36	"	5	"	30	"				
		B. Iyapa	Do	"	"	20	"	5	"	30	"				
		M. Yellapa	Do	"	"	37	5	5	"	30	"				
		Lutchmansing	Do	"	"	30	"	5	"	30	"				
		Syed Hoossain	Naib Jamadar	"	"	40	"	14	"	84	"				
		S. Danapah	Peon	"	"	32	"	5	"	30	"				
		Mahomed Saib	Duffadar on the Sub-Collector's Establishment	"	"	33	"	12	"	72					
		K. Soobbaroydoo	Peon	"	"	11	"	5	"	20	"				
		Devi Sing	Do	"	"	30	"	5	"	30	"				
		B. Venkatasawmy	Do	"	"	6	"	5	"	15	"				

Separate

Fort Saint George }
17th July 1860 }

No. 218 Public Department

Forwarded for Sanction to the Government of India.

Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Fort St. George.

L. J.

Dy. Secy. to Govt.

Separate
Public 1860 Depart^t.

N^o. 49

From
The Secretary to the Gov^t.
of India.

Dated 1^st August } 1860
Rec^d. 11^th September }

Sanctioning the Grant of Gratuities to the Servants lately thrown out of Employ in consequence [of] the Union of the Guntoor and part of the Masulipatam Collectorates

312

+ With an Order + G^o. 312

No. 312

Ordered to be commu=
=nicated to the Coll^r. of the Krishna
District with reference to Pro: of Gov^t.
dated 12 July 1860 - No. 213.

Fort St. George }
12 Sept 1860 }

L. Dunker
Dy: Secy to Gov^t.

To
The Coll^r. of the
Krishna District - Rec^d/13/9/60.

Application for the grant of Gratuities to the undermentioned Individuals.

District in which Claimants were occupied	Department of Service	Names	Nature of Occupation	Age Years	Age Months	Years Service Years	Years Service Months	Amount of Salary last drawn by Applicants		Amount of Gratuity proposed		Grounds of recommendation	Remarks by the Head of Applicants' Office	Remarks by the Local Government	Orders of the Government of India
Guntoor	Revenue	N. Tirmalráo	Serista Mudutgar	45	"	23	"	40	"	240	"	Applicants have served Government for the periods specified opposite their Names. They have been thrown out of employment in consequence of the union of the Guntoor and part of the Masulipatam Collectorates.	Favorable	Recommended	№ 7539 The Governor General in Council is pleased to sanction the gratuities proposed in this Tabular Statement. Original Tabular Statement, returned to the Government of Madras for information. Copy being kept for record, and a copy sent to the Civil Auditor, Madras for information & guidance.– By order of the Governor General in Council.
		V. Vencatakistna Ráo	Head Muchi	53	"	34	"	17	"	102	"				
Masulipatam	"	M. Nagalingum	Serista Mudutgar	"	"	35	"	30	"	180	"				
		V. Ramiah	Moonshee Do	"	"	8	3	12	"	36	"				
		S. Sadasevarow Puntulu	Cash Keeper	"	"	19	5	80	"	400	"				
		S. Seetaramiah	Ag. Motorpah Mudutgar	"	"	5	10	12	"	36	"				
		L. Paupiah	Head Shroff	"	"	24	9	40	"	240	"				
		Shaik Audum	Dufterband	"	"	68	"	7	"	42	"				
		M. Cunniah	Kaktman	"	"	25	"	7	"	42	"				
		M. Narayoodoo	Do	"	"	28	"	7	"	42	"				
		M. Vencatachellum	Do	"	"	8	9	5	"	15	"				
		C. Appiah	Water Bramin	"	"	27	"	4	"	24	"				
		C. Venkamah	Do Do	"	"	27	"	4	"	24	"				
		W. Sashoo	Pakaly	"	"	30	"	12	"	72	"				
		P. C. Ramasawmy	Moochy	"	"	18	"	10	8	52	"				
		P. V. Ramasawmy	Do	"	"	14	"	7	"	28	"				
		A. Beig	Jamadar	"	"	52	"	20	"	120	"				
		Shaik Teepoo	Do	"	"	35	"	10	"	60	"				
		D. Seetaramoodoo	Dalayet	"	"	26	"	7	"	42	"				
		C. Govindoo	Do	"	"	11	6	7	"	28	"				
		Mahomed Khasim	Do	"	"	17	6	6	"	30	"				
		Shaik Emam	Do	"	"	5	6	6	"	18	"				
		Mahomed Selamon	Do	"	"	52	"	6	"	36	"				
		S. Goorapah	Do	"	"	13	6	6	"	24	"				
		V. Nursoo	Do	"	"	40	"	6	"	36	"				
		P. Lutchmoodoo	Do	"	"	14	6	6	"	24	"				
		Shaik Hoossain	Do	"	"	14	6	6	"	24	"				
		Narasingabhan	Kacheri Peon	"	"	11	"	5	"	20	"				
Guntoor	Revenue	G. Vencatasawmy	Do	"	"	10	"	5	"	20	"				
		R. Pitchunah	Do	"	"	8	"	5	"	15	"				
		Dervish Hamad	Do	"	"	26	"	5	"	30	"				
		Essapoorally Khan	Do	"	"	26	"	5	"	30	"				
		Ebram Khan	Do	"	"	11	6	5	"	20	"				
		B. Vencatanursoo	Do	"	"	33	"	5	"	30	"				
		Shaikoodavined	Do	"	"	31	"	5	"	30	"				
		Hussunkhan	Police Peon	"	"	11	"	5	"	20	"				
		Haji Hamud	Do	"	"	29	"	5	"	30	"				
		C. Chinabapada	Do	"	"	6	"	5	"	15	"				
		Khasimkhan	Do	"	"	37	"	5	"	30	"				
		Khasimkhan	Do	"	"	7	"	5	"	15	"				
		Mahomed Khasim	Do	"	"	25	"	5	"	30	"				
		M. Cotappa	Do	"	"	10	"	5	"	20	"				
		R. Ramasawmy	Do	"	"	17	"	5	"	25	"				
		Shaik Hoossain	Do	"	"	11	"	5	"	20	"				
		V. Veeranah	Do	"	"	40	"	5	"	30	"				
		Mahomed Ibram	Do	"	"	35	"	5	"	30	"				
		Shaik Audum	Do	"	"	28	"	5	"	30	"				
		C. Dandoo	Mashaljee	"	"	40	"	4	"	24	"				
		B. Veeradoo	Do	"	"	25	"	4	"	24	"				
		Gooravadoo	Bellow Boy	"	"	20	"	3	"	18	"				
		B. Subbadoo	Mashaljee	"	"	13	"	4	"	16	"				
		C. Vuttabhramoodoo	Peon	"	"	30	"	5	"	30	"				
		N. Naganah	Do	"	"	40	"	5	"	30	"				
		T. Codundaramoodoo	Do	"	"	36	5	5	"	30	"				
		S. Vencatachellum	Do	"	"	36	"	5	"	30	"				
		B. Iyapa	Do	"	"	20	"	5	"	30	"				
		M. Yellapa	Do	"	"	37	5	5	"	30	"				
		Lutchmansing	Do	"	"	30	"	5	"	30	"				
Masulipatam	Revenue	Syed Hoossain	Naib Jamadar	"	"	40	"	14	"	84	"				
		S. Danapah	Peon	"	"	32	"	5	"	30	"				
		Mahomed Saib	Duffadar on the Sub Collector's Establishment	"	"	33	"	12	"	72	"				
		K. Soobaroydoo	Peon	"	"	11	"	5	"	20	"				
		Devi Sing	Do	"	"	30	"	5	"	30	"				
		B. Venkatasawmy	Do	"	"	6	"	5	"	15	"				

Fort St. George
17th July 1860.

Separate No. 218 Public Department

Forwarded for sanction to the Government of India.

Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Fort St. George

Separate

Pub Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Read the following Papers

From the Collr of the Krishna
District dated 29th May 1860 -

From Civil Auditor dated
5th July 1860 -

From the Collr of the Krishna
District dated 26th July 1860. x Not to be found among the papers.

From the Govt of Bombay
dated 20th Augst 1860 -

Order thereon dated 12 September 1860 No. 310.

1. With the first
of the above papers
the Collr of the Krishna
District submits an
application for super-
-annuation pension
in behalf of Nârâyana
Rau late Sarishtadar
of the Guntur Taluq -

2. This person has served in the Madras Presidency for the last 14 years. He had previously been employed in the Dharwar Collectorate for upwards of 24 years viz from 1817 to 1842 - He was dismissed in the latter year by ~~the~~ order of the Bombay Govt. on the recommendation of the Acting Collr., and remained unemployed for about 3 years - In reply to ~~the~~ a reference made to them asking what was the nature of the offence for which he was dismissed, and whether the offence should, in their opinion, be held to debar him from reckoning his long service in the Bombay Presidency as time counting for pension, the Bombay Govt. have forwarded copies of the correspondence which passed on the occasion of his dismissal - A perusal of Mr. Shaw's letter dated 12th Feby - 1842 leaves no room for doubt as to the course to be pursued. The cause of dismissal was grave misconduct, and it is expressly laid down by

the rules that in such cases previous service cannot be taken into account in reckoning time for pension — his degradation, subsequently upon two occasions when employed in the Kristna District deprives him of all claim to indulgence — The Collr will inform him that the Govt decline to admit him to pension, and will communicate to him the reasons which have influenced them in coming to this decision —

L. F.

To The Collr of the Kistna District } Dis 12/9/60

Separate

Public 1860 Despatch

No. 310.

Pro: of Government

Dated 12 Sept. 1860.