

1. Number of pages. *12*
2. Date of repair. *4.7.35*
3. Initials or Reference. *9*
M.R.O. 1-39,000-16-10-30.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

302

Press
Mis.

Dated

8-9-1960

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 46.

Resident's Office

Travandrum 19th July 1860.

From

F. N. Maltby Esquire

Resident of Travancore & Cochin

To

J. Pycroft Esquire

Chief Secretary to Government

Fort St George.

Sir,

With reference to the Rules respecting Gratuity to Public Servants and the recent discontinuance of my Treasury at Cochin, I have the honor to submit, for the consideration of the Honorable the Governor in Council, the claim of the Shroff who was attached thereto for a period of 5 years, which entitles him to the prescribed gratuity of 50 Rupees, and to request the sanction of Government for disbursing this sum.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

F. N. Maltby

Resident.

necessary to enable the Govt to sub-
mit the case in the form re-
quired by the Govt of India -

Fort St George
27th July 1860

J.P. L.F.

To the Resident of Travancore & Cochin Des 27/7/60

Travancore & Cochin

Submit the claim of the
Cochin Treasury Shroff for
a gratuity of 50 Rs. for sanction
of Government.

With an order
No 243

No 238

From
The Resident of
Travancore & Cochin
To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St George
Dated 19th July 1860
Recd 23rd July 1860
1846.

Separate Pub. 1860 Dep. No. 10.

No 243

The Resident is requested to be good
enough to give the name of the
Shroff whom he recommends for
gratuity, and to state the amount
of his pay. This information is

2

No 52.

Resident's Office
Travancore 1st August 1860

From

F. N. Maltby Esquire
Resident of Travancore & Cochin.

To

J. Pycroft Esquire
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George

Sir,

With reference to the order of
Government in the Public Department
No 243 of the 27th Ultimo, I have the honor to
state that the name of the Shroff recommend-
ed for Gratuity is C. Kunga Poy, and his
Pay Rupees 10/10 per mensem.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

F. N. Maltby
Resident

Recd

N^o 250.

Genl. Dep.

Report of the Officiating Civil Auditor.

The Offg. Civil Auditor has the honor to report, that the Service of C. Runga. Esq. late a Sheriff on the Establishment of the President of Travancore & Cochin, extends over a period of 4 years 10 months & 23 days; viz: from 24th August 1855 to 15th July 1860, and that his salary is Rs. 10- --- per mensem. The period of service being less than 5 years, he is not under the rules entitled to any gratuity.

Fort Saint George,
Civil Audit Offce.
23rd August 1860.

Wharman
Offg. Civil Auditor.

To.

The Chief Secretary to
Government

Civil Pension Department.

Referred to the Civil Auditor for the usual verification.

Fort Saint George, }
7th August 1860. } L. Barker
Depy. Secy. to Government.

To
The Civil Auditor. Dated 7/8/60

8025

222

C/12386

General Post-Department
from 1860
The Resident of
Travancore & Cochin
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St George.
Dated 12th August 1860
Recd 6th August 1860
No 52.

That the name of the Sheriff recommended for gratuity is C. Runga Esq. & his pay Rs. 10 per mensem.
X With an Auditor X

Separate

Public Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Read the following Papers.

from the Resident at Travancore
dated 19th July and 1st Aug^r 1860.

from the Civil Auditor dated
23rd Aug^r 1860.

Order thereon dated 8th September 1860 No 302

1. Under the operation of the rules recently received, wherein it is laid down that gratuity shall not be given for any period of service short of 5 years, the claim of Ranga Rao must be disallowed. The Resident will be good enough to inform him accordingly. JPP

To the Resident of Travancore & Cochin
@ 18/9/60.

Public 1860
From the Civil Auditor

The Acting Secy to Govt

Dated 23rd Aug^r 1860

No 250
No 302

No.

Verifying the services of C Ranga Rao =
proving that he is not entitled to gratuity

Sept 1870
No 302

Providence of Vermont

Sept 1870

The claim of King
for property was disallowed
under the will accorded for
No 1 of order.