

1. Number of ... 2/1
2. Date of ... 1/6/37
3. Initials of Referee ...

M.B.O. 1-22400-22-11-20

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	18	~~Press~~ Mis.
Dated	18-1-	1858.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N. 2.

T

Salmon Collectors' Office)
On Circuit, Naitenu, fully
2nd January, 1858.
From H. A. Brett Esquire
Collector of Salmon
To H. Forbes - Esquire
Acting Secretary to
Government.
Fort St George

Adverting to the Endorsement
of Government on the enclosed petition,
referred to No 2906 of 1856, calling upon me to report
whether, with reference to the petitioner's
alleged services under Sir Thomas Munro,
that individual is a fit object for the
bounty of Government, I have the honor
to submit the following explanation.

2. The petitioner represents in
his petition that he served Sir Thomas
Munro and some other gentlemen as
a Quaffadar on a salary of Rupees 10½;
that he proceeded with Sir Thomas
Munro to various places, and was
present during the Siege of Singapore,
that

that after the death of Sir T. Munro, petitioner being unprovided for, one of the gentlemen whom petitioner had served got him the appointment of a Talook Duffadar; that the late Collector, Mr. Lockhart, removed him from that Office, on the ground of infirmity and old age, and appointed his son to the post, and that his son having since died, the petitioner has been left totally unprovided for. The petitioner therefore prays that in consideration of his services extending over a period of 70 years a small pension may be granted to him.

3. It appears from the Records of my office that the petitioner was employed as a Duffadar in the Parampore Talook in the year 1828 on a salary of 7 Rupees, and was retained in the same office up to September 1838; that he was then transferred to a similar office

3 office in the Kistnagherry Talook on the reduced pay of 5½ Rupees, that he served 5 years and 3 months in that office, and, as afterwards, in 1844, made a Talook peon on a pay of 3½ Rupees, first for one month in the Kistnagherry Talook,

List of services of the
Petitioner Vincatappa

From 1828 to September 1838	9 th Mo 2 ^d 10 " 15	As Duffadar of Parampore
From 1838 to December 1843	5. 3. "	As Duffadar of Kistnagherry
From 1844 January 1 st to 31 st " "	" 1. "	As a peon in the Kistnagherry Talook
From 1844 February to 1853	9. " "	As a peon in the Parampore Talook
Total	24. 4. 15	

and then in the Parampore Talook up to July 1264; that he was removed from office in that post as unfit for further work, and that to make some provision for him his grandson, with whom the petitioner is now living,

was appointed in his place. His son held the office of peon while the petitioner was still a peon, and died before his father was removed.

4. It appears from a statement made before me by the petitioner that previous to 1828 he was employed under Sir Thomas Munro, as well as in the judicial

judicial Department. He avers that Sir T. Munro first gave him a Dehseet's place of 5 Rupees pay, and then promoted him to 7 Rupees; that he served in both these offices for a period of 4 years, that he subsequently accompanied Sir T. Munro to the Ceded Districts, and remained with him there 8 years till Sir Thomas Munro left for home; that he then carried from Sir Thomas Munro a note to the then Jillah Judge of Salem Mr. Gregory, and obtained in his Court a permanent place on a pay of 10½ Rupees; that after having served for a long time in that appointment, he was put down to 7 Rupees by Mr. Bird (Jillah Judge), on which he resigned his place; that afterwards having represented his circumstances to Mr. Cressley, Sub Collector of Salem, that gentleman appointed him in 1838 as a Duffadar in the Puraumpoor, Talook.

3
Talook, and that afterwards he served in the different grades noticed in the preceding Para.
5. There are no records in my office to show that the petitioner served under Sir Thomas Munro as he alleges, or that he was subsequently employed in the Judicial Department, nor does the petitioner possess any documents to prove either point. From the particulars he himself relates I think it probable that his story is in the main correct. His period of service has, it seems, been more than usually long, and at the last he was removed merely because he was too old for further work.


6. I therefore beg to recommend that he be allowed under Section III of the Pension Rules a gratuity equal

equal to 13 months' pay as a peon,
which at ^{Rs 8} 8 a month, would
amount to $45\frac{1}{2}$ Rs.

Salem Collector's Office } I have the honor to be
Obeisant & respectfully,
2nd January 1858 } Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

To
J. Forbes Esquire
Acting Secretary to
Government
Fort St. George
Collector

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text in a cursive script, likely a letter or document.]



copy
R. 15. 2

Warrant
Dated 18th Decr 18
To the Collector of
Revenue
at the Secretary to
Government
Dated 20th January 1888
Recd per
Enclosed.

Reporting upon
Government petition
13th May 1888 and the
concluding that a
provision should be made
for 18th Decr 1888
to the petition.

18th Decr 1888

Amphibian

12624

to university.
I thought that in
an edition of the
Review speaking
over a period of thirty
years not a small
number may be
granted to him as
he had have to put
past him.

James Ward
to the Publisher
of the Standard.

Griffiths
23 Dec 1856

Go to - 70200 - 50000

No 2906. / 1856

Referred to the Collector of Salem, who with
reference to Etchemin's alleged services under Sir
Thomas Munro will report whether that Individual
is a fit object for the bounty of Government.

Perth George.
12th December 1856.

By order.
D. F. Carmichael
Depy Secy to Govt.

To the Judges - Sup. Ct. Public (Separate) Dept
13

Hon. Sirs.

In reply to your letter
of the 21st inst, forwarding for
our favorable consideration a
Memorial from the P. & H. Co.
- Interpreter of the Sup. Court,
praying for a Superannuation
Pension, we have the honor
to inform you that it has been
referred by the same authorities,
that servants of the Sup. Ct are
not entitled to the privilege
in question.

H. Ct.
No 26 of 1856.

What a shame!

Do not denigrate
to do so?

D. F.

22/12/58

H. I think the right
type of principle
even for a while

Madras 21 December 1858.

To,

The Right Honorable.
The Governor in Council.
Yes Yes Yes
Fort Saint George.

My Lord,

We have the honor to forward to your Lordship the enclosed Petition to Her Majesty's Indian Government from Syed Gholam Dushere the Persian and Hindoostanee Interpreter of the Supreme Court.

During the passing year we have been obliged on more than one occasion to grant him leave of absence on account of his failing health.

This Petition describes the nature of his long public services and we have no hesitation in recommending his petition to your Lordship's favorable consideration and support.

We have the honor to be,

My Lord,

Your most Obedient Servants

C. C. C.

A. Bittleton

[Faint handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side]

*23 Dec
1858*

(Lepandre) Public Dept
19

Memo:

Draft to Sup. Ct Judges. on their application
for a Pension on behalf of the late Russian Translator.

It seems that the application
must be repeated - the applicant,
being a liberty, as one Loobroy
Boalming did last year, to
send a Memorial to England.
2. His said Loobroy's petition has
just been answered by the Sec.
of State. After observing that
the man is not entitled to any
thing, he gives him, in considera-
tion of his age (63), his infir-
mities (deafness & blindness)
and his long service (35 years)
a compassionate allowance
equal only to one third of his
salary.

D.H. - 5/1-58.

Ordered in Council
that the case be sent
home - that it be
suggested that the servants
of H. M. Sup. Ct be no
longer detained from
Business.

Dr. E. P. H. 18th Jan 1858

Public

Public (1858) Dept

From Me 559.

the subject of the Sup. Ct

to be H. M. Sup.

before me.

12/21/58
12/22/58

Encl. one.

N. 18

ordered that his case be referred to the
Financial Dept, in view to the same Authori-
ties being moved favorably to view the
application for Pension, & at the same
time to reconsider the standing orders wh.
debar the servants of H. M. Sup. Court
from admission to the benefits of a Pension.
any provision, on retirement.

2. Ordered also that the following
be despatched to the
Judges of the Sup. Ct.

D.H. - 12/1-59

JP

Par 1 to Financial Dept

17th Jan 1859

To the Financial Dept - 17/1/59

25 Jan 1859
219 - Jan 1859

Forward, for formal
consideration, the
same the Pension & the
Subscription of the Ct,
bearing for a further
amendment Pension.

X in Dec. 1858
20/12/58

Memo
 on behalf of Col. of Talen.
 1852 - 5/2/58
 62

This man retired from
 service in 1853, & it is
 against the standing rule to
 receive claims to Pensions &
 gratuities at such a distance
 of time.
 But, as he seems to have been
 with Sir T. Munro (who he
 knows all ^{evils} ~~evils~~) and as
 there can now be very few
 contemporaries left, perhaps
 his might be made a special
 case - and a gratuity of 13 months
 pay ie 13 Pagodas = 45 £ R
 granted him &
 ordered accordingly.

H. M.

To
The Collector of Salem dated 18/1/58

Civil Auditor

Public Department

Recd 26th Oct 21-1-58

C.A.

DM

Shree

18/1/58
N.B.

Spokane
Public 1858 Department

Ch. 12

Contract

Dated 18 Jan 1858