

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	<i>1367</i>	Press
	<i>9-10</i>	Mis.
	<i>28-12-1857</i>	
Dated	<i>6-1-1857</i>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 1511

From Mr. ...
H. Cecil Beadon Esq.
Secretary to the Govt of India

T. Pycroft Esq.
Chief Secretary to the Govt of
India Fort St. George
dated the 23rd November 1850

Sept
1850

I am directed to acknowledge
receipt of your letter of 9th dated the
10th September last with enclosure relating
to the establishment required for the
of Madras consequent on the passing
of Act No. XIII. of 1850.

The Government of Madras
reiterate this opinion that there should
be four Civil Magistrates instead of
three; that their salaries should be
on the scale originally proposed vizt.
Senior Magistrate 1400

Magistrates each 1200
Magistrate 1000
instead of 2 Magistrates at Rs 1000
and one of Rs 800 as suggested by
this Government; that especially a higher
Salary should be given to the Senior
Magistrate as the Officer who is to

superintend the ...

be the Channel of correspondence with the other Magistrates and with the Department generally; and that the salary of the present Deputy Superintendent which is Rs 2500 may not be reduced to Rs 300 under the Scheme suggested by the Government of India to the Honble the Court of Directors.

3 The Right Honble the Governor General in Council sees no reason for making any alteration in the Scheme already recommended to the Honble Court, except that in case the Right Honble the Governor in Council should be satisfied that one Deputy Commissioner will be sufficient for the Police duties of Muzras, that Officer may draw the full salary of Rs 2500 Rs 1000 proposed in that Scheme for two such Officers at the rate of Rs 500 a month each.

4 His Lordship in Council desires me to add that it was not intended that present incumbents should suffer in any way. The present Deputy Superintendent therefore, if appointed Deputy Commissioner, may continue to draw his former salary of Rs 2500 a month, but the salary must be considered

considered personal, and liable to revision on the occurrence of a vacancy.

Fort William
The 25th November
1856.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Carl Pearson
Secy to the Govt of India

Edw. J. P.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 1367.

FROM

T. PYCROFT, Esq.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George.

TO

THE SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of India.

Dated 28th December 1856.

SIR,

I am directed by the Right Honorable the Governor in Council to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 21st Ultimo, respecting the establishment required for the Police of Madras in consequence of the passing of Act XIII, 1856.

2. In the last para: of your letter it is stated in reference to the point submitted at the conclusion of my letter of the 10th September, No. 975, that it was not intended that present incumbents should suffer in any way, and therefore that the present Deputy Superintendent if appointed Deputy Commissioner may continue to draw his former salary of Rupees 500 per mensem, but that the salary must be considered personal and liable to revision on the occurrence of a vacancy.

3. I am instructed to point out that, as will be seen on reference to the Statement submitted under date 29th March last, No. 324, there are at present on the Madras Police Establishment *two* Deputy Superintendents of Police each on a monthly salary of Rupees 500. As there is at present no opportunity of finding any other employment* for either of these Officers it is presumed that they may both be retained as Deputy Commissioners of Police on their present salaries, but on this head I am instructed to solicit definite instructions.

4. The Governor in Council, I am desired to explain, had proposed to appoint both these Gentlemen as Municipal Commissioners under Act XIV, 1856, and to make other arrangements for filling up the Deputy Commissionerships of Police, but it appears uncertain whether by thus accepting Office as Municipal Commissioners they would not forego their claim to Pension under the Rules.

5. I am directed to take this opportunity of inquiring whether Service as Municipal Commissioner under the Acts XIV and XVII 1856, either with or without the holding simultaneously of any Office under Government is to count as Service entitling to Pension, and whether it is to invalidate claims to Pension arising from Service already rendered. If it is to bar all title to Pension as regards Municipal Commissioners generally, the Government would suggest that an exception might be made in the case of the Gentlemen, Messrs. T. Clarke and Ramanoojah Charloo, who are the present Deputy Superintendents of Police at Madras and that they might be appointed Municipal Commissioners provided they would accept the appointments on the condition that on their retirement from the Service from failing health certified in the usual manner they will be allowed the rate of Pension to which they would be entitled by the Service which they had rendered up to the date of retirement. Mr. Clarke, it should be stated, has now served 18 years 8 months entitled to reckon towards Pension, and Ramanoojah Charloo, 21½ years.

6. By this means, it may be observed, an immediate saving might be effected to the extent of the excess of their joint personal salaries as Deputy Commissioners of Police above the fixed pay of the two appointments or to the amount of Rupees 400 per mensem.

7. This Government have already referred by Electric Telegraph for information whether they are at liberty at once to entertain a third Magistrate for Madras at a monthly salary of of Rupees 800 and on receipt of your answer in the affirmative have made the appointment. They have also authorized the entertainment of the Police and Magisterial Establishments entered in the Statement which accompanied my letter of the 26th March last -

*Note—i. e. Permanently, one of them, Mr. T. Clarke, has just been appointed to act as a Magistrate of Police for the Town of Madras during the absence on duty with his Regiment of Major Wilson, but this will be only for a few months.

8 Dec. 16. 1856. 1857
 Mr. T. Pycroft Esq. Secy. to Govt.
 Fort St. George.
 1856-1857

Received by the
 Secy. to Govt.
 1857

1856-1857
 1857

Selection to the Establishment
 required for the Police
 of Madras consequent on
 the passing of the Act XIII
 of 1856.

In reference to the early taking effect of the Act for "appointing Municipal Commissioners, and for levying rates and taxes in the Town of Madras"—which, it is observed from the last Section, will come into operation on the 1st Proximo, the inquiry made in para: 3 has, as respects Messrs. Clarke and Ramanoojah Charloo, been made by Electric Telegraph.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Fort St. George,
28th December 1856.

Chief Secretary.

P. S.—Since the above was written your Telegraphic Message, as per margin, has been received in reply to mine of the 23rd. From this it would appear that this Government have been understood as wishing to appoint Messrs. T. Clarke and Ramanoojah Charloo as Municipal Commissioners as well as Deputy Commissioners of Police. Such however is not intended. It is meant that these Gentlemen if appointed Municipal Commissioners should vacate their Offices in the Police. I am desirous to enquire whether in such case Messrs. Clarke and Ramanoojah Charloo being Municipal Commissioners and holding no other Office might, under the circumstances above stated paras: 4, 5, 6, continue to reckon their Service in the Commission as time qualifying for Pension. As the period for the new Act taking effect is so near, an answer to this inquiry by Electric Telegraph is solicited.

Chief Secretary.

4
and you will find a copy of
Memo.
This must go out to the printer
it is understood that this
for may carry out the scheme
as intended by the Supreme Govt
without waiting for the answer
of the Court of Directors.
I cannot account for the
mention of one Sir Peter's conduct of
present para 4. except the fact
that the Government are a
little at variance with the
letter of the law.

10 Sept. - This this would have
been corrected by reference to the
Scheme itself - But the prin-
ciple is maintained at the
commencement of that period
is clear enough & broad enough
to take in both.

J.P.

The only difference between this & the
former sanction is that we may have
one Dept from one too instead of two or
so, if we like: the present Dept. continuing
to have six such as a personal check.

~~the present Dept. continuing to have six such as a personal check.~~
The sanction of one Dept is clearly an oversight.
I have always been of opinion that it was
competent to this Govt. to appoint a third Magistrate
on the P. as I have more than once stated verbally
at Council. See no objection to the Govt. making
such

In an appointment immediately - and I think
it is necessary that objection made to give effect
to the new Act. The closing of one of the offices
the likelihood of one of the Magistrates' Retirement
is proved the necessity of it.

Sept. 28th
for the Treasurer.

Judicial Dep.

Still one - [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

No 1367

To
The Secy to the Govt of
India.

Sir,

I am directed by the
Right Honorable the Govern-
ment in Council to acknow-
ledge the receipt of your
letter of the 21st ultimo,
respecting the establishment
required for the Police of
Madras in consequence
of the passing of Act XIII.
1856.

No 1311.

By

My

Wm. M.

2. In the last para
of your letter it is stated
in reference to the point
submitted at the conclusion
of my letter of the 10th
Sept. No. 975 that it
was not intended that
present incumbents should
suffer in any way and
therefore that the present
Deputy Superintendent
if

if appointed Deputy Commissioner may continue to draw his former salary of Rs 500 per month but that the salary must be considered personal and liable to revision on the occurrence of a vacancy.

3. I am instructed to point out that as will be seen on reference to the statement submitted under date 29th March last to 32nd, there are at present on the Madras Police Establishment two Deputy Superintendents of Police each on a monthly salary of Rs 500. As there is at present no opportunity of finding any other employment for either of these officers it is presumed that they may both be retained as Deputy Commissioners of Police on their present salaries but on that score I am instructed to solicit & find

note
+ i.e.
Presumably one of them Mr. J. Clarke has just been appointed to act as a Magistrate of Police for the Town of Madras during the absence on duty with his Regiment of Major Wilson - but this will be only for a few

it appears uncertain whether by accepting office as Municipal Commissioners

4. The Governor in Council I am desired to explain had proposed to appoint both these Gentlemen as Municipal Commissioners under Act XIV. 1856 and to make other arrangements for filling up the Deputy Commissionerships of Police. But they have declined the Office under the apprehension that by accepting it they would forego their claim to Pension under the Rules.

5. I am directed to take this opportunity of inquiring whether service as Municipal Commissioner under the Acts XIV and XXVI 1856 either with or without the holding simultaneously of any Office under Govt is to count as service entitling to pension ^{and} whether it be is to invalidate claims to Pension arising from Service

service already rendered. If
 it is to bar all title to
 Pension as regards the
 Municipal Commissioners
 generally, the Government
 would suggest that an
 exception might be made
 in the case of the gentle-
 men Messrs Clarke
 and Ramangajiah ^{Charles}
 who are the present
 Deputy Superintendents
 of Police at Madras
 and that they might
 be appointed Municipal
 Commissioners on the
 condition, that on their
 retirement from the
 service from failing
 health certified in the
 usual manner they
 will be allowed the
 rate of pension to which
 they would be entitled
 by the service which
 they had rendered up to
 the date of retirement
 it should be stated that
 he served 18 years & months ent-
 itled to recognition of service
 Pension & Ramangajiah
 Charles

24 1/2 years in the public
 service.
 By this means, it
 may be observed, an
 immediate saving ^{might} ~~would~~
 be effected to the extent
 of the excess of their
 joint personal salaries
 as Deputy Commissioners
 of Police above the fixed
 pay of the two appoint-
 ments or to the amount
 of Rs 400 per mensem.
 This Govt have
 already referred by Electric
 Telegraph for informa-
 tion whether they are
 at liberty at once to
 entertain a third Ma-
 gistrate for Madras at
 a monthly salary of
 Rupees 800. . . .
 receipt I have got
 of you as usual
 in the office.
 - motive has made the
 appointment - they
 have also authorized
 the continuation of
 the Police & Criminal
 establishment

in the statement w^h
accompanied my
letter of the 24th March last.

In reference to the
only taking effect
of the Act for app^t Muni-
cipal Commissioners,
and for levying rates
and taxes, in the Town
of Madras" - which
it is observed from the
Last Section will come
into operation on 1st 1st

Proof of the urgency men-
tioned in para 5 has, as we re-
ceive (Clarke & Ramana-
Charlu) been made
by Electric Telegrams

To Mr George,
28th Decemb^r, 1886.

"The Deputy Superintendents
of Police may be appointed
also Municipal Commissioners
but their Pensions will
be

P.S. Since the above was
written, your Telegraphic
Message as per margin
has been received in
reply

be calculated only on the
salary they receive from
the Govt."

5
jovior

reply to mine of the 23rd.
From this it would appear
that this Govt have been
understood as wishing
to appoint Mess^{rs} Clarke
and Ramanaojik Charlu
as Municipal Commissioners
as well as Deputy Com-
missioners of Police.
Such however is not
intended. It is meant,
that these Gentlemen if
appointed Municipal
Commissioners should
exercise their Offices in the
Police. I am desirous to
enquire whether in such
case Mess^{rs} Clarke and
Ramanaojik Charlu being
Municipal Commissioners
and holding no other
Office might, under the
circumstances above
stated para 11.5.6 con-
tinue to reckon their
service in the premiership
as time qualifying for
Pension. As the period
for the new Act taking
effect is so near an
answer to this inquiry
by

Highland January 15
Admission 1836 1837

Admission 1836 1837

1/2 of 1837

Admission 1836 1837

1836 1837

1836 1837

Admission 1836 1837

Admission 1836 1837

Admission 1836 1837

Admission 1836 1837

By Electric Telegraph is
Admission 1836 1837

Admission 1836 1837