

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Medical DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 28	Press Mis.—
Sd/-	
Dated 7 / 1 / 19 / 55	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1. 64

At present the Proceedings of the Legislature under date the 29th. November, 1856.

Read letter dated 11th.
Extract from the Principal Letter
of the Chief of Police, reporting
a case of torture by the Police.

In the above letter
the Principal Letter in French
reports a case of torture in
which a (Duffin), 2. Prisoner,
Villager - Harroff, and another
individual were convicted of
having, with the view of pro-
moting the confession of the
prisoners in French - 1856, by and
go of 1856, beat their arms, struck
them with fists, suspended one
of them by the arms with a
rope to a horizontal beam and
threatened him and the other

in various other ways, and were
sentenced under Section VIII Regu-
lation X of 1816, to 3 months im-
prisonment with hard labour
and -

2 The sentence proposed in
this case is in the opinion of
the Court of Magistrates Mahab
too correct, the prisoners fully
deserving the full penalty pro-
scribed by Section VIII Regulation
X of 1816 for the crimes proved
against them.

3 They observe that the
Principal Sudder Amildars have
examined the Surgeon, and
to the nature of the injuries
inflicted on the prisoners.

4 The Court of Magistrates
Mahab too having reason to be-
lieve that this still the pri-
soners in various Districts of
the country

3
committing serious offences by fasten-
ing their arms behind their
backs with ropes and twisted
cloths which by impeding the
circulation causes great pain,
and to direct that the atten-
tion of the Magistrates be called
to their Circular Orders dated
the 24th March 1825 and that
they be required to inform what
improvements by the Police against
the use of ligatures in securing
offenders.

Ordered that Extract
from these Proceedings (together with
a copy of the Principal Sudder Amildar's
letter) be sent to the Chief Secretary
to Government for the information
of the Right Honourable the
Governor in Council.

For the Extract
James Selwin
Secretary

To the Chief Secretary to
Government

1897
Chicago and London and
New York.

The Principal Clerk of the
Court of Chicago

To
George Elliot Rogers
Register to the Court of
Chicago and
New York.

With reference to the
Circular instructions of the
Government dated the 20th June
1855, I have the honor to sub-
mit for the information of the
Court of Chicago and New York
a case of torture was selected
and the perpetrators of the same
were punished by order under
Section 811, Regulation 8 of 1856.
Criminal Case 1855
by indigo of 1856 were forwarded.

to my Court by the Police
of the same Court, Chicago and
New York, and the Prisoners being
in their presence under the
of torture, immediately under
the following to make a
report on the subject upon the
examination and the result
has accordingly submitted to the
Court with the statements
of the Prisoners in some respects.

Having seen that the
Court of the same Court, Chicago and
New York, by comparison with the
that the charges were false, and
therefore acquitting the Prisoners,
requested my sentence the
attention of the Magistrate to
the alleged facts of the torture.

With reference to the
to the Chicago and New York
Court Magistrate, this functioning
examined

examined the former Prisoners
and sent up the case to my
Court: and the appendix
is copy of my sentence con-
cerning these Prisoners of capture
and sentencing them to three
months imprisonment with
hard labor in pursuance of Act
VIII Regulation IX of 1816.

I am afraid that the
Court should think the punish-
ment to be too lenient. The
measure of punishment requires
my attention some days after
the close of the trial: and after
I had been convinced of the
guilt of the Prisoners and the
one which I have declared is
what I finally arrived at. It is
similar treatment that has given
by the Prisoners in their private
capacity and under ordinary
circumstances

circumstances as men and boys
as criminals, the offenders
have been disposed by Regu-
lation under Act VIII of 1816.
Regulation IX of 1816. But be-
cause it was committed by
Prisoners and Village Headmen
who had been legally re-
sponsible with the protection of
the people within their juris-
diction, the act is considered
serious aspect. And hence arose
the necessity of making the punish-
ment more than it should be
under the other ordinary circum-
stances. Now the severity did
not appear to me to be in the
length of the term of their im-
prisonment with labor but in
their being publicly declared
guilty for further employment
and their object being broken
by

by the adoption of the annexed
 stated in para 15 of the Circular
 instructions dated 24th June 1855.
 I did consider it necessary to
 send the necessary papers.

Chingloput. } I have the honor to be
 Dear Sir } signed by T. Haythorn
 & James Clerk } Principal Clerk
 14th November 1856

I have,

I beg

I have,

Special Agent for the
 Zilla of Singur Chingloput
 To T. Haythorn Esquire
 Principal Clerk
 Chingloput

I have,

I have the honor to in-
 form you that I have compli-
 ed with your request & enclose
 the Prisons as per margin in
 Criminal Cases, Feb 18, by and go
 of 1856.

The 1st Prisoner's name
 was partly enclosing the right
 and put above the head of the
 stone, together with the marks
 upon the his arms, showing
 that some time ago, about
 time ago, thought to be
 I have

These marks and scars appear to
be the result of a cord having
been tied tightly round the arms
and great force in dragging at
them made use of. This coincides
with the account given
by the Prisoners that these in-
juries have been inflicted by a
rope so applied, and that the
marks thus left appear suspended
by the arms.

I consider that these
injuries have been inflicted
about ten or eleven days ago.
This also coincides with what
the Prisoners state to me, as the
time the injuries were inflicted.
We have other marks on different
parts of his body as from
blows of a stick, they also
appear to have been done about
the same date.

There

There is no appearance
at present of his having been
injured in his joints or parts.

The three other Pris-
oners have marks upon their
arms as if they had been
tightly circled by a cord.
These marks I should also
judge to have been inflicted
about the same length of
time as those above specified.

Witness my hand
at St. J. 1856.

These marks have been
signed J. H. R. Allen.
Jill. L. L. L.

Witness my hand

Housebreaking with Theft
The Prisoners statement
Regina, Williams and Martin
indicted with Henry, at about
11 P.M. on the 7th July 1861, enter
and the Prisoner's Property
house at County, County
St. Luke, by breaking the iron
wall, and stealing from the par-
son of her daughter's clothing,
and 2 gold ornaments valued
at 10 pence 10.

The Prisoners throughout
pleaded not guilty.

The Prisoner's statement
that it was a dark night
which the theft in question
was committed, but that still
she recognized the 1st Prisoner
by the flash of lightning. It
was in the Queen's Room Hall
of the House that she was sleeping
with

with her children.

It is reported that the
Prisoners mentioned the circum-
stances of such recognition to the
2^d witness immediately after the
commission of the crime.

The 1st witness, the
son of the Prisoner's, stated
before the Police Officer that he
recognized the 1st Prisoner when
he committed the theft on the
night in question, but before
the Court, he totally denied
the circumstances.

The most important
of the witnesses concerned in
this case, is the 3^d, who states
that, on the morning after the
commission of the crime in
question, he, the witness, saw
the Prisoners at the Mill of
village & things and afterwards
carrying

1. Number of copies. 12
2. Date of issue. 21.5.35
3. Initials of Refranger
M.B.O. 1-82,400-22-11-80.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	28	Press Mis.
Dated	11-2-	1857

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Ordinance Department

N^o 297.

From

The Military Board

To

The Secretary to Government

Military Department

Sir,

We have the honor to request you will be good enough to submit for the favorable consideration of the Right Honorable the Governor in Council, the following brief statement relative to the services and merits of an old employe in our Office Establishment; and to express our hope, that the unqualified opinions we entertain and now record of his deserts, will obtain for him a pensionary grant for the support of his declining years.

2. Lingoojee the Duffadar of the Military Board Office was entertained in the year 1828 and has served 29 years. Old age and its attendant infirmities now unfit him for the active duties required of an individual in his capacity but we should not be justly appreciating his marked regularity of attendance during the protracted period of his service, or his unremitting zeal in the performance of his duties, if we fail

now

now to present this old servant as an object in every way worthy
of the bounty of Government, We trust therefore that Government
will be pleased to accept our recommendation in his behalf
and accord sanction to his being pensioned on a moiety of his
present salary [Rupees 100 Ten] viz^t (Rupees 50 Five per mensem).

3. We have this recommendation on that
recognized principle that rewards of the nature sought prove the
strongest incentives to honesty and integrity on the part of the humble
Native Servants of Government.

We have the honor to be

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servants.

W. H. Smith Brigadier
Commandant of Artillery

J. Narsay Lieutenant Colonel
Spendary Member.

Military Board Office,
Fort Saint George,
26 January 1857.

Memorandum

In acknowledging the receipt of the order of Government dated 28th Ultimo, the Military Auditor General has the honor to report that the Abstracts of the Military Board Office, have been carefully examined, and the name of Lingjee Row, appears therein as described in the annexed memorandum.

Fort St. George,
3rd February 1857

J. J. Losh, Major
Military Auditor General

To the Chief Secretary,
to Government.

Edw. C. Losh
Military Department

From, 2nd 28th 94

The Military Board

To the Secretary to Government

Military Department

28th Oct 26

27th Dec 27

28th Dec 27

28th Dec 27

28th Dec 27

28th Dec 27

Government

Forwarded to the Military

Auditor General for the annual

verification.

J. J. Losh

28th Dec 27

28th Dec 27

28th Dec 27

Memorandum of the services of Lingjee Row
Duffadar in the Military Board Office at Madras.

	Period of services ver- fied by the Records of the Military Secy. Genl. &c.
As Peon from 1 st February 1828 to 31 st May 1836 at Rspees 7-0-0 per month	8-11-0
As Duffadar from 1 st June 1836 to 30 September 1837 at Rspees 8-12-0 per month	1-11-0
As do from 1 st October 1837 to 31 st October 1837 at Rspees 9-11-0 per month	14-1-0
As do from 1 st November 1837 to 31 st Novem- ber 1836 (the latest account received in this Office being for December 1836) at Rspees 10-0-0 per month	5-2-0
<u>Total</u>	<u>28-11-0</u>

The average salary or authorized official
allowance for the last 5 years of his service has
been Rspees 10-0-0 per month—

J. J. Lath, Major,
Military Auditor General

[Signature]

C 528
N 10

Separate
Under 1857 Report
C 1236
From the Military
Admin General
Dated 13th February
1857
Reports on the
Service of Longjee
Com Buffaloe in
the Military Board
Office

Public Separate Report
No 28

6. Min. Consultation - Dated 11th February 1857
read taken from the } here entered 26th January/57.
Military Board }
and also Reports of the } here entered 3rd February/57.
Military and Subgent. }

1. The Military Board have recom-
-mended the grant of a pension to
Longjee, a Buffaloe on his 2nd class
-listment, and of whose services, ext-
-ending over a period of twenty nine⁴^{1/2}
years, we have high appreciation.
2. The Govt observe that Longjee does
not belong to any of those classes of
public servants, who, after certain pe-
-riods of employment, are allowed,
if disqualified by infirmity, to
retire on a Pension. A Buffaloe is
entitled to gratuity only.
3. The Govt accordingly resolve to give
Longjee a gratuity of one hundred
and thirty (130) Rupees, as sanctioned
by the Civil Pension & Gratuity Rule

S. J. Gamble
3/2-57.

Military Board. Decd 11th and 12th 1857

W. J. G.
W. J. G.

To The Civil Auditor dispt 12

Ent. & Ret. 11-2-57

b. A.

Public Department. dispt 14


Ent. 18th Feb. 1857

By Sec. to Govt.

Gratitude

Respect

Signature



Private
Public 1854
L. 32.
Chas

Edward

John W. Johnson
1854

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 28.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 7th January 1857.

Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Foujdaree Udalt.

(Transcript of the Proceedings of the Foujdaree Udalt)
(Here enter 29th November 1856, No. 61.)

1. With the foregoing Extract, the Foujdaree Udalt submit a letter from the Principal Sudr Ameen of Chingapat, who, in obedience to the Circular Instructions of Government, dated the 20th November 1855, has furnished the Court with a copy of his sentence in Criminal Case, No. 105 of 1855, wherein the undermentioned Prisoners have been convicted of "Abuse of Authority," viz.

1. Isaloo Naick, (Duffadar.)
2. Vardappa Naick, (Peon.)
3. Balakistamma Naick, (Peon.)
4. Abdul Nabee, (Village Mooniff.)

and

5. Gamarassamy Moodelly, (not in the public service.)

2. The offence proved against the Prisoners is, that, in order to procure the confessions of certain persons apprehended by them on a charge of House-breaking, they struck them with their fists, tied their arms together, suspended one of them by his arms from a tree, and ill-treated him in a variety of ways.

3. The Governor in Council concurs with the Foujdaree Udalt in considering that the Prisoners had rendered themselves amenable to the full extent of the punishment awardable under Section VII, Regulation X of 1816, and that the sentence of the Principal Sudr Ameen is altogether inadequate.

4. Resolved that the first four Prisoners be forthwith dismissed and declared, by Notification in the Fort Saint George Gazette, incapable of re-employment in the Public Service, and that orders be similarly issued forbidding at any time the entertainment in any Office under Government of the 5th Prisoner.

(A true Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

Extract from the ^{2nd} ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep
dated 7th June 1857

From the ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep to the ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep

in the ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep

(See in the 2nd Dep)

1. The ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep,
admits a ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep,
which is ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep,
in the ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep,
2nd Dep, has furnished the ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep
a copy of his sentence in Criminal
Case 20/55 & 1856, wherein the under-
mentioned prisoners have been convicted
in the "1st Dep" viz.

1. Nicolas Nade (Suffragan)
2. Vincent Nade (Parr)
3. Paul Nade (Parr)
4. Michel Nade (Village Mayor)

and

5. Comandante Nade, who is
in public service.

2. The ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep,
is not, in order to procure the ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep
to certain persons apprehended by him
on a charge of horse-stealing, they ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep
then with their ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep,
and ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep,
well.

3. The ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep,
is considering that the ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep,
themselves ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep.

has furnished me of
the ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep, ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep,
from the ~~1st~~ ^{1st} Dep

He further stated that he was
 sent to the P. O. in 1850, and he was
 there for 12 months and was
 released.

He further stated that he was
 sent to the P. O. in 1850, and he was
 there for 12 months and was
 released.

He further stated that he was
 sent to the P. O. in 1850, and he was
 there for 12 months and was
 released.

11-12-50

Copy to the Registrar, P. O. 1850

Notification.

These are persons specified in the

annexed list have been arrested

1. Syal Nait (Sufferer in the
 1850, 1851, 1852) before the Court of the P. O. in the
 1850, 1851, 1852
2. Vardh Nait (P. O. in the same
 1850, 1851, 1852) before the Court of the P. O. in the
 1850, 1851, 1852
3. Kala Kishore Nait (P. O. in the same
 1850, 1851, 1852) before the Court of the P. O. in the
 1850, 1851, 1852
4. Abdul Nait (Village in the same
 1850, 1851, 1852) before the Court of the P. O. in the
 1850, 1851, 1852
5. Comarant Nait (Village in the same
 1850, 1851, 1852) before the Court of the P. O. in the
 1850, 1851, 1852

These persons are specified in the
 annexed list have been arrested
 before the Court of the P. O. in the
 1850, 1851, 1852

These persons are specified in the
 annexed list have been arrested
 before the Court of the P. O. in the
 1850, 1851, 1852

These persons are specified in the
 annexed list have been arrested
 before the Court of the P. O. in the
 1850, 1851, 1852

11-12-50

Large family

1

July 23 - June 24
Edward J. G. G. G.

1891

Edward J. G. G. G.

July 23 - June 24