Re. No. 931/98 & No. 774 1-11-99

Pension (1899) Department.

ORDINARY. MS.

G.O., No. 693/4

DATED 7-10-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining that the memorial from S. Ramaswamy Iyer, late head clerk, [illegible] be forwarded to the Govt. of India.

Forwarding a memorial from S. Ramaswamy Iyer, late head clerk, [illegible] Court, appealing against the orders of this Govt. granting him a reduced pension [illegible].

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Note

With reference to His Excellency's note, the applicant's petition to this Govt. d/31st Decr. 1898, does not seem liable to be rejected under the Local Govt. petition rules.

JA
20/9/99

Poly. O.
Please put up copy

K
23/ix/99

Secy
Yes; there is no rule to reject revision petns. except where such petns. ask for revision of orders passed on a former petn.

23/ix/99

7

Pension Department.

G.O. Order No 693 d/7th Octr. 1899.

Ordered that the memorial be forwarded to the Govt. of Indi and that the memorialist be inform of its despatch.

24/ix/99

JA
20/9/99

P.T.O.

To
The Memorialist.

letter

W.S.
27.9.99

H.T.
25.9.99

P.T.O.

No 694

Pension Department.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,

Department of Rev. & Agriculture.

JM

Sir,

I am directed to forward the accompanying memorial addressed by A. Ramaswami Aiyar, late Taluk Head Accountant, Shiyali, Tanjore District, to His Excellency the Governor-General in Council, appealing against the orders of this Government, granting him a reduced pension of Rs.25 per mensem.

2. In September 1896 the memorialist was suspended for 11 months and 5 days pending the result of ~~the~~ certain charges of misconduct framed against him ~~when~~ Sub-Magistrate of Vedaraniyam, Tanjore District; and in August 1897 he was degraded to the post of Taluk Head Accountant as he was proved ~~to be~~ unfit to be entrusted with Magisterial powers. Soon afterwards in October 1897 the Memorialist obtained a medical certificate of unfitness for further

[illegible]

further service and was invalided with effect from 18th October 1897. The maximum pension admissible under the rules was Rs.46-10-6. But as ~~his~~ the memorialist's character and conduct while in the service had not been ~~thoroughly~~ satisfactory, he was, with reference to Art.514 of the C.S.R. granted on 25th February 1898 a reduced pension of Rs.25 per mensem. The memorialist on 31st December 1897 petitioned this Government for the grant of the full pension admissible under the rules, but His Excellency the Governor in Council declined to reconsider the orders already passed. He now appeals to the Government of India, and I am to say that His Excellency the Governor in Council has no recommendation to make in the memorialist's behalf.

24/9

25-9-99

27-9-99

D/4 1,000—8.4.97.

Pension ~~REVENUE~~ DEPARTMENT.

O. No. 631.

Petition from A. Ramaswamy Iyer, Kumbakonam,

Dated 8th July 1899.

FOR ORDERS.

The petitioner was by G.O. $\frac{151}{25.2.98}$ Pn. granted a reduced pension of Rs 25/- p.m. as his character and conduct while in the Govt. service were returned as bad. For the circumstances under which the pension was reduced please see notes under this G.O. He received a copy of the G.O. on the 26th March 1898, but he appealed to Govt. against the order only on the 31st Decr. following, or 9 months afterwards, and Govt. declined to reconsider the orders already passed — G.O. $\frac{142}{28.2.99}$ Pns. On the 2d May 1899 he asked this Govt. to forward his appeal to the Govt. of India d/30 April 1899, against the two orders noted above; but the appeal was withheld under sec III rule 3 (10) of the Govt. of India Memorial Rules, as it was against G.O. $\frac{151}{25.2.98}$ and as it was made more than 6 months after communication of that G.O. to him and no explanation of the delay was given.

The petitioner now says:-

(1) that G.O. $\frac{151}{25.2.98}$ was not an order passed on any representation made by him;

(2) that he therefore moved the Local Govt. for

for redress,

(3) That having failed to get it he appealed to the Govt. of India, and

(4) that the appeal is against the second order.

The petitioner no doubt appealed to Govt. to get its decision altered, but he sent his appeal 9 months after he received the order. So he must, it is submitted, take the consequences of the delay. Reference is solicited to the notes in the case of Jayaraja Row - flagged M.

Secy

J.K.A. 17.8.99

For orders

19/8/99

Yes H.S.—

FOR ORDERS.

H.E. the Govr.

His Excy. wrote "Provided the review petn. is put in within a reasonable time".

His so-called 'appeal' was, I take it, a petn. for review.

The order at C. seems right.

W.T.
20.8.99

I think the Order at C. is right; but I have no objection to the petition being forwarded if H.E. thinks fit —

H.S.
27-8-99.

I think the petitioner may in good faith ~~[illegible]~~ have read the petition order as he says he did, in his memorandum dated the 8th July 1899. I would give him the benefit of any doubt there may be, and forward his petition to the Govt. of India.

could have rejected the ~~petition~~ appeal as barred to it by this memo of the 31st Dec. 1898 on the ground that more than six months had elapsed between the ~~date~~ receipt of the Order (20 Mar. '98) appealed against and the date of the appeal."

A.G.A.
2.9.99

6.9.99

[R.E. / 43.]
1,000 -10-8-96.

Pension (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 631

From

A. Ramaswamy Iyer
Kumbakonam
Tanjore

No.

Order

The Govt. ~~declines~~ sees no reason to reconsider the orders already passed.

Sd/
4.8.99

To
The Petr.

Dated 8
Received 15 } July/99
Registered do
Enclosures 5
Spare copies.

Note

The petitioner has written his request on the margin of the Endt. sent to him instead of making it on a separate sheet of paper. The appeal is certainly against G.O. No. 152 d/25-2-98. granting him a reduced pension

Sd/
4829
× See also from Revd.

Office
In view of the rules recorded in the case of Jeyaraya Rao — please state the case in full — for orders

Sd/
7.4.99

[illegible]

Please see note for [illegible]

Sd/
[illegible]

SUBJECT.

Resubmitting his memorial addressed to the Govt. of India and requesting that under the circumstances now stated the memorial may be forwarded to the Govt. of India

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
〃	389	28-6-99	Rev
〃	142	28-2-99	
〃	151	28-2-98	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

Read again G.O., No. , dated 189

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government .. .. A A A A A A A

Letter of Government

Statement with [illegible]

Papers not [illegible] in, [illegible] for [illegible]
in original

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.