Pension (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 627

DATED 9th Septr/99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting to P. Subbakka, mother of the deceased Vetti an extraordinary gratuity of Rs. (24) Twenty four –

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Pension

~~Public Works~~ (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 730

13 AUG 99

From

The District Magistrate
Cuddapah

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
16 AUG 1899
PENSION DEPARTMENT

Reference No. 337/Magl. of 21
despd 11.8.99

Dated 6th August

Received
Registered } 16-8-99

Enclosures 2 files

Spare copies

Order No. 6278 of 9th Septr/99

~~The Magistrate's enquiry does not disclose any specially heroic conduct on the part of the deceased, but as he was killed in the execution of his duty and apparently facing the dacoits,~~ On a consideration of the circs of the case, the Govt. is pleased to grant to Pothala Subbakka, mother of the deceased Vetti, an Extraordinary gratuity of Rs (24) twenty four under arts. 782 & 787 of the C.S.R., payable at Madanapalli.

4/9/99

5.9.99

2.9.99

To

The A.G. with Regr.
" Dt. Magte, Cuddapah
with papers.

SUBJECT.

Informing that the statements in paras 2 & 3 of letter Ref No. 776 of 21 Magl. Dy 11-8-98 were based on enquiries instituted by the Tahsildar and forwarding the records connected therewith

C.T.W.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

D/1 000-7-9-98.

1

~~REVENUE~~ Pension DEPARTMENT.

No. 58,417 5 + 730.

From the Collector of Cuddapah d/10th Jany. 1899 No. 5
" " " " 15th May 99. No. 337/Misc.
" " " " 6th Aug. 99 " "
" Acctt.-Genl. — Dated 23d January 1899.
No. 2A.E/589.

FOR ORDERS.

This is an application from the Collr. of Cuddapah for the grant of an Extraordinary pension to the mother of one P. Gangadu, (late a Vetti of Rangasamudram Village, Madanapalli Tq.) who was killed on the 22d March 1898, before day break, by certain robbers, while taking with 5 others remittance from the village to the Taluq Treasury. The circumstances under which the Vetti met with his death are detailed in the Collector's letter in G.O. No. 1432 Judicial d/14-9-98. The Collr. recommended that as a special case a reward of Rs. 50/- might be given to the mother of the deceased vetti, as his death deprived her of her means of livlihood, but G.O. No. 1432 d/14-9-98 (Judl.) said that under art. 789 C.S.R. she seemed entitled to a pension. So in the application forwarded, the Collector has now proposed a pension of Rs. 2-12-0 p.m. for the mother.

B

He could have escaped without injury the Collector reports had he not made a firm stand against the robbers.
11/1/99

C

Under art. 782 of the C.S.R., a wound or Extraordinary pension can be granted only when injury or death is met in the performance of a duty which is attended with extraordinary bodily risk, and art. 787 C.S.R. says that under ordinary circumstances, the Local Govt. may grant a gratuity not exceeding 6 months'

C. 25/8.
R. 29/8

pay.

The Acctt. Genl. reports that if, in the circumstances stated by the Collr., the claim be admitted, the mother may be granted an extraordinary family gratuity not exceeding Rs 24/ equal to six months' pay of the deceased Vetti. under arts. 782 + 787 C.S.R.

The present claim seems to fall under arts. 782 + 787 C.S.R. and the gratuity certified by the Acctt. Genl. as admissible, may it is submitted, be passed.

The Acctt. Genl. says that the Collector has proposed a pension of Rs 2-12-0 probably with reference to para. 2 of G.O. No. 1432 Judl. d/14-9-98. Art. 789 C.S.R. says that under Special circumstances the Local Govt. may grant a pension not exceeding Rs 16/- a month, and the Govt. of India may grant a pension not exceeding Rs 25/- a month to a Govt. officer injured, or to the family of a Govt. officer, killed, in the execution of his duty as follows:-

- - - x - - x - - x - - x - - x -

(iii) to the family of an officer killed in the execution of his duty, a pension not exceeding half the pay of the deceased officer, and not less than 2 3/4 Rs. a month.

The Vetti is a village servant who discharges the lowest offices, sweeping the Choultry, keeping the thrashing floor clean, measuring the grain and according to some authorities employed to burn dead bodies. - (Wilson's Glossary)

It is the duty of the Taliary to carry remittances of revenue from his village to the Taluq Treasury

The information given in para 3 of the Collector's letter (B) is satisfactory [illegible] & I put in the (a) [illegible] opinion [illegible] — Please call for the report of the enquiry as to [illegible] the Vetti [illegible]

2.3.99

and in the present case, the Collector's letter does not say how many of the 6 persons who took the remittance were Talayaries.

The rules regarding extraordinary pensions apply to any person employed in the service of the Govt. whether permanently temporarily or even casually and whether remunerated by fixed pay or for piece work. They also apply to a village watchman or his family even though he receives no pay from the State - vide art. 781 C.S.R. The Vetti is paid from the Village Service Fund and his appointment is non-pensionable. The Acctt. Genl. reports that if the Govt. decides that the circumstances in the present case are such as to warrant the grant of a pension instead of a gratuity, the mother may be granted an extraordinary family pension not exceeding Rs 2-12-0 p.m. under art. 789 (iii) C.S.R.

For orders.

P.M.

Are there any precedent to show what the Govt. wd consider to be Special circumstances warranting the grant of a pension under Art 789 C.S.R. instead of a gratuity under Art 782 + 787?

11/2/99

Sir,
No precedent can be traced - Enquiries were also made in the A.G's office, and no precedent can be traced there too.

20.2.99

P.T.O.

Secy

For orders whether this case should be considered to come under the ordinary rule in which case a gratuity of Rs 24 may be passed under Arts 782 & 787, or whether it should be considered as so very special as to call for the grant of a pension of Rs 2-12-0 to the family of the deceased under Arts 782 & 789.

23/2/99

H.M.

The Vetti's conduct was courageous & deserving of the fullest recognition. He might easily have escaped had he chosen to abandon the treasure of wh. he was in charge, & so betrayed his duty. Perhaps a pension of 2/12 may be granted

28/2/99

C 2/3/99. Recd 6/3/99

FOR ORDERS.

The information given in para 3 of the Collector's letter (B) is extremely meagre, & I feel unable to form an opinion upon it — Please call for the record of the enquiry as to how the Vetti met his death

3. 3-99.

CHIEF SECRET.
13 MAY 1899
3.30 P.M.
MADRAS

B

Please see copy of the record of enquiry and the judgment of the 2nd Class Magistrate of Madanapalle - flagged A.

17.4.99

P.M.

The circumstances stated in paras 2 & 3 of the Dist Mag's letter No. 776/M, 8.98 & 11. 8 98 to the C.S. are not brought out in the judgment of the S.M. Please go thro' the evidence & put up translations of those portions that contain these details

19/4/99

Sir:

Translations of the portions referred to are herewith submitted. It will be seen that only Sidda Reddi's statement contains some reference to the murder.

25.4.99

Secy

Paras 2 & 3 of the D.M.s do not appear to have been based on the records of Submag's Enquiry. Perhaps the D.M. may be asked to send up the record on which they are based

29/4/99

P.T.O

The Collector says that the statements in paras. 2 & 3 of his letter Ref No. $\frac{776}{\text{Mag}^l}$ of 1898, d/11-8.98, were based on enquiries instituted by the Tahsildar of Madanapalli. For the Tahsildar's letter please see page flagged M.

$\frac{\text{W.L.}}{23.8.99}$

Secy

Please see H.ms note on p 4 above

$\frac{\text{M.}}{25/8}$

H.M.

Please see marked passages of Tahs^r report Flag M.

H.T.
23-8-99

The deceased was not carrying either of the money-bags, but I make out with some doubt that he was considered by the Village Magte to be in charge of the party —

It is impossible to make out what was the cause of his death — "Slow form of asphyxia due to violence on the neck" says the Med. evidence — Village Magte says deceased's chest was covered with blood — Inquest report not sent up —

Probably he was struck by heavy stones —

It cannot be made out that the deceased did anything heroic or voluntarily exposed himself to any risk — The dacoity took place ~~close to~~ not far from a railway station & was utterly unlooked for —

I don't think it is a case for a pension to the old mother — "Under the circumstances" I would give her the gratuity of 6 months' pay — Rs 24 —

If we must assign reasons say — 'The Magl. enquiry does not disclose any specially heroic conduct on the part of deceased, but as he was killed in the execution of his duty and apparently facing the dacoits the Govt is pleased &c

W.L.
26-8-99

Deposition of Sisla Reddi, village Magistrate of [illegible]

On the 22nd March last, before daybreak I sent remittance to the Taluk Treasury in two bags one containing Rs. 521 and the other Rs. 475.3.5. The first bag was entrusted to one Madigai Gangadu, the second to one Marugadu and the salary bill of the village establishment to one Palla Ramudu. For the sake of security three others — the deceased Pothala Gangadu, Talari Subbadu and Madigai Babugadu — were sent along with the above persons. In the morning P. Venkataramanna came running to me and told me that he had been asked by Talari Subbadu to inform me that the remittance had been carried away by robbers. Thereupon I ~~went and~~ proceeded to the scene of offence and saw heaps of stones scattered here and there. Palla Ramudu

was

was lying 4 or 5 yards away from where Pothala Gangadu lay. Palla Ramudu had nothing with him. I was too confused in mind to ask him any questions. A gun was lying next to Palla Ramudu. It was in front of him. Pothala Gangadu's chest was all covered with blood, ~~an w~~ and his ~~throat~~ neck also. He had lost consciousness. He was breathing and opened his eyes and saw (me). Life was not extinct. Palla Ramudu was all right. * * * * * * *

Pothala Gangadu did not speak although "kanji" was given him. He expired the same evening (22nd) * * * * *

crossexamination of witness 16.5.98.

* * * * * * *

Pothala Gangadu was a Govt. servant and was receiving his pay every month. * *

Of the 6 persons who went in charge of the remittance, Talari Subbadu, Pothala Gangadu, and Palla Ramudu are Govt. servants; the rest are not Govt. servants, but the village [illegible]. The gun which I saw at the scene of offence was a loan obtained by Palla Ramudu from one Dasari Venkatesanna. I never used to give them any guns when sending remittances.

* * * * * * *

C.O.
15.5.99.

Deposition of Pilla Ramudu, Cuttubady, Rangasamudram village, given before the 2nd class Magte. of Madanapalli on 14.5.98.

I was one of the six persons who went in charge of the remittance about 1½ months ago. * * I had with me the ~~&~~ village establishment pay bill and a gun which the Reddi gave me. We met with no ~~acci~~ accident until we approached Kummaragunta where we halted having heard a noise behind us. Then we saw black stones being thrown at us from the south. I noticed no body coming towards us, but ~~[illegible]~~ shouted saying "Forbear, fellows, forbear; it is Govt. money." No one approached, but the stones continued to be thrown. I had a gun with me which was unserviceable. Nevertheless I threatened them with it saying "Don't approach us if you value your lives." Then two of them chased me pelting at me with stones and I fell insensible near a tree * * *

* * * * * *

C.O.
16.5.99.

Deposition of Dogga Subbadu, Cuttubady, Rangasamudram village, given before the 2nd class Magte. of Madanapalli on the 14th May 1898.

* * * * * * * *

I was one of the six persons who went in charge of the remittance. * * It was long after the dacoity took place that the day dawned. The robbery was committed in the Kummaragunta forest. I went as a guard. The dacoits who numbered about 20 beat us. We were going in advance. We heard a noise ~~behind~~ at our back and then saw stones being thrown at us from behind. Then stones began to pour in from all directions, whereupon all of us began to shout. * * * * * * *

Crossexamination of witness. 16-5-98.

The next morning the Reddi came to the scene of offence. I was present there at the time. Palla Ramudu and Potula Ciangadu lay there. At a distance of 4 yards from them there was a gun which belonged to one Dasari Venkatesagadu.

* * * * * * *

16.5.98.

Deposition of Palaka Gangadu, Vetty, Rangasamudram village, given before the 2nd class Magte of Madanapalle on 14-5-98.

—

I was one of the six persons who went in charge of the remittance. I carried one of the bags which the Reddi gave me. At Kummaragunta about 13 robbers attacked us and 3 of them caught hold of me, and beat me severely and wrested the bag from me. × ×

× × × × × ×

C.S.
16.5.99.

Note. The other depositions contain no allusion reference to the deceased Pothala Gangadu at all.

C.S.
16.5.99

Copy of the Judgment in Case No. 7/1898
before the 2nd class Magte of Madanapalle

(1). The Police station House officer of Vepurikota -- charges the accused on the following information under --- section 396 of I.P.C.

The village Magistrate of Rangasamudram (the 1st witness for prosecution) ~~found~~ poured in one purse Rs 521-0-0, and in another Rs 475-3-5, tied them, sealed them, and entrusted them to the Taliari, Pothala Gangadu, who since died, to be taken to the Taluk Treasury, Madanapalli, being the Government revenue collected by him. The Taliari gave one bag to Muroogadu the village Madiga (the fifth witness for Prosecution) and the other to Gangadu another Madiga (the 4th witness for Prosecution) in the presence of the village Magistrate to be carried along with him. The village Magistrate sent as guards with those three persons, 3 village servants, Dogga Subbadu (the 2nd witness), Pilla Ramudu (the 3rd witness) and another man (a boy of 13 or 14 years of age) at about 4 A.M. on the 22nd of March 1898. While they were going on the road with the remittance at Kummaragunta in Peddapalem village (which is more than 2 miles from the village of Rangasamudram, and more than a mile from the Railway station of Battulapuram, at which the ~~tram~~ train comes at 15 or 30 minutes past 6 A.M. to go to Madanapalli; to catch that train the servants were going at that hour), about 20 dacoits came with sticks, pelted on them (these servants) stones and then beat them, robbed the purse which contained Rs 521 (and which was in the hand of the 4th witness, 3 persons taking hold of him and beating him) The other purse containing Rs 475-3-5 was secured and returned (to the village Magistrate by the 5th witness). The dacoits murdered Pothula Gangadu (ie, the Taliari, P. Gangadu, that accompanied the remittance, was severely beaten with sticks and pelted with stones by the dacoits at the scene of crime. He fell down then senseless and wounded on the neck and chest. The village Magistrate on hearing the occurence of the crime started immediately and reached the scene of offence at about 7 A.M, found heaps of stones here and there, Pothula Gangadu falling down senseless and hardly breathing and Pilla Ramudu the 3rd witness lying down at a distance of about 4 or 5 fathoms from P. Gangadu with no wounds or marks of any violence. He was getting P. Gangadu carried by some persons. The 4th witness, who was entrusted with the bag of Rs 521, met him on the road at about 8 or 8-30 A.M, and said that he was severely beaten by the thieves and tied, and robbed off the bag of Rs 521. Pothala Gangadu died on the very day evening from the effects of the beating by the dacoits.) The village Magistrate sent his original report Ex.A, report on the death of P. Gangadu Ex B, Inquest report was drawn about the death, Ex C.

(2). The portions bracketed in the above para, are based upon the evidence elicited before this court from the examination of the first five witnesses. They corroborate the several facts on which they are touched with some difference on minor points. From the evidence of the witnesses, who accompanied and carried the remittance, it is clear, that the offence of dacoity, with grievous hurt, resulting in death, was committed, but that none of the dacoits was recognized by them or by anybody there. The 4th witness deposes before the court that he recognized at the time of the offence one Billa Subhadu [the approver in this case (11th witness) who implicated him (this 4th witness) as an abettor of the offence, in his statement given when accepting tender of pardon], as one of the three persons who beat him and took off the bag. But the village Magistrate says that he (this witness) said near the ~~second~~ scene when he went there, that he (the witness) had not recognized any, and that he (the village Magistrate) suspected his conduct from ascertaining that some of his statement made then was false.

(3). The 6th witness who has been cited by the Police as having seen from a distance of 20 chains all the accused but the 7th, B. ~~Bapungadu~~ Bapinigadu, a few minutes after the dacoity was committed, coming from the side of the scene of offence, deposes that he did not recognize the people but the approver and one Bhatoo Malloogadu, who is not one of the accused. He ~~claims~~ denies the correctness of the facts of his statement, Ex. D

(4). The 7th witness held ~~posrmarten~~ postmortem examination on the dead body of Pottula Gangadu, and gave the certificate, Exhibit E. He is of opinion that the death was probably due to slow form of asphyxia due to violence to the neck not probably self-inflicted. He found some contusions on the body which appeared to have been caused by some blunt instrument.

(5). The 8th witness has been cited by the Police to (a) prove that he had been to the house of the 1st accused Bhatoo Venkatramudu to demand his debt of 8 annas due by him on the previous day evening, that he saw all the accused conspiring together to do something, that they all went out together that night, the 1st accused promising to pay his debt next morning, that he took his bed in that house, and that on the next early morning, when he went to answer call of nature he saw all the accused coming down together and dispersing afterwards.

(b). He deposes now that the approver was indebted to him, that he went to the 1st accused's house, that he and the 1st accused took their bed in that house only on that night and that on the next morning he saw the 1st accused at the house, but that the approver came down from some place and paid his debt of annas 8.

(6). The 9th witness has been cited by the Police to (a) prove that 2 days before the occurence of the crime, he had seen all these accused and some others at 6 P.M at a

Cocoanut garden sitting and speaking together.

(b) He deposes that he knows nothing in the matter, that he was not examined by any Police man or any person and that he did not give any information to any one.

(7). The 10th witness took charge of the corpse of P. Gangadu on the 23rd March 1898 at 3 P.M.and brought it to the Hospital at Madanapalli on the 24th idem ~~st~~ at 12 A.M, and was present when the postmortem examination was held.

(8). The 11th witness, who is the approver, and on whose information to the dffect that these accused and himself and some others committed dacoity at the time and scene in question, the accused were ~~tread~~ traced out and charged by the Police, retracts his whole statement made before the Police Inspector and before me when the tender of pardon was made, and deposes that he knows nothing in the case, that he was under the influence of liquor and toddy given excessively by the Police, and that he does not know therefore what he had stated, and that he had been severely beaten by the Police and the village Magistrate to implicate himself as well as others on the promise that he would be excused if he entangled the accused.

(9). There is thus no particle of evidence against any of the accused to charge them under any section.

(10). I order therefore the discharge of all the accused under section 209 of C.P.C.

(11). Billasubhadu the approver has, either by wilfully concealing anything essential, or by giving false evidence, not complied with the condition on which the tender of pardon was made. There is not in the present enquiry sufficient credible independent evidence even against him except his own confession to proceed under section 396 of I.P.C.

(12). Separate proceedings will be passed as regards the approver.

/Signed/ S. V. Sundara Charlu
2d class Magistrate
16/5/98.

Pension

~~Public Works~~ (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 417

From The acting District Magistrate

Cuddapah

Order

Ref No. $\frac{337}{\text{Magl.}}$ of 99

Dated DESPATCHED 15 MAY. 1899

Received 20/5.

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Records

Requesting return of certain [illegible] sent with this office letter No. $\frac{337}{\text{Magl.}}$ of 99 dated 8.4.99.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

Reference/Disposal No.

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE,

Dated May 1899

ENCLOSURES.

FROM

H. O. D. Harding Esquire
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, Cuddapah.

TO

THE Chief Secretary
To Government
Pension Department
Madras

SIR,

I have the honor to request that the records sent with my letter No. Ref $\frac{337}{\text{Magl}}$ of 99 dated 8th April 1899 may be returned at any early date as they are required for the disposal of a case pending before the Stationary Sub Magistrate of Kadiri.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obdt Servt

For Distt Magte

SRK

1.5.99

From

The District Magistrate,

To

The

Dated

Despatched 189

Received

Reference / Disposal No.

Enclosures.

Subject.

[O. M. / 25.]
300—7-8-96.

C. No. 58

Pension Department.

No. 408/13-6-99.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

With reference to the District Magistrate's letter No: Re. 776/m of 1898, dated the 11th August 1898 to the Chief Secretary to Govt. disposed of by G.O. 1432, Judicial, d/ 14th September 1898 it is observed that the statements in paras 2 and 3 of the letter do not appear to have been based on the records of the Sub-Magistrate's enquiry. The District Magistrate is requested to ~~send~~ submit ~~up~~ for the information of Government the records on which ~~they~~ these statements are based.

6.6.99

8/6

To

The Dt. & Magistrate of Cuddapah

with pp. (Record of enquiry)

Reference/Disposal No.

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE,

Dated 6th august 1899.

ENCLOSURES.

FROM

H. O. D. Harding Esquire

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, Cuddapah

TO

THE Secretary to Government
Pension Department
Madras

SIR,

With reference to Government Official Memorandum No. 40 dated 13th june '99, I have the honor to inform you that the Statements in paras 2 & 3 of my letter Ref No. 776/Magl. of 1898 dated 11.8.98 were based on enquiries instituted by the Tahsildar. This record as well as the record of Sub Magistrate's enquiry are herewith sent

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.

[illegible]narayanaswami
for Dist. Magte

F.R.K.

10. 8. 99

Pension
~~Public~~ (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 293

Order

Type the judgement [illegible] [illegible]

From The District Magistrate
Cuddapah

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
10 APR 1899
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Ref No. 337/Magl of 99
Decpd 8.4.99

Dated 8th April 99.

Received

Registered

Enclosures 1 bundle.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Record of enquiry

Submitting [ ] connected with the death of Pathala Gangadu's ~~death~~

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[O. M.
25.]
390—7-3-96.

C. No. 58

Pension Department.

No. 20 d/9th March 1899.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

With reference to his letter No $\frac{776}{\text{Magl.}}$ d/11-8-98, read in G.O. No $\frac{1432}{14-9-98}$, Judl., the Dt. Magistrate of Cuddapah, is requested to submit to Govt. the record of the enquiry as to how the Vetti Pothala Gangadu met his death.

(fr.o)

$\frac{\text{JLS}}{6.3.99}$

5/3/99

To

the Dt. Magistrate,
Cuddapah.

Reference
Disposal No.

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE

Dated 8th April 1899

ENCLOSURES.

From

H. O. D. Harding Esquire

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,

Cuddapah

To

THE Chief Secretary
To Government
Pension Department
Madras

SIR,

With reference to official Memorandum No. 20 dated 9th March 1898, I have the honor to submit herewith records in Preliminary Register case No. 7 of 1898 on the file of the Stationary Sub Magistrate Madanapalli which contains the record of enquiry as to how Vetti Pothalu Gangadu met his

his death.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt Servt

For Dist Magte

TRK

[R.E. / 46.]
1,000-10-8-96.

Pension (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 58

From
The Acctt Genl.

Order

No. IA.E / 589.

Dated 23/1/99.
Received 24/1
Registered do
Enclosures 4
Spare copies. Nil

SUBJECT.

Submitting, with remarks, an application for the grant of an Extraordinary Pension to the mother of the deceased Pottala Gangadu, late a Vetti, Cuddapah Dt. who was killed in the execution of his duty.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
24 JAN. 1899
PENSION DEPARTMENT

SECY. REV. DEPT.
24 JAN 99

Fort St. George

Accountant General's office,

23rd January 1899.

Submitted to Government.

Under article 782 Civil Service Regulations, a wound or extraordinary family pension or gratuity can be granted only when injury or death is met in the performance of a duty attended with extraordinary bodily risk. Should Government, in the circumstances reported by the District Magistrate, Cuddapah, in his letter No. 776 dated 11th August 1898, be pleased to admit the claim, the applicant may be granted an extraordinary family gratuity not exceeding Rs 24 Equal to 6 months pay of the deceased Vetti under articles 782 and 787 Civil Service Regulation instead of Rs 50 as recommended by the District Magistrate in his letter No. C. 776 of 11th August 1898.

flag B

But in the application furnished as required by article 798, a monthly pension of Rs 2 3/4 is recommend by the Collector and District Magistrate. This recommendation is apparently based upon para 2 of Government order No. 1432, Judicial, dated 14th Sept 189

flag C

ary superintendent.

1898 and it rests with Government to decide whether the circumstances in the present case are such as to warrant the grant of a pension instead of a gratuity. If it be decided that a pension should be granted, an Extraordinary family pension not exceeding Rs2-12-- a month may be granted to the applicant under article 789(iii) of the Civil Service Regulations. The application and the list referred to in article 799 are herein enclosed

The delay in the disposal of the reference from Government is due to the fact that the reply of the District Magistrate, Cuddapah, to this office reference No I.A.E./443 dated 20th October 1898 (Copy enclosed) was received in this office only on the 12th instant.

Accountant General

Forwarded to the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, with reference to his Endorsement Memorandum No 42 d/8th October 1898.

M.D.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
20th ~~January~~ October 1898.

No. I.A.E./443

From

The ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Collector and District Magistrate,
Cuddapah.

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of Government Order No 1432 Judicial, dated 14th September 1898, I have the honour to request that you will be good enough to forward at an early date an application in the form given in article 798 of the Civil Service Regulations on behalf of the mother of the deceased Vetti together with the list prescribed in article 799 of the Civil Service Regulations.

I have &c:
(Signed) P.V. Kistnasami,
Offg: Chief Superintendent

(True copy)

Chief Superintendent.

9
1
58

M.D.

DEPARTMENT.

No. 5

Camp, Cuddapah
10th January 1899.

Encls 2.

FROM

H. O. D. Harding Esquire
~~THE~~ Ag. COLLECTOR OF
Cuddapah

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
12 JAN 99
MADRAS

5/

8

TO

THE Accountant General
Madras.

SIR,

With reference to your letter no. $\frac{\text{I. a. E}}{443}$ dated 20th October last I have the honor to forward herewith the pension application called for therein, together with the list prescribed in article no. 799 of the Civil Service Regulations.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
Servant.

For Ag. Collector.

9
2
58

KSR
10.1.99.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE Ag. COLLECTOR OF

Cuddapah

TO

THE Accountant General

Madras

17 JAN 99

Dated 10
Despatched 10 } January 1899.
Received

DESPATCHED
10 JAN. 1899

No. Dis 5/99

ENCLOSURES. 2

SUBJECT.

Submitting pension application in behalf of the Mother of the deceased Votti of Rangasamudram Village, Madanapalle Taluk

---

Pension

~~Revenue~~ (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 796

List

From

The Judicial Dept

~~Order~~ 8th Octr 1898 No. 42
Endorsement Pension on G.O. Jud 1432 d 14-9-98

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
20 SEP 1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. 1432

Referred to the Accountant-General for favour of remarks, the question raised in with reference to para 2 of ~~G.O.~~ the Order ~~No 1432, Judicial of 14-9-98~~

M 4/10/98

S
.9.98

Dated 14. 9. 98
Received 20. 9. 98
Registered — do —
Enclosures 1
Spare copies

To

The Accountant-General
with papers

SUBJECT.

Sancd. a reward of Rs 25/- to one Marugadu who saved a sum of Rs 475-3-5 sent on the 22nd March 1898 from the village of Rangasamudram in Madanapalli Tk to the Taluk treasury from being lost when attacked by a band of robbers; & transfd. to the Pension Dept the question of granting a pension to the mother of Pothalu Gangadu who was killed in resisting the attacks of the robbers.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

given for copying ____
copied ____
examined ____
signed ____ } 189 .

ain G.O., No. , dated
189

nt No.

losures to C. No.

rder of Government .. .. .. A A A A A A A

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.