Pension (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 611

DATED 4th Sept/99.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 8 — 8 — per mensem to T. V. Ramachandraiyer late 5th Vernacular Clerk, Collector's office, Tinnevelly.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Pension C. No. 673

49

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

Certified that the above finger prints hav been taken in my presence and under my personal Superintendence from the left hand of T. V. Ramachandraiyar late 5th Ve. Clerk Collr's Office Tinnevelly whose pension forms the subject of the application

Station Tinnevelly
Date 11. April 1899.

Sd/- Deputy Collector Signature

1. Name of Applicant. Tiruvadi Venkatarama Ramachandraiyar.
2. Father's name. Tiruvadi Venkataramaiyar.
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Smartha Brahman. Siraite
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Tiruvadi Village, Tanjore Taluk Tanjore District.
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. 5th Vernacular clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly.
6. Date of beginning of service. ~~31st July 1877~~ 5th November 1874
7. Date of ending of service. 29th March 1899.

		Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	.. ..	~~19~~ 24	~~7~~ 4	~~29~~ 25
of which superior ..	.. .. ..	17	11	4
inferior ..	.. .. ..	~	do	~
		6	5	21
non-qualifying and interruptions	..	1	8	25

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Invalid pension. Retired on medical certificate on account of Degeneration of Post Columns of Spinal Card.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 30-0-0
11. Proposed pension. Rs 8-8-0
12. Proposed gratuity. Nil.
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 30th March 1899.
14. Place of payment. Huzur Treasury Tanjore.
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. 10th November 1851.
16. Height. 5 feet 6 inches.
17. Marks. A scar on the left rib and three scars on the left side of the back.
18. Date. 14. 4. 99

As the applicant was on receipt of 30 per mensem for the last 5 years his average pay comes to Rs 30. per mensem. He was in the Local Fund pensionable service for only 2 months and two days. The amount payable from the Local Fund is less than 1/4 of the pension payable from the General Revenues. Hence no distribution is made. (vide article 860 of C.S.R):

Sd/- 14/4/99 Deputy Collector.

Collr

Collector

Signature of Head of Office.

Thumb. 1st finger 2 finger 3 finger 4 finger

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

P/26

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Tiruvadi V. Ramachandraiyar 5th Vernacular clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.	Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
	Receiver's office. Acting	"	15.0.0	[illegible]	[illegible]		1			having been rendered partly in acting and partly in non-pensionable appointments. Verified from the records of the Tanjore, North Arcot and Collector v. returns on record
	Break	–	.	24.12.74	11-5.75	– –	4 18			
	In Kassa pmaster Tanjore Taluk acting	–	15.0.0	12-5-75	21-4-76 (a).	. –	11 10			
	Break	–	–	22.4.76.	7.3.77	. .	10 16			
	Accountant Mananelandy Chattram permanent	8	–	8-3-77.	31-7-77. (a)	– –	4 23			
	Mangalavilasa Clerk Coll's office permanent	7.	..	1-8-77	20.9.77.	– –	1 20			
Do	Tanjore. Acting			1.0.1881	31.12.81	– 5	– –		Annual list of esta	
	8th English Acctt Collector's office Tanjore. Acting	15	2.8.0	1.1.1882	18.5.82	– 4 18	– – –			
	Total period of Superior Service									
	8th English Acctt Coll's office Tanjore. Acting	15	5.0.0	19.5.1882	4.7.83	1 1 16				
Revenue Provincial	Privilege leave with full pay.			5.7.1883	11.7.83	– – 7	.		Verified with reference to pay bills and	
	8th English Acctt Collector's office Tanjore. Acting	15	5.0.0	12.7.1883	30.4.84	– 9 19	– –	* The applicant retained a right to revert to his substantive appointment. but the departmental order is not forthcoming.		
	8th English Acctt Collector's office Tanjore permanent	20	–	1-5.1884						
	6th English Acctt. Collector's office Tanjore. acting	20	5.0.0	1-5.1884	5.2.85	– 9 5.	.			
	8th English Acctt. Collector's office Tanjore permanent	20	"	6.2.1885	14.1.86	. 11 9	– –			
	Temporary Clerk for the preparation of Criminal Statistics	20	5.	15.1.1886	28.2.86	– 1 16*	– – –			

Revenue Department

Privilege leave with full pay				22.6.1893	1.7.93	~~1~~	-	10	1	2	15
Estate Head Clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly	30 ~~25~~		~~5..~~	2.7.1893	9.7.95	2	-	8	-	-	-
5 Vernacular Clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly permanent	30		-	10.7.1895							
Estate Head Clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly	30		-	10.7.1895	31.3.97	1	8	21	-	-	
5 Vernacular Clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly permanent	30		-	1.4.1897	22.8.98	1	4	22	-	-	
Furlough leave on 1/2 pay				23.8.1898	22.2.99	-	-	-	-	6	-
5 Vernacular Clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly permanent	30		-	23.2.1899	29.3.1899	-	1	7	-	-	-
									~~6~~	~~5~~	~~21~~
Total qualifying service ~~Total~~						17	11	4	1	8	25

Verified with reference to pay bills and annual list of establishment

to accede to the recommendation under Article 465A Civil Service Regulations the applicant may be granted, in lieu of the pension certified to be admissible in the 1st para, an invalid pension not exceeding Rs 9-0 per mensem equal to $\frac{18}{60}$ths of average emoluments under Articles 485 and 678(b) Civil Service Regulations.

As the applicants' character and past Conduct are recorded as "Indifferent" opposite to No 1 in the 3rd page of the application, it rests with Government to make such reduction in the amount of pension that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to Article 514(b) of the Regulations.

ADG
228

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
28 JUL 1899
PENSION DEPARTMENT

Accountant General

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office,
27 July 1899.

To

The Under Secretary to Government,
Pension Department.

JS
28.7.99

Exd
8Vke
26-7

Remission Case [illegible] Government restored him to his post – Vide G.O. 7731 of 21st December 1886 (Copy enclosed) but omitted to declare under Article 461 Civil Service Regulations, that his past service should count towards pension. Should Government, however, be now pleased to declare to that effect, the applicant's qualifying service in superior grades will be duly proved for 17 years 11 months and 4 days for which an invalid pension not exceeding Rs 8-8-- per mensem equal to 17/60th of average emoluments will be admissible under Articles 485 and 518(b), Civil Service Regulations. 3rd Edition.

The applicant's service falls short of 18 years by 26 days and the Collector in his letter No 1183 dated 14th April 1899 recommends that the deficiency be condoned and the applicant granted a higher rate of pension. Should Government be pleased

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Indifferent

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. He was dismissed along with other officers in Tanjore Remission Case of 1884. vide G.O. No 122/15.2.86 Revenue: On appeal the Government ordered that the suspension already undergone coupled with a transfer to another district was sufficient punishment.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI.) Nil.

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil.

5. Any other remarks. Retired on medical certificate on account of degeneration of Post Columns of Spinal Cord.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not. [*See* Article 991.] The service claimed is established and should be admitted.

[illegible]
11-4-99.

Deputy Collector

E. [illegible]
Collector

SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

[illegible] commenced se[illegible] permanent clerk [illegible]

days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—	
Date of Application	
Name of Applicant.	
Last Appointment.	
Class of pension or gratuity.	
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	
Date of commencement.	
Date of sanction.	

Cno.673/99

S.P. 611/99

189 9
PENSION DEPARTMENT
No. 1
673

382

Statement of lands acquired and relinquished by Public Servants before and after entering the Service.

[NOTE.—Lands in which an officer acquired an interest before the year for which the return is submitted, and in which he retained an interest during that year, should be shown first; then those lands regarding which transactions took place during that year.]

Name of officer.	Office held and in what department.	Date of entertainment in the public service.	Whether lands acquired or relinquished.	Before or during 189 .	Nature of property, i.e., lands, gardens with or without houses attached, and houses and the uses to which they are put.	Survey field.	EXTENT AND ASSESSMENT OF LAND. Dry. Extent.	Dry. Assessment.	Wet. Extent.	Wet. Assessment.	Sircar or inam.	SITUATION OF LAND. Village.	Taluk.	District.	From whom acquired and in whose name registered after acquisition or in whose favor relinquished and in whose name registered before relinquishment.	By what means and for what purpose acquired.	Year, month and day of deed.	Rights possessed in the land.	Price paid or obtained for the land.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
							ACRES.	RS. A. P.	ACRES.	RS. A. P.										
T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar	5 English clerk collector's office, Revenue dept. (Head clerk Ettiayapuram estate collector's office)	12th May 1875	"	"	One tiled house rented "	"	"	"	"	"	"	Tiruvadi "	Tanjore "	Tanjore "	hereditary "	"	"	"	"	

I hereby declare that the above statement truly represents all the landed property possessed by me or in which I am in any way interested, both within the ______ district and elsewhere.

(Station) Shermadevi

(Date) 19-3-92

(Signature) T V Ramachandra Aiyar

(Office) 5th Eng clerk (Head Clerk Ettayapuram Estate Collector's office Tinnevelly

NOTES.—1. The statement must be submitted punctually on the 15th Januar r.
2. ew acquisitions in the year should be entered in red ink.
3. articulars of any landed property of which an officer may b ssessed during the year should also be entered i

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE [illegible] SHOWN.
இந்தக்கலங்களில் செய்கிற பதிவுகளுக்கு ஐந்துவருஷத்துக்கொருதரம் அத்தாட்சிக் கையெழுத்துபண்ணவேணும்; தேதி தெளிவாய்த் தெரியும்படி அந்தந்த அத்தாட்சி கையெழுத்துக்கு ஜாக்கிரதையாய் கோடுபோட்டுப் பிரிக்கவேண்டியது.

Name of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் பெயர்.	Caste or Race. ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம்.	Residence. இருப்பிடம்.	FATHER'S தகப்பனுடைய Name. பெயர்	FATHER'S Residence. இருப்பிடம்.	Date of birth. ஜனன தேதி.	Age. வயசு. Years. வருஷம்.	Months. மாசம்.	Days. நாள்.	Exact height. சரியான உயரம். Feet. அடி.	Inches. அங்குலம்.	Personal marks for identification. நபர் தெரிவதற்காக அங்கமச்சமடையாளம்.	Date of Entry. பதிவுதேதி.	Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office. ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
T. V. Ramachandra Iyer	Smartha Brahmin	Trivadi	Venkatrama Iyer	Trivadi, Tanjore Taluq, Tanjore District	10th November 1851	42	"	"	5	6	Brown Colour. a scar on the left rib and three scars on the left side of the back.	9th Nov 1893	T V Ramachandra Aiyar	Dy Collector

Certified that the above finger prints have been taken in my presence and under my personal Superintendence from the left hand of T. V. Ramachandraiyar late 5 Ver. clerk Collrs office Tinnevelly whose services are entered in this book

Station Tinnevelly
Date 11 April 1894

Signature, Deputy Collector

Reforwarded 382 / 99

1 ௧ Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 ௨ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.
Mokassa clerk Receiver's office	Acting		15	15	12-11-74	T. V. Ramachandra Iyer
Mokassagumastah Tanjore Taluq	Acting		15	15	12-5-75	
Accountant Manamelkudy chattram	Permanent		8	..	8-3-77	
Mangalamlas clerk Collector's office	Permanent		7	..	1-8-77	
Famine Inspector Tanjore Taluq	Temporary		30	..	21-9-77	
Mangalamlas clerk Collector's office	Permanent		7	..	1-11-77	
Mokassa clerk Receiver's office	Permanent		15	..	28-5-78	
8th English clerk Collector's office	Permanent		15		29-7-79	
2nd clerk L.F. Board's office Tanjore Circle	Acting	8 Eng. clerk	15	2½	13-5-81	
8th Eng Clerk Collr's office			15		15-7-81	
8th English accountant Collector's Office	Acting Sub Protem	Do	15 20 full pay	5	1-8-81 19-5-82	31-12-81 18 May 82
Privilege leave from 5 to 2-9/83						
6 Eng Acct	Ag Do	8 Eng Acct	20	5	1-5-84	
8 Do Do	Permanent		20		6-2-85	
Temporary clerk Do for the preparation of Criminal Statistics	Temporary	Do	25		15-1-86	

8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧0 Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* திரான்ஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னதினாலே முடிவுபெற்றதெனபது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
Petitioner V. Ramachandra Iyer was an acting Mokhasa gumastah in my cutchery for a year on Rs 15 per mensem and I can certify that he did his work to my entire satisfaction 6th April 1877 (Sd) A. Chinnakristnan Tahsildar Tanjore Taluq Endorsement of the Collector of Tanjore on an application to the Surgeon General with the Government of Madras. I have no objection to this application. I can't say I know much of the clerk personally, but as far as I know, his work has been well done and he is one of the few really good writers in this office so that I shall be sorry to lose him. 12-7-1884 (Sd) J. B. Pennington Ag Collector Vacation from 1 March to 31 Decr 84 CC1	Collector	23rd Decr 74		Collector
		21-4-1876		
		31-7-77		
		20-9-77		
		31-10-77		
		27-5-78		
		28-7-79	Promotion	
		12-5-81		
		14-7-81	Return of the permanent incumbent	
		31-7-81	Promotion	
		30-4-84	Promotion Confirmed 1st May 1883	
		5-2-85	Returned	
		14-1-86 ~~28-2-86~~ 28-2-86	Promotion Dismissed from 1st March/86	

Resource No 382 / 97

1 ௧ Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 ௨ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௰ Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* டிரான்ஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னத்தினாலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
6th Clerk. Sub Colr's Office N. Arcot	Permanent	"	15	"	12th March 1887		Granted leave on loss of full pay for 3 months from 17th March 1887. Ag. Sub Colr.	Ag. Sub Colr	24th 25th May 1887	Transferred to Tinnevelly D.1. B. Pro Mis 668 / 12.5.87	Ag. Sub Colr
3rd Vernacular Accountant Collector's Office Tinnevelly	Permanent	"	"	"	25th May 1887				31st May 1887	Return from ~~[illegible]~~ leave without allowance	
But on leave without allowance Vide Acct. General's Audit Slip No $\frac{A.B.H.}{191\ A.}$ dated 4th Nov 1887.											
3rd Vernacular Acct. Huzur Tinnevelly	Permanent		20		1st June 1887				22nd March 1888	Promotion	
7th Vernacular Clerk	Acting	3rd Ver. Acct.	20	2-8	23rd March 1888			Dy Collector	13-1-89	Return of permanent incumbent	
3rd Ver. Acct. Huzur	Permanent		20		14.1.89				11.9.89	Proceeded on privilege leave	
Privilege leave on forfeiture of 3/8 pay			12-8		12.9.89				16.10.89	Returned from leave	
3rd Vernacular Acct. Huzur	Permanent		20		17.10.89				31-8-90	Promotion	
Ettaiyapuram Estate Head clerk Collr's Office Tinnevelly	Temporary Vide G.O. No 150 dated 9 January 1891	3rd Vernacular Acct.	20	5-11-5	1-9-90		Verified up to 31st August 1891 Dy Collector 28-9-91		31.10.91	promotion in the [illegible] line	
— do —	— do —	5th English Clk.	25	-11-5	1.11.91				24-11-92	Proceeded on privilege leave	
Privilege leave					25-11-92				7-12-92	Returned from leave	
Ettaiyapuram Estate Head clk Collector's office Tinnevelly	do		25	-11-5	8-12-92				1-1-93	Promotion	

T. V. Ramachandrayar was transferred to service under Court of Wards with effect from 1st Sept. 1890. The sanctioned salary of his appointment under the Court is Rs 25-11-5 p.m. and the contribution payable by the Court on account of his leave and pensionary allowances will be one-sixth of the sanctioned salary (Vide G.O. No 150 of 9th Jany 1891 Revenue Dept ~~and letter No 730 of 18th Feby 91 from the Treasury Deputy Collector Tinnevelly~~)

Fort St. George
Acctt. Genl's Office
7th March 1891

T. K.
6-3.

~~Genl~~ Chief Superintendent

61

[illegible] 382 of 99

CIVIL MEDICAL FORM No. 32

1 க Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 உ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is anything either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டியதில்லை. சஸ்பெனஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெயயப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧௦ Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* திரான்ஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னத்தினாலே முடிவுபெறறதெனபது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
Ist ~~First~~ clerk in the collr's office on account of estates under the Court of Wards	Temporary	5th English clerk	25	5	2-1-93				21-6-93	Proceeded on privilege leave	
Privilege leave					22-6-93				1-7-93	Returned from leave	
do	Temporary	5th English clerk	25	5	2-7-93				9-7-95	Promotion in the imperial	

His pensionable salary under the Court of Wards was raised from Rs 25-11-5 to Rs 30 p.m. with effect from the 2nd January 1893. (Vide G.O. No. 3919 of 23rd Oct 93 Rev. & letter No. 346 of 24th Nov. 93 from the Treasury Officer Tinnevelly)

Fort St. George
Acctt Genl's Office
12th Dec 1893

[illegible]
Chief Superintendent

T.P.
9/12

1st clerk in the collr's office on account of estates under [illegible] Court of Wards	Temporary	5th Ver. clerk collr's office Tinnevelly	30		10-7-95	T V Rama[illegible]			31-3-97	Reverted to Govt service	

Reverted to Govt. Service with effect from 1st April 1897. (Vide letter No. 334 of 30/7/97 from the Treasury Officer Tinnevelly)

Fort St. George
Acctt Genl's Office
27th August 1897

I O B Rowe
Chief Superintendent.

T.P.
25/8

5th Vernacular clerk Collr's office	permanent	—	30	-	1-4-97		Verified upto 31st March 1898. Dy Collector 29.5.[illegible]		22.8.98	Leave	
	Furlough leave		15	-	23.8.98				22.2.99	Return from leave	
5 Vr. Clerk Collr's office Tinnevelly	permanent	-	30	-	23.2.99		Verified upto 29 March 1899. Dy Collector 10.4.[illegible]		29.3.99	Retired	

Refce No 382 of 99
Mis

CIVIL MEDICAL FORM No. 32.

(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined T. V. Ramachendra Aiyar

son of Venkatarama Aiyar

a clerk

in the Collectors office Tinnevelly

His age is by his own statement 48 years and by appearance about ~~48~~ years.

I consider T. V. Ramachendra Aiyar

to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of Degeneration of Posterior columns of Spinal Cord Posterior Sclerosis

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

STATION Palamcottah
Date 30-3- 1899.

Name. [illegible]
Rank. Civil Surgeon
Designation.
ag *District Medical and Sanitary Officer,*
Tinnevelly
Independent charge

NOTE.—[If the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits.]

189 9
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
No. 2
673

Countersigned.

Surgn.-Major-Genl ,

Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

With reference to his letter [illegible] R.C. no 30
25-3-99

SERVICE BOOK
சர்வீஸ் புஸ்தகம்.
OF

Name. பெயர். T. V. Ramachendra Iyer
Caste or Race. ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம். Smartha Brahmin
Residence. இருப்பிடம். Trinadi

FATHER'S தகப்பனுடைய
Name. பெயர். Vekentrama Iyer
Residence. இருப்பிடம். Trinadi, Tanjore Taluq, Tanjore Dt

Date of birth. ஜனனதேதி. 10 November 1851

PB
Petition Current No. ~~Mis.~~ 520 of 1899

STATEMENT OF CASE.

1. Rank. Clerk.
2. Name. P. V. Ramachendra Aiyar
3. Department or Office. Revenue (Collector's office
4. Marks of identification. {(1) a scar on left side trunk obliquely below nipple. (2) 3 scars on left side of the back.
5. Age. 48 years
6. Service. 17 years ± 11 months
7. Sick leave granted during the service. Nil
8. Disease or cause of Disability. Degeneration of Post Columns of Spinal Cord (Post Sclerosis.
9. Abstract statement of case showing how the disease or disability was contracted, the results of the treatment adopted. In case the disease or disability is believed to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits, the reasons for such belief should be stated.

History The clerk states that Four years ago he had an attack of Rheumatism which has gradually affected his lower limbs

Present Condition Has severe pain around the waist (girdle pain) - is not able to stand up, sit down, or move about without holding a support. Is not able to stand with his Eyes closed, falls backwards. Gait jerky with a distinct drop first on the heel. Knee jerk & ankle clonus exaggerated.

Urine Sp Gravity 1015 - albumen - nil
Sugar - Nil

Palamcottah }
30-3-99 }

Civil Surgeon
ag. District Medical and Sanitary Officer,
Tinnevelly.

Memo. **No. 739**

P. V. Ramachandra Aiyar 8th English Accountant Collector's office Tanjore is informed that he has been dismissed from the service of Government under G.O. No. 122 Revenue dated 15 Feb. 86 communicated in B.P. No. 480 of the 24th idem.

Tanjore }
1st March 1886 }

(Signed) J. B. Pennington
Collector.

(True Copy)

E.B.E.
11. 4. 99

~~Dy~~ Dy Collector

9
3
673

the facts of petitioner's case, His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that the suspension already undergone coupled with a transfer to a District other than Tanjore will be a sufficient punishment.

2. The Board of Revenue will therefore be instructed to arrange for petitioner's entertainment in a Tamil District in an appointment equal in rank and emoluments to the one lately held by him in Tanjore.

(True extract)
(signed) C. J. Weir
Ag. Under Secy to Govt.

9
4
673

20 To the Bd of Rev
Petn with 25 enclosures returned

Resolution

Communicated to the Collector of Tanjore and North Arcot.

The Collector of North Arcot will place T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar in the vacancy which was caused by the deputation of a man to take Ramachandra Aiyar's place in Tanjore vide para 9 of Board's Proceedings dated 24th February 1886 No. 480.

(Signed) H. A. Sim
Ag Sub Secretary

To the Collector of Tanjore
North Arcot

Copy to T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar through the Collector of North Arcot.

(True copy)
(Signed) W. Hammick
Ag Secretary

(True copy)

11.4.59

Dy Collector

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 24th March 1887
No. 2143, miscellaneous.

Read the following

(Revenue Dept.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 25th February 1887 No. 1294, miscellaneous, sanctioning the exchange proposed by the Collector of North Arcot in the matter of providing a place for Ramachandra Aiyar, late English Accountant of the Tanjore Collector's office.

Order thereon 9th March 1887 No. 1537

Government desire that immediate effect be given to their order No. 7731 dated 21st December 1886. They consider that any transfer found necessary for this end should be carried out without ~~considering~~ consulting the wishes of the officials concerned.

(True extract)
(Signed) Charles J. Weir
For Ag Secy to Govt.

To
The Board of Revenue

Resolution

Communicated to the

9
5
673

Collectors of Tanjore and North Arcot.

The Collectors will arrange between themselves to carry out the orders of Government.

(True copy and extract)

(Signed) H. A. Sim

Ag. Sub-Secretary

To The Collr of Tanjore
" North Arcot

(True copy)

(Signed) [illegible]

Ag Secretary

(True copy)

11.4.87. Deputy Collector

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Dated 12th May 1887
No. 668, mis.

Read the following papers:—

(1) Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, dated 26th April 1887 No. 2400, on petition from T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar, late 8th English Accountant, Tanjore Collector's office, dated 30th March 1887, praying to be reinstated in his former post in Tanjore or to be given an appointment of Rs 120 in the Collector's office in one of the adjacent Tamil Districts.

Transferred to the Commissioner of Land Revenue whose attention is drawn to the terms of para 2 of G. O. No. 7731 dated 21st December 1886.

2. The application of the Petitioner to be retransferred to the Tanjore District cannot be entertained.

(2) Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, dated 27th April 1887, No. 2421, on letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated 8th April 1887 No. 105/S.

9
6
673

(2x)

2. The Collector's letter and its enclosures will be transferred to the Commissioners of Land Revenue.

2. Government desire that the orders conveyed in G. O. No 1537 dated 9th March 1887 shall at once be carried into effect by the transfer to Tanjore of an officer unconnected with that District.

3 Government are constrained to observe that had the Collector treated with due attention the instructions that he ought received by him, much unnecessary petitioning and correspondence would have been saved.

Resolution

Laksmanaiyar will be recalled to North Arcot.

2. The Collector of Tinnevelly will provide for T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar and send a good man to Tanjore in his place without delay.

(True copies and extract).

(signed) M. Hammick
Ag Secretary

To The Collr of N. Arcot
Tinnevelly with copies of
Tanjore
BP Nos $\frac{745}{3\text{-}2\text{-}87}$ & $\frac{2143}{24\text{-}3\text{-}87}$

copy to Secretary, ~~Rev~~ to Govt. Rev Dept
(True copy)

No $\frac{A \cdot B \cdot H}{191\ A}$

Audit slip

Accountant General's office
dated 4th November 188

Reference — Reply to item 36 of objection statement for August 87 No $\frac{A \cdot A\ Z}{44\ A}$ dated 23rd September 1887.

As it appears from the Huzur Establishment Pay bill for May 87, that the post of 3rd Vernacular accountant to which T V Ramachandra Aiyar was appointed under Board's Proceedings 668, miscellaneous dated 12th May 87 was vacant from the 21st May; please make a note in the bill ~~that~~ he was appointed to the vacant post from the 25th idem and submit a supplemental leave statement for the period (from 25th to 31st May 87) during which he was on leave without allowance

(signed)
offg Deputy Acctt Gl

To The Iny officer
Tinnevelly
(True copy)

7
7
673

Deputy Collector
11.4.99.

Memo showing the particulars of Contribution paid on account of T V Ramachandra Ayyar 5th Vernacular clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly while he was an estate clerk

Period of Contribution paid		Rate per mensem			Remarks
From	To				
1st September 1890	1st January 1893	4	4	7	Vide G O No 150 dated 9th January 1891.
2nd January 1893	31st March 1897	5	"	"	Vide G O No 3919 dated 23rd October 1893.

PENSION DEPARTMENT 7 9 673

EBE
11-4-97

Deputy Collector

T V Ramachandra Ayyar

9 PENSION DEPARTMENT 10 673

Enclosure II

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABLE
MOUNTSTUART ELPHINSTONE SIR GRANT DUFF, G.C.S.I.,
GOVERNOR OF FORT SAINT GEORGE,
MADRAS.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

I, T. V. RAMACHANDRA AIYAR, late 8th English Accountant of the Tanjore Collector's Office, dismissed under Government Order No. 122, dated 15th February 1886, in connection with the Tanjore remissions, most respectfully and humbly beg to submit the following and pray that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to take the same into your Excellency's kind and merciful consideration and do justice to your Excellency's humble and poor petitioner.

2. In the beginning of 1885 when I followed the Collector on circuit to Tiruturaipundi, I was ordered by the Acting Huzur Sheristedar with the previous sanction of the Collector, to inspect in company with SRINIVASA AIYAR, 2nd Class Revenue Inspector, 10* vattams or groups of villages in Ariyalur Mahanam in the Tiruturaipundi Taluq, which had been damaged by heavy rains and floods of Fasli 1294. Before proceeding on the work, I respectfully represented to the Acting Huzur Sheristedar my ignorance of the details of field inspection work and the condition of my health; but my representations were not listened to. Out of these 10 vattams consisting of 15 villages and 2 hamlets, or in all 17 villages, only 2† vattams consisting of 3 villages, were examined by the Revenue Inspector and myself *together,* and I was afterwards withdrawn from the work *at my own request.*

*1. Ariyalur.
2. Vadasangandi.
3. Sangandi.
4. Ovarúr.
5. Mangudi.
6. Marudavanam.
7. Perunidamarudur.
8. Mannukkumuudan.
9. Manangathankottagam.
10. Terkunanalúr.

†1. Sangandi.
2. Ovarúr.

3. Before proceeding to explain my action in the inspection of fields, I humbly beg to submit that, in the summary of evidence framed against me, (Enclosure No. 1), there is no mention of the Revenue Inspector *with whom* I jointly inspected the villages, and it seems that I alone have been made answerable for the remarks *passed by both of us on a joint inspection.* Though the notes in the accounts are in my hand-writing, it is an undeniable fact that the Revenue Inspector accompanied me during the inspection of every one of the fields, regularly instructing me as to what entries were to be made against each field as I was altogether new to the work of field inspection. As we passed from field to field we compared our remarks and invariably verified them, and at the close of the inspection of the village we signed the accounts (No. 4 of the Village Manual) in token thereof. It is not clear from the summary under what circumstances the Revenue Inspector's responsibility has not been referred to. As, however, Government have removed me from service apparently on the ground that I was to be held individually responsible for them, I most humbly beg to explain my procedure in the following paragraphs.

4. According to the summary, the following charges have been brought against me by the Commissioner :—

I. *That in the village of Ovarúr, the inspection notes were not written against each field as directed in clause 1, paragraph 14 of the Printed Revenue Circular, but that one general remark has been written at the end of the account showing that the whole village has been lost ;*

II. *That I have estimated in February the yield of a 60 days' crop which must have been off the field in the previous August ;*

III. *That I have entered "destroyed young" against some Kadappukar fields that must have been long previously harvested ;*

IV. *That I have proposed for remission a crop as "destroyed" when green, that has since come to maturity and been harvested ; and*

V. *That, in the opinion of the Commissioner, I had fallen in with the general tide of corruption to lightly give away the Government revenues persumably for reward received on a well organised system from the cultivators.*

5. In answer to the first charge, I most humbly beg to submit that, in the village of Ovarúr, the Revenue Inspector and myself inspected field by field and entered our remarks against each of them as directed in the Printed Revenue Circular above, referred to, till we passed about 200 fields. Our inspection of fields up to that point showed that there was not one field either bearing any crop or harvested, but all was "Nattuppal" and complete "Shavi" (or wholly withered) ; we went over the remaining fields and saw the same thing all over. Most of the fields were "Nattuppal" (destroyed after transplantation) and only a very few fields were seen, which were completely Shavi. In a remission point of view, the effect of both the items being the same under Standing Order No. 24 of the Board of Revenue, published in clause 1, para. 2 of the Printed Revenue Circular, and as all the fields in the village without a single exception were found to fall under the one or the other of the two heads, we made one general remark at the end of the account that the whole village was lost. As I was new to the work, this being the first time I was ever deputed on field duty, and as an experienced Revenue Inspector of about 25 years standing who had risen from the post of Curnam was working with me, I thought that he would take care to instruct me to note the requisite details, (as he had done when I inspected the Sangandi village) for the preparation of remission statements prescribed in para. 16 of the Printed Revenue Circular. I did not know that these items should be separately marked for account purposes. This same procedure, viz., of making a general remark at the end of the account was adopted by others also in Othayamàrthandapuram village, &c., and, though the accounts of this and other villages were inspected by the Commissioner, no objection seems to have been taken with respect to them. Again, the Collector in an office note, copy of which is herewith filed as Enclosure No. 2, says that in wholly flooded villages it will be easier to note what field had crops on, the rest being all waste or Nattuppal. The Collector has also expressed this opinion in his statement given to the Commissioner that it would be useless labour to enter remarks against each field in wholly flooded villages, except those that bear any crop. The Commissioner says that, by his inspection of other villages, the immersion of Ovarúr is an improbable eventuality and that destruction of crops depended on the duration of the time that the village had been under water and higher lands drained off earlier than others. I most humbly beg to submit that the village of Ovarúr is *closely bounded* on all sides by the rivers

Pudupándiyar, Palampàndiyar, Marakkàkaraiyar and Sàluvanar. From Report No. 14, dated 7th November 1884, of the village officers (translation of it is herewith submitted as Enclosure No. 3) it will be observed that a breach actually did occur on the 17th October, and that though closed immediately after, the flood water had not drained off, because, the Marakkàkaraiyar breached in Samudraveli village and threw back the flood water by the Kilaithangi river, which gave no scope for the free discharge of flood water in Ovarur, and that consequently the Kadappukar and Samba crops were all totally submerged and damaged. Thus, according to this report, the fields in this village had been under water from 17th October (the date on which the breach occurred) to 7th November (the date of the Curnam's report). Further, there had been continual rain from the 27th October to the middle of November, as will be seen from this same report and from the column of remarks in Enclosure No. 4 (statement of flood losses furnished by the Curnam up to the 15th November 1884). Though the water then began to subside on the one side, the rivers Marakkàkaraiyar, Pudupàndiyar and Palampandiyar skirting the village have been overflowing their banks on the other side as they were full. Again there were rains at the end of November which abstained the free discharge of water from the fields into the rivers, which were also full, being the only source of drainage. The November rains continued up to the end of December and there were four breaches of great magnitude and many minor breaches in the rivers Marakkàkaraiyar, Pudupàndiyar and Palampàndiyar in the month of December. In proof of this, I humbly beg to submit the village officer's Reports Nos. 15 and 16, dated 22nd and 25th December 1884, filed as Enclosures Nos. 5 and 6 with translations. The damage done to crops and habitations is fully described in the village officer's Report No. 16 (enclosure No. 6). According to the Public Works Department accounts, there were 2* breaches in the right bank of Pudupándiyar, 6† breaches on the left bank of Palampandiyar and 5‡ breaches in the right bank of Marakkakaraiyar, making a total of 13 breaches in the several rivers bounding this village and wholly within its limits. Further, the breaches, which occurred near the Venganagar syphon sluice, had also flooded this village. As the D. P. W. officers are prohibited from granting copies of their accounts to private parties, I am unable to furnish the necessary records to prove my statement, and I request that your Excellency may be pleased to call for and peruse the statement of breaches, furnished to the Commissioner by the Executive Engineer, Negapatam Division, early in September 1885. In the sketch furnished by the Collector to the Commissioner, the several breaches, which occurred in the various rivers in this Taluq, are also marked with special reference to the villages wholly and partly affected by them. A perusal of it will convince your Excellency that this village had been wholly flooded. Further, from the enclosures referred to above, it will also appear that the period of immersion was not of short duration, but one extending over two months and more. Again from enclosure No. 7 (statement of losses sustained by the December floods of 1884) submitted by the village officer on the 29th December 1884 *before* inspection, it will be clear that the entire cultivation of Kadappukar as well as Sambapisanam crops had been lost by the immersion of crops underwater for such a long period. Even in Fasli 1295, which was an ordinary year, remission to the extent of about 550 Rupees has been granted to the mirasidars of this village. From Enclosure No. 8 written by me to the then Acting Sheristedar, it would also appear that I had requested him to visit this village as the loss was *very great*, so that he might satisfy himself on re-examination of the same ; but he was not able to do so owing, perhaps, for want of time.

*75′ × 15′
78′ × 21′

†360′ × 6′
399′ × 2¼′
960′ × 4½′
600′ × 4½′
600′ × 6′
48′ × 9′
‡51′ × 18′
42′ × 12′
84′ × 15′
36′ × 2¼′
132′ × 9′

6. As regards the 2nd charge, viz., that I estimated the yield of a sixty days' crop in February when it must have been harvested in the previous August, I most humbly beg to submit that Kuruvai is the only crop which is harvested 60 days after planting, and that in only one case, in field No. 354 in the village of Vellangal, Ovarur Vattam, measuring 61

gulis assessed at about Rs. 0-9-0, we have entered our estimate at 2½ kalams per Mah—*vide* Enclosure No. 9. The field became ineligible for remission and no remission was granted. But the Commissioner supposing that, because we had estimated this crop in February while it ought to have been harvested in August, our inspection notes are unreliable for remission purposes, questions the *bona-fide* nature of my conduct. As a matter of fact, we did not estimate the yield of a field which bore no crop at the time of our inspection. When the Commissioner examined me, I begged him to let me know the fields against which such estimates were entered; but he ordered me that the question was general and my answer to it was to be "yes or no." I had no idea to which fields the question referred and could not explain fully on the point. Further the Commissioner had also informed me that Kallundai is a 60 days' crop—*vide* my statement taken by the Commissioner, and I did not then know how many day's crop it was. Now I most humbly beg to state that there are two sorts of this crop, one Kadappu-Kallundai and the other Samba-Kallundai, the former taking three months and the latter six months to attain maturity. In proof of the former I beg to submit the extract from the cultivation accounts of Sangandi village in which the field cultivated with the crop in question is situated, filed as Enc. No. 10; from which, it will be observed that the former will take 3 or 4 months to attain maturity, that is, those cultivated in September and October could be reaped only in December. As regards the latter sort, I humbly beg to request that your Excellency will be pleased to refer to page of the Tanjore District Manual, from which it will be observed that this is six months crop. According to the village accounts, there was no Kuruvai cultivation beyond the month of September and there is no 2nd cultivation in these fields. It is a fact, however, that we found crop on only one field and that in Vellangal village alone; and whether the crop was Kuruvai or Sambapisanam, I am not now in a position to state, and on this head, I entertained no doubts at the time as there was the Revenue Inspector of the Range who had already seen the villages working with me, and therefore I did not enquire whether the crop was Kuruvai or Samba. The examination of this and other fields was made in the presence of the village officers and the curnam knew very well what remarks we entered in the accounts, but he did not bring anything with respect to this field either to our notice or to that of the Tahsildar regarding the discrepancy between the crops entered in accounts and crops actually on the field. He prepared the remission accounts on the basis of our remarks. Either the Curnam must have failed to note the cultivation properly or the crop examined by us must be some sort other than Kuruvai. If the Commissioner had examined the curnam and the Revenue Inspector, they being the local officers, these facts must have come to his notice. The Commissioner says that the field which bore crop was estimated so as to entitle it to remission. I most humbly beg to state that remission was neither proposed nor granted with respect to this field as the estimate made by us itself showed that the field had a fair crop, viz., 50 kalams per Veli, while the assessment of it is only Rs. 17-8-0, and thus my action is not prejudicial to the interests of Government.

7. As regards the 3rd charge, that I have entered "destroyed young" against some Kadappukar fields that must have been long previously harvested, I most humbly beg to submit that no such remarks had been passed by us, but our remarks was "Nattuppal" (destroyed after transplantation and before the harvest). As only three heads, "Waste," "Nattuppal" and "Shavi" are prescribed in the statement appended to para. 16 of the printed Revenue Circular for the preparation of remission statement, I and the Revenue Inspector thought that, if fields have been lost at the time of or after maturity and before the harvest, it should fall under this head, "Nattuppal," as the other two heads are quite different, and as such, we passed our remarks accordingly. Kadappukar includes Kuruvai which is of different sorts, Arunathanguruvai, Mottakkuruvai, Kallukkuruvai and Karunguruvai, &c., taking 2 to 4 months to attain maturity as the case may be, Kar, Kallundai and other species which would yield at the end of the 1st season. The cultivation of these crops during Fasli 1294 was 4 Velis and 60 gulis, and 9 Velis 16 Mahs and 62 gulis in the villages of Vellangal and Sangandi respectively; and the cultivation in the former village was raised in August and September, but in the latter, in September and October, *vide* Extract from the cultivation accounts of the above villages filed as Enclosures Nos. 11 and 10. How much was cultivated in Ovarúr with Kadappukar is not given separately in the monthly total of the cultivation accounts; but from enclosure No. 7, it may be inferred that 11 velis, 11 mahs and 91 gulis must have been cultivated, and the cultivation must have also been raised in the months of August to October, which is the proper season. From report No. 240, dated 21st October 1884, of the 2nd class Revenue Inspector, and that of the Curnam, dated 15th November 1884, to the Tahsildar and filed as Enclosures Nos. 12 and 13, it will be seen that the village of Sangandi was submerged in water by the floods and rains of October, and that crops were seriously damaged. Further, from the column of remarks in the 2 statements showing the approximate losses by floods furnished by the village officers to the Tahsildar, dated 15th November, and 31st December respectively, (the 1st return showing the damages caused up to the 15th November and the 2nd, by December floods) and filed as Enclosures Nos. 14 and 15, it will also be seen that the water in the fields had not been drained off till the 31st December 1884. Similarly, from Enclosures Nos. 3, 5 and 6 and from the column of remarks in Enclosures Nos. 4 and 7, it will be observed that the villages of Ovarúr and Vellangal were submerged during the months of October to December. From the records referred to in this paragraph which were all submitted *prior* to the commencement of the field examination, your Excellency will observe that the Kadappukar fields had been damaged. Further there was no second cultivation in these fields except to a small extent of 1 veli, 9 mahs and 68 gulis in the village of Sangandi alone, where remission was neither proposed nor granted for the Kadappukar fields.

8. Besides we saw damaged stalks uncut, lying on the ground in a rotten state at full length with the bare ear stems; and this enabled us to decide the loss in Ovarúr and Vellangal villages. But in Sangandi we did not find the stalks in this state. We remarked, however, that these Kadappukar fields in this village (Sangandi), 8 in number, were Nattuppal, (6 along with Sambapisanam fields enclosed within brackets and 2 separately, measuring 3 mahs and 21 gulis in the former and 20 gulis in the latter 2 fields, and in another place 3 Kadappukar fields, measuring 2 mahs and 2 gulis, were entered to have produced crops along with Sambapisanam fields enclosed within brackets, *vide* A in Enclosure No. 16. On enquiry I now learn that, though the 2 fields to the extent of 20 gulis referred to above have been shown in the Paimash accounts as separate fields, still, they are not now in existence, being only small in extent but included with some other adjoining fields. As regards the fields whose yield had been estimated in crops, I most humbly beg to state that, we did not estimate the yield of a field which bore no crop at the time of our inspection. But perhaps we must have estimated by slip, or the curnam must have failed to note the cultivation properly, as the fields were interspersed with those of Sambapisanam. Having subsequently learnt that the Mirasidars had removed the crops from their ears in these fields, I had no confidence passed in the remarks about the Kadappukar fields in this village, and so, wrote my remarks to the following effect in the completion certificate, "I did not find traces in the fields to identify the loss of Kadappukar "except the statement of the village officers," *vide* Enclosure No. 17, although I had written destroyed against some and estimated the yield against some others of Kadappukar fields. The Curnam as well as the Revenue Inspector had signed this certificate, and it was because of this written statement and my verbal orders to the Curnam given at the time that all the Kadappukar, including those marked Nattuppal and estimated in crops and objected to by the Commissioner, were brought to full assessment. At the time of my examination, the

No. of the fields.	Name of crops.	Extent.			Remarks.
		V.	M.	G.	
$\frac{777}{3}$	Kar	0	0	$11\frac{5}{8}$	
$\frac{777}{4 \text{ to } 7}$	Do.	0	0	83	
$\frac{777}{8}$	Do.	0	0	$51\frac{13}{16}$	Nattuppal.
$\frac{777}{9}$	Do.	0	1	$30\frac{1}{4}$	
$\frac{777}{10}$	Do.	0	0	$23\frac{1}{2}$	
$\frac{777}{11}$	Do.	0	0	$21\frac{1}{2}$	
$\frac{777}{14}$	Do.	0	0	5	Do.
$\frac{777}{15}$	Do.	0	0	15	
$\frac{633}{5}$	Kallundai	0	0	$24\frac{1}{16}$	9 mercals per mah.
691	Do.	0	1	50	1 kalam per mah.
$\frac{815}{5}$	Do.	0	0	$27\frac{3}{4}$	2 kalams per mah.

Commissioner informed me that the Curnam of Sangandi had stated to him that three fields remarked by us as destroyed and estimated in crops had been regularly harvested, and that the Curnam did not bring them to remission of his own accord, and ordered me to state what I had to state against the Curnam's statement. I replied that his statement was false and that I had witnesses to prove that I gave orders to the Curnam not to bring them to remission accounts. I recollect to have stated to the Commissioner the existence of such remarks expressing my doubts about the Kadappukar loss in this village.

9. The Revenue Inspector and the Curnam objected to at the time of my writing such remarks in the completion certificate, and said that they had already seen the crops destroyed, and, to which, I replied that they might state their own grounds in the certificate before signing therein for differing from me. They did not do so and said that they would write separately if they were asked about it. Had the Commissioner well considered my general remark in the certificate and my statement taken by him, he would not have charged me for having entered Nattuppal in the Adangal accounts against some of the Kadappukar fields. No remission was proposed nor granted for Kadappukar fields in this village of Sangandi. On a verbal complaint made to the Collector by the Mirasidars of this village, while in Mutupet, that I had been severe and done injustice to them with regard to Kadappukar loss, the collector re-examined the fields and found that my certificate was correct, and that the conduct of the Curnam and the Revenue Inspector was suspicious. After some correspondence with the Deputy Collector, I hear that they were warned. It appears that the petitions received from the Mirasidars were first sent to the Inspector for field examination and submission of a report, *vide* Enclosure No. 18 (Endorsement on the petition of the Mirasidars directing the Revenue Inspector to examine the fields and to submit accounts in the prescribed form), but were subsequently withdrawn by the Tahsildar. The facts that the Revenue Inspector had already expressed that Kadappukar produce was lost, and that the Tahsildar had entertained petitions and referred them for examination, might have induced one to agree with them if he were to act dishonestly, but as I wanted to be honest and straightforward, I expressed my candid opinion that I was doubtful of the loss of Kadappukar crops, and consequently, the fields were not remitted. In this connection I beg to submit that the statement of the Curnam referred to by the Commissioner and filed as Enclosure No. 19, that Kadappukar cultivation was raised in Paimash Nos. 691. $\frac{777}{14\&15}$ in August, and harvested before we inspected the fields, is inaccurate, as no cultivation was raised in that month in this village; and if the Commissioner had verified the Curnam's statement with reference to the cultivation accounts, he would have ascertained that the Curnam's information was untrue. From extract of cultivation account of the Kadappukar and the 2nd crop, filed as Enclosure No. 10, it would appear that the cultivation of Kadappukar was raised in September and not in August, as the Curnam had deposed. Further, the Curnam had not brought to the notice of the Commissioner or his assistants, the existence of such a certificate of mine signed by him and the Revenue Inspector; and this fact alone would show that he did not state the actual state of things.

10. As regards the 4th charge, that I proposed for remission a crop "destroyed when green" that has since come to maturity and been harvested, I most humbly beg to state that such remarks were passed only in favour of fields cultivated with 2nd crop, except field No. 597, measuring 6 mahs and 20½ gulis, in Sangandi village alone. The cultivation of this 2nd crop was raised in the beginning of January after the floods and rains of December when the water slightly subsided in the fields. The total extent of 2nd cultivation of crops was 1 veli, 9 mahs and 68 gulis assessed at Rs. 16-9-7; of which 3 mahs and 90 gulis assessed at about Rs. 2-3-0 were green plants, and 1 veli, 2 mahs and 58 gulis assessed at about Rs. 12-9-6 were green and Kuthu Shavi with chaff in their ears, the rest having borne good crops, and we accordingly passed our remarks in the Adangal accounts; we then believed that the green plants and Shavi would not come to anything, as there was no water in the irrigation channels. The Commissioner states that these cases subsequently produced crops. How he came to this conclusion is not stated in the summary. At the time of my examination by the Commissioner, he informed me that the Curnam had stated to him that the fields referred to had subsequently produced good crops, and that consequently he did not bring them to remission list. I then asked the Commissioner to read to me the Curnam's statement to that effect, but he declined to do so. From copy of statement taken from the Curnam by the Commissioner's Assistant, Mr. Vedagiri Aiyar, and filed as Enclosure No. 19, it would appear that no such questions were put to him (the Curnam) regarding green plants and green shavi, nor has the Curnam stated anything therein about it. The Commissioner and his assistants did not go to Sangandi and could not have formed that judgment upon actual inspection, and no enquiry appears to have been made by them on the point during his stay in Tiruturaipundi or anywhere in the District. As Inspector of fields, we had to note the actual condition of crops at the time of our inspection and we did so, not caring whether remission would be proposed or granted in favour of the fields we examined. I did not propose these items for remission, and, in point of fact, no remission was granted with respect to them, except a small amount of Rupees 3-13-0 out of Rupees 14-12-6, the total assessment of the 2nd crop fields, coming under our above remarks—*vide* B in Enclosure No. 16. As regards the one field measuring 6 mahs and 20½ gulis of first crop cultivation in this village, I beg to state that the cultivation in this field might have been made very late, and that, consequently, only a very few plants were seen here and there with green shavi in the middle of Nattuppal, and therefore we remarked Nattuppal and green shavi against this field. I respectfully submit in the most solemn manner that I made no attempt to do wrong to Government against my conscience for any consideration, &c. from the Mirasidars.

11. With regard to the 5th charge, viz., that I had fallen in with the general tide to lightly give away the Government Revenues presumably for reward received from the Mirasidars on a well-organized system, I most humbly beg to submit that there was no organization whatever for defrauding Government or for making money. While 10 vattams consisting of 15 villages and 2 hamlets were assigned to me, I examined with the Revenue Inspector only 2 vattams consisting of 3 villages; and when the examination of the first vattam, containing only one village, was very near completion, I wrote a demi-official to the acting Huzur Sheristedar, on the 7th February 1885, to relieve me from the field work, and to permit me to return to office. To this, he sent a reply in an endorsement thereon that he would relieve me on Monday or Tuesday thereafter, that is, about the 10th or the 11th Idem. As no one came to relieve me up to the 10th, I again repeated my request to him, who appears to have submitted it to the permanent Sheristedar; and the latter sent me an order endorsing on my 2nd demi-official that I might return to office at Tiruturaipundi on being relieved by one Ramaiyar, another clerk in the Collector's office. These 2 demi-official letters are filed as Enclosures Nos. 8 and 20. They will prove, beyond doubt, that I had no manner of thought of enriching myself in any way, and that there was no understanding of an illegal nature between myself and the ryots &c. If I had the remotest idea of receiving rewards from the cultivators, I would have gone on with the work little by little, even though I was sick, and would not have applied for permission to come back to the office. At the time of my examination, I requested the Commissioner to receive these two demi-official letters and file them, but he refused to do so. I beg to submit that *my honesty will be established* even at this remote period, if an enquiry were to be held.

12. The Commissioner observes that further evidence might have been forthcoming had he been able to re-inspect the fields and takes, for instance, the village of Ovarur and says that re-inspection of this village might have had something of its own to say. I most humbly beg to submit that the Commissioner would not have had anything to complain of against me if he had actually re-inspected the villages or, at least, consulted the Local officers. As he has taken, for instance, the village of Ovarur, it will be very easy to find out mistakes

or frauds said to have been committed by me in this village, as I have remarked that the whole village was lost. The Commissioner was at Tiruturaipundi for over 3 weeks, and might have inspected this village which is only 4 or 5 miles from Tiruturaipundi.

13. The Commissioner states that the scrutiny of accounts has sufficed to show him that my notes are not to be relied on. I most humbly beg to state that from the scrutiny of accounts he could not have found anything more than the points referred to in the above paragraphs, whose explanation will fully convince your Excellency that my actions were not in any one instance done with dishonest motives, but with due care and to the best of my knowledge and power. If the Commissioner had found anything, he would have clearly stated in the summary. Further, I most humbly beg to submit that the Commissioner's observation that I was guilty of deliberate acts of fraud, is so vague that I could not enter into details to refute it. When examining me, the Commissioner questioned me about some erasures and alterations. I replied to him so as to convince him that they were not prompted by bad motives: and the Commissioner must have satisfied himself that the cases in which alterations were made by scratching out the first estimate and by rewriting over it the actual amount arrived at by harvesting the crop, those in which the estimate was first given against wrong fields and subsequently rectified when finding out the right fields, and also those in which the remarks are merely erased, are not all unfavourable to Government; but most of them are favourable to them as shown in the marginal abstract of Enclosures Nos. 21 and 22 (statement of erasures and alterations in Sangandi and Ovarúr vattams). Further, the amount involved in the alterations aforesaid is very small when compared with the amount of remission granted for unaltered items. It is a well-known fact that Tanjore is an unsurveyed District, and that the boundaries and land marks noted in the old Paimash Registers are not now in existence. It is therefore impossible to avoid mistakes in finding out the correct number of fields.

Names of Vattans.	Names of villages.	Number of alterations.			Number of erasures vide question in my statement.			Grand Total.	
		In favour of Governmet.	Against Government.	Total.	In favour of Government.	Against Government.	Total.	In favour of Government.	Against Government.
Ovarur...	Ovarur...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Vellangal	5	3	8	...	...	...	5	3
Sangandi......	Sangandi......	17	10	27	7	...	7	24	10
	Total...	22	13	35	7	...	7	29	13

14. Under the aforesaid circumstances, your Excellency will see that I am not to be blamed for anything as alleged by the Commissioner, and that I have done my actions to the best of my ability and judgment. I am a public servant of 10 years standing, of which I had been for about 7 years in Government service in the Collector's office, having had no means of learning field work. During the whole period of my service, I have conducted the work entrusted to me with due care and caution always appreciated by my superiors. I have not hitherto incurred their slightest displeasure, but have given complete satisfaction to every one, under whom I served. I most humbly beg, therefore, that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to consider all these facts and copies of my certificate (2 in number) and restore your Excellency's humble and poor petitioner to the former post of 8th English Accountant in the office of the Collector of Tanjore.

FOR WHICH ACT OF CHARITY AND KINDNESS, I SHALL EVER PRAY.

I most humbly beg to remain,

3rd September 1886 Your Excellency's most obedient and humble servant,

T V Ramachandra Aiyar

(True copy)

T V Ramachandra Aiyar

Enclosure III

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read petitions from T. V Ramachandra Aiyar, 8th English Accountant, Collector's office, Tanjore dated 3rd September and 6th October 1886 praying for restoration to his former post.

Order thereon, 21st December 1886 No 7731

After careful consideration of all the facts of petitioner's case, His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that the suspension already undergone coupled with a transfer to a district of other than Tanjore is a sufficient punishment.

2. The Board of Revenue will therefore be instructed to arrange for petitioner's entertainment in a Tamil District in an appointment equal in rank and emoluments to the one lately held by him in Tanjore.

To Bd of Rev
" Petn with 2 Encls

(True extract)

(signed) Charles J. Weir
Ag Under Secretary

(True copy)

T V Ramachandra Aiyar

9
12
673

Enclosure IV

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 3rd February 1887
No. 745, Miscellaneous

Read G. O, Revenue Department dated 21st December 1886 No. 7731.

Resolution

Communicated to the Collectors of Tanjore and North Arcot.

The Collector of North Arcot will replace, T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar in the vacancy, which was caused by the ~~Depub~~ deputation of a man to take Ramachandra Aiyar's place in Tanjore: Vide para 9 of Board's Proceedings 24th February 1886 No. 480

(True extract)
(signed) H. A. Stin
Ag sub Secretary

To The Collector of Tanjore
" " North Arcot

Copy to T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar
through the Collector of N. Arcot

(True Copy)

T V Ramachandra Aiyar

189
PENSION DEPARTMENT
Enc.........No.......
To
C. No.........

Enclosure V

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 25th February 1887, No. 1294, Mis.

Read letter from the Collector of North Arcot dated 12th February 1887, No. 38.

Resolution

Under the Circumstances the Board sanction the exchange proposed by the Collector, (i.e) that Narayana Aiyar should go to Tanjore and that Ramachandra Aiyar should take his place in North Arcot on the distinct understanding that effect is to be given to the Government wishes on the very earliest opportunity by Ramachandra Aiyar succeeding to the first vacancy on Rs 20 occurring in North Arcot Dt.

(True extract)

(signed) H. A. Sim

(True Copy)

Communicated to the Sub-Collector who is requested to place T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar in the post held by Narayana Aiyar in Vellore Taluq at the time of his deputation to Tanjore and to provide Ramachandra Aiyar with the first vacancy

9
DEPARTMENT
No. 13
TO 673

of Rs 20 equal in rank to the one held by him in Tanjore.

North Arcot Collr's office
Camp, Palamnair, 5th March 1887 } (signed) W. H. Glenny
Collector

(True copy)

No. 431

Communicated to T. V. Ramachandra Aiyan who will report himself to the Sub-Collector for orders.

Dated 9th March 1887 (signed) W. H. Glenny
Collector

(True copy)

T. V. Ramachandra Aiyan

Enclosure VI

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABLE
ROBERT BOURKE,
Governor of Fort St. George,
MADRAS.

May it Please Your Excellency,

I, T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar, late 8th English Accountant, Tanjore Collector's Office, and at present, a gumastah in Vellore Taluq, most humbly and respectfully beg to approach your Excellency with a prayer for justice as will be seen from the sequel.

2. In G. O. No. 7731, dated 21st December 1886, disposing of my review petition against the order of Government in the Revenue Department, No. 122, dated 15th February 1886, Your Excellency's Government was pleased to declare "that the suspension already undergone by me, coupled with a transfer to a District "other than Tanjore will be a sufficient punishment, and instructed the Board of "Revenue to arrange for *my entertainment in a Tamil District in an appointment,* "*equal in rank and emoluments* to the one lately held by me at Tanjore." *Vide* Enclosure No. 1.

3. In carrying out this order of Government, the Board instructed the Collector of North Arcot in their Proceedings, No. 1294, Miscellaneous, dated 25th February 1887, to appoint me to the place vacated by one Narayana Aiyar who was deputed to Tanjore to succeed me after my dismissal and to provide me with a Rs. 20 appointment at the very earliest opportunity, and I was given the *gumastah's post on Rs. 15 in the Vellore Taluq Office,* held by the said Narayana Aiyar before his transfer on promotion to Tanjore. *Vide* Enclosure No. 2.

4. I most humbly and respectfully beg to submit that my former appointment in Tanjore was 8th English Accountantship on a salary of Rs. 20 per mensem, and that the Gumastahship to which I am appointed is, therefore, not equal either in rank or emoluments to my former appointment, and that I do not know how long it will take for the occurrence of such a vacancy. Further a *transfer from the Huzur to the Taluq Office even on equal pay is generally considered as a degradation;* and, in my case the transfer involves both loss of rank and emoluments. I had acted for Rs. 25 on several occasions for more than

9
14
673

a year, and at the time of dismissal I was drawing Rs. 25 and was hoping to be confirmed in a 25 Rs. post and thus I became a loser in more ways than one, which the Government never intended. Besides, North Arcot is *not* a *Tamil* District, though, in Vellore, greater part of the correspondence is carried on in the Tamil language. Since I joined Vellore, I saw orders from the Collector, and Treasury Deputy Collector and miscellaneous letters from other officers, received in *Telugu* language and my ignorance of that language is a drawback to my satisfactorily discharging of my work and will considerably affect my future prospects also, as it is natural for my co-officers with knowledge of the local language to get preference over me, a stranger to it. When I paid my respects to the Collector of North Arcot, at Palamnair, on the 4th Instant, he expressed a dislike to appoint me in his District on account of my ignorance of *Telugu* language but he gave the appointment in deference to the Board's orders.

5. I am a petty and poor officer and have little hopes of rising to any great appointment. I have no lands in Tanjore or anywhere, nor my relations have large estates, the entire area possessed by them all, amounting to only 16 Acres, *vide* statement submitted by me to Mr. Thomas; and my stay in Tanjore, while giving me an opportunity of enjoying Government bounty in full measure and gratefully discharging my work day and night, gives Government no sort of alarm against the protection of their interests.

6. Under these circumstances, I most humbly and respectfully beg to request that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to issue orders to re-instate me in my former post in Tanjore, and, if this request cannot be granted, to give me *Rs. 20 appointment* in the Collector's Office in any of the adjacent *Tamil Districts* as ordered by your Excellency's Government.

FOR WHICH KIND ACT OF CHARITY AND JUSTICE, I SHALL EVER PRAY.

I most humbly and respectfully beg to remain,
Your Excellency's
Most obedient and humble servant,

30th March 1887

T V Ramachandra Aiyar

(True copy)

T V Ramachandra Aiyar

Enclosure VIII Revenue Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read petition from T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar, late 8th English Accountant Tanjore Collector's office, dated 30th March 1887, praying to be reinstated in his former post in Tanjore or to be given an appointment of Rs. 20 in the Collector's office in one of the adjacent Tamil Districts.

Order thereon 26th April 1887 No. 2400

Transferred to the Commissioners of Land Revenue whose attention is drawn to the terms of para 2 of G. O. No. 7731 dated 21st December 1886.

2. The application of the petitioner to be retransferred to Tanjore District cannot be entertained

(True Extract)

To The Commr of Land Rev
- Petitioner (True copy)

(signed) Frederick Price
Ag Secretary to Govt.

(True copy)
T V Ramachandra Aiyar

9
15
672

Enclosure VIII

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)
dated 12th May 1887
No 668 mis:

Read the following papers)

(1) Proceedings of the Madras Govt, Revenue Depts, dated 26th April 1887 No 2400 on petition from T V Ramachandra Aiyar, late 8th English Accountant, Tanjore Collector's office, dated 30th March 1887 praying to be reinstated in his former post in Tanjore or to be given an appointment of Rs 20 in the Collector's office in one of the adjacent Tamil Districts.)

Transferred to the Commissioner of Land Revenue whose attention is drawn to the terms of para 2 of G O No 7731 dated 21st December 1886.

2. The application of the petitioner to be retransferred to the Tanjore District cannot be entertained.

(2) Proceedings of the Madras Govt, Revenue Department, dated 27th April 1887 No 2424, on letter from the Collector of North Arcot, dated April 1887 No $\frac{105}{C}$.

Resolution

Lakshmana Aiyar will be recalled to North Arcot.

2. The Collector of Tinnevelly will provide for T V Ramachandra Aiyar and send a good man to Tanjore in his place without delay.

(True copy and extract)
(signed) M. Hammick
Ag Secretary

1887
No 16
To 672

To
The Collector of North Arcot
with Sub Collector's letter and petition
returned

(True copy)

No 1212

Communicated to the Sub-Collector in supersession of all previous correspondence on the subject for information.

He is requested to direct T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar to report himself to the Collector of Tinnevelly D 12

North Arcot Colr's office
Chittoor 17th May 1887 } (signed) C. F. MacCartie
Ag Collector

(True copy)

No 355

Communicated to T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar in supersession of all previous orders. He must join Tinnevelly at once. The unexpired portion of his leave is cancelled.

North Arcot
Sub Colr's office
Vellore 19th May
1887 } (signed) J. Andrew
Ag Sub Collector

(True copy)

T. V. Ramachandra Aiyar

---

accompanying the plan in app ... Collector

Enclosure one file containing 8 papers

To
E. Scott Esquire
Collector of Tinnevelly

Most honored Sir,

I, T V Ramachandra Aiyar, 5th Vernacular clerk of your honor's office, most humbly beg to state that I was dismissed from Government service in Tanjore District from 1st March 1886 under G O No 122 Revenue dated 15th February 1886 (Communicated in Board's Proceedings No 480 dated 24th idem, (copy of Collector's memo* here-with submitted).

* Encl I

2. On my appeal to Government (copy* submitted) it passed orders, G. O. No 7731 dated 21st December 1886 (copy⊗ submitted) to the following effect and requested the Board of Revenue to arrange for my entertainment in a Tamil District in an appointment equal in rank and emoluments to the one lately held by me in Tanjore.

* Encl II

⊗ Encl III

9
17
To 6/3

"After careful consideration"

"of all the facts of the petitioner's case",
"His Excellency the Governor in Council"
"is of opinion that the suspension already"
"undergone coupled with a transfer to a"
"District other than Tanjore is a sufficient"
"punishment."

3. The Board of Revenue, as then constituted, instead of arranging at once for my entertainment in a Tamil District in accordance with para 2 of G.O dated 21st December 1886 referred to supra, issued orders on the 3rd February 1887 (× Copy submitted) to the Collector of North Arcot, which is partly Tamil and partly Telugu District, to appoint me in the place which was held there by my successor in Tanjore. The Bo latter officer reported to the Board of Revenue that the pay of the man appointed to succeed me in Tanjore was only Rs15. The Board thereon issued instructions to the Collector of North Arcot (⊗ Copy submitted) that I should take p the place on Rs 15 held by my uccessor in North Arcot and that should be given an appointment n Rs 20 in North Arcot District

× Encl IV

⊗ Encl V

"at the earliest possible opportunity."
This order of the Board was communicated to me on the 10th March 1887. Though the first and second orders of the Board were not consistent with the orders of Government I however accepted the appointment at once and took leave on forfeiture of full pay and appealed to Government (× Copy of appeal petition submitted)

× Encl VI

4. Government transferred it to the Board of Revenue on the 26th April 1887 (⊗ Copy submitted) drawing its attention to para 2 of G O dated 21st December 1886 referred to above. The Board passed orders thereon on the 12th May 1887 (* Copy submitted) transferring me to this District and this order of transfer was communicated to me on the 24th May 1887. Had the Board of Revenue passed the original order in the first instance in conformity with the wishes of Government I would have been entertained earlier. Further from the order of Government dated 21st December 1886 it would appear that my

⊗ Encl VII

* Encl VIII

suspension ends after the date in which Government passed its orders on the 21st December 1886. Under these Circumstances I am entitled to Count my services from the date of G O i.e 21st December 1886 and not from the date of my entertainment.

5. As I am now pronounced unfit for further services by the District Surgeon, I am obliged to retire on invalid pension after faithfully and honestly serving Government. My period of service amounts to 17 years and 11 months as shown below. If it is 18 years service I will be entitled to a pension of Rs 9 per mensem.

Under the Circumstances above brought to notice, I most humbly beg to request that your honor will be pleased to ~~Gov~~ recommend to Government for condonation of the small period required to make up a monthly pension of Rs 9.

	yrs	ms	days
Total service from 31st July 1879 to 31st March 1899	19	8	"
Deduct			
Period of suspension under G. O dated 21st December 1886	"	9	20
Interval between the dates of Government order and the date of Communication to me ~~that~~ I have been transferred to Tinnevelly	"	5	20
Furlough from 23rd August 1898 to 22nd February 1899	"	6	"
Total	1	8	20
Remainder	17	11	6

I most humbly beg to remain,
most honored Sir
Your honor's most obedient
and humble servant
T. V Ramachandra Aiyar
5th Ver clerk

3rd April 1899.

accompanying the pension

Period from the
restoring

Submitted for consideration in connection with the pension application.

U/8 fe 155.

Transferred to the Account Branch.

RECEIVED
5 - APR. 1899
TREASURY & DEPUTY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE

For Ag Collector.

List of papers accompanying the pension appn
of T.V. Ramachandr aiyar. late 5 Ver. clerk Collector's
office Tinnevelly.

1. Pension application —— 1.
2. Service Register —— 1.
3. Medical certificate —— 1.
4. Last pay Certificate —— 1.
5. Copy of Tanjore Collr's order N°. 739/1.3.86 on G.O. N°. 122/15.2.86 Rev — 1.
6. Copy of G.O. N°. 7731/21.12.86 embodied in B.P. N°. 745/3.2.87 mis — 1.
7. Copy of G.O. N°. 1537/9.3.87 embodied in B.P. N°. 243/24.3.87 mis — 1.
8. Copy of B.P. N°. 668/12.5.87 mis —— 1.
9. Copy of the Acctt Genl's audit Slip N°. A.B.H/191.A of 4.11.87 — 1
10. Permanent pay order —— 1.
11. Memo showing the Contribution paid —— 1.
12. File of Enclosures put in by the applicant with his petition —— 9

20

9
14
673

EBC
11.4.99.

Deputy Collector

Submitted for Consideration

in A

List of papers accompanying the pension ap of T.V. Ramachandraiyar, late 5th clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly.

1. Pension application
2. Service Register
3. Medical Certificate
4. Last pay Certificate
5 Copy of [illegible] Collector's order No. 737 on G.O. No. 453 / 15.2.86 / 1.3.86
6 Copy of G.O. No. 7731 / 21.12.86 embodied in B.P. No. 243 / 3.2.87
7 Copy of G.O. No. 1537 / 9.3.87 embodied in B.P. No. 2143 / 22.3.87
8 Copy of B.P. No. 668 / 12.5.87 do
9. Copy of the Acct. General's Circular No. A.D. 17 / 19.1.8 of 4.11.87
10. Retirement pay order
11. Statement showing the Establishment paid
12. File of documents put in by the applicant with his petition

Sd/-

13 MAY 1899

~~52~~

DEPARTMENT. Tinnevelly COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

No.

Camp, 17th April 1899.

FROM E. Scott Esquire

THE COLLECTOR OF Tinnevelly.

TO

THE Accountant General Fort Saint George

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 13 APR 1899 MADRAS

SIR,

In forwarding herewith the pension application on behalf of T.V. Ramachandraiyar late 5th Vernacular Clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly who retires on medical certificate, I have the honor to state that out of his total service of 19 yrs. 7 m. & 29 days, only 17 yrs. 11 m. & 4 days qualify for pension deducting the period of 1 year 8 months and 25 days which are non qualifying and interruptions as detailed in the margin. Of this non-qualifying service, items 2 & 3 covering a period of * 5 months and 4 days has been

treated

	y. m. d.
1. Period from the date of suspension to the date of G.O. No. 7731 dated 21-12-86 restoring the applicant, i.e. from 1-3-86 to 21-12-86	0-9-21
2. Interval between the date of the said G.O. and the date on which his appointment to N. Acct. on less pay took effect – that is, from 22-12-86 to 11-3-87	0-2-20 *
3. Period of leave on loss of pay from 17-3-87 to 31-5-87	0-2-14
× Furlough from 23-8-98 to 22-2-99	0-6-0
Total	1-8-25

5

applicant was for some time, after the date of the G. O. No. 7731 dated 21·12·86 restoring him to his post, without any employment, the Board of Revenue not having provided him with a footing on equal pay in a Tamil district and when provided with one he was sent as a clerk on Rs 15-0-0 per mensem to the Sub Collector's office North Arcot on 12·3·87 and he had therefore to appeal again to Government taking leave on loss of pay. He was ultimately appointed on 25-5·87 as clerk in the Collector's office Tinnevelly on the same pay which he drew in the Tanjore district and he joined that on the 1st June 1887. The period between 25th to 31st May 1887 was also treated as leave on loss of pay under Accountant General's audit slip No. $\frac{A.B.H}{191.A}$ dated 4 Novr 1887.

2. The applicant prays that as he has thus lost a service of 5 months and 4 days for no fault of his own, the period of 26 days which is necessary to make up a pensionable service of 18 years may be condoned under Art: 465 of the Civil Service Regulations so that he may obtain a pension of Rs 9-0-0 per mensem instead of Rs 8-8-0 which is admissible for the service of 17 yrs. 4 m & 4 dys. under Art 518 of C. S. R.

Period from the date of suspension to the date of G.O. No. 7731 dated 21-12-86 restoring the applicant i.e. from 1-3-86 to 21-12-86 [illegible]

and the interval between the date of the said G.O. and the date on which the applicant [illegible] N. Arcot on loss of pay [illegible] 22-12-86 to [illegible] [illegible]

3. Under the circumstances explained Government may be moved for the Condonation of the deficiency of 26 days.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant

Collector.

DEPARTMENT.

~~From~~

THE COLLECTOR OF
Tinnevelly

To

THE Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Dated 14
Despatched 17 } April 1899.
Received

C No. 1183 rev
~~No of 99~~

ENCLOSURES 21.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding the pension application on behalf of T.V. Ramachandraiyar late 5th Ver: Clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly and requesting to move the Government for the Condonation of the del[illegible] 26 days.

Ret
the
admi
under Art

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K.
34

Fort. St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
10th May 1899.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Collector of
Tinnevelly

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension ~~gratuity~~ of T. V. Ramachendraier late 5th Vernacular clerk Collectors' office Tinnevelly is being delayed for want of the information noted below. Where possible your answer should be written opposite to each point to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Asstt. Acctt. General.

~~Attention is drawn to No. of the printed Memo. of Instructions from this office.~~

1. It should be explained why the applicants name is not traceable in the Annual Return for 1887.

ii. In the annual Return for 1880 the applicant is shown only as an acting clerk on Rs 7-8-0 permensum with effect from the 31st July 1879

13 MAY 1899

9
20
To
673

instead of as a permanent clerk on Rs 15 from that date as shown in the Service Register and pension application and in the Annual Returns for subsequent years. The discrepancy should be explained.

iii. The service rendered by the applicant from 5-11-74 to 30.7.79. Should be shown on the 2nd page of application under the column "Period not reckoned as Service".

Account DEPARTMENT.

No.

Try Deputy COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
Camp, Tanjore. 25 May 1899

FROM
M.R. Ry.
A. Prabalanatham Pillai Avergal
~~THE~~ Try Dy COLLECTOR OF
Tanjore.

TO
THE Treasury Deputy Collector
Tinnevelly.

Refer Collr. T.

SIR,

With reference to your letter C No 1183 Mis an of 99 dated 17 May 99, I have the honor to state that T. V. Ramachendra Iyer was drawing acting allowance at Rs 7. 8. 0 per mensem as 8th English Clerk from 31st July 79 and as such was shown in the April List for 1880. But he was confirmed in that appointment about September 1880 with retrospective effect. He has drawn the difference of pay in September 1880. (vide also the correspondence ending with Accountant General's A B W/1 dated 31.1.81 read in G.O. No 372 Rev. 11.2.81).

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
Deputy Collector

Compd
J.S.

9
21
673

Current No 1183. of 1899
DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE Try Dy COLLECTOR OF

Tanjore

TO

THE Try Dy Collector

Tinnevelly

RECEIVED
26 MAY 1899
TREASURY DEPUTY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.

Dated 25th
Despatched May 1899
Received

D Dis. No 622/acct of 99

ENCLOSURES. nil

SUBJECT.

Informing that T.V. Ramachandra Iyer was shown in the annual Return for 1880 as acting as he was ~~only~~ drawing acting allowance from

31 July 75

Ref Dis No. 1639/Acct Sub of 99

NORTH ARCOT COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
Chittoor, 5th July 1899.

FROM M.R.Ry T. Gopalan Nayar avl. B.A. B.L.
~~THE~~ DEPUTY COLLECTOR
IN CHARGE OF TREASURY,
North Arcot,

TO

THE Treasury Dy Collector
Tinnevelly

SIR,

ENCLOSURES.

In continuation of this office Ref: on Accts Sub No. 1639 dated 26th ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that from the pay abstracts and leave statements of March, April and May 1887, it appears that T. V. Ramachandra Iyer drew pay as 6th clerk, Sub Collector's office of this district @ Rs 15-0-0 from 12th to 16th March 1887, and was on leave on loss of pay from 17-3-1887 to 25-5-1887, but that his name does not find place in the April list of that year either under Huzur or Taluk Establishment. There is no information available now in this office as to the cause of the omission.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servant

Dy Collector

9
22
673

FROM

THE DEPUTY COLLECTOR

IN CHARGE OF TREASURY,

North Arcot,

RECEIVED
9 - JUL. 1899
TREASURY DEPUTY COLLECTOR'S OFFI

TO

THE Treasury Dy Collector
Tinnevelly

Dated 5th
Despatched } July 1899.
Received

No.

ENCLOSURE

SUBJECT.

Informing that T. V. Rama
chandra Iyer drew pay as 6th
clerk Sub Colr's office from 12th
to 16th march 1887 but that no
information is available in
his office as to the cause of omis
sion of his name in the April
list of that year.

Account DEPARTMENT.

No.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
Camp, Tinnevelly
13th July 1899.

FROM J. P. Bedford Esquire
~~THE~~ Acting COLLECTOR OF
Tinnevelly.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
17 JUL 1899
MADRAS

31

TO

THE Accountant General
Fort Saint George.

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. $\frac{A.D.K}{34}$ dated 10th May 1899 on the pension application on behalf of T. V. Ramachandraiyar late 5th Vernacular clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly, I have the honor to state that, it appears from the pay bill and the leave statement for March. April & May 1887, the applicant drew pay as 6th clerk Sub Collector's office North Arcot @ Rs 15-0-0 per mensem from 12th to 16th March 1887 and was on leave on loss of pay from 17th March 87 to 25 May 1887: but his name does not appear in the April list of that district for 1887. The Treasury Deputy Collector of North Arcot states that information is not available in his office as to the cause of the omission (vide his letter Rep: on Cur No. $\frac{1639}{acc}$ of 99 dated 5th July 1899 submitted in original).

2. The Treasury Deputy Collector of Tanjore states that the applicant drew acting allowance of Rs 7-8-0 per mensem from 31st July 1879 and

DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF
Tinnevelly

TO

THE Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Dated 13
Despatched 14 } July 1899.
Received

Ref. No. 1183/acc of 99.

ENCLOSURES. 25.

SUBJECT.

Submitting a reply to the objections on the pension application on behalf of T.V. Ramachandraiyar late 5th Ver. clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly.

[A./1.]
500—11-5-98.

C. No. 673
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers:—

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly dated 4th April 1899, No. 1183

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 27th July 1899, No. A.D.J./228

ORDER THEREON, No. 611 dt 4th Septr. 1899

Character & conduct Indifferent

The applicant while 5th English Accountant on Rs 20/- in the Tanjore Collector's office in 1885 was dismissed from the service in connection with the Tanjore remission frauds of 1885 – G.O. No. 122 Rev. d/15-2-86. On appeal to Govt, the punishment of dismissal was converted into one of suspension for 1 year & 11 days from the date of dismissal & he was then transferred to the Tinnevelly Dt. as a clerk on Rs 20/- in the Collector's office where he was subsequently promoted to Rs 30/-.

The applicant's service falls short of 18 years by only 26 days. If, as the Collector recommends, this deficiency be condoned by Govt. under art. 465 A. of the C.S.R. the applicant will be eligible for a pension of Rs 9/- p.m. against Rs 8-8-0 otherwise admissible. For orders as to whether the concession may be allowed.

KS
14.8.99

Secy.
Submitted that it should not be allowed in view of his record & the certificate of character & conduct – "Indifferent". He may get Rs 8-8-0
16/8

Under articles 485 & 518 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations (3rd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Tiruvadi Venkataram Ramachandraiyar, late 5th Vernacular Clerk, Collr's office, Tinnevelly, an invalid pension

H.M. I don't think he should get quite full pension (8.8.0). Rs. 8.4.0. seems enough
17 8 99

of Rupees (8-8-0) Eight annas eight only p.m. payable at Tanjore from 30th March 1899 in consideration of his qualifying super. service of 17 years, 11 months and 4 days.

Collr. recommends Rs 9 ... Just refuse to condone & give him Rs 8-8-0
18-8-99

~~2. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant's character & conduct have not been thoroughly satisfactory.~~

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

DMA
18/8/99. " " Collr. of Tinnevelly

The Govt. declines to condone the deficiency in the applicant's service to complete 18 years' service.

25.8.99

Pro!

29/viii/99

30 8 99

When given for copying
When copied
When examined 189
When signed

READ AGAIN G.O., No. ; DATED 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.