

Pension (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 589

DATED 24-8-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Cons. to Mr. H. C. Cardozo letter from
the Govt of India re. the grant of a special pen-
sion the copy of letter No 340 Ben 7/12 June/99.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[R. E.]
43.
10-8-96.

Pension (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 681

From

The Govt of India,
Rw & Agrl. Dept.

No. 1691-100-2

Order of 26 Aug. 1899 No. 559.

Communicated with a copy of

Order No. 340 Pension, 12 June 1899, to Mr.

H. O. Cardoso.

12-8-99

15/8/99 17 82

Dated 24/7/99.

Received 2/8/99.

Registered do.

Enclosures Nil.

Spare copies. do.

SUBJECT.

Stating that the Govt of India regret they are unable to support the proposal made by this Govt. for the grant of a special pension of Rs. 6000. per annum to Mr. H. O. Cardoso, late Supt. Madras Survey.

To H. O. Cardoso Esq. C.E.
with a copy of Order No. 340 Pension
12-8-99

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 1691-100-2.



FROM

THE HON'BLE MR. M. FINUCANE, C.S.I.,

Offg. Secretary to the Government of India,

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Pension Department,



Simla, the 24th July 1899.

Department of Revenue
and Agriculture.
Land-Surveys.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.340 dated the 12th June 1899, to the address of the Finance and Commerce Department, in which Mr.H.O'C Cardozo, late Superintendent of the Madras Revenue Survey Department, is recommended for a special pension of Rs.6,000/- per annum, I am directed to say that the Government of India, while accepting the estimate of the Governor in Council of the value of Mr.Cardozo's services, regret that they are unable to support the proposal in the face of the orders from the Secretary of State, communicated to you with Financial Resolution No.3842 P dated the 1st September 1898.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. Finucane

Offg. Secretary.

From the Govt of India No 1691-100-2 CNo 687. 22
7 24-7-88

Refusing an extra pension of Rs 1000 p.a. to
Mr Cardoso.

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

For Financial Resolution No 3842 P

of 1st Sept. 1898, please see page flagged X. This Reso-
lution was recorded in The Pension G.O. No 728 of 25th
Sept. 1898. The Sec^y of State says that in future the
ordinary pension of Rs 500/- and the special additional
pension of Rs 1000/- in such circumstances as are covered
by the last paragraph of Sir Henry Fowler's despatch
of the 11th April 1895 No 59, may be held to be the high-
est amounts to be awarded, unless under wholly ex-
ceptional circumstances.

Sir Henry Fowler's Despatch of 11th April
1895, No. 59 is stated as read in the Govt. of India
Resolution No 2958 P of 22-6-95, ^{but is} not quoted in full.
This Resolution announces that officers holding any of
the appointments enumerated in the list appended thereto
shall be eligible for an additional pension of Rs 1000/- a year
provided they have rendered not less than 3 years of effective
service in the appointments & provided also that in each
case during such service the officer has shown such
special energy and efficiency as may be considered deserving
of the concession. Art. 519 of the C.S.R. is a repro-
duction of this Resolution.

Secy

As we have not
the full text of Sir H Fowler's
despatch No 59 of 11 April 95

This will be a great disappointment
to Mr. Cardoso, & I am
sorry -
It may be furnished confidentially
with a copy of our letter to the
Govt of India, to show him that
a strong representation was made
by this Govt. on his behalf &
& of India's reply &
There is nothing confidential in it,
& it will give Mr. C. some
satisfaction - W.D.

5-8-98

Yes, I think Mr. Cardoso may see our letter

as well as the reply.

2. 94

7.8.99

Y

H.M.

H.E.

The point is that
the Supr. Res. Survey is
not in the list of
app'ts at Y.

It seems useless
to ask for the despatch
in full.

May the papers be

Recorded - ?

11-1-98 99

before us, it is impossible
to say whether India's objection
is valid. May a copy of
the despatch be asked
for?

Me
4/8/88

See

Please see draft below
on the docket

Me
15/8/88

[Faint handwritten notes in the left margin, including "This is a copy of the original" and "The original is in the possession of the Government"]

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.