

Pension (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

(43)
G.O., No. 269

DATED 11-5-99

R.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Withholding, with remark, the under ~~act~~ ^{rule} Rule (3) (10) of the Govt. of India Memorial Rules the petition, addressed to Govt. of India, from T. C. Ramaswamy Pillai, pensioned ^{as} ~~in~~ Police, Tanjore, requesting either that he may be given the full pension admissible ~~admissible~~ under the rules ~~and~~ or that his Memorial may be forwarded to India.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	1272	Pen	7-11-90				
	383	do	30-4-91				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Pension
Judicial (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. ³³¹
~~1048~~



From

W. J. A. Sullivan Esqr.
Ag. Insp. Genl. of Police
Madras

Endorsement No. 1046
Order
Transferred to the
Pension Department

No. 1455.

Dated 11th April 1899

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting a memorial from *T. C. Ramasawani Pillai* pensioned 4th class Inspector of Police, *Lanjore*, requesting either that he may be given his full pension etc. or that his memorial to the Government of India may be forwarded.

Para. v.(3) of the C. Pension Rules.

2. The petitioner's memorial to the Govt. of India will be *has been* withheld under rule (3) (10) of the Govt. of India Memorial rules.

1st
26.4.99
To the Pbr.

The Pension Dept.

11/4/99

11/4/99

14/4/99

Ag. Under Secretary

Atkin

Order 11-5-99 No 269

note no new found

what will be with held

note from 6 mos late

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To

His Excellency The Governor in Council
Fort St. George
Madras.

The humble memorial of
J.C. Ramasamy Pillay
Pensioned 4th Class Ins-
pector of Police, Tanjore
Of now residing at M-
anumbuchanady, Tanjore.

May It Please your Excellency,

That your Excellency's humble
memorialist Submitted in March. 1891 a
memorial to His Excellency Lord Wenlock,
the then Governor of Madras for a favour-
able reconsideration of the Sinec order pass-
ed on his Case.

He has not been favoured
with a reply. Now hearing that your
Excellency is distributing impartial justice
and redressing grievances he against makes
bold to appeal to your Excellency's mercy.
At the time his pension was awarded he
was doubly punished, first by reducing
his pension to Rs 25. while he was entitled
to Rs 34-12-0 for his long and useful
Service of 30 years, Second, by with-
holding this small pension for 15 months
to make up for Rs 365-4-5 the aggregate
amount of horse allowance which he was
reported to have drawn fraudulently.
His Explanation for charges brought

No. He was given a reply
- vide 50 No 385 of 30/4/91
- May B -

80. 1272 - May C
7.11.90

commendation.

Tanjore

*Most humble & obedient
Memorialist Submitted in March 8
Memorial to His Excellency L. A. B. ...
the then Governor of Massachusetts.
able reconsideration & favorable
ed on his Case*

11

The above named
Humble for and by the Honorable
Excellency the Lord Chancellor
that the Justice of Peace for the County of
the Peace of the County of
Excellency the Lord Chancellor

ed on his case.
The Government
able reconstruction of the Government
the then Government of Massachusetts a former
submitted to his Excellency John Wentworth,
presented at Washington on March 1891 as

This explanation for charges brought
 amount of some allowance which he was
 to make up for \$35.45 the appropriate
 taking the small pension for 12 months
 service of 30 years, desired, pay with \$0-
 to \$34-12-0 for his food and washing
 his pension to \$25. while he was entitled
 was thoroughly furnished, first by receiving
 at the time his pension was awarded he
 had to appear to your Excellency's order
 and receiving provisions he again wanted
 Excellency is distributing his pension just
 with a reply. Now hearing that your
 He has not been furnished

To,

His Excellency the Viceroy
and Governor General
in Council

INDIA

Calcutta.

The humble Memorial of **T. C. Ramaswami Pillai** Pensioned 4th Class Inspector of Police, Madras, now residing in Tanjore.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

That the memorialist most respectfully begs with liberty to approach Your Excellency in Council in order to lay his grievances unredressed rightly by the Madras Government, though they were very deserving and iniquitous considering the long and good service rendered by him in the most difficult of all Public Departments, the Police, almost from his boyhood for a period of more than 30 years.

2. Your memorialist commenced service in the Department on the 24th March 1860 in the Tanjore District as a first class constable and was confined to writing work in the Head Quarters Police office there, whence his services were transferred to the Trichinopoly District during its Police organization in which he was found very useful.

3. Your memorialist rose to the rank of Inspector on the 1st June 1866 and served in the office of the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Vide A. In 1867 he was made Audit Inspector in Trichinopoly and on the 23rd July 1868 transferred to South Arcot District as Sub-Division Office Inspector.

4. In November 1870, Your memorialist was again transferred to the staff of the Tanjore Police as an Audit Inspector which office he continued till May 1885. Vide B. As such he was promoted to the rank of 3rd class Inspector, and as there

was a prohibition in the office to retain a third class Inspector in the Account Department, Your memorialist was against his will and owing to bad luck put in charge of Divisional work to which he was perfectly ignorant; for he never during his infancy trained himself up for out-door work.

5. As a Division Inspector Your memorialist did his work satisfactorily while at Mayaveram; but in a rioting case committed in Courtallum, to the greatest surprise and misfortune, the Sessions Judge of Tanjore had to pass a serious remark against the Police, in which Your memorialist fell a victim the consequence thereof being a reduction from Rs. 100 to 50 on a sudden and transfer to Arantangi Division—a jungle place and the worst in the Tanjore District.

6. This occurred in May 1887, when memorialist fell ill on account of the lament with which he was led to suffer, really became sick of asthma and piles, and thereupon applied for pension, thinking at the same time that his further continuance of service would not prove beneficial either to himself in health and mind or public service; but to his misfortune, the then Civil Surgeon Dr. Ritchie certified that he was still fit to continue in the service.

7. Accordingly, Your memorialist was ordered back to Arantangi Division Vide C, where he had to travel long distances in the dry Taluk. For nearly 25 years he served in the office and the rest in out-door work—a short period of 5 years brought on him serious risk, such as depromotion and dissatisfaction of superior officers.

8. With all the difficulty he had to pass, Your memorialist got a pension of Rs 25 *per mensem* instead of Rs 34—12—0 to which he was entitled under the Pension Code for a period of service of more than 30 years (Vide Enclosure D.) G. O. No. 1272 dated 7th November 1890. Pension Department. It was ordered that the pension is reduced to Rs 25 on account of unsatisfactory conduct and this reduced pension was not even to be drawn for the next 15 months as he drew a horse allowance of Rs. 365—4—5 fraudulently. Thus there was a double punishment inflicted on an old servant in his old age without any chance of living for the next 15 months and without any means of supporting himself to enjoy his pension for a day.

9. Your memorialist was not furnished with a copy of the Inspector General's letter No. 5154 dated 9th September 1890 quoted at the top of the G. O. or with a copy of Superintendent's letter reporting on his case. He was however furnished by the Superintendent with copy of some charges against him and was called on to explain. Even this explanation does not appear to have been laid before the Madras Government when the double punishment was inflicted on him. Vide E.

10. It would be seen that Your memorialist continued in service for a period of more than 30 years in which he was not subject to any Defaulters while in office

for 25 years except that which befell on him at the subsequent period of 5 years while at out-door work. It was not even concerning him independently, but the remark of the Sessions Judge was a general one. The evil star that surrounded him at the time of his illness added to this remark brought on him the depromotion and dissatisfaction of his superior officer.—Under several officers he had served and earned a good testimony. Copies subjoined and marked F, G, H, J, K, L, M, N, O, P, the perusal of which will convince Your Lordship in Council, that the remark was misapplied to him.

11. In the appeal to the Local Government, Your memorialist was unable to explain all these circumstances owing to his mental debility and physical strength. However with the very little explanation afforded in the Appeal Petition (copy enclosed Q) that Government was pleased to direct that half of Your memorialist's pension viz: Rs. 12—8—0 should be stopped and the other half be paid towards his maintenance. Vide R.

12. This order is unbiased on good grounds, and feeling dissatisfied with the same, Your memorialist most respectfully submits this appeal to Your Lordship in Council with sanguine hope that it would meet the benign consideration and pour on the humble memorialist the mercy and justice aimed for.

13. Should Your Lordship in Council on the persual of all papers connected with Your humble memorialist's case be of the same opinion as that of the Local Government and order that the pension due to him was rightly reduced by Rs 9, he will quietly submit to the decision, but proceeding to the other punishment of stopping Rs. 365 out of a small pension with a chance of his dying at any time within 15 months by starvation, human life being very uncertain, is very horrible; and against this he is constrained to appeal and prostrate before Your Lordship in Council, Ah! for mercy and justice.

14. Your memorialist has no doubt spoken the bare truth in admitting that he possessed no horse or pony but a conveyance with two bullocks the up-keep of which would undoubtedly cost more than the allowance he drew during his tenure of office. Besides he was not able to ride on horseback as he was unaccustomed to it from his childhood and the debility caused from his dotage and sickness of asthma and piles. Your memorialist is not the only exception; but almost all native Inspectors who as a mere show keep ponies not knowing the use of them. The Civil Travelling Allowance Code calls this allowance "a monthly Travelling Allowance," and the memorialist got only Rs. 10 as his allowance which was hardly enough for maintaining a bandyman and two bullocks.

15. No doubt Your memorialist sprung from a respectable family being the son of a Native Commissioned Officer a Jemadar in Her Majesty's Indian Army,

Chinnatamby Royah who served for 42 years and died shortly after his retirement on pension without fully reaping the benefit of his labour.

16. Your humble memorialist is come to crisis of making some startling revelations. Superior Officers of Police, conscientious of themselves will admit that there are in the Police even to-day viz: 1. Police Inspectors who never sat on horseback. 2. Never rode though they kept or borrowed for a mere show to superiors, and 3 never kept or rode a horse but drove in bandies though they actually drew the so-called horse allowance. While such is the state of things, Your memorialist is asked to refund out of his small pension a moiety to make good what he drew and more than which he had actually spent in the up-keep of a bandy driver and bullocks.


In these circumstances, Your memorialist prays that his hard and pitiable case may be taken into your Lordship's benign consideration and that his full pension be directed to be sanctioned; and that should this concession be not granted he may be excused from the liability to pay Rs. 365, and that he may be paid his pension from the date of retirement from service under the Government in which he served for more than 30 years Vide S.

Enclosed
10/11/98

I am ore
10/11/98

For which act of Kindness and charity
he will pray for Your Lordship's long
life and prosperity.

Ramasaamy
Pensioned Inspector
of Police Sanyasidh



CURRENT No. 1648

Chief Office of the
Inspector General of Police,
Madras 11th April 1899.

N. 1455.

Submitted to Government with reference to
C. O. N. 1272, Pension, dated 7th November 1890
B. and N. 385, Pension, dated 30th April 1891.

Douglas Robertson

for Ag. Insp. Genl of Police.

15

17

To

His Excellency Lord Alenlock,
GOVERNOR OF MADRAS.

*The humble memorial of T. C. RAMASAMI PILLAI
Pensioned 4th Class Inspector of Police, Tanjore,
District.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:—

1. That Your Excellency's humble memorialist served in the most difficult of all Public departments, the Police, almost from his boyhood, for a period of more than 30 years, and is now thrown on the cold charities of the world to beg from door to door at the evening of his life and that therefore his pitiable case deserves, the benign consideration of Your Excellency's Government.

2. That in G. O. No. 1272 dated 7th November 1890 Pension department (copies herewith attached) your humble memorialist's case is dealt with—he served more than 30 years and is entitled to a pension of Rs. 34—12—0 per mensem. But it was reduced to Rs. 25 per mensem on account of unsatisfactory conduct. But even this reduced pension is not to be drawn for the next 15 months as he drew a horse allowance of Rs. 365-4-5 fraudulently. Thus a double punishment was inflicted on an old servant in his old age without any chance of living for the next 15 months and without any means of supporting himself to enjoy his pension for a day.

3. Your humble memorialist has not been furnished with a copy of the Inspector General's letter quoted as of the G. O. or with a copy of the Superintendent's letter reporting on his case. He was however furnished by the Superintendent with a copy of some charges against him and was called on to explain. Even this explanation of his, does not appear to have been before the Government when this double punishment was inflicted on him.

4. If Your Excellency's Government on the perusal of all his papers is of opinion that the pension due to him was rightly reduced by Rs. 9 your humble memorialist will quietly submit to the decision, but the other punishment of stopping Rs. 365 out of his small pension with a chance of his dying at any time within 15 months by starvation, is simply horrible and, against this, he appeals for mercy and justice.

5. Your humble memorialist entered the Police almost at its organization at its lower grade, not as an executive Police officer, but as an office hand, did the duties of circuit Clerk to the Deputy Inspector General of Police for several years and then stuck up in the Tanjore Police office as Audit Inspector for the most of his service. By some understanding, if not the rule in the Department, he could not rise beyond 4th Class. He was 5th and 4th Class for several years, then promoted to 3rd Class. Being office hand he was not up to executive work, but still, he was compelled to go to Division work much against his will to retain this higher Class. He got into troubles for want of experience—he was reduced from Rs. 100 to 50 and posted again to another Division. To his already found incompetency for divisional work, his physical debility was added—he suffered from piles and asthma, and he applied to be unfitted in May 1887, but the Doctor—the hard hearted gentleman would not let him go, but found him fit for further service—your humble memorialist had to submit to fate—he was posted to a new Division Aranthangy in Pattukotah Taluq a dry Taluq with villages at long distances and consequently with Police Stations at longer distances, than in any other Division of the District. Your humble memorialist had of course to travel, but, how—he had never ridden a pony or a horse from his childhood. His long service of 30 years and his old age with asthma and piles—this is not the time when he can be asked to ride. Except in the case of some Europeans, your memorialist will

challenge public servants, particularly, natives of 30 years standing, to ride 15 or 20 miles a day. So your memorialist never kept a pony, (as several Police Inspectors do merely for show), because he could not ride, but he had to travel and so, kept a bandy and a pair of bullocks to carry himself about and his things throughout his Division with Rs. 50 per mensem. The Civil Travelling Allowance Code calls this allowance "a monthly travelling allowance" and your memorialist only got Rs. 10 per mensem when more than that was spent for bandy-men and bullocks.

6. Your humble memorialist is come to crisis of making some startling revelations. Superior officers of Police are aware and conscientious Police officers will admit that there are in the Police even to day. (1) Police Inspectors who never sat on horse back, (2) who never rode though they kept or borrowed one for show to superiors and (3) who never kept or rode a horse but drove in bandies though they drew the so-called horse allowance. While this is the state of things, your humble memorialist is made a scape-goat of and asked to refund out of his small pension in instalments (the whole of his monthly pension for 15 months) to make good what he drew and more than which he has spent in the upkeep of a bandy and bullocks.


7. Your humble memorialist therefore begs that his hard and pitiable case may be reconsidered and that his full pension be sanctioned and that if this cannot be done, he may be excused from the liability to pay Rs. 365 and let him off with only one punishment of reduction of pension and that he may be paid his pension from the date of retirement. If his request is not granted, he is afraid he will die of hunger and starvation which will do no credit to the Government which he served for more than 30 years.

For which act of kindness and charity, he will pray for Your Excellency's long life, and prosperity.

TANJORE.
March
January 1891.

*Received and
Prescribed by
Inspector of Police,*

Tanjore District.



ENCLOSURE.

A.

Ramasamy Pillay was Writer in the Dy. Inspector's Office under my immediate orders for 3 years. I find him useful and trustworthy and I have much pleasure in testifying to his uniform attendance to his duties.

October-2-1867.

(Signed) G. HEARN.

D. I. G.

B.

Thro' the Ag. Asstt. Superdt. of Police,
SOUTH ARCOT.

Extract from the District Police Orders dated 4th November 1870.

ORDER.

Under Orders from the Inspector General of Police the following Inspectors are transferred.

6th Class Inspector Ramasamy Pillay from South Arcot to Tanjore District as Audit Inspector.

6th Class Inspector M. Venkat Row from Coimbatore to South Arcot District.

Inspector Ramasamy Pillay will continue to have charge of his Division till relieved by an Inspector.

(A True Extract)

T. H. B. YOUNG.

CAPTAIN,

Ag. Superdt. of Police S. A.

To,

INSPECTOR RAMASAMY PILLAY,

E. I. DIVISION,

Sangarapoorum.

5-11-70.

C.

Extract from District Orders.

No. 484.

As the District Surgeon Tanjore certified that he finds no medical grounds for considering Inspector Ramasamy Pillay unfit for further service, Inspector T.C. Ramasamy Pillay will join his Division E. II, at once.

TANJORE,

6-6-1887.

To,

A. SHUNMUGA SUNDARAM,

Hd. Qr. INSPECTOR.

INSPECTOR T. C. RAMASWAMY PILLAY.

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:—

From the Inspector-General of Police, dated 9th September 1890 No. 5154.

Certificate and Report from the Accountant-General, dated 6th October 90 A D J/432.

Order thereon 7th November 1890, No. 1272.

Under articles 509 & 518 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations (6th Edition) the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to T. C. Ramaswami Pillay late 4th class Inspector of Police, Tanjore District, a retiring pension of Rupees (25—0—0) Twenty five per mensem payable at Tanjore, Tanjore District from 1st July 1890 in consideration of his qualifying superior service of thirty years and twenty six days.

2. His absences without leave as specified in the 2nd page of the application have under article 464 of the Regulations been committed into leave without allowances.

3. The applicant's character and conduct not having been satisfactory, the maximum pension admissible (Rs. 34—12—1) has not been granted.

4. The pension sanctioned above will not be disbursed until the sum of Rs. 365-4-5, being the amount of horse allowance, fraudulently drawn by the applicant has been recovered.

(True extract)

(Signed) R. C. C. Carr,
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

To,

The Inspector-General of Police.

(True copy)

No. 6402.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR,
General of Police,
Madras, 12th November 1890. }

(Signed) J. MEREDITH,
Ag. Asst. Inspector General of Police.

To,

The Superintendent of Police,

Tanjore.

(True copy)

DISTRICT POLICE OFFICE,
Tanjore 18th November 1890. }

T. E. THOMAS,
Superintendent of Police.

To,

Pensioned Inspector T. C. Ramasami Pillay.

EXPLANATION.

MOST HONORED SIR,

Begging your honour to excuse me for sending in by explanation now, as I needed time to consider over the charges against me, I beg to submit the following:—

1. With reference to the first I must frankly confess, as I have before admitted to the Superintendent of Police in person, that no horse was kept by me during all the time I was in Arantangi. In deciding upon my explanation on this point I beg Your Honour to take

into consideration the fact that I am not an health vigorous young man capable of riding on horse back. Besides, it should also be submitted that I suffer from piles and asthma for which diseases I should avoid the horse back. Being so advised I had to abstain. I had not the slightest idea of defrauding the Government of their money by sending in false certificates & drawing the allowance. The purpose for which a horse has to be kept was well served in my case by a conveyance. The bullock carriage in my use together with the pair of animals kept for drawing it were no less costly in their keeping than a horse. It may even be mentioned here that more than the allowance had at times to be defrayed for the up keep of the carriage and bullocks. All the civil account code speaks of is a permanent travelling allowance for conveyance, my conduct is therefore no violation of the rules of the code itself.

In short the whole case is this. I am an old Police man unfit for the violent exercise of horse riding not only on account of age but also for the reason of my maladies asthma and piles. I kept a bullock carriage whose maintenance was as costly as if not more than the allowance. Had as much locomotion in the carriage as I would have had an horse back. In no case has the failure to keep a horse or a pony proved injurious to the proper performance of duties or my travelling. I have only drawn from the Government treasury money actually spent by me for the means and aids to locomotion though not a horse a carriage it was. All that is required by the civil account code I have performed.

I also beg to remind your honor that in 1887 I applied for permission to retire, but the Doctor did not unfortunately unfit me then; had he done which all these troubles would not have befallen me on the eve of my retiring from the force after 30 years good service. My sole reason, for applying for pension then were my growing age and infirmities on account of piles & asthma & my inability to sit on horse back in consequence.

Though the want of medical certificate of unfitness for further services retained me in it yet it was unable to cure me of my disease and fit me for violent exercise. I therefore submit that your honor will be pleased to excuse me for sending in certificates false only to the letter of the law but time in its spirit and effect.

2. The 2nd charge is one that could not admit of much explanation. I do not deny the facts mentioned therein but have only to beg your honor's pardon for so doing. That pardon would I am sure be readily accorded to me for the reason that it was through fear I was tempted to make such an averment. I was in great fear of some evil happening to me where I do admit all at once that I kept a carriage & not a horse. When I was pressed more than once to produce my horse I naturally got frightened and so was forced to keep to the false statement for sometime to confess which was accordingly done.

Had it been my real object to cheat and desemble it would not have been impossible for me to borrow a horse for a few days & manage its ride in your presence.

3. My forgetfulness the result of my age is the only answer of this charge. It was not my fault if I remained in service after 1887.

The infirmities of old age would not leave me though in service. Again it was not through my dishonest intention or bad motive the omission was caused, The charge itself makes no allegation.

4. Doubtless there was no list showing the distribution for each station in my division but there was a general list for the distribution of arms and accoutrements for the whole divi-

sion & that was shown. When I took charge of the division I only found the practice of keeping a general list of the distribution of arms & accoutrements throughout the whole division without a reference to each station & it was accordingly continued by me as it was not found fault with before. I am not therefore responsible for it.

5. The villages were actually visited by me but I forgot to keep the roster (village), regularly. & Hence the omission.

6. I totally deny the allegation contained in this charge. The Head constable has got a grudge against me and it is probably to gratify the same he concocted this complaint. The records will show that he has been recommended for punishment several times by me not less than thrice and the rolls so forwarded were sanctioned.

It is therefore not very strange that a Head Constable who has been punished on my recommendation not once but more than that, turns sound against me and concocts complaint.

The bazar accounts show entries on dates, it is said, that tally with period of the Inspector's visits. Is it impossible for the S. H. O. to induce the bazar man to make that entries on the days when I was there without in fact my receiving the things. The likely fact of his owing me a grudge is sufficient cause for him to prearrange matters & pounce upon me all on a sudden. The poor bazar man might have made entries in order to avoid the wrath of the local deity-the S. H. O. That Head Constable is the officer in charge of the station in whose Jurisdiction the bazar man lives. I therefore submit that S. H. O. and the bazar man might well have combined to make matters look like this.

If it was my object to abstract & destroy the S. H. O's complaint against me submitted with the S. H. reports would I have endorsed on the station report that I will make a separate report of this matter. If it not creating evidence against myself. I therefore request your honor to consider whether under these circumstances the complaint could be a true one and withholding of the same for a time could be with a view to abstract and destroy it. Before I so made a separate report your honor were informed and it was called for from me and in obedience to the order I sent it on.

7. I beg to submit that I was not indolent. I have visited the scenes of crime also those of crimes specifically mentioned in the charge, but I no doubt omitted to report it in the register my personal enquiries as that would be simply superfluous. The facts of the crime are once entered in the register and the report of the S. H. O. and it is therefore unnecessary to write out the same again. It is only my personal enquiries on the spot that do not find entries in the register.

8. In respect of this charge I beg to submit that no specific instances are stated were from the want of discipline among my man can reasonably be inferred. Easy as it is to make a charge it is difficult indeed very difficult to relent it. I have done my work as satisfactorily as I can but it is only my misfortune that your honor's are impressed unfavourably about it. The gross instance of the want of discipline referred to in the charge is one over which I could exercise very little control for the purpose of its prevention. It is not possible for me to physically prevent the man from getting drunk, but all that can be done is to report the case of any such excesses to the superior authorities. It is however alleged that there was great delay in reporting the matter & submitting punishment rolls. Time was necessary to

to take explanations from the man concerned and submit the rolls with their defence. The delay was inevitable and hence I was not responsible for it.

The statement of grave crimes embodied in the last sheet show, but fair results in the matter of detection.

In 1887 out of a total of 8 cases of different offences reported, three were detected in which 4 were convicted. In 1888 out of 27 cases of different offences 9 were detected & 16 persons were convicted. In 1889 out of 23 cases of different offences 10 were detected & 17 persons were convicted. In 1890 out of a total of 26 cases that were reported 11 were detected 14 were convicted. These results show about half the number of cases were detected and convicted. These I submit are fair end can well compare favourably with the results in other Divisions.

In conclusion I submit I have been in the service for 30 years and during that time more or less always I have done good service. Having retired from it I might well be allowed in consideration of my good & long service to enjoy its fruits.

My case is not that of one who is still under the yoke of office. As I am no more to remain in service; it would be very hard upon me to meet out any kind of punishment which would tend to affect my otherwise well earned pension. Doubtless owing to my age some mistakes might have been committed but as they are all trivial ones not having caused any loss to Government or harm to the public interests I solicit a pardon which I trust your honor will with usual generosity grant me.

(Signed) T. C. RAMASWAAMY PILLAI,

Tanjore.

LATE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
E. II. Division.

A True Copy.
Roll of man promoted to the rank of Head Constable subject to conformation.

General Number.	Rank.	Name.	Caste.	Age. Years.	Length of service inferior grades.	To what Rank promoted.	Why Promoted.	Remarks of Inspector General.
133	Deputy Constable.	T. C. Ramasamy Pillai.	Malabar.	20	15 Months.	Head Constable.	Has been employed for several months in the office as an English writer and accountant. He is a well behaved lad and will work the pay of a Head Constable. Has a fair knowledge of his duty.	Sanctioned on Probation. (Signed) C. S. HEARN, Ag. Inspector General of Police.

Office of the Superintendent of Police
Trichinopoly District,
1st November 1861.

(Signed) A. B. MARSACK Capt.
Superintendent of Police
TRICHINOPOLY

5th class Inspector. Ramasawmy Pillai account Department. Seems quick and intelligent and keep the books neatly.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENL.
of Police Madras,
10th March 1876.

(Signed) C. S. HEARN, Col.
Inspector Genl. of Police.

T. C. Ramaswami Pillai 5th Class Inspector Acting 4th Class Inspector, a vacancy exists and he deserves the extra allowance. I have no fault to find with his work which is always done well and up to time.

(Signed) T. E. THOMAS,
Ag. Supt. of Police.
17-11-76.

T. C. Ramaswamy Pillai, 6th class Inspector.
Recommended for 5th class.
Has done his work as 2nd office Inspector for 2½ years in Tanjore well and deserves a step.

Tanjore District,
29th April 1873.

Recommended.

(Signed) A. T. ROLLAND, CAPTAIN.
Superintendent of Police.

(Signed) A. BALMER MAJOR,
Dy. Inspector Genl. of Police.
3-5-73.

Sanctioned.

(Signed) W. S. DREVER Col.
Ag. Inspector Genl. of Police.
13-5-73.

5th Class Inspector H. J. C. Ramasawmy Pillai
To act as 4th class Vice Seshagiri Row recommended for 3rd class.
Is account Inspector and does his work well.

(Signed) A. T. ROLLAND, Capt.
Supt. of Police.
4-3-75.

This Inspector works well. He was promoted to 5th class in May 1873. Has passed Inspector's test. I recommend the promotion.

(Signed) W. O. SWANSTON, Col.
Dy. Inspector Genl.
13-3-75.

Sanctioned.

(Signed) C. S. HEARN, Col.
Inspector Genl. of Police.
18-3-75.

Recommended.

(Signed) A. M. DAVIES, Col.
Dy. Inspector Genl. of Police.

Sanctioned.

(Signed) C. S. HEARN, Col.
Inspector Genl. of Police.
23—11—76.

L.

T. C. Ramaswami Pillai 4th Class Inspector. Has acted as 1st Class Inspector. Vice 1st Class Inspector R. Raghavendra Row, who is on furlough for 15 months from 1st November 79 to 4th March 1880. During this time he did Head Quarter Inspector's work as well as his own (Account Department).

(Signed) A. T. ROLLAND, Major.
Supt. of Police.
26—6—80.

Recommended.

(Signed) A. M. DAVIS, Col.
Dy. Inspector Genl. of Police.

Sanctioned.

(Signed) C. S. HEARN, Col.
Inspector Genl. of Police.
3—7—80.

N.

T. C. Ramaswami Pillai. 4th Class 1879.
Do. Ag. 3rd Class 1881.

Account Inspector keeps his books neatly and well.

MADRAS, }
5th October 81. }

(Signed) R. H. Cunliffe, Lt. Col.
Ag. Dy. Inspector Genl. of Police,
S. RANGE.

O.

4th Class Inspector Ramaswami Pillai Account Inspector. Passed Departmental Test; does his work well: accounts and books well kept.

MADRAS, }
19th January 1883. }

(Signed) H. D. CLOETE Col.
Inspector Genl. of Police.

P.

4th Class Inspector T. C. Ramaswami Pillai. Instead of asking for the probation of this officer to be extended year by year, I beg to submit a roll that he may be confirmed in his appointment. He has passed Part I. He is Account Inspector and does his work well. He has 24 years service and only one year more to get the M. C. S. Pension after 25 years service if he is confirmed.

(Signed) F. BAGSHAWE,
Supt. of Police.
23—6—84.

Recommended for special sanction.

(Signed) A. M. DAVIES, Col.
Dy. Inspector Genl. of Police.
2—7—84.

Confirmed as special case.

(Signed) T. K. GUTHRIE Col.
Inspector Genl. of Police.
8—7—84.

R.

C. No. 280.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ THE FOLLOWING PETITION.

From T. C. Ramasami Pillai dated 6th March 1891,

Order 30th April 1891 No. 385.

Petitioner will be informed that his request either to be granted the maximum pension admissible to him under the rules or to be excused from making good the horse allowance of Rs. 365—4—5 fraudulently drawn by him cannot be complied with:

2. The Government however directs that in consideration of his having received no pension during the past 9 months Rs. 12—8—0 per mensem, half the pension sanctioned in G. O. dated the 7th November 1890 No. 1272, be disbursed to him from the 1st instant. Petitioner will of course be entitled to the full pension of Rs. 25—0—0 per mensem as soon as the sum of Rs. 365—4—5 has been recovered from him in full.

(True Extract).

E. A. ELWIN,
Ag. Assistant Secretary to Government.

To

T. C. RAMASAMI PILLAI,
Late Police Inspector, Tanjore District.
THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

History of service (showing interruption) of 4th Class Inspector T. C. Ramasamy Pillay.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.	Period not reckoned as service.	REMARKS.
		Rs. A. P.	Rs.			Y. M. D.	Y. M. D.	
Tanjore Police. Trichinopoly Police.	1st C. Constable...	7	...	24-3-60	17-7-60	3 24		Served under Superintendent Col. Duncan.
	"	7	...	18-7-60	30-9-60	2 13		Do. Col. Cloete.
	Dy. Constable ..	10 8	...	1-10-60	31-10-61	1 1		Do. Col. Marsack.
	Head Constable ..	20	...	1-11-61	30-10-63	1 11 29		Do. Col. Marsack & Col. Cox.
	Absence...	...	...	31-10-63	"	...	1	Do. Col. Cox.
South Arcot Police. Tanjore Police.	Head Constable ..	20	...	1-11-63	27-5-64	6 27		Do. Col. Cox.
	Absence...	...	...	28-5-64	"	...	1	Do. Dy. Insp. Genl. Col. G. Hearn & Col. Swanston
	Head Constable...	20	...	29-5-64	31-5-66	2 ...	2	Do. Do. & Major Hodges.
	6th C. Inspector...	40	...	1-6-66	22-7-68	2 1 22		Do. Supt. Col Young
	"	40	...	23-7-68	22-11-70	2 4 ...		Do. Col. Court & Col. Rolland.
	"	40	...	23-11-70	21-5-73	2 5 29		Do. Col. Rolland.
	5th C. Inspector...	50	...	22-5-73	31-1-75	1 8 9		Do. Do.
	Ag. 4th C. Insptr...	50	15	1-2-75	30-4-75	3 ...		Do. T. E. Thomas.
	5th C. Inspector...	50	...	1-5-75	2-12-76	1 7 2		Do. Do.
	Ag. 4th C. Insptr...	50	15	3-12-76	3-7-78	1 7 1		Do. Col. Rolland.
	5th C. Inspector...	50	...	4-7-78	7-7-78	...	4	Do. Do.
	Ag. 4th C. Insptr...	50	15	8-7-78	22-9-78	2 15		Do. Do.
	5th C. Inspector...	50	...	23-9-78	29-9-78	...	7	Do. Do.
	4th C. Inspector...	75	...	30-9-78	7-3-79	5 8		Do. Do.
	Ag. 1st C. Insptr...	75	40	8-3-79	17-4-79	1 10		Do. Do.
	4th C. Inspector...	75	...	18-4-79	31-10-79	6 13		Do. Do.
	Ag. 1st C. Insptr...	75	40	1-11-79	4-3-80	4 4		Do. Do.
	4th C. Inspector...	75	...	5-3-80	30-6-81	1 3 26		Do. Major Hodgson.
	Ag. 3rd C. Insptr...	75	20	1-7-81	31-3-82	9 ...		Do. Do.
	4th C. Inspector...	75	...	1-4-82	31-7-83	1 4 ...		Do. Col. Rolland & Mr. Bagshawe.
	Ag. 3rd C. Insptr...	75	20	1-8-83	27-10-84	1 2 27		Do. Mr. F. F. Bagshawe.
	4th C. Inspector...	75	...	28-10-84	7-3-85	4 10		Do. Do.
	Ag. 3rd C. Insptr...	75	20	8-3-85	10-5-85	2 3		Do. Do.
	3rd C. Inspector...	100	...	11-5-85	7-3-87	1 9 27		Do. Do.
	Under Suspension			8-3-87	16-5-87	...	2 9	Do. Do.
	5th C. Inspector...	50	...	17-5-87	31-3-90	2 10 14		Do. Do.
	4th C. Inspector...	70	...	1-4-90	30-6-90	3 ...		Do. Do.
Total period of Superior service. 30 ...							26 ...	2 11
Chief Office.	Memo No.	2392						
		14	587					

Under Superintendent T. E. Thomas from 89

No 3780 of 98,
Rev

Enues: 36

Endorsement on the petition
dated 10th August 1898 of J. C.
Ramasami Pillai pensioned 4th
Class Inspector of Police now living
at Manombacharadi Sanyore, —
submitting his memorial to His
Excellency the Governor in Council
order.

The petitioner should submit
his memorial through the —
Superiors of the Department in
which he served.

Sanyore Collector's office } Intivaparamandi
26th August 1898 } For Ag Collector

DESPATCHED
1 SEP 1898

To the petitioner

1898
Dept
Enues. No. 2
To
O. No. 1148

The Superintendent of Police
Bangalore

A circular ink stamp from the Office of the Inspector General. The outer ring contains the text "OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL" at the top and "U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE" at the bottom. In the center, there is a date stamp "27 MAR 1999" and a small, illegible mark above it.

After a long service of 30 years, I was given a pension of Rs 25 per mensem under the pension Code. I was entitled to Rs 34-12-6 a month. But on account of an alleged misconduct, the Government on the recommendation of Mr. J. E. Howard the Superintendent of Police not only reduced the pension to Rs 25 a month, but also stopped a month of the pension for 15 months till the amount which the petitioner had drawn as horse allowance was recovered.

I humbly beg that your Honor
will be pleased to make due enquiry's
into the matter, and to satisfy yourself
that the double punishment inflicted
on her was both unjust and cruel.

As the Government is disposed
to do me a good turn, and if the petition
is sent through the proper Channel. I request
that you will kindly forward it with
your remarks. Obedt to Genl
C.

King to Pearson
Sir

Sir
your most Obedient Servant
R. A. M. and
Provisional Inspector of Police
Tanjore District

C 94/98

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____ DATED _____
 189

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.