Pension (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 181.

DATED 15-3-99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a gratuity of Rs. to

late

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[A/1]
500—11-5-98.

C. No. 200

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Dt. Judge, Salem dated 17th Jany. 1899; No. 58

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 2-3-1899, No. A.D.J./492

ORDER THEREON, 15th March 1899 No. 181.

Character – Fair

Suspended for one month in May 1886 for neglect of duty in guarding the Court house.

Maxm. gratuity = Rs 42-„-„

3.3.99

Secy
This may be condoned? & full gratuity passed?
4/3/99

16/3

Under articles 485 & 524 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations (3rd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Kondampatti Marunda Muttuswami Pillai, late process-server, Dt. Munsif's Ct., Namakkal, an invalid gratuity

Forty two only of Rupees (42-„-„) payable at Namakkal ~~from~~ in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 21 years, 2 months and 11 days.

14.3.99

15/3/99

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
„ „ Dt. Judge, Salem

less than 20 years,

Certified that the finger-prints recorded on the right hand margin of this, have been taken in my presence and under my personal superintendance the left hand of the individual whose gratuity forms the subject of this application

(1) 467 M[illegible] District mu

APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY.

Received with Dt. Judge's letter No. 58 of 17-1-99

1. Name of Applicant. Kondampatti Maruda Muttuswami pillai.

2. Father's name. Maruda pillai

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Other Hindu. Vellalas Sivite

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Kondampatty, Namakkal Taluk, Salem District.

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Process server Process service Establishment district munsif's court Namakkal.

6. Date of beginning of service. 3rd February 1870.

7. Date of ending of service. 11th December 1898.

8. Length of service, including interruptions

	Y.	M.	D.
Length of service, including interruptions	28	10	9.
of which superior	"	"	"
inferior	21 ~~25~~	2 ~~2~~	11 ~~26~~
non-qualifying and interruptions	7 ~~3~~	7 ~~7~~	28 ~~13~~

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Invalid gratuity Incapacity for further service vide Salem district medical and sanitary officer's certificate hereunto annexed.

10. ~~[Average] emoluments or~~ pay. Rs 7 – 0 – 0.

11. Proposed pension. nil.

12. Proposed gratuity. Rs 42 – 0 – 0 equal to six months pay under Article 524 (a) V of civil service Regulations 3rd Edition.

13. Date from which pension is to commence. nil

14. Place of payment. Namakkal. Salem district.

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. 1st July 1843.

16. Height. 5 feet ~~6~~ 5½ inches.

17. Marks. 1 A scar on his left arm just above his fingers 2 Small pox marks on the Nose. (3) A raised mole an inch and a half behind the left ear. (4) A mole on the back of left hand near the wrist.

18. Date. 4th January 1899.

Thum

1st Fing

2nd Fin

3rd Fi

4th Finger

R Ashgirh
Ag Head Clerk

District munsif
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

---

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

---

less than 20 years, The Acc

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Kondampatti Maruda Muttuswami Pillai Process Server district munsif's court Namakkal.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Process service Establishment district munsif's court Namakkal from 1-9-1877 to 11-12-1898 Process service Establishment district munsif's court Salem from 3-2-70 to 31-8-1877. Dm	Batta peon	No government pay. Battas collected from parties were given to peons of this kind.		3-2-1870	15-8-73	(a)	"	"	3	6	13	Nonqualifying service vide condition 3 Art 393	Service from 16th ~~August 1873~~ 1st September 1877 to 11th December 1898 (both days inclusive) verified from the pay bills and acquittance Rolls maintained in this court. Service from 16-8-73 to 31-8-1877 both days inclusive not verifiable from records and therefore the same is not claimed. Dm.	(a) Does not count having been remunerated by fees. (b) Rejected for want of verification from the records of the local office; the rejection of this period of service does not however affect the amount of gratuity otherwise admissible to the applicant. Verified from the records of the Dt. Munsif's Court Namakkal & the verification confirmed by reference to the annual Returns on record in this office.
					31-8-77	(b)	"	"	4	0	15			
	Process Server no 46	6-0-0	" " "	16-8-73	~~5-6-82~~	~~8~~	~~9~~	~~20~~	"	"	"			
	Process server	6-0-0	" " "	1-9-77	5-6-82	4	9	5	-	-	-			
	Do no 39	6-0-0	" " "	6-6-82	25-10-82	0	4	20	"	"	"			
	Do no 27	6-0-0	" " "	26-10-82	31-3-84	1	5	5	"	"	"			
	Do no 19	6-0-0	" " "	1-4-84	27-5-86	2	1	27	"	"	"			
	do no 19. Under suspension			28-5-86	31-5-86	0	0	0	0	0	3.			
	Do no 18	under suspension		1-6-86	27-6-86	0	0	0	0	0	27			
	do no 18	6-0-0	" " "	28-6-86	31-8-87	1	2	3	"	"	"			
	Do no 17	6-0-0	" " "	1-9-87	31-3-89	1	7	0	"	"	"			
	Total period of Superior Service			..	..									
	Do no 16	7-0-0	" " "	1-4-89	14-6-92	3	2	14	"	"	"			
	Do no 15	7-0-0	" " "	15-6-92	31-12-94	2	6	16	"	"	"			
	Do no 14	7-0-0	" " "	1-1-95	2-12-97	2	11	2	"	"	"			
	Do no 11	7-0-0	" " "	3-12-97	11-12-98	1	0	9	"	"	"			
	Total period of Inferior service.					~~25~~ 21	~~2~~ 2	~~26~~ 11	~~3~~ 7	~~7~~ 7	~~13~~ 28			

MD
Dm

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.	Fair
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.	suspended for one month for neglect of duty in guarding the court house. Vide Record nos 4, 5 & para 3 of Record no 6.
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI.)	nil
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.	nil.
5. Any other remarks.	This process server ~~was~~ retired from service upon Salem district medical officer's certificate by the district judge Salem as per order in district court proceedings no 133 dated 15-12-98.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not. [*See* Article 991.]	The service claimed is established and should be admitted.

A.K.

[illegible]
Ag ~~Headclerk~~

M. Sevaghan
District Munsif SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded,~~ qualifying service in inferior ~~or superior~~ grades has been duly proved for 21 years 2 months 11 days; and that an invalid ~~(pension or~~ gratuity) not exceeding Rs. 42-–-– equal to 6 months pay is admissible under Articles 485 & 524 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations, 3rd Edn. The calculations have been duly verified. ~~The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund~~).

It is observed from the remark opposite to nos. 1 & 2 in the 3 page of the application that the character and past conduct of the applicant have not been entirely satisfactory. It rests therefore with Government to make such reductions in the amount of Gratuity that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to article 514(b) of the Regulations.

Fort St: George
Accts Genl's Office
2 March ~~February~~ 99

The Under Secretary to Govt.,
Pension Department.

3-M

a d j / 492

[illegible]
~~Audit Officer.~~
Accountant General.

Ent. 2/3

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of Application

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

G.O. 181/94

G.O. 200/94

(2)

Service Book
of
Kondampatti
Muthuswami
Process Server

9
1
200

less than 20 years, The Accu

~~Salem~~ Kondampatti. Muthusami, Process Peon No. 17.

Service from 16th August 1873 to 31st July 1888 duly verified from Acquittance Rolls.

During this period he was suspended for 1 month from 28th May 1886. Suspension order is attached.

V.T.S.
District Munsif.
2d Oct. 88.

Service from 1st August 1888 to 31st December 1889 verified from Pay Bills and Acquittance Rolls.

H.C.

V.T.S.
D.M.

27 Feb: 90.

Salem Muthusamy

No 39

Proceedings of the District Court of Salem

25th June 1886

Read letter No 83 dated 28th May 1886 from the District Munsif of Namakal, requesting to sanction the Suspension of certain Process Servers of his Court for one month and 15 days respectively.

The punishments inflicted appear to have been called for.

They are approved

C. W. W. Martin
District Judge

(True Copy)

V. T. Subramanya Pillai
District Munsiff

Ma/7b
VC

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

less than 20 years, The Hon

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

(14)

17

ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

இந்தக்கலங்களில் செய்கிற பதிவுகளுக்கு ஐந்துவருஷத்துக்கொருதரம் அத்தாட்சிக் கையெழுத்துபண்ணவேணும்; தேதி தெளிவாய்த் தெரியும்படி அந்தந்த அத்தாட்சி கையெழுத்துக்கு ஜாக்கிரதையாய் கோடுபோட்டுப் பிரிக்கவேண்டியது.

Name of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் பெயர்.	Caste or Race. ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம்.	Residence. இருப்பிடம்.	தகப்பனுருடைய Name. பெயர்.	தகப்பனுருடைய Residence. இருப்பிடம்.	Date of birth. ஜனன தேதி.	Age. வயசு. Years. வருஷம்.	Age. Months. மாசம்.	Age. Days. நாள்.	Exact height. சரியான உயரம். Feet. அடி.	Exact height. Inches. அங்குலம்.	Personal marks for identification. நபர் தெரிவதற்காக அங்கமச்சமடையாளம்.	Date of Entry. பதிவுதேதி.	Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office. ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
Salem Moothoovamy Pillai	Vellala Caste	Kondan Putty attached to Eramahal Salem Dt	Maroottha Pilly	Said Kondam Putty	1st July 1843	38	"	"	5	6	1st finger 2nd finger Left hand A scar on his left-arm hand just above this fingers	22nd December 1881	[illegible]	
					Do	53	"	"	5	5½	++  4th finger 3rd finger Certified that the above finger-prints have been taken in my presence and under my personal superintendence from the left hand of the individual whose services are entered in this book. 9 April 1898 District munsif ++ A scar on his left arm just above his fingers. 2. Small pox marks on the nose. 3. A raised mole an inch and a half behind the left ear 4. A mole on the back of left hand near the wrist.	1.6.96	[illegible]	Dt Mf Dt munsif

1 க Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 உ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், ஸாயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧௦ Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* திரான்ஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னத்தினாலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
Batta peon district munsif's court Salem.	Permanent	no government pay. battas collected from parties were given to peons of this kind		...	3-2-70		Service from 16th August 1873 to 31st August 1877 both days inclusive is not verifiable from records & the same is therefore not claimed	Dm for late Dm	15-8-73 ~~6-6-82~~	Pay system introduced ~~Promotion~~	Dm
Process server Salem Dt mf's court No 46	Permanent	"	6	"	16th August 1873			for Mr EM	31-8-77	Transferred to Dt munsif's Court Namakkal (Vide Applicant's affidavit.)	Dm.
Process server Dt mf's court Namakkal	Do	nil	6	"	1-9-77			Dm	5-6-82	Promotion	Dm
Process Server No 39 Dt munsif's Court Namakkal	Permanent	"	6	"	6-6-82		Dt munsif Namakkal	for Mr EM	25-10-82	Do	Dt munsif
Do No 27	Do	"	6 Rs	"	26-10-82			for Mr EM	31/3/85	Do	Dm
Do No 19	Do	"	6	"	1/4/85		suspended for 1 month from 28th May 1886- V.S.	for Mr VB	31-5-86	Promotion	Dm
Do – Do No 18	Permanent	"	6 Rs	"	1-6-86 *28-1-87			for Mr OA	31-8-87	Do.	Dm
Do Do No 17	Do.	"	6 Rs	"	1-9-87			for Mr OA.	31-3-89	Promotion.	Dm
Do. Do. No 16	Do.	"	7	"	1-4-89			for Mr JS	14-6-92	Promotion	Dm
Do Do No 15	Do	"	7		~~16-6-92~~ 15-6-92			for Mr S.D.	31-12-94	Promotion	Dm for [illegible] Dm
~~Do No 14~~	~~Do~~	"	7	"	1-1-95			for Mr S.D.	2-12-97	Promotion	Dm
Do no 11	Do	"	7	"	3-12-97			Dm.	11-12-98	retired from service	Dm.

Service from ~~16th August 1873~~ 1st September 1877 to 31st December 1890 verified from pay bills and acquittance Rolls.
for late Dt. Asst.

*: This is not a date of new appointment but it is the date of attestation by M.R.Ry. Anjanayyar [illegible] made in column 6 for the above entry
M. Deivachalam
District munsif

Application for Pension or Gratuity—

less than 20 years, The Acc

1 க Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 உ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்ஜாமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சமபளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 அ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லே. சஸ்பெனஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெயயப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பெனஷனே இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧௦ Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* டிரான்ஸ்பரினலேயோ, பிரமோஷனலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினலேயோ, இன்னதினலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடையகையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
							Service from 1st January to 31st December 1891 verified from Pay Bills and acquittance Rolls. District Munsif.				
							Service from the 1st Janu to 31st December 1892 verified from Pay Bills and acquittance Rolls. Dt. Muff.				
							Service from 1st Jany to 31st Decem verified with the ~~[illegible]~~ acquittance Roll and found correct				
							Service verified till 30th September 1894				
							Service verified from pay bills and acquittance Rolls up to 1st March 1886				
							Service verified from Pay bills and acquittance Rolls up to 31st December 1886				

1 க Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 உ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்தாமிருந்தால், சாயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சமபளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signa of Office உத்தி கஸ்த யெழு	8 அ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தாவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 க0 Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 கக Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* திரான்ஸ்பரினுலேயோ, பிரமோஷனுலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினுலேயோ, இன்னத்தினுலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	12 கஉ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
							Service verified from pay bills and acquittance rolls of this court from 1st January 1897 to 31st December 1897 Head clerk District Munsif Service verified from pay bills and acquittance rolls from 1st January 1898 to 11th December 1898 Ag Head clerk. District Munsif				

No-87

SERVICE BOOK
சர்வீஸ் புஸ்தகம்.

OF

Name. பெயர். Mootthoo Sami Pillai ✓

Caste or Race. ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம். Vellala (other Hindu) ✓

Residence. இருப்பிடம். Kondampatty Namakkal Taluk

Father's தகப்பனுடைய Name. பெயர். Maarutha pillai.

Father's தகப்பனுடைய Residence. இருப்பிடம். Kondampatty aforesaid.

Date of birth. ஜனனதேதி. 1843.

No-87

(3)

CIVIL MEDICAL FORM No. 32.

9
18/12/98

(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined Kondampatti Muthusami

son of Maruda Pillai

a Process server

in the District Munsiff's Court Namakal

His age is by his own statement 55 years and by appearance about 60 years.

I consider Kondampatti Muthusami to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of old age.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

STATION Salem
Date 12:12. 1898.

W. Roger Harrison Name.
Lt-Col. Ims. Rank.
Dist. Med. San. officer Designation.

NOTE.—[If the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits.]

9
2
201

Countersigned.

Surgn.-Major-Genl,
Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

Less than 20 years,

STATEMENT OF CASE.

1. Rank. Process server
2. Name. Kondampatti Muthusami
3. Department or Office. Judicial
4. Marks of identification. Small pox marks on the nose; a raised mole an inch & a half behind the left ear; a mole on the back of left hand near the wrist.
5. Age. 60
6. Service.
7. Sick leave granted during the service.
8. Disease or cause of Disability. old age
9. Abstract statement of case showing how the disease or disability was contracted, the results of the treatment adopted. In case the disease or disability is believed to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits, the reasons for such belief should be stated.

---

(4)

In the Court of the district munsif at Namakkal

Tuesday the 3rd day of January 1899.

Before M. R. R. V. K. Desikachariar avl B.A. B.L.

district munsif.

The affidavit respectfully put in by Kondampatti Maruda Muttuswami pillai process server of the said court.

In 1886 myself and one Narayana pillai were ordered to guard the court house. This order was intimated to me at about 6-30 P.m. The then deputy Nazir wanted me to inform Narayana pillai that he was to relieve me that night after supper. Narayana pillai was not then in court house. At about 7 P.m I went to Narayana pillai's house to call upon him to reliever me after supper. I would have been absent from court house for about 10 minutes. I myself went to call him as there was no one else in court house to do it. As the court house was in the heart of the town ie in its present building, I, on account of necessity, thought that there was no harm in leaving the court house unguarded & I had no other alternative to do. Had I not gone then, [illegible] would have been obliged to be without meals tha[illegible] night & guard the court house alone. During my absence as above stated, the then district munsif M. R. R. Arijavarayyar avl casually happened to go near the court house & he found no peons guarding the same. For this, myself and Narayana pillai were suspended for one month and fifteen days respectively. The district court of Salem approved the said suspensions. I committed no other fault.

I solemnly affirm that the abovesaid

4
3/
200

facts are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Interpreted to me in Tamil and I acknowledge it to be correct.

[illegible]

Taken down before me, interpreted in Tamil to this applicant & he acknowledging its correctness solemnly affirms before me this 3rd day of January 1899.

V.K. Desikachari

District Munsif

H. Venkat
Hd. Head Clerk

In the Court of the district munsif at Namakkal

Wednesday the 4th day of January 1899.

Before M. R.R. V.K. Desikachariar avl B.A.B.L.

District Munsif.

Karuppanna Pillai solemnly affirmed and states as follows: —

I am the 6th clerk of the said Court. I serve in this court for the last 28 years. Kondampatti Marudu Muttuswami Pillai a process server of this Court and one Narayana Pillai another process server were ordered to guard the Court house in 1886. On a certain day at about 7 P.M. when the then district munsif M. R.R. Anjavayyar avl arrived at the court house on a casual visit, these two process servers were away from their duty. For this neglect of duty the former was suspended for a month and the latter for 15 days. I affirm that the above said facts are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Interpreted to me in Tamil

and I acknowledge it to be correct

Solemnly affirmed before me this 4th day of January 1899.

V.K.Desikachari
District Munsif.

(6)

Memorandum of additional informat
relating to the Gratuity Application
of Kondampatti Maruda muttuswam
pillai, process server district munsif's
court Namakkal.

I List of records submitted.

(1) Gratuity Application.

(2) Service Register.

(3). Certificate for retirement granted by the district medical and Sanitary officer salem.

(4) The affidavit taken from the applicant regarding the Explanation for his suspension.

(5) Contemporary evidence of Karuppanna pillai in respect of the said suspension

(6) This memo.

II This process server's pay from 1-4-89 is shown as Rs 7 a month in his Service Register and in the second page of the Gratuity Application.

9

280

The pay drawn on behalf of this process server in the pay bills from

less than 20 years

April 89 to March 90 is at the rate of Rs 6 a month. But the Government sanctioned a new scale of Establishment and increase of pay in G. O. no 1178 dated 9th July 1889 with retrospective effect from 1st April 1889. In obedience to this G. O, the excess pay at the rate of Rupee one a month from 1st April 89 to the end of February 1890, was drawn by a supplemental bill of this court dated 27th March 1890 and was disbursed to this peon.

For this reason the pay of this Applicant would have been shown only as Rs 6 in the April statement of this court submitted in April 1889.

III Instruction no 43 The order of suspension, Salem district court Proceedings no 39 dated 24th June 1886, attached to the Applicant's Service Register, does not contain the reasons for which the Applicant was suspended. Records are not forthcoming in this court to trace the reason. Therefore an affidavit was taken from the Applicant and attached Enclosure no 4, and a contemporary Evidence was also taken from Karuppana pillai, a clerk serving in this court. (Vide Enclosure 5.)

District munsif's
Court
Namakkal
4th January 1889

M Seeshachari
District munsif.

Index No 115
3-2-99

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K. 275

Fort. St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
31st January 1899.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE District Judge, Salem,

3R2

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for ~~pension~~ gratuity of Kondampatti Maruda Muthusawmy Pillai, late a Process Server, District Munsif's Court, Namakal, is being delayed for want of the information noted below. Where possible your answer should be written opposite to each point to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Assistant Accountant General

9
7
200

~~Attention is drawn to No. of the~~
~~printed Memo. of Instructions from this office.~~

1. The applicant's name is not traceable in the annual Returns for 1875 of the Process Service Establishment attached to the District Munsif's Court Namakal. The omission should be explained.

2. Copy of the annual Return of Process Service Establishment for 1877 should be forwarded as the one already furnished is in a damaged condition, and cannot

Reply

(1). The name of one Muttusawmi appears in the Acquittance Rolls of the process service Establishment of this court in 1873, 1874 and 1876 &c. This person on enquiry appears to be a quite different person and is not the Applicant. The other man is by name Salem Muttusawmi son of Chenna-Krishnama Nayaken. It is this Salem Muttusawmi that was out of employ on the 1st April 1875. The Applicant seems to have served in the district munsif's court Salem from 1870 to 31st August 1877 inclusive when he seems to have had a mutual transfer to this court with one Chen Rowth (Vide the Applicant's affidavit taken before me this day). As soon as the Gratuity application was returned to me, I sent a statement of the Applicant's service, to the district munsif's court Salem for verification there. His reply letter no 56 dated the 13th Feb 99 is herewith submitted. The district munsif of Salem has not said anything about the existence in his court or otherwise, of the necessary records to verify

the

Cannot be used for purposes of verification of Services.

3. In the annual Returns from 1880 to 1894 the year of the applicant's birth is shewn as 1844 instead of 1843 as shewn in the service book and in the first page of the application. The discrepancy should be explained.

The applicant's service in his court from September 1873 to August 1877. I therefore dis-claim the Applicant's service from 16th August 1873 to 31st August 1877 as it does not in any way affect the gratuity claimed. The applicant's affidavit is supported by the fact that the name of Cher Rowth is forthcoming in the Acquittance Rolls of this court, till 31st August 1877 when it disappears. Accordingly necessary corrections are now made in the Applicant's service Register and in the Gratuity Application.

2. The copy of the annual return for 1877 is not available here and the same seems to have been destroyed. However, an extract from the Acquittance Roll of the Process service Establishment of this court, as it stood on the 1st April 1877 is herewith submitted.

3. The copy of the annual return for 1880 is available here; and by clerical error the date of birth of the Applicant was stated therein as 1844 and that of the other Muttuswami referred to above as 1843.

On

On the other hand the Applicant's date of birth ought to have been entered as 1843 and that of the other person as 1844. As it is usual to prepare the annual statement of a particular year on consultation with that of the previous year, this mistake seems to have crept in, in the returns for the years 1881 to 1894.

District Munsif's
Court
Namakkal
16th February 1899

District Munsif

M: H.C.

less than 20 years,

In the Court of the district munsif at Nam

Before M.R.Ry. V.K. Desikachariar Avl. B.A.

district munsi

The affidavit respectfully put in by Kondampatti Maruda Muttuswa pillai late a process server of the said cou

I was employed as a batta peon in the district munsif's court Salem in 1870 I was serving there as such without any Government pay till 15th August 1873 inclusive On the 16th August 1873 pay system introduced for process service Establ-ment and my pay there was Rs 6—0—0. O this pay I was serving there as a per-manent process server till 31st August 1877 when I had a mutual transfe to this court with one Cheru Routh My name is Muttuswami. I can't say whether my name was recorded

9
8
200

Less than 20 years,

as Peria Muttuswami or China Muttuswami in the Acquittance Rolls of the district munsif's court Salem.

16-2-1899.

Taken down before me read over and interpreted to the Applicant in Tamil and acknowledged by him to be correct

District Munsif

16-2-1899.

District Munsif's Court, Salem

13th February 1899.

No.

From

The District Munsif

Salem.

To

The District Munsif,

Namakal.

D/ 15-2-99

Dr the Regr

above

D/ 15-2-99

Sir,

With reference to your letter no 80 dated 6th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that there are two persons named Peria Muthusawmi and Chinnamutthusawmi in the office copy of the paybill for the month of August 1873. I therefore request you will be good enough to let me know which of the said two persons Kondampatti Muthusawmi referred to in your said letter is. An affidavit or a sworn statement from the peon concerned will perhaps do for the purpose. The Extract from the Service Register of the said peon that accompanied your letter is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

District Munsif

9

9

200

~~From~~

The District Munsif
Salem

~~To~~

The District Munsif
Namakal

Dated 13
Desp'd 14
Recd
} February 1899

No 56

Enclosure 1

Subject
Reply to his letter no 80 of 6 instt
and returning the service
Register of the person therein
named

District Munsif's Court
Namakal
16 February 99.

No 105

From
M.R.R. V. K. Desikachariar Av. B.A. B.L.
District Munsif, Namakal

To
The District Judge
Salem.

Sir,

With reference to the District Court Proceedings, No 135, dated the 3d Instant, I have the honor to resubmit herewith the gratuity application of K. M. Muttusami Pillai together with all the enclosures received therewith and with 3 new enclosures. The reply to the Accountant General's points, is written on the said Officer's letter. As I had to make a reference to the District Munsif's Court, Salem, in respect of the applicant's service, I was not able to resubmit the above earlier.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servant
(Signd) V K Desikachariar
District Munsif

(True copy)

District Judge

7
10
200

Less than 20 years,

No 190

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE MADRAS 27 FEB 99

District Court, Salem

21st February 1899

From

W. J. Tate Esquire

District Judge, Salem

To

The Accountant General

Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to return herewith your letter No $\frac{A.D.K}{275}$, dated 31st January 1899, with the answers written by the District Munsiff of Namakal opposite to each point raised therein in the matter of gratuity application of Kondampatti Maruda Mutusami Pillai, late a process server of his Court.

Copy of District Munsif's letter explaining the delay in resubmitting the gratuity application and its enclosures together with those returned with your letter quoted above are herewith sent.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedt. Servant

sd. Tate

District Judge

9
11
200

less than 20 years,

From

The District Judge
Salem

To,

The Accountant
General Madras

Dated 21st }
Despatched Do } Feb. 1899
Received }

No 190

Encs.- 11

Forwarding a reply to the above letter no A D.K./275 with its enclosures.

---

hen given for copying }
hen copied } 189 .
hen examined }
hen signed }

AD AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 189 .

RRENT No.

NCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

less than 20 years,