

Pension (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 146

DATED 1-3-99.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an Invalid — pension of Rs. 11 — per mensem to P.
S. Muthia Pillai — late 2^d Class Revenue Inspector, Sathy.

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

To

THE Collector of

Current No. 53rd 1899^{...}



SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of P. S. Muthaya Pillai late 2nd class Revenue Inspector. Simakali Zinka. is being delayed for want of the information noted below. Where possible your answer should be written opposite to each point to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,


Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Michael
Assistant Accountant General

Attention is drawn to No. of the printed Memo. of Instructions from this office.

In the annual return for 1895 Substantive pay of the applicant is given as Rs 35 while pay shown in the Service book on the 2nd page of the application is 25 only. The discrepancy should be explained.



Reply


The substantive pay of the applicant is shown as Rs 25 in the office Copy of the annual return for 1895. If it is shown as Rs 35 in the fair Copy submitted to your office it is an error and I request that it may be corrected into Rs 25.

Simnaveley
27th/1899

Ebb For Collector

Pension (1899) Department
Government {1899} of Madras.
FINANCIAL.

Current No 182



Recd. 24 Feb

1899.

Enclosures —

Regd. "

Spare copies /

G.O., No. 259, 17th February 1899.

FEBRUARY.

Memoranda of Altered Grants.

Directing, with remarks, that the — be discontinued; and stating that the Accountant-General will withhold any — received by him for the current year and submit a single consolidated statement showing the re-appropriations absolutely required to be made.

rel

Lodged
11/3/99

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers:—

G.O., Nos. 139, 140, Financial, dated 3rd March 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders relating to the re-appropriations and altered grants of the current year, and laying down the procedure to be adopted in future.

G.O., No. 777, Financial, dated 14th November 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Prescribing a form to show the re-appropriation of grants, and laying down certain rules for the submission of applications for additional allotments.

G.O., No. 902, Financial, dated 26th December 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Ordering the insertion of certain addenda in the copies of instructions for the preparation of budget estimates circulated with G.O., No. 902 of 1895.

READ—also the following papers:—

From T. HESKETH BIGGS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 30th January 1899, No. 359.

In forwarding herewith the revised form of the memorandum of altered grants, I have the honour to report, for the information of Government, that I have thought it necessary to open two new columns "Actual expenditure up to the end of December last" and "Probable expenditure during the remainder of the year" so as to fit in with the new form of the re-appropriation statement approved in G.O., No. 951, Financial, dated 26th October 1898, and to request that it may be substituted for the form appended to Rule 102-B of the budget rules.

2. The column "Accountant-General's revised estimate" has been omitted with reference to the note to article 158 of the Civil Account Code inserted by the 29th List of Corrections, dated 15th June 1897.

From the Accountant-General, dated 15th February 1899, No. —.

ORDER—No. 259, Financial, dated 17th February 1899.

The Government considers that the memoranda of altered grants, the submission of which was prescribed in the orders read again above, should be discontinued, as the matter of re-appropriation is provided for sufficiently under existing rules. Article 158 of the Civil Account Code lays down that expenditure which cannot be met from the

grant made at the beginning of the year for the service of the department to which it appertains requires *ipso facto* and apart from all other rules the sanction of Government, and G.O., No. 951, Financial, dated 26th October 1898, prescribes the form of statement which should accompany every application for such sanction. It is the duty of heads of departments to exercise a careful and constant watch over expenditure and to apply to Government for an additional allotment immediately the necessity for altering the sanctioned grant for the year arises. The Government must insist on a close observance of these rules in future, and heads of departments will be held responsible for expenditure which is incurred without budget provision.

2. Budget Rules 102-A and 102-B, which were added by G.O., No. 902, Financial, dated 26th December 1896, to the rules circulated with G.O., No. 602, Financial, dated 20th August 1895, will accordingly be cancelled.

3. The Accountant-General will withhold any memoranda of altered grants already received by him for the current year and will submit a single consolidated statement showing the re-appropriations absolutely required to be made.

(True Extract.)


A. J. J. J.
for Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat. (Pension)
" all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
" the Accountant-General.

Pension (1899) Department
Government (1899) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

— *Current No 168*



Recd. 21st Feby }
Regd. " " } 1899.

Enclosures —

Spare copies /

G.O., No. 161, 8th February 1899.

FEBRUARY.

Passport.

Adopting the amended forms of — forwarded by the Government of India for the use of persons naturalized in the United Kingdom and in British India and the Colonies.

Lodges,

1st
24.2.99

11/2/99

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., Mis. No. 1783, Public, dated 25th August 1886.

ABSTRACT.—Passport forms.

READ—also the following paper:—

From Sir WILLIAM CUNINGHAM, K.C.S.I., Secretary to the Government of India, Foreign Department, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George, dated Fort William, 18th January 1899, No. 99-G.

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with the letter from the Government of India, in the Foreign Department, No. 1501-G., dated the 27th July 1886, regarding the form of passport for issue within Indian limits to persons naturalized there.

2. I am now to enclose, for the information of the Governor in Council, and for adoption in the Madras Presidency, four amended forms for the use of persons naturalized in the United Kingdom and in British India and the Colonies. These forms have been introduced under instructions from Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India.

ENCLOSURES.

THIS is to certify that entered below, is a British subject by naturalization * in the United Kingdom. He is proceeding to , whose description is for the purpose of , and is accompanied by the following members of his family:—

This certificate is granted with the qualification that the said shall not, when within the limits of the Foreign State of which he was a subject previously to obtaining his Certificate of Naturalization, be entitled to British protection, unless he has ceased to be a subject of that State, in pursuance of the laws thereof, or in pursuance of a treaty to that effect.

Given at the Chief Secretary's Office, Fort St. George, the day of 189 .

By order of His Excellency the Governor in Council,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Signature of the Bearer.

Residence of do.

Profession of do.

Age of do.

* In the case of a statutory alien, who has been re-admitted to British Nationality under the Statute 33 Vic., c. 14, S. 8, substitute "re-admission to British Nationality" and "Certificate of re-admission to British Nationality," for "naturalization" and "Certificate of Naturalization," respectively.

B/1.

THIS is to certify that * description is entered below, is, within the limits of Her Majesty's Indian Empire, a British Indian Colonial subject by naturalization.† He is proceeding to , and is accompanied by the following members of his family:—

This certificate is granted with the qualification that the said is only entitled, beyond the limits of Her Majesty's Indian Empire, as a matter of courtesy, to the general good offices and assistance of Her Majesty's Representatives abroad.

Given at the Chief Secretary's Office, Fort St. George, the day of 189 .

By order of His Excellency the Governor in Council,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Signature of the Bearer.

Residence of do.

Profession of do.

Age of do.

THIS is to certify that , whose description is entered below, is a British subject by naturalization § in the United Kingdom, who is proceeding to , for the purpose of , and is accompanied by the following members of his family:—

This certificate is granted with the qualification that the said shall not, when within the limits of the Foreign State of which he was a subject previously to obtaining his Certificate of Naturalization, be entitled to British protection, unless he has ceased to be a subject of that State, in pursuance of the laws thereof, or in pursuance of a treaty to that effect.

Given at the Chief Secretary's Office, Fort St. George, the day of 189 .

By order of His Excellency the Governor in Council,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Signature of the Bearer.

Residence of do.

Profession of do.

Age of do.

* Where possible, the nationality of origin of the holder of the passport should be inserted after his name.
† Colony or possession to be filled in as required.
‡ In the case of a statutory alien, who has been re-admitted to British Nationality under the Statute 33 Vic., c. 14, S. 8, substitute "re-admission to British Nationality" for "naturalization."
§ In the case of a statutory alien, who has been re-admitted to British Nationality under the Statute 33 Vic., c. 14, S. 8, substitute "re-admission to British Nationality" and "Certificate of re-admission to British Nationality" for "naturalization" and "Certificate of Naturalization," respectively.

No. 161, PUBLIC, 8TH FEBRUARY 1899.

B/1.

This is to certify that * , for the purpose of , who is proceeding to and whose description is entered below, is, within the limits of Her Majesty's Indian Empire, a British Indian Colonial subject by naturalization.†

This certificate is granted with the qualification that the said is only entitled, beyond the limits of Her Majesty's Indian Empire, as a matter of courtesy, to the general good offices and assistance of Her Majesty's Representatives abroad.

Given at the Chief Secretary's Office, Fort St. George, the day of 189 .

By order of His Excellency the Governor in Council,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Signature of the Bearer.

Residence of do. —

Profession of do. —

Age of do. —

ORDER—No. 161, Public, dated 8th February 1899.

The forms forwarded with the above letter will be adopted.

(True Extract.)

Admabb
for Chief Secretary.

To all Departments of the Secretariat. (Pension)


* Where possible, the nationality of origin of the holder of the passport should be inserted after his name.
† Colony or possession to be filled in as required.
‡ In the case of a statutory alien, who has been re-admitted to British Nationality under the Statute 33 Vic., c. 14, S. 8, substitute "re-admission to British Nationality" for "naturalization."

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

Pension 1899 Department
Government (1899) of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Current No 181



Recd. 24 2

Regd. da

1899.

Enclosures —

Spare copies —

G.O., No. 209, 10th February 1899.

(initials)

FEBRUARY.

Type-writing Machines.

Agreeing with the views of the Superintendent of Stationery as regards the periodical inspection and repair of —; transferring to the Educational Department his proposal to increase the severity of the type-writing tests; admitting up to the date of the receipt of these proceedings the charges incurred by the Board of Revenue, Separate Revenue, for the employment of a mechanic to repair its machines; and informing the Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited) that the rules do not permit of local purchase of machines.

Order, 1st March 1899 No 147

Recorded.

sd/
24/2/99

sd/
24/2/99

From H. ORMEROD, Esq., General Managing Director, the India Trading Company (Limited), to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 12th December 1898, No. 1792.

With reference to my conversation with you the other day about the "Remington" type-writer, I have seen the Superintendent of Stationery, who tells me that an indent has already been submitted for execution by the India Office. Unless I am greatly mistaken, the cost of machines imported in this way will be found to exceed the price (Rs. 350) that we are prepared to charge Government for the No. 7 machine. Perhaps you will kindly look into this matter.

Another point also that I would dwell upon is that, my Company only requires a little encouragement from Government to induce it to make all requisite arrangements for the opening of a well-equipped workshop for the repair of machines that may be disabled by accident, &c., and to maintain a reserve stock of "parts," so that worn-out machines may be renovated at comparatively small cost.

Only a short time ago I myself put in thorough working order, at a cost of Rs. 5, a No. 5 machine that had been discarded, after several years of very hard usage, as worn out. It is now working excellently.

From J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., I.C.S., Superintendent of Stationery, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th January 1899, Dis. No. 76.

I have the honour to return herewith the two files referred to me for remarks under No. 5, dated 2nd January 1899. Three questions are involved. I propose to deal with them separately.

2. In regard to Mr. Ormerod's statement that there appears to be an impression that type-writers can be obtained through the India Office at lower prices than are current in Madras, I may say that I am not aware of any such impression nor am I of that opinion. I am not in a position yet to say what the cost of a Remington obtained from the Director-General of Stores, India Office, is, but I should be surprised if after allowing for exchange, freight, and percentages, it did not fully amount to Rs. 350. I think it is very probable that several articles which are obtained through the India Office could be purchased locally at cheaper rates and at the saving of much time, but this would no doubt be opposed by the Home authorities.

3. In regard to the question of the local Remington agency opening a workshop for the repair of machines and maintaining a reserve stock of "parts," I should like to inquire what is comprised in the "little encouragement by Government" to which Mr. Ormerod refers. It would be a convenience to have such a workshop at hand, but I would not recommend a promise of any definite amount of work being given to it, for type-writing in this Presidency is still in its infancy and the machines now under supply, if properly attended to and carefully used, should not require any repairs for a long time. This office can obtain and maintain a reserve of spare "parts," should the Government so direct.

4. During last year this office arranged for the repair of six machines only. They had all been in use for some time. Two were repaired by the Bombay Type-writing Company, one by a Mr. D'Cunha, two by Messrs. Addison & Co.; and one by the Superintendent, Public Works Workshops. The two first agencies are not now available, but there is a Mr. Zuzuart here who undertakes such work for several local mercantile firms. I would suggest that Mr. Ormerod be informed that the number of Remingtons in use in Government offices in Madras will no doubt steadily increase and in the natural course of events they will some day require repairs which the Government will have executed by the best and cheapest agency then available.

5. The third question referred to me is that of the periodical inspection and repair of machines at the Presidency town. I submit that a periodical examination by a mechanic, who is to be paid so much per mensem per machine for cleaning and

From H. ORMEROD, Esq., General Managing Director, the India Trading Company (Limited), to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 12th December 1898, No. 1792.

With reference to my conversation with you the other day about the "Remington" type-writer, I have seen the Superintendent of Stationery, who tells me that an indent has already been submitted for execution by the India Office. Unless I am greatly mistaken, the cost of machines imported in this way will be found to exceed the price (Rs. 350) that we are prepared to charge Government for the No. 7 machine. Perhaps you will kindly look into this matter.

Another point also that I would dwell upon is that, my Company only requires a little encouragement from Government to induce it to make all requisite arrangements for the opening of a well-equipped workshop for the repair of machines that may be disabled by accident, &c., and to maintain a reserve stock of "parts," so that worn-out machines may be renovated at comparatively small cost.

Only a short time ago I myself put in thorough working order, at a cost of Rs. 5, a No. 5 machine that had been discarded, after several years of very hard usage, as worn out. It is now working excellently.

From J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., I.C.S., Superintendent of Stationery, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 11th January 1899, Dis. No. 76.

I have the honour to return herewith the two files referred to me for remarks under No. 5, dated 2nd January 1899. Three questions are involved. I propose to deal with them separately.

oil it and special rates for such repairs as he may consider to be requisite, would result in much unnecessary expenditure and would lead to the machines being unduly pulled about and tinkered with. Such an arrangement would practically relieve the typist of much of his responsibility for the care of the machine given him to work with, and would encourage him to be careless and inevitably induce repairs which are preventable.

6. In G.O., No. 1222, Financial, dated 14th December 1898, the Government insists on the necessity "for requiring a high standard of skill" in its typists. The most rudimentary knowledge of the machine enables the typist to clean and oil it, and if this is regularly done and the machine carefully used and kept covered when not in use, there is no reason why it should not work without any difficulty for a considerable time. Weekly inspection by the manager or head clerk should then suffice. If a machine by any accident or rough usage gets out of order it at once announces the fact by refusing to work and the intervention of a mechanic to say it requires repair is uncalled for.

7. What should be specially avoided as much as possible is sending machines from place to place as they are delicately constructed and easily damaged in transit. The unpacking also requires to be done very carefully. When once set up in an office in good working order further removals should be avoided.

8. Instead of employing a mechanic as proposed I would apply the principle of prevention being better than cure and make every endeavour to obviate extensive repairs by insisting on typists being acquainted with the construction of their machines as well as being able to work them. This would be rendered more easy by the Government adopting one machine for use in all its offices and requiring candidates for employment as typists to pass a technical examination in the machine as well as the ordinary test of facility in working it. The teachers of type-writing classes would no doubt readily meet the requirements of Government in the matter. The typists could then themselves carry out ordinary repairs and replace damages by spare parts to be obtained on indent from this office. Repairs requiring mechanical skill could, at any time, be effected through private agency in Madras.

ORDER—No. 209, Financial, dated 10th February 1899.

The Government agrees with the views expressed by the Superintendent of Stationery in his letter read above as regards the periodical inspection and repair of type-writing machines. The Stationery office will obtain and maintain a reserve of spare parts, and the attention of all heads of offices in which machines are used will be drawn to the necessity for the machines being periodically inspected by responsible subordinates.

2. Orders will be passed in the Educational Department on Mr. Atkinson's proposal to increase the severity of the type-writing tests. It is to be observed that in paragraph 2 of G.O., No. 863, Financial, dated 10th October 1898, the Government has decided to restrict the patterns of type-writers to the No. 4 Yost and to the latest pattern of the Remington.

3. The charges incurred by the Board of Revenue, Separate Revenue, for the employment of a special mechanic will be admitted up to the date of the receipt by the Board of the present proceedings. The services of the mechanic should however be dispensed with immediately.

4. The Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited) will be informed, with reference to his letter No. 1792, dated the 12th December 1898, that the rules do not permit of the local purchase of type-writers and that the Government cannot give any guarantee in connection with the opening of a workshop in Madras by the Remington agency. The number of Remington machines in use in Government

offices in Madras will no doubt steadily increase and in the natural course of events they will some day require repairs which the Government will have executed by the best and cheapest agency then available.

(True Extract.)

A. Edington

for Chief Secretary.

To the Superintendent of Stationery, the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), the Accountant-General, the Postmaster-General, all Departments of the Secretariat, the General Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited), Madras.

To the Superintendent of Stationery, the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), the Accountant-General, the Postmaster-General, all Departments of the Secretariat, the General Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited), Madras.

To the Superintendent of Stationery, the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), the Accountant-General, the Postmaster-General, all Departments of the Secretariat, the General Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited), Madras.

To the Superintendent of Stationery, the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), the Accountant-General, the Postmaster-General, all Departments of the Secretariat, the General Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited), Madras.

To the Superintendent of Stationery, the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), the Accountant-General, the Postmaster-General, all Departments of the Secretariat, the General Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited), Madras.

To the Superintendent of Stationery, the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), the Accountant-General, the Postmaster-General, all Departments of the Secretariat, the General Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited), Madras.

To the Superintendent of Stationery, the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), the Accountant-General, the Postmaster-General, all Departments of the Secretariat, the General Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited), Madras.

To the Superintendent of Stationery, the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), the Accountant-General, the Postmaster-General, all Departments of the Secretariat, the General Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited), Madras.


To the Superintendent of Stationery, the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), the Accountant-General, the Postmaster-General, all Departments of the Secretariat, the General Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited), Madras.

To the Superintendent of Stationery, the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), the Accountant-General, the Postmaster-General, all Departments of the Secretariat, the General Managing Director of the India Trading Company (Limited), Madras.

Pension (1899) Department
Government (1899) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Current No 198



Recd. 2nd March

Enclosures —

1899.

Regd. "

Spare copies /

(Pension)

G.O., No. 233, 24th February 1899.

FEBRUARY.

Monthly Proceedings volumes of this Government.

Communicating to the Departments of the Secretariat letter from the Government of India requesting that the Home Department may be supplied with two copies only of the —.

sd/
23/99

Lodged
h
4/3/99

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From R. NATHAN, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India (Home Department—Public), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Calcutta, 4th February 1899, No. 285.

I am directed to request that in future the Government of India in the Home Department may be supplied with two copies only of the monthly Proceedings volumes of the Government of Madras which are at present sent to this department.

ORDER—No. 233, Public, dated 24th February 1899.

Communicated to the Departments of the Secretariat for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

A. S. Nathan
for Chief Secretary.


To the Departments of the Secretariat. (Pension)

Exd. W. D' Moss.

Pension 1899 Department
Government (1899) of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Current no 180



Recd. 24th Feb 1899.
Regd. " }

Enclosures —

Spare copies —

G.O., &c., Nos. 199, 200, 17th February 1899.

FEBRUARY.

Petitions.

Directing that certain clause be added to Rule V of the rules regarding — addressed to the Government of Fort St. George, and communicating to all departments of the Secretariat letter from the Government of India on the subject. Copy to the Government of India.

Order, 4th March 1899 No 148.

Recorded.

1st
27-2-99
1st
4/3/99

(Simla)

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers :—

- G.O., No. 49, Revenue, dated 22nd January 1894.
 „ Nos. 118, 119, Public, dated 20th February 1894.
 „ No. 1645, Public, dated 14th December 1897.

READ—also the following paper :—

From A. H. L. FRASER, Esq., c.s.i., Officiating Secretary to the Government of India (Home Department—Public), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Simla, 17th October 1898, No. 2057.

With reference to the correspondence ending with Home Department endorsement No. 2279, dated the 23rd October 1897, regarding the question of restricting the right of appeal against departmental punishments which public servants holding subordinate appointments now enjoy, I am directed to say that it has been decided not to make any alteration in the rules regulating the submission of petitions to the Government of India. The Governor-General in Council has, however, no objection to Local Governments and Administrations making a rule, if they desire to do so, as proposed by the Government of Madras, prohibiting second appeals by Government servants on a salary not exceeding Rs. 50 a month when the appeal is to the Local Government, and Rs. 25 a month when the appeal is to any other authority. I am to ask that, if such a rule is made by the Government of Madras, the fact may be reported for the information of the Government of India.

ORDER—No. 199, Public, dated 17th February 1899.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to add the following clause to Rule V of the rules regarding petitions addressed to the Government of Fort St. George :—

(11) When a petition is an appeal against any order prejudicially affecting a Government servant who is in receipt of a salary not exceeding Rs. 50 a month, if an appeal against such order has already been decided by an officer superior to the officer passing the order.

2. The letter from the Government of India will be communicated to all departments of the Secretariat with a view to the issue of orders in each department specifying the officers, or classes of officers, in each branch of the public service, drawing a salary of not more than Rs. 25 *per mensem* in whose case a second appeal is to be altogether prohibited.

(True Extract.)

for Chief Secretary.

To all Departments of the Secretariat. (Pension)

ENDORSEMENT—No. 200, Public, dated 17th February 1899.

Copy to the Government of India, Home Department (Public).

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

Edw. A. F. Eccleston.

Pension (1899) Department
Government (1899) of Madras.
REVENUE.

Current No 191

(insert)

R

Recd. 1. 3 -
Regd. do - } 1899.

Enclosures -
Spare copies -

G.O., No. 122, 16th February 1899.

FEBRUARY.

System of recording Finger-prints.

Concurring with the Board of Revenue in considering that the — may be extended to all classes of pensioners; requesting the Board to issue early instructions in the matter and stating that the taluk officers entrusted with the duty of taking and verifying the impressions of finger-prints should not be below the standing of a Deputy Tahsildar.

List

Order of 4th March 1899 No 149.

Recorded.

sd/
1.3.99

hll
4/3/99

11/3/98

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following endorsement, Mis. No. 3683, Revenue, dated 9th September 1898 :—

Read—the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 161, dated 28th June 1898 :—

Read—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 39, dated 14th February 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Communicating to all Collectors and Conservators of Forests, for information and guidance, Government Order (Pension), communicating a set of rules for the record of the finger-prints of Government servants, and requesting certain Collectors to report on the application of these rules to yeomiahdars and political pensioners.

Read—also letter from J. K. BATTEN, Esq., Acting Collector of North Arcot, dated 9th April 1898, Ref. on Bd. and Govt. No. 81.

Read—also letter from MUHAMMAD RAZA KHAN, Esq., Acting Collector of Kurnool, dated 16th March 1898, Dis. No. 695-Acc.

Read—also letter from R. MORRIS, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna, dated 4th June 1898, Dis. No. 1029-Acc.

Read—also letter from L. M. WYNCH, Esq., Acting Collector of South Arcot, dated 8th June 1898, Dis. No. 225-Acc.

Read—also letter from J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., Acting Collector of Madras, dated 18th June 1898, Ref. No. 203-G. and B.

Resolution—No. 161, dated 28th June 1898.

In paragraph 2 of G.O., Mis. No. 436, Revenue, dated the 27th January last, the Board was called upon to report on the question whether a record of finger-prints should not be maintained for yeomiahdars. Through inadvertence, the Board's reference to Collectors was worded so as to include the case of Political pensioners also, but the Board finds that Collectors have been separately consulted by Government on this subject.

Madras ... Mr. Atkinson.
South Arcot ... Mr. Wynch.
North Arcot ... Mr. Batten.

* Mr. Muhammad Raza Khan.
† Mr. Morris.

2. The Collectors noted in the margin have reported on the subject of the applicability of the rules to yeomiahdars, and two other Collectors, those of Kurnool* and Kistna †, have forwarded copies of their letters addressed to Government in the Political Department, wherein they

express approval of the proposal to extend the rules in question to Political pensioners. Of the three Collectors who have referred to the subject of yeomiahdars, two,

viz., Messrs. Atkinson and Wynch, see no objection to requiring finger-prints from this class of pensioners, while Mr. Batten considers that as yeomiahdars are paid by village officers from collections made in the village, and are personally well known to the officers in question, no finger-prints are necessary in their case, besides which village officers could not in his opinion be trusted to do the work properly. The Board deems it desirable to maintain a record of the finger-prints of yeomiahdars, if only to avoid the risk of fraud being committed with the cognizance of village officers, or on the occasion of a change in the incumbency of the village office. The other difficulty alluded to by Mr. Batten can easily be met by directing that the first as well as subsequent impressions of finger-prints should be secured by or in the presence of the taluk officers.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with copy of Board's Proceedings, No. 39,
dated 14th February 1898.

Endorsement.—Mis. No. 3683, Revenue, dated 9th September 1898.

The Board of Revenue is requested to state whether it recommends that a record of finger-prints should be maintained in the case of holders of allowances granted as compensation in lieu of resumed offices, privileges, &c., and malikhana holders as well as in the case of ordinary yeomiahdars.

2. In the case of yeomiahdars, the Board is requested to report whether exemption should be made in any particular case on account of the rank or position of the yeomiahdar. A similar report should also be made in the case of the holders of compensation allowances and malikhanas, if the Board thinks the finger-print system should be extended to such pensioners also.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

Read—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 8, dated 10th January 1899 :—

Read—again Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), No. 161, dated 28th June 1898.

Read—Government Endorsement thereon, Mis. No. 3688, Revenue, 9th September 1898.

Reference on the above, dated 21st October 1898, No. 1185.

The questions raised in the Government Order read above will be referred to all Collectors for an early expression of their views, which should reach the Board's office before the 15th November next.

2. When dealing with paragraph 2 of the Government Order, Collectors will act on the assumption that the Board is disposed to recommend the extension of the finger-print system to the case of holders of compensation allowance and malikhanas.

(Signed) LIONEL DAVIDSON,
Acting Secretary.

To all Collectors, except the Collector of the Nilgiris,
with copy of Board's Proceedings, No. 161,
dated 28th June 1898.

Read—also replies from Collectors.

Resolution—No. 8, dated 10th January 1899.

In its endorsement, dated 9th September 1898, No. 3688, Government requested the Board to report whether the system of recording finger-prints which had been recommended for adoption in the case of ordinary yeomiahdars might not be extended to holders of malikhana and allowances granted in lieu of resumed offices, privileges, &c., and if so, whether exemption should be made in any particular case in these classes of pensioners or amongst the ordinary yeomiahdars, on account of the rank or position of the pensioner. The Collectors of all the districts, except the Nilgiris, where there are no pensioners of these classes, were accordingly called upon to express their views on the subject.

2. Excepting the Collector of Malabar, all the Collectors concerned are in favour of extending the finger-print system to both the classes of pensioners referred to in the Government Order. The Collector of Malabar is of opinion that in the case of malikhana holders in his district, the finger-print system, though feasible, is not necessary. He considers that as these pensioners live in the interior of the district or in Travancore, it would be difficult to obtain their finger-prints, and that as malikhana allowances are hereditary, the usual motive of fraud is absent in this class of cases. The Collector of Nellore recommends the grant of an exemption in the case of the two persons named in the margin, who are holders of pensions conferred in lieu of resumed lands. He does not state whether these pensioners ordinarily appear in person before the paying officer or whether they draw their pensions through authorized agents. No other Collector has recommended exemptions in individual cases.

- (1) Syed Muhammad Dáúd Ali Khán, grandson of Abbás Ali Khán, ex-Jagirdar of Udayagiri, drawing a pension of Rs. 250 per mensem under G.O., No. 392, Political, dated 26th September 1873.
- (2) Jupalli Lakshman Rao, son of Chenna Rao, a member of the family of the ancient zamindar of Udayagiri, drawing a pension of Rs. 128-5-4 per mensem under G.O., No. 1919, Revenue, dated 3rd December 1870.

3. The Board concurs with the majority of Collectors in considering that the system of recording finger-prints may be extended to all the classes of pensioners referred to in the Government Order. As regards exemptions in individual cases, it is of opinion that every pensioner who personally appears before the paying officer either for the receipt of his pension or at the time of the periodical muster may be called upon on any such occasion to furnish his finger impressions. Pensioners, who, on account of their rank, have been exempted from personal appearance before the paying officer, are visited once a year by a gazetted officer under paragraph 4 of Standing Order, No. 129, for the purpose of ascertaining their existence and identity, and may on such occasions be required to furnish their finger impressions unless they are personally known to the visiting officer. In their case the finger impressions of the agents who ordinarily draw their pensions for them will also be necessary in order to protect the paying officer against the risk of false personation. The cases referred to by the Collector of Nellore may be dealt with by him on these principles. The malikhana holders mentioned by the Collector of Malabar may be exempted from these rules if resident in Travancore or Cochin, in which case, however, the finger-prints of their authorized agents should be recorded. In the case of gosha female pensioners, the impressions should be taken in the presence of a respectable female pensioner, a lady medical officer or some other trustworthy woman who can be produced as a witness if necessary.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) L. D. SWAMIKANNU,
for Acting Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

ORDER—No. 122, Revenue, dated 16th February 1899.

Miscellaneous.

The Government concurs in the views expressed in paragraph 3 of Board's Proceedings, No. 8, dated 10th January 1899. The Board of Revenue is requested to issue early instructions in the matter. The taluk officers entrusted with the duty of taking and verifying the impressions of finger-prints should not be below the standing of Deputy Tahsildar. Tahsildars should ordinarily superintend the operation and certify to it.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
" the Pension Department.
" the Accountant-General.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

50 Copies.

Initials of
Attender.

εργ.

super or
tender.

1000

Pension (1899) Department
Government (1899) of Madras.
POLITICAL.

Current No. 192

9

Recd. 1st March

Regd.

1899

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., &c., Nos. 150, 151, 16th February 1899.

FEBRUARY.

Finger-print Impressions.

Directing the application of the rules for the record of — for the purpose of personal identification to Kandyan pensioners, and exempting certain Tanjore and Kandyan pensioners from the operation of the rules.

Order of 14th March 1899 No. 150

Recorded

121
1.3.99

$\frac{1}{4} \times 3 \times 8$

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 918, Pension, dated 4th December 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Communicating to all heads of departments, for information and guidance, a set of rules for the record of the finger-prints of Government servants and pensioners and for the utilization thereof.

G.O., Nos. 576, 577, Political, dated 18th August 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on the subject of the application to all classes of Political pensioners, except Kandyan pensioners, of the rules for the record of finger-prints appended to G.O., No. 918, Pension, dated 4th December 1897, and addressing the Ceylon Government in regard to the application of the rules to Kandyan pensioners.

READ—also the following papers:—

From J. TWIGG, Esq., Acting Collector and Government Agent, Tanjore, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Accountant-General), dated 27th August 1898, No. 82.

Referring to G.O., No. 80, Political, dated 31st January 1898, calling for a report on the proposed application of the finger-print rules to Tanjore Raj and Kandyan pensioners, I have the honour to report that in the case of Tanjore and Kandyan pensioners of rank and dignity, whose names are entered in the Group Register specially opened for the purpose. The rules need not be applied, as such pensioners are at present not required to furnish any information as to personal marks of identification.

2. In the case of other pensioners, Tanjore and Kandyan, there can be no objection to the rules being applied.

No. 149-P.—I.A.D.

Submitted to Government in continuation of this office No. 88-P.—I.A.D., dated 21st June 1898, and for orders with reference to paragraphs 3 and 4 of G.O., No. 576, Political, dated 18th August 1898.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
10th September 1898.

(Signed) T. HESKETH BIGGS,
Accountant-General.

From the Colonial Secretary, to the Chief Secretary to Government of Madras, dated Colombo, 11th October 1898.

With reference to your letter, No. 577, Political, dated 18th August 1898, I am directed by His Excellency the Governor to inform you that there is no objection to the application of the rules for the record of finger-prints to the Kandyan pensioners.

From J. TWIGG, Esq., Government Agent, Tanjore, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Vallam, 21st January 1899, No. 9.

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 2126, dated 1st October 1898, I have the honour to report as follows.

2. In my letter No. 82, Agency, dated 25th August 1898, it was recommended that all pensioners borne upon what are known as "Group Registers" should be exempted from the finger-print rules, as they had never been required to give personal marks for identification hitherto, and would feel it an indignity to do so now, while the present arrangements for identification were considered to work satisfactorily.

3. There are 83 pensioners on the Tanjore Raj Group Register and 23 on that of the Kandyan pensioners. Complete lists accompany, of which the following is an abstract:—

Tanjore Raj 83.

Males 46.	Monthly pension.	Females 37.	Monthly pension.
1 Natural grandson of Raja Perpoyi	On Rs. 445.	6 Banis	On Rs. 800 each.
4 Natural sons of Raja Sivaji	2 on Rs. 35 each. Do. 100 "	1 Daughter of a cousin of the Raja	On Rs. 279.
2 Natural grandsons of Raja Sivaji	On Rs. 50 each.	1 Of the Madhiarjanam family	On Rs. 500.
11 Classed as other near relations	1 on Rs. 65 0 0. Do. 50 0 0. Do. 30 4 0. Do. 24 6 0. 3 on Rs. 20 2 8 each 2 on Rs. 18 4 0 " Do. 43 5 4 " On Rs. 5 to Rs. 50.	8 Mangulavilas ladies	On Rs. 150 each.
28 Other relations	On Rs. 5 to Rs. 50.	5 Natural daughters	4 on Rs. 70 each. 1 on Rs. 35.
46		3 Classed as other near relations	2 on Rs. 10-13-4 each. 1 on Rs. 8-2-0.
		13 Other relations	On Rs. 3-8-0 to Rs. 70.
		37	

Kandyan 23.

Males 15.	Monthly pension.	Females 8.	Monthly pension.
5 Respectable near relations	1 on Rs. 100. Do. 70 Do. 44-3-6. Do. 56-10-8. Do. 50.	5 Respectable near relations	1 on Rs. 63-5-4. Do. 56-5-4. 3 on Rs. 20.
10 Others	On Rs. 15 to Rupees 29-2-8.	3 Others	On Rs. 20 to Rs. 15.
15		8	

4. The Kandyan pensioners have been definitely exempted from furnishing descriptive rolls—vide G.O., Mis. No. 365, dated 28th September 1882, and I doubt if it would be justifiable to insist on finger-print impressions in face of that order.

5. The last order regarding Madras pensioners seems to be G.O., No. 1574, Financial, dated 15th July 1884, in which the Government was prepared to dispense with height and personal marks in the case of ladies or persons of high rank or family. As a fact the whole of the Tanjore Raj pensioners on the Group Register, under a more liberal interpretation of the above order than was perhaps intended, have continued exempt from furnishing personal marks, and I deprecate any change now. If the Government should decide otherwise, the line would best be drawn between "near relation" and "other relations" which would exempt 24 out of the 37 females and 18 out of the 46 male pensioners on the Tanjore Raj Group Register. But this or any other division would lead to much irritation and ill-feeling and I trust my recommendation to exempt the whole of the Group Register pensioners may be accepted.

ORDER—No. 150, Political, dated 16th February 1899.

With the consent of the Ceylon Government, and in continuation of G.O., Nos. 576, 577, dated 18th August 1893, the Governor in Council directs that the rules for the record of finger-print impressions for the purpose of personal identification shall be applied to Kandyan pensioners.

2. The Government Agent, Tanjore, in his letter, dated 21st January 1899, read above, recommends that all Tanjore and Kandyan pensioners who are borne upon the "Group Registers" of his office should be exempted from the operation of the rules. The Government is unable to comply with this recommendation in its entirety; but is pleased to extend the concession to the Tanjore and Kandyan pensioners shown in the lists in question as "near relation," and numbering forty-two and ten, respectively.

3. The Government Agent will be requested to make no further additions to the "Group Registers."

(True Extract.)

A. Edington
for Chief Secretary.

To the Government Agent, Tanjore.
" Accountant-General.
Copy to Pension Department.

ENDORSEMENT—No. 151, Political, dated 16th February 1899.

Copy to the Honourable the Colonial Secretary, Colombo (with covering letter).

G. STOKES,
Chief Secretary.

Ed P. See'ham.

[Appendix.]

APPENDIX.

List of Kandian Pensioners whose names are entered in the Group Register.

Group register number.	Name.	Sex.	Monthly pension.	Government order sanctioning the pension.	Present place of residence.	Remarks.
1	Andala Devi	Female	Rs. 20 0 0	G.O., No. 552, dated 10th December 1881	Tanjore	Near relation.
7	Kiribimbala Raja	Male	44 3 6	No. 365 " 15th November 1870	Do.	Do.
9	Mandusami	Female	20 0 0	No. 632 " 10th December 1861	Do.	Do.
10	Savitri Devi	Female	63 6 4	No. 63 " 15th January 1857	Do.	Do.
11	Tugasinahala Raja	Male	56 10 8	No. 478 " 29th October 1861	Tiruvadi	Do.
14	Bangarusabhamma	Female	68 5 4	No. 162 " 17th April 1873	Tanjore	Do.
16	Muthialamma	Do.	20 0 0	No. 390 " 21st September 1874	Tiruvatur	Do.
17	Lakshmiamma	Do.	20 0 0	No. 631 " 18th September 1877	Tanjore	Do.
19	Kannusami Naik	Male	20 0 0	No. 789 " 29th November 1884	Do.	Do.
22	Muthusami Raja	Do.	20 0 0	Mia. No. 25, Political, dated 13th January 1883	Tanjore	Do.
23	Duraisami Naik	Do.	20 0 0	No. 673, dated 25th September 1885	Negapatam	Do.
24	Venkatanarasimhala Raja	Do.	20 0 0	No. 657 " 26th October 1886	Tanjore	Do.
25	Venkataramakrishnaami Raja	Do.	24 10 8	No. 958 " 30th October 1886	Do.	Do.
26	Rimachendra Raja	Do.	20 0 0	No. 674 " 30th August 1887	Do.	Do.
27	Alagiamanavalasimhala Raja	Do.	100 0 0	No. 124 " 2nd March 1893	Do.	Do.
29	Santhana Raja	Do.	20 0 0	No. 361 " 4th December 1894, communicated with G.O., No. 53, dated 8th March 1895.	Tiruvadi	Near relation.
30	Ramachandra Raja	Do.	50 0 0	G.O., No. 166, dated 11th March 1884, No. 229, dated 3rd April 1884, and No. 507, dated 28th June 1887.	Tanjore	Near relation.
31	Kumaramma Devi	Female	25 0 0	No. 262, dated 23rd August 1886, and No. 324, dated 15th November 1886.	Do.	Do.
32	Doraisami Raja	Male	70 0 0	G.O., No. 753, dated 28th October 1896	Nidamangalam	Near relation.
33	Rani Anna alias Chaya Devi	Female	20 0 0	No. 775 " 6th November 1896	Negapatam	Do.
34	Rajathi Rajasimhala Raja	Male	20 0 0	No. 759 " 30th October 1896	Do.	Do.
35	Govindasami Raja	Do.	29 2 8	No. 66 " 23rd January 1897	Do.	Do.
36	Rajagopal Raja	Do.	15 0 0		Do.	Do.

List of Tanjore and Madhwarine Raj Pensioners whose names are entered in the Group Register.

Group regulator number	Name.	Sex.	Monthly pension	Government order sanctioning the pension.	Present place of residence.	Remarks.
3	Her Highness Maharani Chimamba Bai Saheb ..	Female ..	Rs. 800 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859 ..	Tanjore Palace ..	Near relation. Do.
4	Do. Gouramba Bai Saheb ..	Do. ..	800 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
5	Do. Fijamba Bai Saheb ..	Do. ..	800 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
7	Do. Rannakumaramba Bai Saheb.	Do. ..	800 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
9	Do. Thirupamba Bai Saheb..	Do. ..	800 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
10	Do. Umamba Bai Saheb ..	Do. ..	800 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
17	Bhavanni Saheb ..	Male ..	33 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
19	Chakreswari Bai ..	Female ..	35 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
22	Darmavalli Bai Anna ..	Do. ..	150 0 0	do. do. ..	Tanjore Palace ..	Do.
23	Darma Bai Anna ..	Do. ..	150 0 0	do. do. ..	Tanjore Palace ..	Do.
24	Govinda Bai Anna ..	Do. ..	150 0 0	do. do. ..	Tiruvadi ..	Do.
28	Hira Anna ..	Do. ..	70 0 0	do. do. ..	Tanjore Palace ..	Do.
31	Jinguru Bai ..	Do. ..	70 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859, and No. 180, dated 17th March 1894.	Tanjore ..	Near relation.
33	Kanna Bai Anna ..	Do. ..	150 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859 ..	Tanjore Palace ..	Do.
35	Kannakhi Bai ..	Do. ..	10 0 0	G.O., No. 514, dated 16th November 1861 ..	Tanjore ..	Do.
36	Lakshminamma ..	Do. ..	150 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859 ..	Tiruvadi ..	Near relation.
37	Margalavardhani Bai ..	Do. ..	70 0 0	G.O., No. 461, dated 20th November 1882 ..	Tanjore ..	Do.
43	Radha Bai Anna ..	Do. ..	150 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859 ..	Tanjore Palace ..	Do.
44	Vijaya Sadanandaji Saheb ..	Male ..	100 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
54	Sakthavar Bai ..	Female ..	279 0 0	G.O., No. 39, dated 10th February 1868 ..	Do. ..	Do.
57	Virauba Bai ..	Do. ..	150 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859 ..	Do. ..	Do.
60	Vijaya Lwarisanandaji Saheb ..	Male ..	100 0 0	dated 1st July 1895.	Do. ..	Do.
64	Vijayanayaki Bai ..	Female ..	70 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859 ..	Tanjore ..	Do.
65	Viramma Bai ..	Do. ..	70 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
68	Yasawanta Row Survai ..	Male ..	43 5 4	G.O., No. 151, dated 22nd May 1871, and No. 329, dated 13th October 1871.	Do. ..	Do.
69	Reghanatha Row Survai ..	Do. ..	43 5 4	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
71	Yuganatha Avari Saheb ..	Do. ..	415 0 0	G.O., No. 222, dated 20th July 1871, and No. 32, dated 23rd January 1872.	Tanjore Palace ..	Do.
74	Abhaji Sama Row ..	Do. ..	20 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859 ..	Tanjore ..	Do.
76	Bhima Bai ..	Female ..	50 0 0	G.O., No. 611, dated 27th October 1860 ..	Tiruvadi ..	Do.
80	Kuppusami Sirkai ..	Male ..	10 0 0	G.O., No. 202, dated 5th April 1860 ..	Tanjore ..	Do.
83	Govinda Row Gadi ..	Do. ..	20 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859 ..	Do. ..	Do.
85	Govinda Row Cherupadai ..	Do. ..	20 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
88	Govinda Row Ghatigai ..	Do. ..	50 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
89	Govinda Row Mohitai ..	Do. ..	10 0 0	G.O., No. 209, dated 5th April 1860 ..	Do. ..	Do.
90	Hiramma Gadi ..	Do. ..	20 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859 ..	Kumalakannam ..	Near relation.
91	Jayaramam Survai ..	Do. ..	15 0 0	do. do. ..	Tanjore ..	Do.
95	Krishnaji Mohite ..	Do. ..	7 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
100	Narayana Row Zadove ..	Do. ..	25 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
103	Nagaji Ghantigai ..	Do. ..	20 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
104	Nagaji Ghantigai ..	Do. ..	23 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.
107	Ramchandra Row Zadove ..	Do. ..	23 0 0	do. do. ..	Do. ..	Do.

List of Tanjore and Madhwarajune Raj Pensioners whose names are entered in the Group Register—cont.

Group register number.	Names.	Sex.	Monthly pension.	Government order sanctioning the pension.	Present place of residence.	Remarks.
112	Sivaji Ghanigai	Male	Rs. 35 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 6th December 1859	Tanjore	
113	Sivaji Ramachandra Row Ghanigai	Do.	30 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	
114	Sukharan Zadove	Do.	15 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	
115	Sabaji Mahadeva Row Nimbalker	Female	14 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	
116	Sundra Bai	Do.	7 0 0	G.O., No. 209, dated 6th April 1860	Do.	
117	Venkatamma Bai	Do.	10 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	
118	Thaji Row Salenkar	Male	20 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 5th December 1859	Tanjore Palace	Near relation.
119	Vijai Sivadhiranandaji Sahab	Do.	50 0 0	G.O., No. 309, dated 27th April 1877	Do.	Do.
120	Bikkaji Madik	Do.	20 2 8	G.O., No. 238, dated 27th April 1878	Tanjore	Do.
121	Nagaraja Madik	Do.	20 2 8	Do. do.	Do.	Do.
122	Purnananda Madik	Do.	20 2 8	G.O., No. 516, dated 22nd October 1849	Madharjunam	
123	Giana Bai	Female	3 8 0	Do. do.	Do.	
124	Krishna Row Sivaji Gadai	Male	25 0 0	Do. do.	Tanjore	
125	Krishnamma Bai	Female	5 0 0	G.O., No. 632, dated 14th September 1885, and No. 92, dated 7th February 1887	Madharjunam	Near relation.
126	M. Bhavani Bai Sahib	Do.	500 0 0	G.O., No. 563, dated 7th August 1885	Tanjore	Do.
127	Krishnasami Madik	Male	30 4 0	G.O., No. 563, dated 7th August 1885	Tanjore Palace	Do.
128	Sonbhinglalakhumi Roi	Female	150 0 0	G.O., No. 705, dated 6th December 1859	Do.	Do.
129	Vijai Varadanandaji Sahab	Male	35 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	Do.
130	Hari Row Mohite	Do.	16 4 0	G.O., No. 326, dated 23rd April 1887	Do.	Do.
131	Amaram Mohite	Do.	16 4 0	Do. do.	Do.	Do.
132	Sivabhadranandaji	Do.	60 0 0	G.O., No. 490, dated 7th August 1891	Tanjore	
133	Krishnasami Mohite	Do.	35 0 0	G.O., No. 268, dated 27th April 1892	Do.	
134	Ladu Bai	Female	10 0 0	G.O., No. 765, dated 24th December 1892	Do.	
135	Vennuth Madik	Male	15 0 0	G.O., No. 365, dated 31st May 1894	Do.	
136	Marutisami Madik	Do.	15 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	
137	Abha Sahib Survai	Do.	15 0 0	G.O., No. 615, dated 1st September 1894	Do.	
138	Venct Row Survai	Do.	6 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	
139	Rachanatha Row Survai	Do.	6 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	
140	Kasinatha Row Survai	Do.	5 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	
141	Letchumana Row Survai	Do.	5 0 0	G.O., No. 332, dated 22nd May 1894	Tanjore Palace	Near relation.
142	Yesawanta Row Ingai	Do.	65 0 0	G.O., No. 582, dated 3rd September 1895, and No. 550, dated 17th August 1897	Do.	Do.
143	Hariudra Ingai	Do.	24 6 0	G.O., No. 222, dated 31st March 1897	Do.	Do.
144	Ahilia Bai	Female	10 13 4	G.O., No. 550, dated 17th August 1897, and No. 116, dated 15th July 1898	Tanjore	
145	Jagabantha Bai	Do.	10 13 4	Do. do.	Do.	
146	Samuna Bai	Do.	8 2 0	G.O., No. 173, dated 7th March 1898, and No. 455, dated 4th July 1898	Do.	
147	Samuna Bai	Do.	10 0 0	G.O., No. 172, dated 7th March 1898	Do.	
148	Rama Bai	Do.	10 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	
149	Kasi Bai	Do.	6 0 0	G.O., No. 172, dated 7th March 1898, and No. 454, dated 4th July 1898	Do.	
150	Mangalamba Bai	Do.	5 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	
151	Dharmavalli Bai	Do.	5 0 0	G.O., No. 172, dated 7th March 1898, and No. 454, dated 4th July 1898	Do.	
152	Sakoji Madik	Male	10 0 0	G.O., No. 556, dated 12th August 1898	Do.	
153	Vajogi Row Salenkar	Do.	15 0 0	Do. do.	Do.	

~~Press~~
~~Miscellaneous~~
~~Routine~~

No. 1505, dated 11-3 1909

Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
11 1 12	Pen	1028	For		28/1/04	

