

Pension (1899) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 105.

DATED 15-2-99.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an Invalid pension of Rs. 3-4-0 per mensem to Shait
Umar Sahib, late 2nd C. C. No. 591
of the Madras B. F.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

([3])
200-24-8-04.

C. No. 76
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:—

From the Inspector-General of Police, dated 25th January 1899, No. 13

ORDER THEREON, 15th Feb^y 1899 No. 105.

Character - Very indifferent
No of years' service = 25^{1/3}
No of defaults = 11
Please see defaulters sheet flagged D.

UNDER Articles 455, 515 (6) & 552 scale B of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Shaikh Umar Sahib, late a 2nd Class Constable

Trined = 7 times
Reduced in April 1881 from 1st to 2nd C.C for gross neglect of duty on a house breaking being reported to him. No. 591 of the Madras D^t of Rupees (3-4-0) Three

Reduced in July '91 from 1st to 2nd C.C for absence from Town beat & amas four p^{er}. payable at Dindigul from 21st Dec^r 1895 in consideration of his qualifying Police service of 25 years,

Reduced in Sept '96 from 1st to 2nd C.C for having been found drunk and behaving insubordinately & for shirking duty. 4 months and 19 days.
Suspended in Sept '96 for 1 year & 19 days for having assaulted a constable & for having been convicted by the District Magistrate & sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 10 for the same.

Now in pension = Rs 3-11-10 p^{er}.
Lest he might get Rs 3?

To the Accountant-General, with the Register and L.P. C. 50 small. 200
Inspector-General of Police. The pension is 50 small. 200
8/7/26 10-2-5

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Shaik Umar Sahib*
2. Father's name. *Abdul Bariin Sahib*
3. Race, Sect and Caste. *Mussulman*
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah.
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. *2nd class Constable No 591 of the Madras Police*
6. Date of beginning of service. *11th October 1871*
7. Date of ending of service. *20th December 1898*
8. Length of service, including interruptions Y. M. D.
27 2 10
of which superior 25 4 19
26 1 9
inferior
non-qualifying and interruptions 1 1 1
9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. *Invaliding*
10. [Average] ^{5 years.} emoluments or pay. *Rs 7-7-8*
11. Proposed pension. *Rs 3-11-10*
12. Proposed gratuity. *Nil*
13. Date from which pension is to commence. *21st December 1898.*
14. Place of payment. *Dindigul Sub. Treasury Madras DL*
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† *About 1854.*
16. Height. *5 feet 7 3/4 Inches.*
17. Marks. *A linear scar just below and in front of right ear. 2 scars just below and on the other side of right knee.*
18. Date *19th January 1899*



If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.
†(If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate)

W. M.

Superintendent of Police.
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

27.12.98



27.12.98

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Thak Ramar Sahib Laro 2nd class Constable No 591.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.	Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
<i>Police Dept</i>	3rd class Constable 6-8-11	RS. 6-8-11	RS. 6-8-11	1-10-71	12-4-76	Y. 1 95 14	M. 1 14			
	1st class Constable 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	1-10-77	14-2-78	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	1st class Constable 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	15-2-78	18-2-78	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	2nd class Constable 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	19-2-78	26-4-82	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	1st class Constable 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	27-4-82	31-3-84	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	1st class Constable 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	1-4-84	17-6-90	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	1st class Constable 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	18-6-90	11-3-91	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	1st class Constable 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	RS. 8-11-11	12-3-91	18-3-91	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	2nd class Constable 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	16-3-91	2-7-91	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	2nd class Constable 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	3-7-91	3-6-92	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	2nd class Constable 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	4-6-92	5-6-92	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	2nd class Constable 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	6-6-92	15-12-92	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	2nd class Constable 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	16-12-92	27-2-93	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	2nd class Constable 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	28-2-93	29-3-93	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
	2nd class Constable 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	RS. 7-11-11	30-3-93	31-3-94	Y. 1 14	M. 1 14			
Total period of Superior Service .. 19 1 1										

7460 = 420
29
10/449
644
27-7-8
3-11-10

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. *Very intelligent*

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. *Reduced to 2nd class from 1st class Constable on 27.4.82 for gross neglect of duty & breaking being reported to him (2) Reduced to 2nd class from 1st class Constable on 3.7.91 for absence from duty & being reported to him (3) Reduced to 2nd class from 1st class Constable on 1.9.96 for having been found drunk and behaving insubordinately and shirking duty (4) Suspended from 17.9.96 to 5.10.97 for having assaulted Constable No 2427 on 15.9.96 and having been convicted by the Suddingar Magistrate and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 10.*

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (See Chapter XXI).

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [See Article 991 (b)].

Established and should be admitted.

Superintendent of Police.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs.

calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

years months

of the Civil Service Regulations. The

Audit Officer.

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—
He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. 2,000 if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Pension (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 76

From H. N. Stuart Esquire Esq.
ag. Dispt. Genl of Police
Madras.



No. 13
BR.

Dated 25 January 1899

Received 31. 1. 99

Registered 31. 1. 99.

Enclosures 6.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submits an application for
the grant of Pension to
Shaikh Ewar Sahib late
a 2-cc. No. 591. of Madras H.L.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of Commencement.

Date of sanction.

Cus. 76/99

66/501-28

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined 2nd Class Constable
Sheik Omar No 591 of M. Division

son of Abdul Kareem

a
in the Police Department

His age is by his own statement 53 years and by appearance about 53

years.

I consider Sheik Omar

to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in

the Department to which he belongs in consequence of Chronic Rheumatism

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate

habits.

STATION

Madras M. J. Thomas, Name.

Date

21.12.1898.

Major J. M. S. Rank.

Asst Med & Santry Officer Designation.

NOTE.—[If the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits.]"

Countersigned.

Surgn.-Major-Genl.

Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

STATEMENT OF CASE.

- Rank. 2 Class Constable No 591
- Name. Sheikh Omar
- Department or Office. Police
- Marks of identification. A linear scar just below V in front of right ear. 2 scars just below and on the outer side of right knee.
- Age. 58
- Service. 27 years 2 months and 10 days
- Sick leave granted during the service. Chronic Rheumatism
- Disease or cause of Disability. 3 months and 24 days
- Abstract statement of case showing how the disease or disability was contracted, the results of the treatment adopted. In case the disease or disability is believed to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits, the reasons for such belief should be stated.

Complains of loss of appetite, giddiness occasionally and Rheumatic pains in the joints more so in the lower extremities. He appears thin, emaciated and worn out, and cannot move his limbs freely. He acts action weak.

Form No. 126.

Defaulter sheet of Shaik Umar Sahib Name 2nd Class Constable No. 591

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	PUNISHMENT.		By whom ordered.	Date of order.
		Black Marks.	Other Punishments.		
Being in Station without uniform	15.6.18-2-78		Fined 2 annas Sept 10 of Police	do	27-5-74
He was without leave			Forfeit 4 days pay	do	27-2-78
He was negligent of duty and a horse drinking being reported to him			Reduced to 2nd class Constable	do	27-4-82
Overstaying his leave by one day	17-6-90		Forfeit pay for one day	do	9-7-90
Absence without leave	12.6.15-3.91	X	do 4 d	do	19-3-91
Absence from Town beat	3-7-91	X	Reduced to 2nd class Constable	do	12-7-91
Disobedience of orders and talking a lie.	6-6-92	X	Forfeit 2 days pay	do	26-6-92
Absence from Hospital without leave	4.5.6-72		do 1 d	do	26-6-92
Absence from drill	30-3-93	X	Fined 2 days pay	do	28-4-93
Negligently losing a notice	9-3-94	X	Reduced to 2nd class Constable	do	2-7-94
Shirking beat duty	8.12-12-94	X	Reduced to 2nd class Constable	do	19-12-94
Being found drunk and behaving unbecomingly	4 to 9.8.96	1	from 1-9-96	do	24-9-96
and shirking duty					P. J. O.

Memo of Calculation of pension to 2nd class Constable no 591 Shait Umar Sahil for 5 years from 2nd December 92 to 16th Sept 96 and from 6th October 97 to 20th December 1898.

Pay as 2nd class Constable at Rs 7-0-0 }
 from 2nd December 92 to 31st March 94 } Rs — Rs — 8
 111 — 12 — 5

Pay as 1st class Constable at Rs 8-0-0 }
 from 1st April 94 to 31st August 1896 } 232 — 0 — 0

Pay as 2nd class Constable at Rs 7-0-0 }
 from 1st to 16th September 1896 } 3 — 11 — 9

Pay as 2nd class Constable at Rs 7-0-0 }
 from 6th October 1897 to 20th December 98 } 101 — 6 — 2

Total Rs 448-14-4

Average 5 years emoluments = $\frac{448-14-4}{60}$
 = Rs 7-7-8

Proposed pension = $\frac{1}{2}$ of Rs 7-7-8
 = Rs 3-11-10

60) 448-14-4
 420
 28
 16
 46 2
 420
 48
 508
 480
 28

Madras Police Office
 9th January 1899

Superintendent of Police
 & 85

Brief description of offence.

Date when committed.

PUNISHMENT.
 Black Marks.
 Other Punishments.

By whom ordered.

Date of order.

Having assaulted Constable on 4.27 on 15.9.96
 1896 and having been convicted by the Magistrate
 Magistrate and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 10.

15-9-96
 Having been found guilty under
 Section 352 IPC by 2 competent
 tribunals. He is sentenced
 that he live a well &
 convicted & shall not be
 his will only he is dismissed
 but also prosecuted.

Madras Police Office
 9th January 1899

Superintendent of Police

Awarded one Good Service Entry on 27th August 75
 for creditable detection of a cattle theft.
 Promoted to 2nd class Constable on 13th April 1876
 for creditable detection of a cattle theft.
 Granted a reward of Rs 5 for 5th May 1877 for
 good service done in the highway dacoity case
 committed near Battakundoo on 8th January 77
 Awarded one Good Service Entry and promoted to
 1st class Constable on 1st October 1877 for
 creditable detection of a bullock theft.
 Awarded one Good Service Entry on 4th July
 1878 for creditable detection of a theft.
 Granted a reward of Rs 5 on 24th January 81
 for very creditable detection of a case of cattle theft.
 Awarded one Good Service Entry on 18th June 82
 for creditable detection of a theft.
 Promoted to 1st class Constable on 1st April 1884
 for creditable work done in a drugging case.

Superintendent of Ohio
85 

9
5
26

Order No 268

1st class Constable no 591 is reduced to 2nd class Constable. 209 is awarded two black marks for gross neglect of duty, on a House Breaking being reported to them.

27-4-82

Minute on a house.

On the night of 12th April, a House Breaking was committed in Dundgal Town the Servants of the Complainant came alone to the station. 1st class Constable no 591 and 2nd class Constable no 209 were there; Complaint was made to them; they would do nothing but told the men to go and find the Head Constable. Such scandalous apathy cannot lightly be passed over. No 591 being a first class Constable, I reduce him, 209 I award two black marks.

[Signed] H Kelgour Major
27th April 1882 Superintendent of Police
Madras District

/ True copy /

Madras District
9th January 1899
Superintendent of Police
W.A.M.

1899

6

26

Reduction Minute, No 246.

980, 877, 630, 591, each one B. M. absence from

Town Beat; 591 is further reduced to 2.C.C.

under the B.M. order.

(Signed) F. Kilgour Colonel

12-7-91 Superintendent of Police

Minute

1. At 10 AM on the 3rd July, the Madara

Town Inspector found 2 C.C. No 980, 1st C.C.

No 877. 2.C.C. No 630, 1st C.C. No 591, at the east

market, 591 was not wearing uniform.

These men at that time should have been

on their beats away from the locality

in which they were found. 980, 877 & 630

in explanation stated they were looking

after nuisance cases and were on their

way

way to the Station when discovered by
suspectos at that time they had no busi-
ness there, they had absented themselves
from their beats. I award each a B.M.
Constable 591, in explanation, stated
he had not been detailed for beat, that
when seen by suspectos he was going
home to put ^{on} his uniform. He was told
to give a written defence but he has not
done so. The roster shows that he was detailed
for the beat from which he was absent.
The Section Officer knows that he was
marched on to the beat. It is therefore
quite clear, ^{that the Constable} did absent himself from
the beat.
II. There are two B.M.s outstanding
against

against Constable No 591, I now award
him one B.M.; this entails the reduction
of the Constable.

(Signed) F. Kilgour Colonel

12.7.91 Superintendent of Police

(True Copy)

Under Officer
9th January 1891

Superintendent of Police
S. J.

Defaulter order No 257

1st class Constable No 591, Shaikh Umar of
Tiruvadanai Station N. No., is charged with
being found drunk and behaving insubor-
-nately and shirking duty.

2. A theft of certain clothing and uniform
from Constable No 503 when on Magistrate's
orderly duty, took place in the limits of Tondi
station and was reported at that station
and Constable No 591 was detailed on 29-7-96

on detective duty in that case to assist the
S.H.O. of Tondi in obtaining information
in that case, and while on that duty this
Constable shirked his duty and did not
work properly in the case and wasted his
time from 4-8-96 to 9-8-96 and surreptiously
came to Tiruvadanai to his house on 4-8-96.

While in Tiruvadanai on 4-8-96, he got
intoxicated and quarrelled with Constable
No 755 and attempted to assault this
Constable's mother and assaulted the
Constable

9
4
76

Constable and on this the Constable went and complained to the Divisional Inspector. The Inspector then sent Constable No 803 to fetch Constable No 591 who however refused to come, and on this the Inspector again sent Head Constable No 242 to bring Constable No 591 to him and this Head Constable produced Constable No 591 before the Inspector who found that he was under the influence of drink and when the Inspector questioned Constable No 591 as to his violent conduct and asked him to give an explanation for it, the Constable behaved in a very insolent and insubordinate manner towards the Inspector and used abusive language and went away refusing to give any explanation or statement whatever, vide N.D. Diaries for 4th, 8th and 11th August 1896, Inspector's Special report No 135 ²⁸⁻⁸⁻⁹⁶ S.H. Rs of Tiruvadanai Station for 5th and 9th August and Tondi Station House Reports from

from 5-8-96 to 10-8-96 and the memo book of Constable No 591. 3. Constable No 591 in his petition dated 9-8-96 and his explanation dated 17-8-96 states that Constables Nos 755 and 1131 during his absence at Tondi abused his wife and family and that when he came to Tiruvadanai on 4-8-96 his wife complained to him about it and so he questioned the above two Constables and told them to move out of his house on which they abused him and quarrelled with him and that when he went to the Inspector to complain about this, the Inspector refused to receive the complaint and that Constable Nos 210, 986 and 503 came to his being abused by Constable Nos 755 & 1131 and that the Inspector abused him and told him to go away. He denies that he was intoxicated on 4-8-96 or that he used abusive language or was insubordinate to the Inspector and he also states that he did not shrink or

or waste his time while on detective duty between 4th and 9th August and that he came to Tiruvadanai on 4-8-96 in order to question the complainant Constable No 503, in the case on which he was detailed, and that he has made certain enquiries in various villages between 5th and 9th August and that the S.H.O. of Tondi has falsely reported against him.

4. But the statements of Constables Nos 353, 322 and 375 and Head Constable No 242 fully corroborate the report of the Inspector and prove that Constable No 591 was intoxicated on 4-8-96 and that he was abusive and insubordinate towards the Inspector on that date and there seems to be no motive whatever for the Inspector to have reported falsely against this Constable or to have refused to receive his complaint or unnecessarily abused him, and of the witnesses

Witnesses of this Constable, Nos 986 & 210 could not have been present at the time when the occurrence alleged by him is said to have taken place as No 986 only came to Tiruvadanai from Kannaungudi Station on 5-8-96, where he had been for 3 days, and Constable No 210 had left Tiruvadanai by the hour of the alleged assault, as proved by their passports dated 31-7-96 and 4-8-96 and so their depositions cannot be credited and Constable No 503 does not in his statement fully corroborate No 591 and only says he heard a conversation that took place between No 591 and the mother of Constable No 755 which has no material bearing on the defence of Constable No 591. The petition dated 9-8-96 from No 591 is also evidently frivolous and untrue as has been fully shown by the Inspector in his report No 134 ²⁷⁻⁸⁻⁹⁶ and though the occurrences given in it are said by this Constable No 591 to have occurred on 4-8-96 still

Still this constable did not write
this petition till 9-8-96 and only posted
it at Tondi on 10-8-96 or 6 days after
the events are said to have taken place
and from this fact, as well as the reasons
given by the Inspector in his report No
134
27-8-96, it must be concluded that
this petition is a concoction
and after thought on the part of constable
No 591 and was evidently sent in with
the object of using it for his defence
for his misconduct towards the Inspr
on 4-8-96 and therefore it is deserving
of no credence. As regards the shirking
of duty and wasting of his time between
3-8-96 & 10-8-96 while on detective duty,
constable No 591 has not been able to
prove that he did any proper work
between those dates and I do not
see any reason for his having come to
Tiruvadanai to question constable No
502, as on the face of it, there could not
be

be any use in his doing so, and there
was nothing to be gained in it and
the S.H. Es of Tiruvadanai for 5th & 9th
August and of Tondi from 5-8-96 to
10-8-96 as well as the memo book and
reports of constable No 591 clearly prove
that this constable shirked his work
and wasted his time and surreptitiously
came to his house at Tiruvadanai
between the above dates, without proper
cause, as has been also distinctly shown
in N.D. diaries for 8th & 11th August and
Inspector's report No 135
20-8-96 and taking
into considerations all the facts and
reasons detailed above. I am convinced
that constable No 591 is guilty of
the charges brought against him,
which have been fully proved, and as
I consider that he has been guilty
of grave misconduct and in order
to maintain discipline and enforce
obedience it is necessary to take
Serious

serious notice in this instance,
I pass the following order pending
the approval of the Superintendent.

5. 1st class Constable No 591 is
reduced to 2nd class constable
from 1-9-96 for being drunk and
behaving in an insubordinate and
unbecoming manner towards his
Inspector on 4-8-96 and for shirk-
ing duty and wasting his time
between 4th and 9th August 1896.

6. Nil

7. From 1-8-96 to 28-8-96.

10-9-96 (Signed) R. J. M. Mayne
Asst Supt of Police
Approved and Confirmed.

(Signed) G. E. Baudry
24.9.96 Supt of Police

(True Copy)

Madras Police Office
9th January 1899

W. H.
Superintendent of Police

Defaulter order No 457.

Proceedings of the Superintendent of Police
Madras dated 26th September 1897.

Read Assistant Superintendent's report
dated 15th October 1896 and connected
papers.

Read Sivagangah Sub Magistrate's Judgment
in Calandar Case No 72 of 1897.

" Read Assistant Magistrate's Judgment
appeal Case No 115 of 1897.

On 15th September 1896, 2nd class Constable
No 591 Shaik Umar and others (216, 503 & 986)
assaulted Constable No 427.

591 was suspended on 20th October 96
on Assistant Superintendent's report
dated 15th October 1896.

He was charged under Section 352 I.P.C.
on 3rd November 96. He was convicted
by the Sivagangah Sub Magistrate
and sentenced to pay a fine of Rs 10.

He appealed against this conviction.
His appeal was dismissed on 9th
July 1897.

On 17th August 1897, the Assistant Superintendent
called for the copies of the depositions
of the accused which were received
by Assistant Superintendent on 21st August
1897.

13th August (89), Assistant Superintendent
ordered the Inspector to send the Constable
for personal hearing to show cause why
he should not be dismissed.

The Constable appeared before Assistant
Superintendent of Police on 28th August (89).
The charge of assault is clearly proved.
vide judgments.

The only question to be considered is whether
the sentence is inadequate considering
the gravity of the offence.

The Constable has been under suspension
for nearly a year which is equivalent
to a fine of Rs 77 in addition to the fine
inflicted by the Court. He also forfeits
his past service which, in itself, is a
heavy punishment. I therefore pass the
following order

Dec No 571 is released from suspension and
will draw pay from date of joining his station
i.e. 6-10-97.

He will draw no pay or subsistence allowance
during the period of his suspension having
been found guilty under Section 382 by two
Competent tribunals.

He is warned that, if he ever again assaults a
Constable whilst on duty, he will not only be
dismissed but also prosecuted.

(Signed) G. B. Bandy
Superintendent of Police

Madam Bala of [Free copy] 26th Sept 97
9th January 99
Superintendent of Police

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. 13
PR.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

MADRAS, 25 January 1899.

FROM H. A. Stuart Esq. B. L. S.,
M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,

Ag. INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,

To

THE UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PENSION DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit for orders of Government an application for the
grant of a pension to Shaikh Umar Sahib
late a 2nd Class Constable No. 591 of the Madras District.

Enclosures.

2. He has served in the Police Department for 25 years 4 months
and 19 days, and has borne a very indifferent character. He is now unfit for
further effective service of any kind as certified by the District Medical
and Sanitary Officer on the 21st December 1898.

3. He is entitled to an invalid monthly pension of
Rupees (3. 11. 10) Three annas eleven and his ten
payable at the District Sub-Treasury from 21st
December 1898.

according to a of the Police Superannuation Fund

Rules and Articles 485, 518 (b) & 552 Scale B. of the Civil Service

Regulations (Third Edition).

4. His absence without leave as specified in
his application has been retrospectively commuted
into leave without allowances under note to Art
464 of the Regulations.

5. Having regard to the applicant's default
I submit the case for the orders of Government.

I have the honour to
Your most Obedt Servant
Douglas Robertson
for Ag. Inspr Genl of Pol

9
9
76

Ed. 10

Police

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 189
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 189 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only
 Q = Copy heading only.

to
 Co
 H
 Co
 dis

92