Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 538

DATED 23-7-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 2-10-0 per mensem to V. Narasimhulu alias Narasimham late 2nd C. C. No. 122 of the Kistna Dt. -

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

([3]
200—24-8-94.)

C. No. 569
Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers :—

From the Inspector-General of Police, dated 2nd July 1898, No. 181/P.R.

Order thereon, 23rd July 1898 No. 538.

Under Articles 485, 518(b) & 552 scale B of the Civil Service Regulations (~~2nd~~ 3rd Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Vatturi Narasimhulu, alias Narasimham late 2nd C.C. No. 122, of the Kistna Dt, an invalid pension

of Rupees (2-10-0) two & annas ten only p.m.

payable at Bander

from 19th May 1898

in consideration of his qualifying Police service of 22 years, 5 months and 6 days.

2. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant's conduct and character have not been thoroughly satisfactory.

Character - Indifferent

No. of years' service = 22 5/12

No. of defaults = 9

Please see defaulter sheet flagged

Fined : 6 times

Warned for reduction = twice

Reduced in April 1894 from 1st to 2nd C.C for grave irregularities in connection with the investigation of a case of suicide.

Reduced to 2nd C.C in Feb 1898 for insubordination to his S.H.O. in writing a false petn. against him.

Suspended for one month in Feb 1898 for absence from guard officers duty

Maxm. pension = Rs 2-13-0 p.m.

Secy Rs 2-8-0 is suggested

15.7.98

I think we may have R2:10/ considering the smallness of the pension

18/7/98

19/7/8

20/7/98

19.7.98

To the Accountant-General, with the Register and L. P. C.

" Inspector-General of Police.

C18/7/98.

122 Narsimhulu 181

OFFICE OF THE INSP.-GEN. 16 JUN 1898

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Vatturi Narsimhulu alias Vatturi Narsimham
2. Father's name. Vatturi Sobhanadry.
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Velaga, Smarthavam.
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Bandar Town, Kistna District
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. 2 C.C. 122, Kistna Police
6. Date of beginning of service. 5' November 1875.
7. Date of ending of service. 18' May 1898

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	22	6	~~12~~ 14
of which superior	22	5	~~4~~ 6
inferior	0	0	0
non-qualifying and interruptions	0	1	8

9. Class of pension ~~of gratuity~~ applied for, and cause of application.* Invalid
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. — — — — — Rupees 7-10-11 (10)
11. Proposed pension. — — — — — Rupees ~~2-13-0~~ 2-13-0
12. Proposed gratuity. — — — — — — 0-0-0
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 19' May/98.
14. Place of payment. Bandar Taluq Treasury, Kistna District.
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. † 1854 June 30'
16. Height. 5 feet, 6 inches.
17. Marks. Black. 2 moles in front of right chest, 1 mole below the right nipple, 1 wart on the left hip. Face pitted with small pox.
18. Date. 8' June/98.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

J. E. T. Hasted

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

1,000—23-6-98.



HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Vattori Narsimulu alias Vattori Narsimham, 2 Class Const. No. 122.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Kistna Police	3 Class Const.	6 1/2	"	5 Nov. 75	20 Feb. 78	2	3	16					By enlistment register of the District Police Office. Pay bills &c.	
	2 do.	7	"	21 Feb. 78	16 Mar. 79	1	"	~~24~~ 26				Promotion		
	1 do.	8	"	17 Mar. 79	6 Jany. 81	1	9	20				do.		
		Delay in joining	Stat.	7 Jany. 81	13 Jany. 81	"	"	"	"	"	7			
	1 do.	8	"	14 Jany. 81	31 Dec. 83	2	11	17						
		Absence		1 Jany. 84		"	"	"	"	"	1			
	1 do.	8	"	2 Jany. 84	14 Oct. 85	1	9	13						
	4 grade H. Const. on probation	12	"	15 Oct. 85	9 Mar. 87	1	4	25						
	1 Class Const.	8	"	10 Mar. 87	7 June 90	3	2	28				reversion		
		Leave without pay		8 June 90	19 June 90	"	"	12						
	1 do.	8	"	20 June 90	31 Mar. 94	3	9	11						
	2 do.	7	"	1 Apr. 94	30 Sep. 95	1	6	"				reduction		
	1 do.	8	"	1 Oct. 95	20 Mar. 98	2	5	20						
		Suspension		21 Mar. 98	20 Apr. 98	"	"	"	"	1	"	Adjudged ~~and~~		
	2 do. 2 c.c.	~~7~~ 7	"	21 Apr. 98	18 May 98	"	"	28				reduction		
	Total period of Superior Service ..				..	22	5	~~4~~ 6	"	1	18 8			

8 × 60 = 480
18
462
1-6-28.
25 × 15 / 30 = 450 / 30
187
382
22
12
264 385
10 { 461-1-0
6 { 46-1-7
7-10-11 × 84
771
292
110
£030
77-11-121
2.12.0

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

Douglas Robertson
[illegible] of Police

1. As to character and past conduct of applicant. Indifferent—

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant (See Chapter XXI).

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [See Article 991 (b).]

1. ~~Reversion to 1 c.c. (from 4 gr. H.C.) as his probation was ceased.~~ Dated 10-3-87.
2. Reduced to 2 c.c. (from 1 c.c.) for grave irregularities in connection with the investigation of a case of suicide. Dated 1-4-94. (Copies of minute enclosed)
3. Suspended for one month for absence from guard officers duty from midnight on 17 February/98. Dated 21-3-98. (Correspondence attached)
4. Reduced to 2 class Constable for insubordination to his S.H.O. on 18-2-98 — writing a false petition against his S.H.O. — Dated 21-3-98.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for years months days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved Service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of application.

Name of applicant.

Last appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Cur. 569/98

80538/98

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 569

From M. Hammick. Esqr. I.C.S.
Inspector General of Police
Madras

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
15 JUL. 1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Order

No. 181/PR

Dated 2 July 1898
Despd. 14 July 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures 8

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submits an application for the grant of pension to V. Narasimhulu alias Narasimham late a 2 class Const No. 122 - Kistna district.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 4

CIVIL MEDICAL FORM No. 32.

(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

POLICE HEADQUARTER OFFICE,
23 MAY 98
KISTNA.

16 JUN. 1898

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined No. 122 V. Narasimham

(son of V. Sobhanadri)

a 2nd class Police constable of E1 Division

in the Kistna District

His age is by his own statement 44 years and by appearance about 44 years.

I consider V. Narasimham

to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of Beri Beri

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

STATION Guntur
Date 18th May 1898.

Name. [illegible]
Rank. Asst Surgeon
Designation. Ag Civil Surgeon

[illegible] for pension from the evening of 18th May/98
J. H. Haslett
Distt. Supdt. of Police
23.5.98

Guntur
[illegible]

NOTE.— the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits.)

8
1
569

Countersigned.

Surgn.-Major-Genl.,
Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

STATEMENT OF CASE.

1. Rank. 2nd class Police constable
2. Name. V. Narasimham
3. Department or Office. Police
4. Marks of identification. Face pitted with small pox marks. fleshy nose
5. Age. 44 years
6. Service. 23 years
7. Sick leave granted during the service.
8. Disease or cause of disability. Beri Beri
9. Abstract statement of case showing how the disease or disability was contracted, the results of the treatment adopted. In case the disease or disability is believed to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits, the reasons for such belief should be stated.

This constable has been sent for examination in respect to his fitness or otherwise for further service-

History. The present illness began 3 years ago. It came on with fever followed by numbness of extremities & a weakness in the small of the back. dyspnoea & palpitation of the heart from time to time since 1896 he has been taking native medicines for the same. On 24th april 1898 he sought admission into Hospital

Present condition. A weakly looking individual visible mucous membranes anemic. There is marked weakness in the lower extremities & the lumbar region & in consequence he is unable to walk. He has been under treatment for over a month without showing any tendency to improve. Considering his age & the nature of the disease I am of opinion that he is permanently unfit for further service.

A. B. Mander
Ag. Civil Sn

Copy of Defaulter Sheet

No.	Rank	Name	Name of Offence	Date when Committed	Punishment	By whom ordered	Date of order
122	2 c.c.	Narsimulu	Keeping a village report, reporting a case of dacoity & a case of hurt and a written complaint of theft in a desk in the Station House without reporting them to a Magistrate or entering in the Station register or saying anything about them	3-7-77	Fined one rupee	Supt.	
"	"	"	Singing & dancing before the Station & quarrelling with a Duffer and for malingering	1-11-77	Fined one rupee	do.	
"	1 c.c.	"	Delaying 7 days in joining his Station from another Taluq	7-1-81.	Fined 7 days pay	do.	
"	"	"	Absence from duty for one day	1-4-84.	Forfeit 1 days pay 1 B.M. & warned for reduction	do.	
"	4 S.C.	"	Reverted to 1 Class Const. (fr. 4 S.C.) as his probation was ceased.	10-3-87.	reversion	do.	
"	1 c.c.	"	Neglecting to register a gang of Donga Yerukalas which passed thro his Station limits	11 ~~28~~-2-88	Fined one rupee & 1 B.M.	do.	
"	"	"	Neglecting to register extra members of the permanent Yerukala gang at Inamella & for being in connection with gangs	2-4-88	Fined 2 rupees & 1 B.M. & warned for reduction		
"	"	"	Grave irregularities in connection with the investigation of a case of Suicide	1-4-94.	Reduced to 2 C.C.	do.	
"	"	"	Absence from guard Officers duty from midnight on 17 February 98	17, 18 Feb. 98	Suspended for one month from 21 March 98	do	
"	"	"	Insubordination to his S.H.O. on 18-2-98 writing a false petition against his S.H.O.	8-3-98	Reduced to 2 C.C. from 21-3-98	do	

569

/True Copy/

J. E. T. Hasted
Dist. Supt. of Police

ic.c. 122 Narsimham of Avanigadda.

Charged by his S.H.O. 4'g H.C. 919 Sitaramaswami:

(1) With absence from guard Officer duty from midnight of 17-2-98.
(2) Quarreling with his S.H.O. & insubordinate on 18-2-98.

4'g H.C. 919 visited the guard at 2 A.M. on the 18' Const. 822 was on guard. But Const. 122 the guard Officer was absent. The Head Const. then got ic.c. 779 who was on rounds in the village to do guard Officer's duty.

822 says that he relieved Const. 875 at 12 P.M. 122 was present at the time but went away shortly afterwards & when the S.H.O. came at 2 A.M. 122 had not returned.
The sentry book clearly shows that 122 was present when 875 went on guard at 9 P.M.

1026 says he was one of the guard on 17' night. He got off duty at 9 P.M. He awoke when the Head Const. came on rounds at 2 A.M. the guard Officer 122 was absent.

875 says he was one of the guard & awoke when H.C. 919 came on rounds at 2 A.M. (18') the guard Officer ic.c. 122 was absent at the time.

---

2 charge On 18-2-98 6 A.M. when the Constables came to take their duties the S.H.O. asked Const. 122 for his explanation for absence from guard duty after midnight of the 17'. 122 refused to give his explanation & asked him to ask his (122's) private part (pointing to it) for the explanation & then came out and challenged the H.C. Const. to fight.
Const. 1026, 875, 690, 1082, 822, 779 who were present at the time state that it was true that 122 acted in the manner as described above. A prisoner in the Sub jail Bookada Poonigadu whom I questioned made a statement to the same effect.

122 Admits he was guard Officer on 17-2-98 night. He says Const. 195 who was detailed for guard from 12 P.M. to 3 A.M. was sent away to enquire into a death case. No man was deputed in his place. H.C. 919 came at 3 A.M. & told 1026 to bring the guard book which he took to the Station & there altered
sent back with the book and said that 779 was
195 to 822 & got 822 to sign it & Const. 779 was to be the guard Officer and 779 told him to go away but he did

not do so but slept at the Station.

The H.C. did not ask for his explanation nor did he act in any insubordinate manner ~~nor~~ quarrel with him. The H.C. & he have had quarrels of a purely private nature (family quarrels).

(Signed) J. M.
19-3-98.

/True Copy/

Dist. Supt. of Police.

Minute

I. On 1st class Const: No 122 Narasimham of Avanigadda Station B2 Division

II (a) Absence from Guard Officers duty from midnight on 17-2-98.

(b) Insubordination to his H.C. on 18-2-98.

III (a) This Constable who was guard Officer at Avanigadda Sub Treasury and Sub Jail on 17-2-98 was found absent from his post at 2 A.M. on 18-2-98 by Head Constable No 919 when he visited the guard.

(b) On 18-2-98 when Head Constable 919 asked this Constable for his explanation as to his absence from treasury guard Officers duty on the night of 17-2-98 the Constable told the Head Constable to ask his private parts & challenged the Head Const: to fight.

IV. 4th grade Head Const: No 919 Seetaramaswami proves that he visited the guard at 2 A.M. on the 18th that Const: 822 was on guard and that the guard Officer Const: 122 was absent so he took Const: No 779 who was on night rounds in the village & placed him as guard Officer.

Const: No 822 proves that he relieved Const: 875 at midnight that Const: 122 was then present with the guard but left shortly afterwards and did not again returned to the guard that he was absent when Head Const: 919 visited the guard at 2 A.M on the 18th

Const: 1026 proves that he was one of the guard on the 17th night that he came off duty at 9 P.M. when Const: 122 was present; that when Head Const: 919 came he woke up & the guard Officer was not present.

Const: 875 proves that he was one of the guard on the 17th night that when Head Const: 919 visited the guard at 2 A.M. on the 18th he woke up & that Const: 122 was not then present.

(b) 4th H.C. 919 proves that when the Constables came to the Station for duty on the 18th morning he asked Const: 122 for his explanation for being absent from guard duty after midnight on the 17th that Const: 122 refused to give his explanation & asked him to ask his (122's) private parts he then went out of the Station & challenged the Head Const: to fight.

Constables 1026, 875, 690, 1082, 822, & 779 who were then in the Station prove the above.

A prisoner Voothada Poonigadu who was in the Sub Jail proves the above.

Defence - I was guard Officer on the 17th night - Const: 19

No 8
No 5
569

who was detailed for guard from 12 midnight to 8 A.M. was sent away to enquire into a death case. No man was deputed in his place. HC 919 came at 3 A.M. & told Const. 1026 to bring the guard book which he took to the Station & there altered 195 into 822 & got Const. 822 to sign it. Then Const. 779 was sent back to the guard with the guard register & Const. 779 said that he was now the guard Officer & that I was to go away. I did not go away but slept in the Station.

(ii) The Head Const. did not ask me for my explanation. I did not behave insubordinately. I did not quarrel with the Head Const. The Head Const. & I have had quarrels of a purely private nature.

Finding:- It is clearly proved that the Const. was absent from his duty & that he was insubordinate to the Head Constable. Insubordination of the nature described is a most serious fault & one which can only be noticed with severe punishment.

V. Suspended for 1 month from this date 21-3-98.

VI. Not suspended before.

VII. No delay.

Camp Avanigadda }
21st March/98 } /Signed/ J. E. H. Hasted
Supt. of Police

/True copy/

Dist. Supt. of Police

Certified that the finger prints of Valluri Narsimulu alias Valluri Narsimham late 2nd class Constable No 122 have been taken in my presence and under my personal superintendence from the left hand of the individual whose pension forms the subject of the application.

Police Office }
Bunder }
8/6/98 }

Dist. Supt. of Police

8
6
569

Memo of
Calculation of Pension

5 Years average Emoluments from 19 april 93 to 18 May 1898 excluding 1 months suspension from 21st March to 20 april/98.

	Rs - a - p.
19 to 30 april/93 @ 8 pm - - - -	3 - 3 - 2
1 may/93 to 31 may/94 @ do - - - -	88 — —
1 april/94 to 30 Septr/95 @ Rs 7 pm . . .	126 — —
1 Octr of 95 to 28 Feby/98 @ 8 pm . . .	232 — —
1 to 20 March/98 @ do . . .	5 — 2 - 6
21 to 30 Apl/98 @ 7 pm . . .	2 — 5 — 4
1 to 18 May/98 @ do . . .	. 4 - 1 —

60) 460 — 12 — 0

Rs 7 — 10 — 10

Pension is $\frac{22}{60}$ of Rs 7-10-10.

= Rs 2 - ~~12 - 11~~ 13 - 0 10

Douglas Robertson
ag: Asst Inspr genl of Police

8
1
569
10

JWK.

296
1894

FORM No. 144
ఫారం నెం. 144

Punishment Roll.
శిక్ష ఫారం.

No. నెంబరు.	Rank and name. హెూదాయున్నూ పేరున్నూ.	Date of Enlistment. నవుకరీ అయిన తారీఖు.	No. of Defaulters, &c., last entry. డిఫాల్టరులయొక్క సంఖ్యా, ఆఖరు శిక్ష.	Offence in English and Vernacular of the Constable. కాన్‌స్టేబిలుయొక్క నేరము యింగ్లీషులోనున్నూ తెనుగులోనున్నూ.	Superintendent or Assistant Superintendent's orders. సూపరింటెండెంటు యొక్కగాని అ॥ సూపరింటెండెంటు యొక్కగాని ఆర్డరు.	Date of entry in Constable's Defaulter Sheet. కాన్‌స్టేబిలుయొక్క డిఫాల్టరుషీటులో నమోదైన తారీఖు.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
122	1 c.c. Narasimham	5-11-75 These papers have been detached from the roll.	Defaulter Sheet with C. roll (vide diary for 29-12-93) Enclosures Explanation of Const: 122 1. Questions put to him 1 Statement of Nandi Pichi 1 do of Somadu 1 do of Pichigadu 1 do of HC 857 2 do of HC 491 1 Report- HC 16 of the SHO Repalli 1 Total 9	~~Gross~~ Grave irregularities in connection with the investigation of a case of Suicide 2వ [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]		Entered in defaulter Sheet & returned to Inspector 27.4.94.

Nizampatam STATION,
ముకాం,

Date 2-2-94.
తేది 189 .

Send back with copy of order from Defaulter Book attached; order to be furnished to Constable, and this roll, after entering in Division Defaulter Sheet to be returned to District Office.
డిఫాల్టరుబుక్కునుండి ఆర్డరు నకలుతోసి జతపర్చి తిరిగి పంపవలెను; సదరు ఆర్డరు కాన్‌స్టేబిలుకు తెలియపర్చవలెను, మన్ని యీరోలు డివిజను డిఫాల్టరుషీటులో నమూదు చేసిన పిమ్మట డిస్ట్రిక్టు ఆఫీసుకు రిటర్ను చేయవలయును.

This form will be attached to Inspector's Diary when a man is recommended for punishment.
ఎప్పుడయినా జవానుకు శిక్షకు సిఫార్సు చేయబడినప్పుడు యీ ఫారం యిన్‌స్పెక్టరు డయిరిలో జతపరచవలెను.

(Sd) A Narasimhulu
Inspector of Police,
ఇన్‌స్పెక్టరు ఆఫ్ పోలీసు,
D Division.
డివిజను.

5,000—8-93.

Explanation of 1 Class Const: No 122 Narsimulu
of W. Nizampatam Station.

Questions. (1) On what date and at what hour did the wife of the deceased Yeeravadu come to you to complain and who accompanied her then.

(2) Did she first come to you to complain or was she sent by the villagers of Nizampatam to whom she is said to have gone: If she was sent by the villagers how is that there is no report from the villagers.

(3) As soon as she complained did you enter it in the Crime Register and prepare occurrence reports. If so why did you not despatch them then and there:

4 Did the deceased's relatives complain before you or not that the V. M. and others of Nizampatam came to their huts on the previous night and compelled the deceased to give out what he knew in the matter of the corpse that was found floating dead in the pond at Nizampatam & that he being affraid of them committed suicide.

5. Did you ask the deceased's wife at the time of inquest or not to say that he (deceased) was suffering with stomachache and that therefore committed suicide.

6. When and at what o'clock did you go to the corpse: When was the inquest over and why did you not despatch the corpse then and there.

7 Did you not send for the deceased & another Yenadi to Station and ask them to give out what they knew in case No 4 of 94 next day after the case was reported

8 Was there a talk in the village or not that case No 4 of 94 is a murder and when so how is it you did not inform the Inspector

9 Where is your investigation note book

Replied by Ag. Hd Const: No 122 Narsimhulu

1 States that the deceased's wife in case No 5 of 95 came before him to complain on 19-1-94 at 3 P.M. that her father and brother were with her that he first saw her standing and crying in front of Tunnagunttla Mallayya's house and that she then said that her husband was strangulating dead to a tree.

2. T. Mallayya's house is close by his Ag. Head Constable's house. On hearing her crying he came out where the Village Munsiff and Curnam also came she gave out the same facts & so no village report was obtained

3. States that he entered the case in the Crime Register then and there and prepared occurrence reports which he retained in the Station with intent to despatch them after inquest was over. It was very late by the time the inquest was over. He returned to Station by 8 A.M. Next day i.e 20-1-94. That day there were none in the Station besides himself and so despatched them on 21-1-94.

4. States that the deceased's wife simply told him that her husband was suffering with bellyache and therefore committed suicide; but never told him that the villagers of Nizampatam compelled him (deceased) to give out what he knew in the matter of the corpse that was found floating dead in the pond and that therefore committed suicide.

5. States that he did not ask the deceased's wife to say that the man was suffering with bellyache therefore committed suicide. She told the same voluntarily to the Panchayatdars and they were all of that opinion and accordingly ~~drawn~~ drew up the inquest report.

6. States that he went to the corpse at about 4 P.M on 19-1-94 that it was 5 or 5.30 P.M. by the time the corpse was examined by the Panchayatdars that it was 9-10 P.M. by the time the inquest was drawn up, that it was 11 P.M. by the time a report was written to the Civil Apothecary that there occurred some delay in procuring men to send the corpse and lastly there was no delay on his part in sending the corpse.

8
9
569

7. States that the deceased in this case and another Yenadi were never sent for to Station at any time and asked to give out what they knew in the matter of the corpse that was found floating dead in the pond at Nizampatam.

8. States that people in these parts call all cattle deaths and accidental deaths as murders and did not therefore report to the Inspector the rumours about Case No 4 of 94 at Nizampatam.

9. States that he left his note book on the table at Repalli Station and gave a note to the address of Repalli S.H.O. to send it.

10. Concludes that there is no reason for him to hush up the statement given out by the deceased's wife and that any how this is a case of suicide and nothing else.

(Signed) Narasimham
Ag. Hd Const. No 122

Sir

In this case there is the evidence of Yenadi Nambooru Pichigadu who states that he and the deceased Pichigadu (in Case No 5 of 94) were both sent for to Station and asked to give out what they knew in the matter of the corpse that was found floating dead at Nizampatam (his statement is attached). He is also supported by 1 class Constable No 857 who states ~~that~~ the same thing and adds that all men Nos 122, 857 428 & S.H.O. No 418 were present in the Station. The deceased's wife K. Pichi and her brother Somadu clearly stated before me in the open Station that the V. M. and others of Nizampatam came to their huts and took away the deceased

No 122 Stated that he left his note book at Repalli Station & that S.H.O. reports that there is not his note book.

along with them and that even his wife was refused to accompany them.

Whether the Police of Nizampatam or the villagers did all this simply they suspected that the deceased in this case and another Yenadi knew something in the matter of the corpse that was found floating dead in the pond at Nizampatam (Case No 4 of 94) and therefore tried to extract the information from them. This was not at all reported and so their conduct is suspicious.

Again the deceased's wife and her brother stated before me that they complained before Ag. Hd Const. No 122 that the villagers of Nizampatam compelled the deceased to give out what ~~they~~ he knew in the matter of the corpse that was found floating dead in the pond and that being afraid of them he committed suicide. Constables Nos 491. 857 who were at the inquest state the same.

Thus it is quite clear that this Ag. Hd Const. No 122 hushed up the real facts and concocted the theory of stomachache with ~~real~~ mal intention he and ~~others~~ the villagers being one and the same. From his explanation I find there is no reason why he should have delayed despatching occurrence reports. His conduct is most suspicious and abominable & is much to be blamed.

(Signed) A. Narasimhulu
Inspr of Police

(True Copy)

Compd

Dist. Supdt. of Police

Or 1 Class Constable No. 122 Narasimham of Nizampatam Station Repalli Taluq.

Offence - Gross irregularities in connection with the investigation of a case of Suicide.

On 19-1-94 at 3 P.M. he received information of an unnatural death. He did not despatch the Occurrence report regarding it till 21-1-94.

He did not despatch the body to the hospital until the 20'.

He made no mention of the fact that the deceased's wife stated that the accused committed suicide because of the pressure brought to bear on him to state what he knew regarding the death of another man.

In his defence he states that he meant to send the Occurrence report on his return from the inquest that it was 8 A.M. on the 20' when he returned from the inquest by which time there was no one in the Station but himself and he therefore despatched it by the next day beat.

He accounts for the delay in despatching the corpse thus: it was 4 P.M. when he saw the body, 5 or 5.30 P.M. by the time the viewing was over; it was 9.30 P.M. by the time the report was drawn up; it was 11 P.M. by the time the Extracts for the Medical Officer were ready and then there was further delay in procuring men to pack the Corpse. This defence needs no comment.

He denies that the wife gave the reason mentioned above as that for the suicide and that she said what she said at the inquest that her husband had a stomachache. This is denied by both Constables and villagers who state that it was this man who induced the widow to say her husband had a stomach ache

Minute

Or 1 Class Constable No. 122 Narasimham of Nizampatam Station Repalli Taluq.

Offence - Grave irregularities in connection with the investigation of a case of Suicide.

On 19-1-94 at 3 P.M. he received information of an unnatural ~~accidental~~ death. He did not despatch the Occurrence report regarding it till 21-1-94.

He did not despatch the body to the hospital until the 20'.

He made no mention of the fact that the deceased's wife stated that the accused committed suicide because of the pressure brought to bear on him to state what he knew regarding the death of another man.

In ~~the~~ his defence he states that he meant to send the Occurrence report on his return from the inquest that it was 8 A.M. on the 20' when he returned from the inquest by which time there was no one in the Station but himself and he therefore despatched it by the next day beat.

He accounts for the delay in despatching the corpse thus: it was 4 P.M. when he saw the body, 5 or 5.30 P.M. by the time the viewing was over; it was 9.30 P.M. by the time the report was drawn up; it was 11 P.M. by the time the Extracts for the Medical Officer were ready and then there was further delay in procuring men to pack the Corpse. This defence needs no comment.

He denies that the wife gave the reason mentioned above as that for the suicide and that she said what she said at the inquest that her husband had a stomachache. This is denied by both Constables and villagers who state that it was this ~~was~~ man who induced the widow to say her husband had a stomach ache

8
10
569

Be that as it may it was certainly with his knowledge as proved by a large body of evidence that pressure had been brought to bear on the deceased who was suspected of concealing evidence regarding suspected case of murder. This information he knowingly omitted from the inquest report.

This man's whole conduct in this case is most suspicious but whether he was clean-handed or not or whatever his object he was clearly guilty of the offence noted above.

Reduced to 2nd Class Constable from 1-4-94.

(Signed) H. G. Prendergast
Supt. of Police
20-4-94

True copy

Dist. Supt. of Police

Compd
HR

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
No. 181 P-R
MADRAS, 2 July 1898.

FROM
M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras,

TO
THE UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PENSION DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit for orders of Government an application for the grant of a pension to Vatturi Narasimhulu alias Narasimham late a 2nd Class Constable No. 122 of the Kistna District.

Enclosures.

2. He has served in the Police Department for 22 years 5 months and 6 days, and ~~has~~ is certified by the Superintendent to have borne an indifferent character. He is now unfit for further effective service of any kind as certified by the Civil Surgeon Guntur on the 18th May 1898.

3. He is entitled to an invalid monthly pension of Rupees (2.13.0) Two and annas thirteen only payable at the Bander Taluk Treasury from 19th May 1898, according to ~~Rules and~~ ~~of the Police Superannuation Fund~~ Articles 485, 518(b) and 552 Scale B of the Civil Service Regulations (Second Edition).

8
4
569

4. His absence without leave on the 1st January 1884 has been retrospectively commuted into leave without allowances under note to Article 464 of the Regulations.

5. Having

5. Having regard to the Applicant's reduction in April 1894 I submit the Case for the Orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servant,
A. Douglas Robertson
for Inspt Genl of Police.

10/
B.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Certified that the original of G.O. No. 539 Pension dated the 23.7.98 has been destroyed with reference to the instructions contained in G.O. No.5, dated the 4th January 1868, the Accountant-General having in his No. ACR. 54 dated 8.12.15 reported the death of the pensioner.

15.12.15

Pension Superintendent.

Name	Designation	Class of pension	Amount
Chittoor Rangiah	1st Grade process server, Dt Mf's Ct Vellore	Invalid pension	Rs 3-12-0 per