

Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 878 + 879.

DATED 23 - 11 - 98.

F.N. 622/99

[Despatch Abstract.]

Order

Ordering that a reply be sent to the Govt. of India's letter No 2774 G. 2/8

Oct. 1898.

Letter

Stating the grounds which led to the issue of this Govt's Memo. No 767

of 15-8-95. P.W.D., giving Mr Pereira, temporary overseer, notice that his services would be dispensed with.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[R. E.]
43.
1,000 -10-8-96.

P. W. Current No. 8993

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 867

Ans: 31-10-98

From

The Govt. of India
P.W.D.

No. 2774 G.

Dated 8/10/98.

Received 17/10

Registered - do

Enclosures nil

Spare copies. nil

SUBJECT.

Requesting that the grounds which led to the issue of the P.W.D. ~~order~~ ^{order} giving Mr. Pereira, Temporary Overseer, notice that his services would be dispensed with, may be fully stated for the information of the Govt. of India

~~Order~~

Will

~~Referred to the Public Works.~~

please furnish
Dept. view to the information called for!

being furnished.

111
17.1.98

26.1.98

To P.W.D.

To

The P.W.D.
with pp.

No. 4807C d/3 Nov. 98.

To

The Pension Dept. u.o.

Mr. Peter Pereira, Temporary Upper Subordinate on Rs100, was marked as "not recommended for promotion" in the report on the Upper Subordinates in the III Circle for the half year ending

31st Decr. 1894. The grounds

for

BACK PAPERS.

for his being so marked were (1) he would badly and (2) that he was detected in submitting a false claim for travelling allowance, and so was considered untrustworthy. His Ex: Engineer remarked as follows "I cannot recommend this man for promotion. Neither the quality of his work nor his character permit me to do so". For making a false claim for travelling allowance he was punished by cancelling his travelling allowance claim for the month & by his being marked in the recommendation register as "not recommended for promotion". As the Ex: Engineer considered this sufficient punishment no further action was taken.

He was again not recommended in the P.R. roll for the half year ending 30th June 1895 on the ground that he had broken down bodily and mentally and had done bad work.

As he had been badly reported ^{on} for two successive half yearly rolls, he was, in accordance with the practice prevailing in regard to temporary employees, in this dept. given a month's notice & discharged.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
"	662	Pen.	3-9-78

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

All communications should be addressed to the Secretary.



No. 2774 G.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
GENERAL.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Pension Department.

Simla, the 8th October 1898.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. 618 dated 18th August 1898, I am directed to request that the grounds which led to the issue of Madras Government Public Works Department Memorandum No. 767 D., dated 15th August 1895, giving Mr. Pereira, temporary Overseer notice that his services would be dispensed with, may be fully stated for the information of the Government of India.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. H. Vallu

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Documents accompanying :-

Nil.

S. S. S.

APR
2-11-98
Dr.

Read C.No:867.

Order: d/23rd Novr. 1898 No 878

Ordered that the following letter be despatched to the Govt: of India

*sd/
16.11.98*

*Me
16.11.98*

No 879

Letter

To the Secy: to the Govt: of India,

P.W.D.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No:2774

d/8th Oct:'98, I am directed to state

the grounds which led to the issue of

this Govt:'s Memo.No:767,d/15th Aug:'95

P.W.D., giving Mr. Pereira temporary over-

seer, notice that his services would be

dispensed with.

2. Mr. Pereira, Temporary Upper Sub-
ordinate on Rs.100, was marked as "not
recommended for promotion" in the report
on the Upper Subordinates in the II cir-

-cle

*mul
8/11/98*

roll for the half year ending 31st December 1894. The grounds for his being

so marked were ~~that~~ (1) ^{that he} he worked badly and (2) ^{that he} was detected in submitting a false claim for travelling allowance, and so was considered untrustworthy.

His Executive Engineer remarked as follows:- "I cannot recommend this man for promotion. Neither the quality of his work nor his character permit me to do so."

He was again not recommended in the P.R. roll for the half year ending 30th June 1895 on the ground that he had broken down bodily and mentally and had done bad work.

As he had been badly reported on in two successive half-yearly rolls, he was, in accordance with the practice prevailing in the P.W. Dept: in regard to temporary employes, given a month's notice and discharged.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

AD AGAIN (F.O., No. _____
189

DATED

NT No.

to C. No.

DEE OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

TER OF GOVERNMENT.

EMENT WITH VITO.

ER NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

V.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

16/11/98

16/11/98