

Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 847.

DATED 4-11-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a *retiring* pension of Rs. *700* per mensem to *R.*
Ramesalingaswamy late *2nd Grade Head Const.*
No 1221 of the Vizagapatnam D.

Recd
h
11

1/10/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[A.]
500-11-5-98.

C. No. 861
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:—

From the Insp. Genl. of Police dated 28th Sept 1898, No. 256
PR.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 14-10-1898, No. A.D.J.
344

ORDER THEREON, 4th Nov. 1898 No 847.

Character—Good

Reduced in April 1875 from 1st 2nd C.C for 3 months for absence without leave.

Suspended for 5 years, 8 months & 4 days in Jan. 1884 for having been convicted & sentenced to a fine of Rs 15 under Sec 44 of Police Act.

Please see para 4 of the 2^d & para 2 of the A.G. report.

The applicant was dismissed in July 1884 in consequence of a conviction under Sec 44 of the Police Act. But appeal to Govt. the dismissal cancelled & he was restored to his appointment, the period during which he was out of pay having been treated as leave. To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" " 2^d of Police.

Under articles 509, 518(6) & 552 scale A of the Civil Service Regulations (3rd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Romala Ramalinga swamy, late 2nd Grade H.D. Cons. No 1221 of the Vizaga - patam Dt., a retiring pension—

of Rupees (7-0-0) seven only p.m.

payable at Annakapally from 10th Aug. 1898 in consideration of his qualifying service of 24 years, 6 months and 18 days.

2. The applicant's absence without leave has, under art. 464 of the Regs, been commuted into leave without allowances.

3. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicants character & conduct have not been thoroughly satisfactory.

(from orders)

1st
1.11.98

31st
11/98

as suspension.

Max. pension = Rs 10-0-0 per m.

1st
22.10.98

See

The man narrowly escaped in 1889. In 1884 he was 2nd grade head constable. after his reinstatement in 1889 he rose to 2nd grade H.C. & did not sin again. He might get

Rs 7.

11/11/98
H. S. 10/10/98

yes. H. S. 10.98

C. 26.0.98

Pension CN: 861

RECEIPT No. 256 7/2 1898

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Romala Ramalingaswamy
2. Father's name. Romala Langamayya. 351
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Shepherd.
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Kassiunkota of Sukapalay Taluq. Vizagapatam Dist.
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. 2nd Grade H.C. Const. N^o. 1221 Vizagapatam.
6. Date of beginning of service. 1st April 1868
7. Date of ending of service. 9th August 1898
8. Length of service, including interruptions

Y.	M.	D.
30	4	9
of which superior 24-6-17		
inferior "		
non-qualifying and interruptions 5-9-22		
9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Optional retirement
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 20 --
11. Proposed pension. Rs 10 --
12. Proposed gratuity.

These
Certified that the above finger-prints have been taken by the School Const. at my presence and under my personal supervision, from the left hand of the individual whose services are entered in this book & whose pension forms the subject of the application or whose name is entered in this permanent pay order.


Forwtd.
Date 5-9-98
Superintendent of Police,
Vizagapatam District.
Sd/- S. S. S. 9/9/98

pension or gratuity, the nature of the establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.
† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

10th August 1898.
Prally, Vizagapatam Dist.
ra. 1st April 1851
iches.

mark on the back of the right hand - tip of left thumb dis-
cured, 18 bulak. fox marks
over the body here and
re.

Forwtd.
Superintendent of Police
Vizagapatam Dist.
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.



HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF 2nd Grade Head Constable No. 1221 Ramala Ramalingaswamy.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.	Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
Karaa Chae Niyagatawa Dist. Government Niyagatawa Dist. Government	2 nd C. C.	6/1	RS.	1.4.68	25.7.70	Y. 2 3 25	M. 3 25	P. Provision	Verified from the records of the District Police Officer.	Verified from the records of the District Police Officer.
	1 st C. C.	7/1		26.7.70	30.6.71	" 11 5	" 11 5	P. Reduction	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	2 nd C. C.	6 1/2		1.7.71	3.11.71	" 4 13	" 4 13	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	1 st C. C.	7 1/2		4.11.71	9.3.75	" 3 4	" 3 4	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	Overstay leave.	7 1/2		10.3.75	5.4.75	" "	" "	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	1 st C. C.	7 1/2		6.4.75	17.4.75	" 12	" 12	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	2 nd C. C.	6 1/2		18.4.75	17.7.75	" 3	" 3	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	1 st C. C.	7 1/2		18.7.75	31.1.78	" 2 6 13	" 2 6 13	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	- do -	7 1/2		1.2.78	8.5.78	" 3 8	" 3 8	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	4 th Grade	12		9.5.78	5.4.81	" 2 10 27	" 2 10 27	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	3 rd Grade	15		16.4.81	17.1.84	" 9 12	" 9 12	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	Superintendent	15		10.1.84	13.10.89	" 5 8 25	" 5 8 25	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	3 rd Grade	16		14.10.89	2.12.94	" 5 1 19	" 5 1 19	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	4 th Grade	20		3.12.94	16.12.94	" 14	" 14	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
	2 nd Grade	20		17.12.94	2.3.95	" 2 16	" 2 16	P. Provision	Records of the District Police Officer.	Records of the District Police Officer.
Total period of Superior Service						24 6 14	5 9 22			

W. D. R. R. R. R. R.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good.
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. (1) Reduced to 2nd C. C. from 1.7.71 to bring his present strength down to his received but get.
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (See Chapter XXI). Nil
4. Explanation under Article 489 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil
5. Any other remarks. Nil
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [See Article 991].

24 of 1859

Established and should be admitted.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Certified that, should government be pleased to commute, under article 464 of the Civil Service Regulations, the applicant's absence without leave for 16 days in October 71 and 3 days in August 74 into leave, and 18 days and that a retiring pension not exceeding Rs 10 per month for 24 years 6 months and 18 days equal to 30% of his annual salary equal to Rs 10.84 and 13.10.89 for having been suspended from 18.1.84 to 13.10.89 for having been convicted and sentenced to a fine of Rs 15 under Sec. 44 of Act 582 of the Civil Service Regulations 34 & 35.

In January '84 the applicant was dismissed the service as he was convicted and sentenced to a fine of Rs 15, and 26 days (18' Jan'y '84 to 13' Oct. '89). But on appeal for a period of 5 years 8 months, dated 30' September 89, appeal, cancelled the government in its order No. 1, his appointment treating the period of non-employment dismissal and restored him to his service in which he has not been treated as an in-eligible.

Interruption entailing forfeiture of past service, although the declaration provided in article 461 of the Civil Service Regulations was omitted to be made in the G. O. quoted above. The character and past conduct of the applicant are reported in the 3rd page of the Application, to be good, but from the entries made in his Defaulter Sheet they do not appear to have been entirely satisfactory. It is to be borne in mind that government to determine whether, with reference to article 514 of the Civil Service Regulations, any and if so, what reduction should be made in the amount of pension that is admissible to him.

For and on behalf of
Accountant General
14 October '98
15-10-98

To The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

344

W. D. R. R. R. R. R.
To Accountant General

TABLE FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of Application.
Name of Applicant.
Last Appointment.
Class of pension or gratuity.
Amount of pension sanctioned.
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.
Date of Commencement.
Date of sanction.

Ans. 8/11/98

Do. 8/11/98

Judicial Department.

Proceedings of the Madras J. C.

Read the following papers—

From the Inspector General of Police dated 21st January 1889, No. 246.

From Q. R. Ramesalingaswami, late Head Constable dated 16th July 1889.

And from the Government Solicitor dated 22nd August 1889 No. 402.

Assuming the facts to be as found the conviction, is in my opinion, illegal. The accused did not commit any offence under Section 44 of Act 24 of 1859, but was "remiss or negligent in the discharge of his duty," for which he was liable under Sec. 10 to punishment departmentally.

Order 30th September 1889 No. 1673.

The petitioner who was a 2nd grade Head Constable in the Kragapattam District was convicted in Calendar Case 11 of 1884 by the 2nd class Magistrate of Joligonda Taluk of neglect of duty under Section 44 of the Police Act LXIV of 1859 and sentenced to a fine of Rs 15/- and in consequence of this conviction, was dismissed from

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

Form No. 126.

Defaulter sheet of **Ronal a Ramalinga Rawayy**

27th Rank

No. **1221**.

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	PUNISHMENT.		By whom ordered.	Date of order.
		Black Marks.	Other Punishments.		
1. Being absent from Ball practice.	3. 3. 70		Fined 1 day's pay	Sup. of	
2. Being absent without leave for 16 days.	25. 10. 71		Fined 1 month's pay	- Do -	
3. Being absent himself without leave for 3 days 11. 8. 74			Fined 3 days pay	- Do -	
4. Being absent from beat duty on the night of 1. 1. 75			Fined 8 annas	- Do -	
5. Overstepping his leave by 7 days - (all his pay) 27. 4. 75			Pay stopped for 27 days.	- Do -	
for the time he was on leave till he stopped)			Reduced to 2c - Do -		
6. Being absent from his beat.	18. 4. 75		Fined 2 Rupees	Do -	
7. Delaying his Station reports and falsely stating 10. 5. 82					
he had sent them, also causing another Constable					
to state he had taken them when he had not					
8. Having been previously convicted & sentenced to 15 fine 10. 1. 84					
29. Being found asleep from guard till he 7. 1. 91					
book of reliefs signed up to 6 a.m. he					
following morning on 7. 1. 91.					

10. Neglect of duty.

13. 15. 77

Fined 1 Rupee - Do - 22. 12. 77

(True Copy)

5

from the Police Force in July 1884. The Advocate General to whom the Judgment of the Sub. Judge has been referred, considers his Conviction to have been illegal. The Government concurs in this opinion and as the Head Constable was removed owing to this conviction, considers that his dismissal should be cancelled and the petitioner restored to his appointment. In view however of the length of time that has elapsed since petitioner's dismissal and the submission of his appeal to Government and of the fact that he was found guilty of serious neglect of duty, the break in his service for the period during which he has been out of employ will be treated as suspension.

2. The Advocate General's opinion will be communicated to the Inspector General of Police whose attention will be drawn to the provisions of Sections 10 and 44 of the Police Act and will be informed that if a Police Officer can be dealt with under Sec. 10 it is illegal to prosecute him under Section 44.

3. The following endorsement will be passed on the petition -

"The petitioner is referred to the Insp. General of Police who has received orders

orders in his case.

/ True extract /

/ 87 J. F. Price

Chief Secretary.

To the Insp. General of Police

E. N. 4911:

True copy forwarded to the Superintendent of Police, Vizagapatam for compliance.

/ 87 J. Kure ditto

Asst. Insp. of Police

to Insp. Genl. of Police

/ True copy /

For the

Asst. Superintendent of Police
Vizagapatam Dist.

Done

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	PUNISHMENT.		By whom ordered.	Date of order.
		Black Marks.	Other Punishments.		
1. Being absent from Ball practice.	3. 3. 70		Fined 1 day's pay	Sup. of	
2. Being absent without leave for 16 days.	25. 10. 71		Fined 1 month's pay	- Do -	
3. Being absent himself without leave for 3 days 11. 8. 74			Fined 3 days pay	- Do -	
4. Being absent from beat duty on night of 1. 1. 75			Fined 8 annas	- Do -	
5. Overstaying his leave by 7 days (all his pay 27. 4. 75 for the time he was on leave was he stopped)			Pay stopped for 27 days.	- Do -	
6. Being absent from his beat.	18. 4. 75		Reduced to 2c.	- Do -	
7. Delaying his station reports and falsely stating 10. 5. 82 he had sent them, also causing another Constable to state he had taken them when he had not.			Fined 2 Rupees	- Do -	
8. Having been previously convicted by court of Rs 15 fine 18. 1. 04 for being absent from beat duty on night of 1. 1. 75.			Suspended from 18. 1. 04 to 13. 10. 07.	- Do -	
89. Being fined at court from 7. 1. 91 book of reliefs signed up to 6. a. m. he following morning on 7. 1. 91.			Fined 1 Rupee	- Do -	
10. Neglect of duty.					

Fined 1 Rupee - Do - 22. 12. 91
/ True Copy /

13. 15. 97

Through the Accountant General.
Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No.

From *H. R. Stewart Esq. Secy. to the Govt. of Madras.*

No. 286
1898

Dated 28 Sept/98.

Received

Registered

Enclosures 8.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting an application for the grant of 6 annas to Romala Ramalingaiah for Rs. 1221 of the 1897-98 assessment.

Order

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	PUNISHMENT.		By whom ordered.	Date of order.
		Black Marks.	Other Punishments.		

Handwritten notes in the left margin, including 'To the Accountant General' and 'Office'.

Handwritten notes at the bottom left of the page.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

జిల్లా.
Declaration of conditions under which the Undermentioned Police Officer
elects to serve for Pension and Gratuity.
సింధువెస్సెన పోలీసు ప్రవోగ్గెడు పింఛన్కొరకున్న గ్రాట్యుటీకొరకున్న కొలప్రచేయడమును
కొరుకొన్న వరతులను గురించిన రూఢి వాక్యము.

General No. జనరల్ నంబరు.	Rank. దర్జా.	Name in full. పేరు పూరాగా.	Date of En- listment. నమోదకరింపు దాఖలైన తారీఖు.	Date of elec- tion. కోరుకొన్న తారీఖు.	Signature of Officer taking Declaration. రూఢివాక్యము పుచ్చుకొన్న అధికారియొక్క సంతకము.
687	1st Class Const.	Ramala Ramalingasamy రామ. లింగలింగం	1st April 1868	27. February 1892	Mad. P. [Signature] Sup. [Signature] [Signature]

31 Ramalingasamy
1st Class Constable
serve for Pension and Gratuity under Superannuation in
described above now serving as
Madras Police do hereby elect to
ఆనే నేను* రిటైర్మెంట్ కోరినట్లు
ప్రకారము పింఛను కోరినట్లు, గ్రాంటు కోరినట్లు
* Here enter conditions of Superannuation Fund Rules of or under conditions laid down in G. O. G. I. dated 19th July 1871
"ఒక్కడ సూచనయైతే కంటే నీతికరమైన పథక ప్రకారము కోరినట్లు లేక 1871 - టో సెక్షన్ 19 లేదా
ఇంజనీరు గవర్నమెంటు జనరల్ ఆర్డర్ లో చెప్పిన పథక ప్రకారము కోరినట్లు, వ్రాయవలసింది.

Extract from the Minute

No. 1, Rank & Name: — 607, 1st C. C., R. Ramalingaswamy

Nature of absence:- Being absent without leave from No 1 Beat Main Road Battaram.

Date when
Committed. 18. 4. 75.

Enquiry:- Reported by the Inspector of Police
B. D.

Explanation: - -

Nature of Reduced to 2^d Class Constable
 punishment - for 3 months from W. 4. 75.
 1st Term exact

1 True exact

189 N. Sweet

Dick Sup of of Rice
Godavery.

1 True Copy 1

Formula 9

of Superintendent of Police
City of Atlanta Dist.
Ga.

Clear

Extract from the Minute Book.

(1) 3rd Grade Head Const No 808. Cpt R. Rama Lingadwaraj

Charge. Neglect of duty in not entering 4 Cases in his Register this

(i) Case under Sec 380. (ii) Case under Sec 379 (iii) Case

under Sec 354. (iv) Case under the Arms act and with

regards to the latter making a false report on the
same.

Defence. Denies the Charges.

Order Dismissed having been convicted under
Sec 44 act XXIV of 1859.

184 L. Harris
Supt of Police
30.6.84.

Minute.

This Head Const was suspended on 18th January
and charged on February 6th with neglect of duty
under the Police act in not entering 4 Cases in his Register
though he examined witnesses in the same Cases.
The Sub. Magistrate after a delay of 2 months settled
3 out of the 4 Cases and convicted in one only
finding Head Const. Ramesh fittera. (The 4th case
I heard he has now dismissed but I have received
no official intimation.) The Case in which Head
Const was convicted was that of not entering
a Case of theft in his Register. On appeal the
Sentence was confirmed with the remark that
the Sentence was too light. In a 2nd case.

8
6
861

In which Head Coast was acquitted a man was
accused under the arrest. Head Coast reported
his Case to be a false one and brought from
the vicinity of his District: on trial however
the accused was convicted. Now the Sub Magistrate
has acquitted Head Coast of neglect of duty
under these circumstances I cannot concur
but as an appeal can only be made against
an acquittal by Government, we must
pursue this in our Departmentally. The Head
Coast was presented by order of the
District Magistrate and with an expectation
of his getting rigorous imprisonment. I
therefore recommend his dismissal
from date of Suspension viz. January 18th.
I made personal enquiry into these cases and
am satisfied that in 3 cases at least Head
Coast failed to enter them in his Register and
in the 4th case as above noticed he wilfully
neglected his duty. The delay in disposing of this case
has been due to the neglect of the Sub Magistrate
and answer of the Prin. asst Magt to my appeal
against the acquittal and inadequacy of his
substance was only received in my office on 27 June.

Karsip atam
29 June 1841

184 H. W. Lushington
asst Supt of Police

No 266

Wallair

24 June 1841

From

W. H. Welch Esq

at Secin asst Magistrate

Karsip atam

To

H. W. Lushington Esq

asst Supt of Police

Karsip atam

Sir

With reference to the 3rd para of your
letter No 30, I have the honor to inform
you that no one but Government can appeal

against an acquittal under the provisions
of Sec 414 C.I.C.

2. I herewith forward for information Copy
of judgement in appeal presented by the H of M
against the finding and sentence of the 2nd class
Magistrate G. de Souza Salazar.

3. The Explanation given by the Sub Magt for the
delay caused in disposing of the Case was found
not satisfactory.

I have

184 W. H. Welch

at Secin asst Magt

Karsip atam

In the Court of the P. A. Magistrate, Vizagapatam
 appeal case No. 12. of 1884.

appellant
 Romala Ramalingaswami
 Respondent
 Regina

The appellant was fined Rs 15. in cal.
 Case No. 11 of 84 by the 2^d Class Magistrate, Vizagapatam
 for neglect of duty under Sec 44 of the Police Act.
I am of opinion

This case is very clear.

2. The accused is a Head Constable to whom a
 Complaint of theft was made in April 1883.
 He made no entry of it in his Crime Register
 or other records. He held no proper inquiries;
 when he got into trouble about other cases
 this piece of misconduct was made known.
3. There is sufficient evidence on every point
 accused was guilty of wilful breach of law-
 ful orders and regulations promulgated in
 Sec 154. C.P.C. and Police orders thereon.
4. Finding as to Intentional Offences. I think the latter
 is too tight.

Walter J
 24.6.84

189 W H Welsh
 as P. A. Magistrate

1/True Extract

In charge

Of Superintendent of Police
 Vizagapatam District

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. 286
 P.A.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
 MADRAS, 28 September 1898.

FROM
 H. A. Stuart
 M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,
 ag. INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
 Madras,



TO
 THE UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
 PENSION DEPARTMENT,
 Fort St. George.

SIR,
 This the acct sent

Enclosures. 8

I have the honor to submit for orders of Government an application for the
 grant of a pension to Romala Ramalingaswami
 late a 2^d Class Head Constable No. 1221 of the Vizagapatam District.

2. He has served in the Police Department for 24 years 7 months
 and 7 days, and has borne a ^{is certified to have} good character. He is now unfit for
 further effective service of any kind as certified by

3. He is entitled to a retiring monthly pension of
 Rs (10) Ten only payable at Anakapalle
 from 10th August 1898

according to Section IV of the Police Superannuation Fund
 Rules and Articles 509 and 552 Scale A of the Civil Service
 Regulations (Third Edition).

4. The applicant was dismissed the service in July
 1884 in consequence of a conviction under Section 44 of
 the Police Act. But on appeal the Government in its order
 No 1673, P.D., dated 30th September 1889 (copy enclosed) cancelled
 the dismissal and restored him to his appointment treating
 the period during which he was out of employ as
 suspension.

I have the honour to be,
 Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Walter Robertson

Secy. to the Insp. Genl. of Police.

then given for copying _____
then copied _____
then examined _____
then signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189 .

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.