Pension 1898 Department

Government {1898} of Madras.

PUBLIC.

Current No 854

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
13 OCT
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Recd. 13th october — } 1898.
Regd. — do — }

Enclosures 2 & 2
Spare copies — do —

G.O., No. 1232, 28th September 1898.

SEPTEMBER.

Returns of Lands.

Directing the attention of all officers concerned to the rules regarding the submission of —, and issuing certain further instructions on the subject.

List

Order No 799 Dy 19 Oct 98.
Recorded.
13.X.98.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 1228, Public, dated 28th September 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Recording returns of lands submitted by certain officers for the year 1897, and directing that the returns may be dispensed with in the case of military officers in civil employ and Covenanted Civil (Stanley) Engineers in the Public Works Department.

ORDER—No. 1232, Public, dated 28th September 1898.

The returns of lands held by officers during the year 1897 having been submitted in a variety of forms, the Government considers it necessary to direct the attention of all officers concerned to the rules on the subject. The form of return to be used by all officers in superior service is Form A printed on page 8 of the rules regulating the personal conduct of Government servants. Form B, which relates solely to the register to be maintained by heads of departments, should on no account be used for the annual return.

2. As, however, the preparation each year of complete lists and descriptions of lands held involves unnecessary labour, the Government is pleased to direct that any officer who prefers to do so, may, in any year in which he has neither acquired nor became dispossessed of landed property, submit, instead of the return in Form A, a certificate to the effect that there has been no change in his landed property during the year. The certificate should be as follows:—

"I, A.B., do solemnly declare that I do not at this time possess, either in my own name or in that of any other person, any land or any beneficial interest in land other than what is entered in the return submitted by me (here enter date of the last return submitted in Form A)."

The first return submitted by every officer will continue to be in Form A, and that form must also be employed if an officer has become possessed or dispossessed of land during the year.

(True Extract.)

for *Chief Secretary.*

To all Departments of the Secretariat. (Pension)
" the Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

O/18 2,000—30-3-98.

Pension
~~Revenue~~ (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 870

C.No. 6615/98

Note for the Pension Manager

Will you please let me know if this paper should not be dealt with in the Pension dept.

P.E. 5-10-98.

2 1898.

From

Mahamed Bakkar Sahib,
Late pesh of Kanigiri Taluk,
Nellore Dist.

No.

Dated 20 Sept
Received 26 "
Registered 27 "
Enclosures 9
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Praying for a grant of ... pension.

Endt No. 800 J/19 Octr/98

Para x. of the Petition Rules.

To
The Petr.
through Collr.

Note

The petitioner says that he served Govt. for 35 years and that when he fell ill in May 98, his son was, on his request, appointed to his post. He then applied for a pension for his services and was told that as he resigned his post in favour of his son, he lost all claims to pension. He now asks for pension and as his petition has not come through the Collector, he is given para x of the petition rules.

8.10.98

BACK PAPERS.

G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

From 1851 to 1856 Kudlanki Talug from supra 3/per number

From 1857 to 1866 Jogulapalli Sattu Kottar from supra 4/per number

From 1867 to April Kanigiri Talug from Nellore 6/per number

PETITION

0336

REVENUE DEPT

Z

His Excellency the Governor
in Council Fort St. George

Madras

The humble Memorial of Mahamad Bakhar Saheb, late Head Kanigiri Talah, Nellore District

Most humbly sheweth.

That His Excellency's Memorialist had continiously served in the Revenue Establishment for more than 35 years, as per details in the margin. In May 86 Memorialist having fallen sick applied for leave on the substitute of his son. During the period of leave, Memorialists sickness having increased, he requested the Then Tahasildar to recommend the memorialists son for the post as he

20 Sec 0

(the Memorialist) would be of no use for service though he might recover his health.

(vide copy of the ~~Collector's~~ Memorialist's petition dated 27-2-1886 marked A)

2/ On this petition orders were passed by the then Sub-Collector that no pension could be granted to the memorialist, if the appointment be given to his son, and that no appointment would be given to his son if the Memorialist be granted pension. On receiving this order through the Tahsildar the Memorialist, in his petition dated 16-5-86 to the then Sub-Collector, represented his aggrievances prayed for compliance with the Memorialist's two requisitions, as it had been the practice existing throughout the whole District as well as in the Sub-Division (vide petition marked B)

3/ In another petition dated 6-9-87 Memorialist again requested the then Sub-Collector to recommend him for pension (vide petition marked C) on which the Memorialist was favoured with an endorsement dated 29-9-87 that the Collector had been addressed on the subject (vide endorsement on the petition marked C)

4/ In an Endorsement from the Tahsildar of Kanigeri, dated 11-10-87 no 3692 Memorialist was informed that orders were passed by the Sub-Collector that the Memorialist had kept his claim for pension, as he had resigned his post in favour of his son (vide Endorsement marked D)

5/ On receiving the above Endorsement Memorialist in his petition dated 23-10-88 again requisted the Sub-Collector to reconsider the Memorialists claim for pensions, as he had not resigned his post but merely requested as a favour to grant the post to his son and that the Memorialist could see no reason why he should forfeit his claim for pension after having put in a service of more than 25 years (vide petition marked E)

In 2d Sec

6/ In reply to the above Memorialist received an Endorsement dated 29-10-88 (marked F) (that he) the Sub-Collector would not interfere in the matter as it had already been decided.

7/ In November 1888 Memorialist again put in a petition to the Collector (marked G) to amend the order passed by the sub-Collector and grant him pension, but with no effect (vide Endorsement dated 30-7-88 marked as H).

8/ Thus becoming unable to live in this district as there was no body to maintain him during his old age, he went to Hyderbad to take shelter under his daughter, he thence returned to this district about one year back owing to her demise, that he is now left unsupported. On his return from Hyderbad he again put in a petition to the Collector to take up his case for the consideration and grant him pension. The Collector's Endorsement no 2883 dated 2-7-89 here in enclosed says that the matter will not be reopened.

9/ Now the whole history of the Memorialist is placed before the Honorable Government with a full hope that the Memorialist will have justice and be awarded pension.

10/ Memorialist can declare on oath that he had not at all put in a petition resigning his post. If there really be found such a petition then it is nothing but justice to consider he had forfeited his claims for pension.

11/ His Excellency's Memorialist most humbly begs that his case may be taken into favourable consideration and justice rendered to the Memorialist being a poor and helpless man just at the brink of his grave, for which act of kindness and generosity he will ever be praying to God for His Excellency's long and prosperous life.

His Excellency's most obedient and humble servant

Nellore
20th Sept

9/ Now the whole history of the above mentioned case before the Honorable Government with full hope that the above mentioned will receive justice and be awarded pension.

10/ Memorialist can declare on oath that he had not at all put in a petition regarding his past. If there really be found any such petition then it is nothing but justice to consider he had forfeited his claim for pension.

11/ His Excellency's Memorialist most humbly begs that his case may be taken into favourable consideration and justice rendered to the old memorialist being a poor and helpless man just at the decline of his years, for which act of kind mercy and generosity he will ever be praying to God for His Excellency's long and prosperous life.

His Excellency's most obedient and humble servant

Nelson [illegible]