

Pension (1898) Department.

7.2.2 0.85/99

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 790

DATED 19 Oct/98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the applicant Muzay
Rajah ~~the~~ said, late Captain High Court
Madras should be directed to produce a
Medical Certificate of unfitness for further
service in the proper form signed by a
Commissioned Med. Officer -

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

F-1,000-30-9-07.
17

CN. 806.

Pension

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:-

From the Registrar, High Court, Madras, d/17th Aug 1898 N^o 33.

Certificate & Report from the Acctt. Genl. d/20th Sep^r 1898 N^o $\frac{A.J.J.}{307}$.

Order,

The medical certificate of unfitness for further service in this case is not in the form prescribed in art. 491 of the C.S.R. and has not been signed by a proper authority. The applicant should now be directed to produce one in the proper form signed by a commissioned Medical officer.

WV
10.11.98

WV
12.11.98

WV

To

The Reg^r. High Ct.
A.G. with Cert^{ific}icate

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers:—

From the *Regt, High Ct, Madras* dated *17th Aug^r 1898*, No. 33

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated *20-9-1898*, No. *A.D.J. 307*

Character - Good.

ORDER THEREON,

Please see the Acct-Genl's report. On the 3rd July 1897, the applicant obtained leave on medical certificate for 6 months and proceeded to Seeram in the Hyderabad State and on the expiry of the leave (3-1-98) he elected to retire. As he was more than 59 years of age and was already suffering from the effects of old age, he was unable to travel to ~~Seeram~~ Secunderabad and obtain a medical certificate of unfitness in the prescribed form. He, however, produced one signed by one G.A. Salam, Ag. Surgeon in charge of Civil Dispensary, Seeram, whose name, the Acct-Genl says, cannot be found in any of the lists in his office or in the Thacker's Directory. Rule 6 to appendix to G.O. No. 609, Public, d/ 18-8-94 runs as follows:—
"Commissioned medical officers holding Presidency appointments or in charge of districts or Civil Stations and uncovered
nanted

Under articles *485 + 524 (a)* of the Civil Service Regulations (3rd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to *Munay Razah Sahib, late copyist, High Court, Original side, Madras,* an invalid gratuity

of Rupees (*60/-*) *Sixty* payable at *Hyderabad* from in consideration of his qualifying *inferior* service of *28* years, *10* months and *2* days.

2. The medical certificate dated 2 months after the applicant ceased to do duty is accepted under art: 991 (c) of the C.R.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" *Regt, High Ct, Madras.*

uncovenanted officers holding appointments as Lt. Medical and Sanitary officers are, with the exceptions noted below, alone are authorized to grant certificates of unfitness for further service. Such certificates should not be granted by Asst. Surgeons, except when in independent medical charge of districts nor by Warrant medical officers, Civil apothecaries or Hospital Assistants except to public servants whose pay is below Rs. 50 and who cannot conveniently appear before a Commissioned medical officer or a Lt. Medical and Sanitary officer."

Had the applicant been 60 years of age on the date of retirement, no medical certificate would have been necessary.

Orders are solicited as to whether in the circumstances the medical certificate may be accepted and the gratuity admissible passed.

In the case disposed of in G.O.No.539 Pension d/ 22nd June 1883, the individual therein referred to was bed-ridden and was unable to travel to Calicut and died before a medical certificate could be arranged

But no med. certif. is sent
The med. certif. of a so-called
Surgeon in a "Nat. State" is not
B a med. certif. - within the rule
SJB

arranged for & the Govt. passed the gratuity admissible subject to the confirmation of the Govt. of India.

ad
12/10/8

Sur

Submitted that the production of a medical certificate in the proper form ^{or from a proper authority} should be insisted on.

Mu
6/10/88
Yes
SJB
9/10/8

Pension C. No 906

5

295

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Moosay Razah Sahib*
2. Father's name. *Mstul Rahum Sahib (Deceased)*
3. Race, Sect and Caste. *M'hammadan*
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. *Tripliane, Madras.*
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. *Copist in Interpreters Office, High Court, Original Side, Madras.*
6. Date of beginning of service. *1st March 1869*
7. Date of ending of service. *1st January 1898.*
8. Length of service, including interruptions Y. M. D.
28 - 10 - 2
of which superior
inferior
28 - 10 - 2
non-qualifying and interruptions
0 - 0 - 0
9. Class of ~~pension~~ or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. *Invalid gratuity unfit for further Public Service*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *Rs 10 - - -*
11. Proposed pension. *Nil*
12. Proposed gratuity. *Six months pay Rupees sixty.*
13. Date from which pension is to commence. *Nil.*
14. Place of payment? *a/h. Applicant is now residing out of British India and has not specified place of payment.*
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. *12th September 1839*
16. Height. *Five feet and six inches*
17. Marks. *A mole in the head and a divided nail in the 4th finger of left hand.*
18. Date. *17th August 1898.*

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

A. L. Hannay
18.8.98
Registrar.

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF

Mooney Nagahair, Interpreter's Office, High Court, Original Docs, Malabar.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
Interpreter's Office High Court Original Docs	Writer	RS. 7	RS.	1-3-69	13-9-80	Y.	M.	D.				Verified from the records of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, and the verification of the service from 1870 confirmed by reference partly to the Audit Register and partly to the Annual Returns on record in this office.
	Copyist	10		14-9-80	2-1-90	Y.	M.	D.				
	Sick leave on half pay			3-7-94	2-1-98	Y.	M.	D.				
Total period of Superior Service												
						28	10	2				

Verified from the records of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, and the verification of the service from 1870 confirmed by reference partly to the Audit Register and partly to the Annual Returns on record in this office.

22ND JUNE 1883, No. 539, PENSION.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From the Collector of Malabar, dated 23rd March 1883.

ABSTRACT.—Submitting an application for gratuity on behalf of Champail Ramooni, late Peon, Ponani Taluk, Malabar District.

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, to the Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, dated Fort St. George, 21st May 1883, No. A.D.J.-62.

Certified that qualifying service in inferior grades has been duly proved for thirteen years, nine months, and eleven days, but that no gratuity would seem to be admissible for reasons stated below.

2. The rules in the Civil Pension Code prescribe that an invalid pension or gratuity should be awarded to a person who by bodily or mental infirmity is permanently incapacitated for further public service, such an incapacity being established by a medical certificate signed by a Commissioned Officer. In the present case no such certificate is forthcoming. From a copy of the Head Assistant Collector's letter, No. 1492, dated 20th November 1882, furnished, it would appear that that officer considered the applicant to be bed-ridden, and that he was therefore unable to travel to Calicut for the purpose of obtaining a medical certificate. When this was known to the Collector he adopted measures to call for a certificate, but could not succeed as the applicant was reported to have died on the 23rd January last. There is no rule in the Civil Pension Code under which an application for gratuity could be entertained under circumstances such as those detailed above (*vide* this office reference to the Collector, No. A.D.K.-5, dated 13th April 1883, and his reply thereto, herewith transmitted.)

ORDER—dated 22nd June 1883, No. 539, Pension.

The applicant was a resident of Ponani, in which taluk he was a peon, and where there is no Medical Commissioned Officer. Being bed-ridden he was unable to travel to Calicut, and died before a medical certificate could be arranged for. He was a man of good character, and it would be very unjust that his family should be deprived of the gratuity he had earned because he was physically incapacitated from marching to Calicut. The Government resolve to pass the gratuity of Rs. 24 subject to the confirmation of the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

J. D. REES,
Acting Under Secy. to Govt.

To the Collector of Malabar.
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

Gobt. of 1883 Madras.

PENSION.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 22nd June 1883, No. 539.

JUNE.

Gratuity.

Granting, subject to the confirmation of the Government of India, a — of Rs. 24 to C. Ramooni, late Peon, Ponani Taluk, Malabar, and, as he died before a medical certificate could be produced, directing its payment to his family.

18TH AUGUST 1894, No. 609, PUBLIC.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., dated 31st January 1894, No. 78, Public.

ABSTRACT.—Requesting the several departments of the Secretariat and the Surgeon-General to furnish particulars of the individuals by whom vaccination certificates have to be produced.

READ—also the following papers:—

File of replies to G.O., dated 31st January 1894, No. 78, Public.

G.O., dated 1st March 1894, No. 129, Educational.

Read—Proceedings of the Public Department, dated 31st January 1894, No. 78.

Order—dated 1st March 1894, No. 129, Educational.

Certificates of vaccination are not required from candidates for any of the examinations under the University or the Commissioner for Government Examinations nor is vaccination a condition of aid. The Director of Public Instruction will, however, be requested to report whether pupils in public institutions and holders of Government scholarships and stipends are still required, with reference to G.O., dated 12th March 1880, No. 538, Financial, to prove that they are protected from small-pox.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Under Secretary.

To the Public Department
„ Director of Public Instruction.

G.O., dated 22nd May 1894, No. 355, Educational.

Read—the following letter from the Director of Public Instruction, dated 7th May 1894, No. 4211:—

Referring to G.O., dated the 1st March 1894, No. 129, I have the honour to state that, under the existing rules, certificates of vaccination are required of candidates for admission to the Special Tests and the Medical Entrance Examination. The revised draft rules for the Special Tests now before Government propose, however, to dispense with this condition. Vaccination certificates are not required in any other case, but certificates of general health are required for admission into Government training institutions and the Colleges of Engineering and Agriculture and to the Salt Competitive and Provincial Civil Service Examinations.

From the Acting Sanitary Commissioner for Madras, dated 16th and 17th June 1894, Nos. 837-V and 838-V, respectively.

ORDER—dated 18th August 1894, No. 609, Public.

The health certificate which candidates for employment in the service of Government are, under existing orders, required to produce contains a declaration that the individual has been vaccinated or has had small-pox. This declaration appears not to meet the wants of the present day, and, on the recommendation of the Sanitary Commissioner, the Government is pleased to direct that the persons enumerated in paragraph 2 *infra* shall produce certificates of re-vaccination after the completion of the tenth year; or, should they not have been vaccinated in early childhood, of vaccination after reaching the age of ten years. In the event of their having suffered from small-pox before or during their tenth year, they must produce a certificate of vaccination or re-vaccination subsequent to their having attained the age of ten. This certificate will not, however, be required from persons who bear the marks of small-pox and who produce a certificate signed by two respectable householders that to their personal knowledge the individual was attacked with small-pox after he had completed his tenth year.

2. The following is the list of persons who will hereafter be required to produce certificates in accordance with paragraph 1 *supra* :—

- (1) All candidates for employment in the service of Government, in whatever branch, whether superior or inferior, permanent or temporary, except sweepers and similar menial servants and pensioned sepoys.
- (2) Candidates for the Provincial Civil Service Examinations.
- (3) Candidates for employment on State Railways.
- (4) Candidates for employment in the service of the Court of Wards.
- (5) Candidates for employment by District and Taluk Boards and Municipalities.
- (6) All persons applying for admission into Government Arts Colleges, Professional Colleges, and Government Training Institutions.
- (7) All claimants to hereditary village offices.
- (8) Candidates for village officers' special tests.
- (9) Applicants for appointments paid from Village Service, Pound or Irrigation Cess Funds.
- (10) Candidates for the Competitive Examination for the Salt and Abkari Department.

3. It is observed that the production of vaccination certificates by candidates for the Special Test Examinations is not necessary under the revised rules published in G.O., dated 9th May 1894, No. 317, Educational.

4. The recommendation of the Board of Revenue, that candidates for employment on subordinate establishments in unhealthy localities, such as Bhadrachalam, Nallamalais, Wynaad and Annamalais, should not be required to produce vaccination certificates, is approved.

5. The Government is pleased to authorise the levy of a fee of one rupee for each vaccination certificate furnished to a candidate for employment in superior service. The fee may be retained by the officer who grants the certificate.

6. Paragraph 2 *supra* will be added to the rules in the appendix to G.O., dated 15th April 1889, No. 339. The rules have been revised and will be issued for guidance. They form an appendix to these Proceedings, and will be published in the Gazette.

7. Any omissions from, or desirable additions to, the list in revised Rule 11 should be brought to notice. The Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, will be requested to state whether candidates for employment in the Board's service are required to produce vaccination certificates.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the Surgeon-General.

- „ the Sanitary Commissioner.
- „ the Departments of the Secretariat.
- „ the Local and Municipal Department.
- „ all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.
- „ the Superintendent, Government Press.

Gazette.

APPENDIX.

RULES RELATING TO MEDICAL AND VACCINATION CERTIFICATES.

The following rules and instructions are issued for the guidance of Medical Officers and Subordinates :—

Medical Certificates.

1. Medical certificates are required by—

- Candidates for the Public service as to their physical fitness for Government employment;
- Candidates for pension from Government service as to their unfitness for further service;
- Government servants who desire leave to recruit their health.

2. Commissioned Medical officers holding Presidency appointments or in medical charge of districts or civil stations, and uncovenanted officers holding appointments as District Medical and Sanitary Officers are, with the exceptions noted below, alone authorized to grant certificates of physical fitness for the public service. Such certificates are not to be granted by Assistant Surgeons unless when in independent medical charge of a district, nor by Warrant Medical officers, Civil Apothecaries or Hospital Assistants, except to persons proposed to be appointed to posts carrying less than Rs. 50 a month who cannot conveniently appear before a Commissioned Medical officer or a District Medical and Sanitary Officer.

3. A medical certificate of fitness for the public service is not to be given to any person unless he can produce a letter or other form of requisition from the head of the office or department in which he seeks employment; and such requisition should be accompanied by a descriptive roll signed by the head of the office or department.

4. The form of certificate to be given to a candidate for employment in the public service is laid down in Article 61, Civil Service Regulations, and should contain such additional particulars regarding the candidate's physical fitness for the duties he will be expected to perform as the head of the department making the requisition required in Rule 3 above may desire to have.

5. A certificate of general health is required from candidates for admission into Government Training Institutions and the Colleges of Engineering and Agriculture, and to the Salt Department Competitive and Provincial Civil Service Examinations.

6. Commissioned Medical officers holding Presidency appointments or in charge of districts or civil stations, and uncovenanted officers holding appointments as District Medical and Sanitary Officers, are, with the exceptions noted below, alone authorized to grant certificates of unfitness for further service. Such certificates should not be granted by Assistant Surgeons, except when in independent medical charge of districts nor by Warrant Medical officers, Civil Apothecaries or Hospital Assistants except to public servants whose pay is below Rs. 50 and who cannot conveniently appear before a Commissioned Medical officer or a District Medical and Sanitary Officer.

7. Medical officers should not grant a certificate of unfitness for further service to any Government servant, except on the requisition and with the cognizance of the head of the office or department in which he is at the time serving. Every such application for examination should be accompanied by a descriptive roll of the person to be examined, and be signed by the head of such office or department.

8. The form of the certificate to be granted to an officer applying for pension is laid down in Article 491 (a), Civil Service Regulations, and should contain such additional particulars regarding his unfitness for the proper discharge of the duties appertaining to his position in the public service as the head of his office or department may desire to have.

9. The procedure to be adopted in granting medical certificates to gazetted and non-gazetted officers applying for leave or extension or commutation of leave on medical certificate is laid down in Articles 893-907 of the Civil Service Regulations.

In cases where a Presidency Surgeon is himself the medical attendant of a non-gazetted officer, the countersignature prescribed in Article 903 of the Civil Service Regulations should be by another Presidency Surgeon.

Vaccination Certificates.

10. The persons enumerated in Rule 11 *infra* are required to produce a certificate of re-vaccination after the completion of the tenth year, or, should they not have been vaccinated in early childhood of vaccination after reaching the age of ten years. In the event of their having

suffered from small-pox before or during their tenth year it must be certified that they were vaccinated or re-vaccinated subsequently to their having attained the age of ten. This certificate will not, however, be required from persons who bear the marks of small-pox and who produce a certificate signed by two respectable householders that to their personal knowledge the individual was attacked with small-pox after he had completed his tenth year.

11. Rule 10 *supra* applies to the following persons :—

- All candidates for employment in the service of Government, in whatever branch, whether superior or inferior, permanent or temporary, except sweepers and similar menial servants and pensioned sepoys.
- Candidates for the Provincial Civil Service Examinations.
- Candidates for employment on State Railways.
- Candidates for employment in the service of the Court of Wards.
- Candidates for employment by District and Taluk Boards and Municipalities.
- All persons applying for admission into Government Arts Colleges, Government Professional Colleges and Government Training Institutions.
- All claimants to hereditary village offices.
- Candidates for village officers' special tests.
- Applicants for appointments paid from Village Service, Pound or Irrigation Cess Funds.
- Candidates for the Competitive Examination for the Salt and Abkari Department.

12. Candidates for employment on subordinate Government establishments in unhealthy localities, such as Bhadrachalam, Nallamalais, Wynaad and Annamalais are not required to produce vaccination certificates.

13. Vaccination certificates required by candidates for the public service may not be granted except on the requisition of the head of the office or department in which the candidate seeks employment.

The following officers are empowered to grant such certificates in addition to, or in the absence of, those enumerated in Rule 2 above :—

Assistant Surgeons.
Apothecaries.

Hospital Assistants.
Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination.

14. A fee of one rupee may be levied for each vaccination certificate furnished to a candidate for employment in superior Government service, and may be retained by the officer who grants the certificate. Except in this particular instance no fee or recompense of any kind is to be asked for or accepted in consideration of the grant of any of the certificates referred to in these rules.

15. A medical officer signing any of the certificates referred to in the above rules should indicate, in addition to his usual departmental designation, whether he is the Chief Medical officer of his station or district or otherwise.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 18th August 1894, No. 609.

AUGUST.

Vaccination Certificates.

Issuing a list of persons who will hereafter be required to produce —.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. *Good*

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. *Nil*

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (See Chapter XXI.) *Nil*

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. *Not applicable*

5. Any other remarks. *The applicant is incapacitated for further service by general debility owing to old age.*

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [See Article 991.] *The service claimed is established and should be admitted.*

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Submitted to Government. The applicant was granted leave on Medical certificate for six months from 3rd July 97, and while on that leave, he proceeded to Seram in the Province of Hyderabad. On the expiry of the leave he elected to retire from the service on a pension. The Head of his office asked him to produce a Medical certificate of his incapacity for further service in the proper form signed by a Commissioned Medical Officer which the applicant could not do without proceeding to Secunderabad. As he was already suffering from the effects of old age, being 59 years of age, he could not undertake a journey from Seram to Secunderabad for the purpose of obtaining a Medical Certificate in the form prescribed in Article 491 of the Civil Service Regulations, and he accordingly obtained a certificate from the Head of his office in charge of Civil Dispensary at Seram, Hyderabad Division. The certificate,

however, is not in proper form, and is not signed by an officer, neither a commissioned Medical Officer, nor an officer in charge of a Civil station as far as this office can ascertain. The name of the doctor cannot be found in any of the lists in this office and in Thacker's Directory for 1898. Mahomed Karim Khan is shown to be in charge of Seram. In the circumstances, should Government be pleased to accept the certificate which is dated 2 months after the applicant ceased to be borne on the Establishment, his whole qualifying service in inferior grades will be proved for 28 years 10 months + 2 days, which will render him eligible for an Invalid's gratuity not exceeding Rs 60/- equal to six months' pay under article 485 and 524 (a)

C. J. Hanuman
N. 18. 8/97
SIGNATURE.
Registrar


APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—							
Date of Application.	Name of Applicant.	Last Appointment	Class of pension or gratuity.	Amount of pension sanctioned.	Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	Date of Commencement.	Date of sanction.
Civ. 806/98							

Average Emoluments

From 3rd January 1893 to 2nd January 1898. Rs 600

$$\begin{array}{r} 5) 600 \\ 12) 120 \\ 10 \text{ per mensem.} \end{array}$$

High Court, Madras }
17th August 1898 }



A. J. Hanuman
18-8/98
Registrar

Received

Registered

Enclosures Five.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding an application for gra-
-tuity on behalf of Moosay Razah
Saib, Copyist in the Interpreter's
Office, High Court, Original Side,
Madras.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
			S

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Ref. on C. No. 33 of 1898.
O.S.

High Court of Judicature, Madras.
17th August 1898.



From

A. L. Hannay Esq.,

Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Madras.

To

THE HON'BLE MR. G. Stokes,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Through The Accountant General, Madras.

HON'BLE SIR,

I am directed under Article 524 of the Civil Service Regulations, to forward an application for gratuity on behalf of Moosay Razah Saib, Copyist in the Interpreter's Office, High Court, Original Side, Madras, and to state that delay in submitting this application is owing to the correspondence with the applicant, who is now residing at Hyderabad, with reference to production of a proper Medical Certificate, as detailed in my letter to the Accountant General Ref. on current No. 33 dated 11th July last.
O.S.

I have the honour to be,

Honourable Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A. L. Hannay
18.8/98
Registrar.

8

806

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.I.K.

158

Fort St. George,

Accountant-General's Office,

25th August 1898.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Registrar of the High Court
of Judicature at
Madras.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of gratuity of Morray Razah tail, late Copyist in the Inspector's Office High Court Original side, is being delayed for want of the information noted below. Where possible your answer should be written opposite to each point to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

J. S. Sorensen
Chief Superintendent.

Attention is drawn to No. of the printed Memo. of Instructions from this office.


1. Information regarding place of payment of the Gratuity should be obtained from the Applicant and the same inserted opposite to No. 14 in the 24th page of the application.

2. The applicant's name is not accessible in the Audit Register for 1870. The omission should be explained.

4

4

806



Ref. on C. No. 33 of 1898.
O. S.

Place of payment of gratuity has been specified in its respective column.

(3) The designation of the applicant was wrongly shown as a peon (instead of Writer) on No 7 in the pay bills and annual returns for 1869 and 1870 and so his name did not appear in the Audit Register.

(3) Explanation of the following discrepancies is requested.

(a) In the annual returns from 86 to 93 and in those from 94 downwards the date of the applicant's appointment is shown as 3rd September 80 and 16th September 80 respectively while these dates do not appear in the 2nd page of the application.

(b) The year of the applicant's birth is shown in the Civil Registers for 74 & 75 and in the Annual return for 76 as 33, 34 & 35 years respectively meaning thereby that the applicant was born in the year 1842 while the year of his birth is given in the service book as 1839.

25/8

(a) The correct date of applicant's appointment is 14th September 1880 as verified from the *Regimental* *Rolls* of this office.

(b) The correct date of his birth is 12th September 1839 as entered in the Service Book

High Court
2nd Sept. 1898

A. L. Hannay
29/8

Registrar

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.

189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.