

Verbal
Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 709.

DATED 20th Sept/98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a *revoked* pension of Rs. 5 *per mensem* to *L.*
Pattabhiramayya late *1st C. C. No. 497 of the*
Krishna D.

BACK PAPERS.

C. No. 751
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:—

From the Inspector-General of Police, dated 5th September 1898, No. ²³²PA

ORDER THEREON, N. 709 of 20 Sept/98.

Character - Bad.
N^o of years' service = 25
N^o of defaults = 13
Please see defaulter sheet
Flagged E.
Fined = 11 times

Awarded 2 black marks
and under black mark rules
reduced to 2^d C.C in Jan^y 1885
for illegally restraining some
members of a wandering gang
from going when they wished &
wrongfully proceeding against
a villager under Sec: 186, 506 &
510 I.P.C.

Reduced in June '97 from 4th
G.C to 1st C.C for making a
false accusation against his
Divisional Insp^r.

Max^m pension = Rs 6-7-2 pⁿ

Secy - Rs 5 is suggested

To the Accountant-General, with the Register and L. P. C.
Inspector-General of Police.

UNDER Articles 485, 518(1) & 552 Scale B
of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition),
the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is
pleased to grant to Lanka Pattabhiramappa,
late 1st C.C, N^o 497 of the
Kistna Dt., an invalid
pension.

of Rupees (5-0-0)

Five only pⁿ.

payable at Bezawada
from 19th June 1898
in consideration of his qualifying Police
service of 25 years,

months and 1

days.

The full pension admissible under the
rules has not been granted as the applicant's
conduct and character have not been thoroughly
satisfactory.

10.5.18 pⁿ
C13/9/98
12/11/98

11/9-98
12/9/98
12/12/98



APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Lanka Pattabhiramayya*
2. Father's name. *Lanka Appayya*
3. Race, Sect and Caste. *Brahmin, Suanthavam*
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. *Jujjoor, Nandigama Talug, Krishna Dist.*
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. *i.c.c. 497, Krishna Police.*
6. Date of beginning of service. *28 May 1873*
7. Date of ending of service. *24 June 1898*
8. Length of service, including interruptions
- | | |
|--|-------------|
| | 25 - 0 - 26 |
| of which superior | 25 - 0 - 4 |
| inferior | 0 - 0 - 0 |
| non-qualifying and interruptions | 0 - 0 - 22 |
9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application.* *Invalid*
10. [Average] ^{*of 5 years*} emoluments or pay. - - - *Rspees 12-14-6*
11. Proposed pension. - - - *Rspees 6-7-3*
12. Proposed gratuity. - - - *0-0-0*
13. Date from which pension is to commence. *25 June 1898.*
14. Place of payment. *Berwada Talug Treasury, Krishna Dist.*
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. + *1848 June 30*
16. Height. *5 feet 4 1/2 inches.*
17. Marks. *Crown. A small wart just below the pit of the stomach. An oblong scar below the right nipple. A small mole on the inner end of the right collar bone.*
18. Date. *21 July 1898.*

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.
† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

Taken before me
Sham Sadas
Hd. L. S.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of applicant.
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant (See Chapter XXI).
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.
5. Any other remarks.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [See Article 991 (b).]

*Retained to complete his
from 19-6-98 to 24-6-98*

Bad

1. Awarded 2 Black marks and under Black mark rules reduced to 2 Class Cont. (from 1 c.c.) for illegally restraining some members of a wandering gang from going when they wished and wrongly proceeding against a villager under Sections 186, 506 and 510 I. P. Code. Dated 5 January 1885.
2. Reduced to 1 Class Cont. (fr. 4 80 c) for making a false accusation against his Divisional Inspector. Dated 5 June 1897.

*Unacknowledged as
established, and could be
admitted.*

*Dist. Supdt. of Local
Signature.*

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for _____ years _____ months _____ days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. _____, is admissible under Article _____ of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (Here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.


(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—
He has served for _____ years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. 2,000 if he is considered to have rendered "approved Service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 751

From Mr. Hammick Esq. J.C.
Superintendent of Police
Madras

Order



No. 232
P.R.

Dated 5 September 1898.

Received

Registered

Enclosures 6

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting for orders
an application for the grant of
a pension to Lanka Pattabhi-
ramayya late I.C. No 497 of
the Krishna Dist.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of application.

Name of applicant.

Last appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Cus 751/98 80.709/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined *Lunka Pattabhi Ramayya no 497*

(son of *Lunka Appayya*)

a *1st* class constable of C. Division

in the *Kistna District*

His age is by his own statement *50* years and by appearance about *55* years.

I consider *Lunka Pattabhi Ramayya no 497* to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of *defective vision*.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

STATION *Gunter*
Date *18th June 1898.*

Name. *A. B. S. S. S. S. S.*
Rank. *Asst. Surgeon*
Designation. *Asst. Civil Surgeon*

NOTE.— the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits."

Discharged from service from the evening of 18th June 1898.

Countersigned.

Surgn.-Major-Genl.,
Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

STATEMENT OF CASE.

1. Rank. 1st class constable no 497

2. Name. Lunka Pattabhi Ramayya

3. Department or Office. Police

4. Marks of identification. 1) a small wound, just below the pit of the stomach.
2) a small scar below the right nipple (3) a small mole on the inner end of the right collar bone.

5. Age. 50 years

6. Service. 25 years

7. Sick leave granted during the service. 1 month & 3 days

8. Disease or cause of disability. Defective vision

9. Abstract statement of case showing how the disease or disability was contracted, the results of the treatment adopted. In case the disease or disability is believed to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits, the reasons for such belief should be stated.

This constable has been sent for examination in respect to his fitness or otherwise for further service.

His story - He states that for the past 2½ years he has been suffering from dimness of vision, that it has gradually increased to an extent that he is not able to see objects at short distance with clearness. While at dusk ~~night~~, he is not able to distinguish persons about 8 feet away from him.

Present Condition - A weak & flabby individual with visible mucous membranes & conjunctivae. There is catarrh in both eyes the one in the left eye being more marked than in the right.

Considering his age, the nature of his duties & the nature of the disease I am of opinion that he is completely unfit for further service.

18/6/98

By order of
A. J. C. S. S. S.

Copy of Report to be sent.

No.	Rank & Name	Name of Offence	By whom Reported	By whom Reported	By whom Reported
497	300, Pattabhi Ramayya	Absenting himself from Treasury guard duty on the night of 19 Dec. 73 & for sleeping while he was a sentry over the Treasury guard.	5.1.74	7th 3 days pay	1st 2
"	"	Absenting himself without leave for 12 hours from Paravakkur guard.	17.8.74	do	do
"	"	Overstaying his leave by one day.	3.1.75	7th 1 day pay	do
"	"	Overstaying his leave by 4 days.	28.9.78	do 4 do	do
"	"	Delaying 2 days in returning to his station from Jaggayyapeta on 1.3.82.	1.3.82	7th 2 days pay	do
"	"	Misleadingly reporting some members of a wandering gang from going when they were in fact proceeding against a village under guard.	5.1.85	7th 2 days pay	do
"	"	Stationing 186.506 & 10 J.P.C.	15.4.87	7th 1 day pay	do
"	"	Not being present at the station when the District Magistrate visited Jaggayyapeta.	23.8.88	7th 1 day pay	do
"	"	Disobeying orders from duty for 3 days.	21.2.89	7th 1 day pay	do
"	"	Refusing to receive a report of theft of detained crops sent to him by the order of Subhakarum on 21.2.89 & falsely denying that he received another report on 26.2.89.	2.12.96	7th 1 day pay	do
"	"	Charging a case after a most perfunctory & superficial enquiry.	19.3.97	7th 1 day pay	do
"	"	Willfully disobeying orders on a plea that he had to enquire into a case the enquiry of which was all but complete on 19.3.97.	5.6.97	7th 1 day pay	do
"	"	Making a false accusation against his divisional Superintendent.	23.2.98	7th 1 day pay	do
"	"	Absence without leave for 12 days from 23.2.98 to 6.3.98 both days inclusive and disobedience of orders.	10	7th 1 day pay	do

1. 100/1

By order of
A. J. C. S. S. S.

This constable has been sent for ex-
amination in respect to his fitness
or otherwise for further service.
His story - He states that for the past
2½ years he has been suffering from
dimness of vision; that it has gradually
increased ~~and~~ to an extent that
he is not able to see objects at short
distance with clearness. While at dusk
~~sight~~, he is not able to distinguish
persons about 8 feet away from him.
Present Condition - A weak & flabby
individual with visible mucous mem-
branes exposed. There is cataract
in both eyes the one in the left eye being
more marked than in the right.
Considering his age, the nature of his
duties & the nature of the disease I am
of opinion that he is completely
unfit for further service.

Menckens }
 1876-78 }

No.	Rank & Name	Name of Offence	Detention Cocoon with 2	Punishment—ordered By whom & Date
497	S.C.C. Pattabhiramappa	Absenting himself from Treasury guard duty on the night of 19 Dec. 73 & for sleeping while he was a sentry over the Treasury guard	5.1.74	Fined 2 days pay Sub B
"	"	Absenting himself without leave for 12 hours from Paraskh gaoth	17.8.74	do do
"	"	Overstaying his leave by one day	3.1.75	Fined 1 day pay do
"	"	Overstaying his leave by 4 days	3.2.78	do 4 do do
"	S.C.C.	Delaying 2 days in returning to his Station from Jagga-gopalat Bi	1.3.82	will forfeit 20 paise do
"	S.C.C.	Mistakenly retaining some members of a wandering gang from going where they wished wrongly proceeding against a village under	5.1.85	awarded 20 black marks under black marks rule to be paid to C.C. do
"	"	Station 186.506 & 10 S.P.C.	15.4.87	Fined 1 rupee & 10 paise do
"	"	Not being present at the Station when the District Magistrate visited Gadivasa Et	23.8.88	Fined 1 rupee & 10 paise do
"	"	Deliberately absenting himself from duty for 3 days	21.2.89	Fined 1 rupee & 10 paise do
"	"	Refusing to receive a report of theft of detained crop sent to him by the		
"	"	Under of Chikhaluram on 21.2.89 & falsely denying that he received another report on 26.2.89.		
"	"	Charging a case after a non-perfunctory & superficial enquiry	2.12.96	Fined 1 rupee & 10 paise do
"	"	Deliberately disobeying orders on a plea that he had to enquire into a case the enquiry of which was all but complete on 19.3.97	19.3.97	Fined 1 rupee & 10 paise do
"	"	Making a false accusation against his divisional Superintendent	5.6.97	Reserved to C.C. do
"	"	Absence without leave for 12 days from 23.2.98 to 6.3.98 both days inclusive and disobedience of orders	23.2.98	Fined 12 days pay & 10 paise do

Minute on reduction of 187-C class Constable
20497 Pattabhiramayya of Bez wada Talug.

Offence.

Illegally restraining some members of a
wandering gang from going where they wished
and wrong^{ly}fully proceeding against a village
under Secs. 186. 506. 510.

Minute.

A wandering zerukula gang of Kampa Gura-
vadu about the 18th November/84 went to
Mylavaram from Ganginenipalem under
the watch of a constable leaving behind one
M. Guravadu, a Jalladu and a child which
was suffering from ~~the~~ Small pox. While
the writer was on his boat he saw the above
two men with the child near Sunnampad
and asked them why they were going away from
that village without the knowledge of the
Police. This Constable (497) who was station
Writer then sent them back to Ganginenipalem
by the Vetti Veeradu of Sunnampad. M. Guravadu
told the Inspector on his arrival that this Const.
able prevented them going to their native place
in the Vizam's Territory and then the Inspector

8
4
751

told them to go where they liked and they went to the Kizami's Territory.

It appears that these Zorukulas were made to go away by the villagers on account of a child of them suffering from Small pox and they feared they would introduce it into the village and when they were brought back to the village again. Kotayya a villager went to the writer and represented to him that there were children in the village and that if the Small pox come into the village it would not disappear for 4 or 5 years and asked him why he brought back the Zorukulas when the writer abused him and sent an occurrence report against Kotayya under Secs 186, 506 & 510. I.P.C. The writer in defence admits having met the Zorukulas at Sunnampad and sent them back to Gauginenipalem as the Zorukulas told him that an inhabitant of Gauginenipalem told them to go from that village without the knowledge of Police. and that when he returned to the station Kotayya asked him why he brought back the

Zorukulas that he was drunk and abused him threatening to injure him. It

The abuse if any by Kotayya was very slight and perhaps trivial if he did abuse the writer and the abuse by the writer against Kotayya is not well proved. But I think the Constable was quite wrong in putting any restraint on the Zorukulas and for proceeding against Kotayya under Secs. 186, 506 & 510. I.P.C. & sending them back to Gauginenipalem. Kotayya may have been wrong to send them away but that does not justify the Const. in sending them back. So I award the Const. 2 Black marks and under Black mark rules reduce him to 2nd Class.

Masulipatam } (Signed) J. J. Fullerton.
5th January/85. Superintendent of Police.
(True copy).

Comptd
To
H. S. P.

District Supt. of Police.

Incubment Roll.

No	Rank and Name	Date of Enlistment	No. of Defaults & Last entry	Offence in English and Vernacular of the Constable	Superintendent or Assistant Superintendent of Police	Date of Entry in Constable's Default Sheet
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
497	4 th G. H. C. Pattabhi Ramiah of Hambampad Station.	27-5-73	Has 17 defaults. The last three are: (1) Confutatory investigation resulting in innocent parties being charged with theft on 2-6-73. Awarded 1 B.M. (2) Charging a case after a most posthumous and superficial manner on 2-12-98. Fined 1 rupee awarded 1 B.M. (3) Disobeying orders on a police that he had to enquire with a case the enquiry which almost complete. Fined 1 rupee and awarded 1 B.M. 19-3-97.	Gross neglect of duty in disposal of the Station waste and when called upon for his explanation making a false accusation against his suspected (suspect) Jerrying H. Ann	Sidekumale	100 102 7-7-97.

Simson }
30.6-1977

(True copy)

(Signed) Gulam Lone
Superintendent of Police.

C. Division.

Det. Superintendent of Police.

30.6.1977

156

Charge. Gross neglect of duty in disposal of his station work and making a false accusation against his Insps. (Inspector Jaysing) of H. Dn. when called on for his explanation.

Evidence. This Head Constable while he was S.H. officer of Bellamkonda behaved very badly with his Inspector (Inspector Jaysing) who found this H. Constable grossly neglectful in the discharge of his station work and made strong reports which are herewith submitted. This Head Constable when called on for explanation made a false accusation against his Inspector. The D.S.P. after going through all the papers found the Head Constable to be guilty of the offence.

Defence. The Head Constable explains that Inspector Jaysing made false reports through enmity, which is not proved and pleads not guilty.

Summing up. As nothing appears from the record that the reports made by the Inspector are false the Head Constable deserves punishment.

(sd) S. Gulam Ghouse

Inspector of Police,
C. D.

(True copy)

Dist. Spt. of Police

Am 4th Grade Hd. Const No 497 of C Division.

Order.

4. Grade H. C. 497 is reduced to 1. C. C. for making a false accusation against his Divisional Inspector.

Minute.

I When I was making personal enquiries into a charge against this Hd. Const for neglect of duty he stated that his Inspector (Inspector Jaysing) had reported him falsely because he refused to subscribe Rs 18/- to the Frendly ast.

Found he subsequently changed this statement and said he had been asked for one month's pay

and lastly that he had subscribed Rs 5/- which although the other money subscribed had been repaid his amount had not been returned to him.

These statements were sent to ~~Jaysing~~ Inspector

Jaysing for report and in his report dated 11.6.97. he states that this is utterly untrue and

that the last time he saw this Head Constable was at his inspection of the Station (Bellam-

Konda) on 26-2-97. and the appeal was circulated in March and he only did his duty in reporting

No 497 for neglect of duty because he would not work satisfactorily even after repeated warnings.

II. Hd. C. No 497. offers no defence, merely -

repeating his statement that Inspector Jeyasing reported him through enmity and stating that he has no witnesses.

III. 4. G. H. C. No 497. practically accuses Inspector Jeyasing of his appropriation if not extortion and then when called upon to explain this can produce no witnesses and states and that he has been reported by the Inspector on account of enmity.

All enmity is disclaimed by Inspector Jeyasing and in his report he brings to notice that he was merely doing his duty in reporting this H. D. Const for neglecting his work and that his acquaintance with the man lasted from September 96 to February 97. during which time he was forced to report him on 15.10.96, 2.12.96, 7.12.96, 14.12.96 & 12.2.97. for continued bad work and the Prendergast appeal came round after he had seen him for the last time.

No 497. has made a most disgraceful accusation against his superior officer and which he cannot and does not attempt to prove, if this sort of thing is to be done with impunity there is no knowing to what length the man will go.

The men of the Mistrina District are subordinate

enough already without bringing accusations of this kind against their officers.

From the report submitted by Inspector Jeyasing with its facts I must accept his version of the affair as true. I cannot see why there should be enmity between him and H. D. Const. he only had a short acquaintance with him and finding that work was not being properly performed very properly reported the man in fault. had he not done so he would have been held responsible himself for any bad work found and in all probability have been punished himself.

I cannot accept the H. D. Const. plea of enmity unsupported as it is by any evidence whatever and as I consider that he has been guilty of bringing a false and malicious accusation of a disgraceful nature against his superior officer I reduce him to I. C. C.

Had a personal hearing.

7.7.97.

(Sd) W. E. Lonsdale

as. Supt. of Police.

Office of the Dy. Inspector. Genl. of Police. Northern
Range. Camp Guntur 18th October 1897.

Order on appeal petition no 72 of 1897.

1. Read appeal petition dated 4th August 1897.
of 1st Class Constable no 497. L. Pattabhiramayya
of the Kistna District appealing against
his reduction by the Superintendent of Police
of Kistna District.

2. Read Copy of minute and Extract from
defaulter's register of 7-7-97.

The appellant very clearly in the first
instance accused Inspector Jey Singh of mis-
appropriating 5/ that the Inspector had com-
pelled him to subscribe to the Prendergast
Fund and which subscription was never
returned by Inspector to the appellant.

It is absolutely certain that the charge
was made and Inspector Jey Singh clearly
proves that it was utterly false and groundless.

In his appeal petition now before me
appellant not only repeats this charge but
adds several more to account for the Inspector's

(appellant) in doing this he only aggravates
his original offence the new charges being
utterly ridiculous.

The punishment awarded by the Supdt.
for the original offence was in no way too
severe and as it has been so gravely aggra-
vated I am inclined to think that it should
be enhanced, but I refrain from doing this
as appellant is a man of long service. I
decline to interfere.

(Signed) H. Sweet.
19.10.97. Ag. Dy. Insp. General of Police
N.R.

(True Copy).
Dist. Supdt. of Police.

Composed
Ch. 11/8/97.

Memorandum

Calculation of Pension

5 years average Pensionable from 7 June 1936 to 18 June 1938.
including 12 days absence without leave from 23 Feb. 1938 to 5 March 1938.

76.30 June 1936 @ 14 p.m. 11-3-2
1 July 1936 to 30 June 1937 @ 10 p.m. 672-
16.6.9.2 1937 @ 10 p.m. 2-11-4.
7531 9.9.1937 @ 8 p.m. 6-7-2.
1 Aug 1937 to 31 May 1938 @ 10 p.m. 48-
1022 31 May 1938 @ 10 p.m. 6-4-7
7531 9.9.1938 @ 10 p.m. 6-7-2.
1 Oct 1938 to 31 May 1939 @ 10 p.m. 16-
1578 9.9.1939 @ 10 p.m. 4-12-9
60) 773-14-2
12-14-4.

Remainder of Rs 12-14-4

= Rs 6-4-2

W. D. Robertson

Ag: Asst. Secy for Genl Secy

Certified that the finger prints of
1st class Constable No. 497 Pattabir-
-amayya have been taken in
my presence and under my
personal supervision from
the left hand of the individual
whose pension forms the subject
of the application.

Police Officer
Bauder
9-7-98

Head Quarter Supd of Police

3.11.1938

Dist. Secy of Police

21-7-98.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

MADRAS, 5 September 1898.

No. 232

PR

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,

To

THE UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PENSION DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit for orders of Government an application for the
grant of a pension to Lanka Pattabiramayya
late a 1st class Constable No. 497 of the Kistna District.

Enclosures.

2. He has served in the Police Department for 25 years months
and 1 days, and has borne a bad character. He is now unfit for
further effective service of any kind as certified by the Acting Civil Surgeon
on the 18 June 1898.

3. He is entitled to an invalid monthly pension of
Rupees (6.7.2) Six, annas Seven and pice two payable
at the Bezavada Taluk Treasury from 19 June 1898.

according to of the Police Superannuation Fund
Rules and Articles 485, 518⁶ and 552 Scale B. of the Civil Service
Regulations (Second Edition).

4. His absence without leave as specified in his
application has been retrospectively commuted into
leave without allowances under note to article 464
of the Regulations.

5. Reference had to be made to the District for records
relating to the Applicant's reduction in 1885 and 1897
owing to which delay has occurred in the submission
of

of this application.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,
Douglas Robertson
for Inspectors of Police.

16
P.2

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.