F. No. 553 / 98 Rc. 570 / 5-9-98

Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 336

DATED 19-5-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a n invalid pension of Rs. 7 —— per mensem to Abdul Khadir Sahib late 5th Clerk, Registrar's Office, Coimbatore.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
4	570 267	Rev do	5-3-98 30-3-98				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[A/1]
400—12-5-97.

C. No. 336

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers :—

From the Insp^r. Genl. of Reg^n. dated 26^th. ap^l. 1898, No. 892

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 9^th May 1898, No. A.D.I. 49

Order thereon, 19^th May 1898 No. 336

Under articles 485 & 518(b) of the Civil Service Regulations (~~2nd~~ 3^rd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Abdul Khadir Sahib late 5^th Clerk, Registrar's Office, Coimbatore, an invalid pension —

Character — Good.

— of Rupees (7-0-0) Seven only from payable at Coimbatore from 22^nd March 1898 in consideration of his qualifying sup^r service of 21 years, " months and 24 days.

11.5.98

13/5/98

3.5.8

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" " I. G. of Reg^n.

Pension C No. 336

~~73~~
72

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Abdul Khadir Sahib.

2. Father's name. Shaik Ameed Sahib

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Mussalman.

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Coimbatore

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. 5th Clerk. Registrar's office Coimbatore

6. Date of beginning of service. ~~1st December 1872~~ 1st November 1872.

7. Date of ending of service. 21st March 1898

		Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	.. ..	25 .	4 .	21
of which superior ..		21 ~~25~~	. ~~4~~	24 ~~21~~
inferior ..				
non-qualifying and interruptions	.. ..	4 .	3 .	27

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Invalid Pension unfit for further service

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 20-0-0 per mensem.

11. Proposed pension. Rs 7-0-0 per mensem

12. Proposed gratuity.

13. Date from which pension is to commence. 22nd March 1898

14. Place of payment. Coimbatore

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. 5th April 1851.

16. Hieght. 5' 6" ~~20~~ 1/2

17. Marks. a mole under left hand near the armpit

18. Date. 6th April ~~11 March~~ 1898

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)



Registrar.
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

Certified that the above finger-print have been taken in my presence and under my personal superintendence, from the left hand of the individual whose pension forms the subject of the application.

Coimbatore Registrar's office
2nd April 1898.

Registrar

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Abdul Khadir Sahib, 5th Clerk, Coimbatore Registrar's Office

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Coimbatore R.O.	2nd Clerk	12	.	1 Novr 1872	30.11.1874	.	.	.	2	1	.	(a)	By means of acquittance rolls (1st April 1881 to June 1882) Pay bills — July 1882 to the date of retirement Registers — Novr 1872 to 1st April 1881	(a) Does not count having been rendered in a non pensionable appointment. Verified from the records of the Registration Department, Coimbatore District and the verification of the service confirmed by reference partly to the audit Registers and partly to the annual returns on record in this office.
	Do	18	.	1 Decr 1874	22 Feby 1877	2	2	22						
Perundurai	Sub Registrar, [illegible]	16		23.2.77	8.3.77	.	.	14						
Coimbatore Ro.	2nd Clerk	18		7.3.77	5.10.79	2	6	29						
	Leave on half pay			6.10.79	20.10.79				.	.	15			
	2nd Clerk	18		21.10.79	18.6.81	1	9	28	.					
	Sick leave			19.6.81	16.10.81					1	28			
	2nd Clerk	18		17.10.81	31.3.83	1	5	14						
	Do	20		1.4.83	7.9.87	4	5	7				Promotion in grade		
	Privilege leave			8.9.87	25.9.87	.	.	18						
	2nd Clerk	20		26.9.87	29.2.92	4	5	4						
	Furlough			1.3.92	28.2.93	.	.	.	1	.	.			
	Leave on loss of pay			1.3.93	14.3.93	.	.	.	.	.	14			
	2nd Clerk	20		15.3.93	31.5.94	1	2	17				Revision of Estt		
	5th Clerk	20		1.6.94	21.3.97	2	9	21						
	Sick leave			22.3.97	21.3.98	.	.	.	1	.	.			
	Total period of Superior Service ..					~~21~~	~~.~~	~~24~~	~~4~~	~~2~~	~~27~~			
						21	0	24	2	2	27			

* Includes a period of 2 y + 1 month (1.11.72 to 30.1.74) of nonqualifying service

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good.
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. –
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI.) –
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. –
5. Any other remarks. –
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991.] – Yes. The service claimed is established & should be admitted.

Insptr: Genl: of Regn.
6th April 1898.

Registrar SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded)~~ qualifying service in ~~inferior or~~ superior grades has been duly proved for 21 years ~~months~~ + 24 days; and that ~~a (pension or gratuity)~~ (an Invalid) Pension not exceeding Rs. 7-0-0 p.m. equal to 21/60th of average emoluments, is admissible under Article ~~485~~ 518 (b) 8th Edition of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. ~~The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).~~

In accordance with Rule 3 under article 994 (b), the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to article 514 of the Regulations.

ADJ
49

Acctt Genl's Office
Fort St George
9 May 1898
Despd 10.5.98

To The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

Accountant General
~~Audit Officer~~

Gpd.
9/5

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate :—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of Commencement.

Date of sanction.

So 336/98

2

Service register

1898
1
336

Name of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் பெயர்.	Caste or Race. ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம்.	Residence. இருப்பிடம்.	FATHER'S தகப்பனுடைய Name. பெயர்.	FATHER'S தகப்பனுடைய Residence. இருப்பிடம்.	Date of birth. ஜனன தேதி.
Coimbatore Abdulkadersahib	Mussulman	Coimbatore	Coimbatore Shaikahmed Sahib	Coimbatore	5th April 1851

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.
இந்தக்கலங்களில் செய்கிற பதிவுகளுக்கு ஐந்துவருஷத்துக்கொருதரம் அத்தாட்சிக் கையெழுத்துபண்ணவேணும்; தேதி தெளிவாய்த் தெரியும்படி அந்தந்த அத்தாட்சி கையெழுத்துக்கு ஜாக்கிரதையாய் கோடுபோட்டுப் பிரிக்கவேண்டியது.

Age. வயசு. Years. வருஷம்.	Age. வயசு. Months. மாசம்.	Age. வயசு. Days. நாள்.	Exact height. சரியான உயரம். Feet. அடி.	Exact height. சரியான உயரம். Inches. அங்குலம்.	Personal marks for identification. நபர் தெரிவதற்காக அங்கமச்சமடையாளம்.	Date of Entry. பதிவுதேதி.	Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office. ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
30	10	3	5	4½	A mole under left hand near the armpit	5th January 1882	Abdulkadersahib	Registrar 5/1/82
35	10	"	5	6	Left thumb Left fore finger Left middle finger Left ring finger Left little finger	5th January 1887		A Benjamin Registrar
40	10	-	5	6	* Abdulkadersahib	5 January 1892		
46	11	17	5	6"	Registrar	Abdulkadersahib 22.3.1898		Registrar



* Certified that the above finger-prints have been taken in my presence and under my personal superintendence from the left hand of the individual whose signature is entered in the book.
Registrar
Coimbatore Registrar's office 21st April 98.

1 க Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 உ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பெண்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பெண்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧௦ Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, e.g., *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* திரான்ஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னதினாலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
Second Clerk of Coimbatore Regis. office	Permanent	"	Rs 12	"	1st November 1872	Abdulkhadersahib	A clerk of long standing, quiet & obedient. A Rengasawmy Nd Registrar 23/5/88				
	Do	"	18	"	1st December 1874						
Sub Registrar of Perundurai	Acting	Second Clerk of Registrar's office	18 "	"	23rd February 1877			A Rengasawmy Nd Reg 9/3/77	22nd February 1877 9th March 1877	Return of permanent incumbent	A Rengasawmy Nd Reg 23/2/77
Second Clerk Registrar's Office	~~Leave on half pay for 15 days from 1st date~~ Also returned to duty on the 7th March 1877 Leave on half pay for 15 days from 6th October to 20th October 1877 Sick leave for one month and 28 days from 19th August to 16th October 1881. And returned to duty on 17th October 1881					Abdulkhadersahib					
Second clerk, District Registrar's Office Coimbatore	Permanent	"	Rs 20	"	1st April 1883	Abdulkhadersahib		A Rengasawmy Nd Reg 30/9/87	7th Sept. 1887		A Rengasawmy Nd Registrar
Privilege leave for 13 days					Forenoon of 8th August 1887	Abdulkhadersahib		A Rengasawmy Nd Registrar	Forenoon of 7th September 1887		A Rengasawmy Nd Registrar

1 ௧ Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 ௨ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமனத் தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தின்மையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்திலே பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பெனஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பெனஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧௦ Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* திரான்ஸ்பரினலேயோ, பிரமோஷனலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினலேயோ, இன்னதினலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
2nd clerk, cor:respondence branch, Registrar's Office, Coimbatore	Permanent	„	20..	„	21-9-87	Abdulkader Sahib	Verified from 1st November 1872 to 31st December 1889. 7 January 1890. Registrar Verified from 1 January to 31 December 1890. 29 June 1891. Venkatadri Iyer Registrar Fined Rs. 3 for Carelessness in April 1892 (R. No 748 dated 2 April 1892). Venkatadri Iyer Registrar	Registrar	31-5-94	Revision of Establishment	Venkatadri Iyer Registrar
		Verified the entries from 1st January 1891 to 29 February 1892.									
		Coimbatore R.O. 28 July 1892 } Venkatadri Iyer Registrar									
		Furlough for one year from			1st March 1892				28 February 1893		
		Leave without allowance for 14 days			1st March 1893		Venkatadri Iyer Registrar 1-8-93		14 March 1893		
		Verified the entries from 1st March 1892 to 31 March 1893. Venkatadri Iyer Registrar									
5th clerk Regn this office Coimbatore	Permanent Substantive	„	20	„	1-6-94	Abdulkader Sahib	Verified entries from 1st April 1893 to 31st March 1894 Venkatadri Iyer 30-6-94 Registrar Verified the entries from 1st April 1894 to 31 March 1895 Venkatadri Iyer 27 May 1895 Registrar	Registrar	Verified the entries from 1st April 1895 to 31 March 1896 Venkatadri Iyer Registrar		

1 ௧ Name of appointment. உத்தியோகத்தின் பெயர்.	2 ௨ Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. காயமோ அல்லது வதிலோ, நிலையானதோ அல்லது நிலையில்லாததோ அது.	3 ௩ Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. புதுநேமனம் வதிலாகமாத்திரமிருந்தால், காயமான உத்தியோகம்.	4 ௪ Pay. சம்பளம்.	5 ௫ Acting allowance. வதில் சம்பளம்.	6 ௬ Date of appointment. நேமன தேதி.	7 ௭ Signature of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தன் கையெழுத்து.	8 ௮ Character of Officer. உத்தியோகஸ்தனுடைய யோக்கியதை. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* நன்மையாவது தீமையாவது எழுதவேண்டியிருந்தாலொழிய இந்தக்கலத்தில் பதிவு ஒன்றுஞ்செய்யவேண்டுவதில்லை. சஸ்பென்ஷனுக்கு உத்தரவுசெய்யப்பட்டால் அந்த சஸ்பென்ஷனை இங்கே குறிக்கவேண்டியது.	9 ௯ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.	10 ௧௦ Date of termination of appointment. உத்தியோகம் முடிவுபெறுகிற தேதி.	11 ௧௧ Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* டிரான்ஸ்பரினாலேயோ, பிரமோஷனாலேயோ, நிலையான உத்தியோகஸ்தன் திரும்பி வந்துவிட்டதினாலேயோ, இன்னதினாலே முடிவுபெற்றதென்பது.	12 ௧௨ Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. நேரான ஆபீசுத்தலைவருடைய கையெழுத்தும் உத்தியோகப்பெயரும்.
	Sick leave for six months				22nd March 1897		verified the entries from 1st april 1896 to 31st march 1897 12th July 1897 Ag Registrar				
	Extension of Sick leave for 6 months from 22.9.97.						verified from 1st April 1897 to 21st March 1898 2nd April 1898 Registrar	Registrar	21.3.98	Retired on Invalid Pension	Registrar

SERVICE BOOK

சர்வீஸ் புஸ்தகம்

OF

Name. பெயர். Abdul Khader Sahib

Caste or Race. ஜாதி அல்லது வம்சம். Mussulman

Residence. இருப்பிடம். Coimbatore

FATHER'S தகப்பனுடைய Name. பெயர். Shaik Ahmed Sahib

FATHER'S தகப்பனுடைய Residence. இருப்பிடம். Coimbatore

Date of birth. ஜனனதேதி. 5th April 1851

Register of Lands held by Uncovenanted Public Servants.

Name of Uncovenanted Public Servant.	Date of entertainment in the Public Service.	Office and department in which employed.	District and division in which land is situated.	Particulars regarding Landed Property.				When acquired, inherited, &c.	By what means and for what purpose acquired.	Nature of interest possessed by the officer concerned in such landed property.	Remarks.
				Nature of property, *i.e.*, whether lands, gardens with or without houses attached.	Extent.	Assessment.	In whose name registered.				
						RS.					
Abdul Quader Sahib	1st November 1872	Coimbatore Registrar Office Registration Department	Coimbatore Coimbatore Municipality	Bazaar No 380 in Big bazaar street			-	not known	Inherited	1/4 share in the property	Registrar

The above was disposed of by sale in 1893.

Registrar

Register of Lands held by Uncovenanted Public Servants.

Name of Uncovenanted Public Servant.	Date of entertainment in the Public Service.	Office and department in which employed.	District and division in which land is situated.	Particulars regarding Landed Property.				When acquired, inherited, &c.	By what means and for what purpose acquired.	Nature of interest possessed by the officer concerned in such landed property.	Remarks.
				Nature of property, *i.e.*, whether lands, gardens with or without houses attached.	Extent.	Assessment.	In whose name registered.				
						RS.					

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF REGISTRATION, MADRAS.
R. No. ....
1? MAY 92

Memo of Calculation of pension recommended to Abdul Kadher Sahib, late clerk, Registrar's office Coimbatore & retired from the 22nd March 1898.

Particulars	Salary drawn per month	Total.
Pay drawn by the clerk during the last 5 years of his service i.e. from 22nd March 1893 to 21st March 1898 @	20 . .	1200 . .
Average emoluments p. mensem.		20 . .
Qualifying Service for Pension years 21 days . . 24		
Pension admissible $\frac{21}{60}$ of the average emolument		7 . .

Coimbatore Registrar's office
6 April 1898

11.3.98

Civil Medical Form No. 32.

(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

Certified that I have carefully examined Abdul Hadir Sahib

son of Shaik Amed Sahib

a clerk in the office of Registrar of Assurance ~~in the~~ Coimbatore

His age is by his own statement 50 years and by appearance about 57 years.

I consider Abdul Khadir Sahib

to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of internal piles

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

Acting Distt Med & Sanitary Officer

Station Coimbatore
Date 9 March 1898.

Name.
Rank.
Designation.

Note.—[If the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits."]

Countersigned.

Surgn.-Major-Genl.,
Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

STATEMENT OF CASE.

1. Rank. 5th Clerk
2. Name. Abdul Khadir Sahib
3. Department or Office. Registration of assurances
4. Marks of identification. A mole under left arm near armpit
5. Age. 50 years
6. Service.
7. Sick leave granted during the service.
8. Disease or cause of Disability. Internal piles
9. Abstract statement of case showing how the disease or disability was contracted, the results of the treatment adopted. In case the disease or disability is believed to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits, the reasons for such belief should be stated.

Suffers from internal piles from which there is bleeding very frequently. Looks prematurely old and very much debilitated. Suffers from giddiness and defective vision. Is not likely to benefit by treatment and is therefore invalided.

Acting District Medical & Sanitary Officer

Coim A791

100—24 6-97.

REGISTRATION DEPARTMENT.

R. No. 892.

1796

27 APR 98
281

From

THE HON'BLE P. RAJARATNA MUDALIYAR,
DEWAN BAHADUR,
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF REGISTRATION,
Madras,

To

THE Accountant-General,
Fort St. George

Dated Madras, 26th April 1898.

SIR,

I have the honour to forward herewith, for submission to Government, an application f the grant of Invalid Pension of Rs 7 per mens to Abdul Khadir Sahib, late 5th Clerk of Registr office, Coimbatore.

2. The clerk's service register, last pay certi cate, medical certificate and memo of calcul tions of pension are also sent herewith.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servan

Inspr. Genl. of Regn.

D.S.

REGISTRATION (1898) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF
REGISTRATION,
Madras,

To

THE Accountant General,
Fort St George

Dated 26
Despatched } April 1898
Received

A No. 892

ENCLOSURE.

No. (5)

Forwarding, for submission to Government, an application for the grant of 'Invalid Pension' of 7 Rs per mensem to Abdul Khadir Sahib late 5th Clerk, Registrar's Office, Coimbatore

---

R. E.
43.
1,000 -10-8-96.

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 288.

From

Abdul Qadir Sahib.
Late clerk, Dt. Registrar's Office
Coimbatore.

~~Order~~ - G.O. 336 of 19-5-98

To lie over pending receipt of the petitioner's pension papers.

22/4/98

20/4/98

Petitioner's pension papers have not been received either here or in the Regr's Office. He retired only on the 22d ultimo

20/4/98

No.

Dated 9/4
Received 15/4
Registered do
Enclosures Nil
Spare copies. —

SUBJECT.

Praying that his service of 2 years during which he was paid from a contract allowance may be allowed to count for pension and that he may be granted the increased pension that would then be admissible to him

[illegible] 9th May 98



To

The Honorable H W Bliss Esq.
Member of the Council of His
Excellency the Governor of Fort St George
Madras.
X Ootacamund

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

nt No.

ENCLOSURES TO O. No

der of Government A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

PENSION DEPARTMENT

Coimbatore
9th April 1898.

Most. Honoured Sir,

Perhaps your honor may remember me, when I say that my name is Abdul Khader Saheb, and that I was Hindustani munshi, to your honour when your honour was sub Collector of Madura. The good your honour did me then was immense

I was a clerk in the District Registrar's office Coimbatore from 1872 and retired from Service on the 22nd ultimo on an invalid pension. My Service was Counted only from 1874 and the 2 years 1872 & 73 were not reckoned on the ground that the Registrar's business was done by the Deputy Collector who received a fixed allowance from Government. and distributed a portion of it among his clerks. If those 2 years were added to my service I can get an increased pension which otherwise becomes very scanty. Further I beg to bring to your honor's notice, that I am a very poor Mohammadan with a large family. Such being the case, the increased pension I may get by the addition of these years to my service will be no small help to me to my large family.

* Not [illegible]

I beg also to inform your honour* that I have sent a memorial to His Excellency the Governor ~~request~~ praying for the addition of the 2 years to my service.

Greatfully remembering the good your honour did me and earnestly hoping that my case will be disposed off favourably by your honour I have ventured to write to your honour after so long a period of separation which would rightly stand in your honour's way of recognising me. In conclusion I most respectfully beg to request your honour will be kind & good enough to order for the addition of those 2 years to my service. For which act of generosity & kindness I & my large family

Shall ever pray —

I beg to remain

Most Honored Sir.

Your honours most obedient

Servant —

Abdulkadersahib

[R.F. / 46.]
1,000 -10-8-96.

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 361

From The Acctt Genl

List

Order 21st May 1898 No. 337

Recorded with reference to G.O. 293,

Pension, d/29-4-98.

17-5-98. 19.5.98

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
16 MAY 1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. $\frac{\text{DA-8}}{76}$

Dated 14/5/98

Received 16/5

Registered do

Enclosures 1

Spare copies. Nil

SUBJECT.

Forwarding certain correspondence in continuation of his certificate & report on the pension application of C.N. Lakshmana Row disposed of by G.O. $\frac{293}{29\text{-}4\text{-}98}$ Pension

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
80	293	Ru.	29-4-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Dis 1660 / ~~2?/982~~ 20/4-98

1909 From

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S O. 2 MAY 98 MADRAS

~~Madura~~ Madura Collector's Office
Forest Branch
20th April 1898.

H. J. A Porter Esquire
District Forest Officer
Madura.

To

The District Forest Officer
South Arcot.

Reference: Your Cir: 1315/97 dated 30th March 1898.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that the only record available is the Acquittance file book for 1869-70. C. V. Lakshmana Row's name appears in July and August '69 rolls as acting writer and then in July '70 to November '70 rolls as acting writer. As his name does not appear in the rolls for the period between 1-4-70 and 30-6-70, I do not think any information regarding the nature of the appointment will be required. If however it is still required I would suggest Contemporary evidence being taken. There was an ~~analogous~~ analogous

Case

Case and the individual, concerned stated that 'Sub Overseer' and 'Duffadar' were synonyms and that service as such was superior.

I have the honor to be
&c &c.

(signed) G. Narayanaswami
For Dt. Forest officer

Cur
Reference No $\frac{1315}{97}$

SHED
APR 1898

True copy forwarded to the Accountant General for information with reference to the last para of this Office letter Cur No 1315 of 97 dated 30th March 1898.

Enc. Nil

C H Anson
For Ag District Forest Officer
27-4-98

8/

From

The District
Forest Officer
South Arcot.

To

The Accountant
General
Madras.

Dated 27th
Despd. DESPATCHED 2? APR 1898 April 1898
Recd.

Encl. nil

Reference
Cir: No 1315/97

Subject

Enclosing true copy of the letter of the District Forest officer Madura regarding the services of late Ranger C. N. Lakshmana Rao.

Forwarded to the Under Secretary Government Pension department in continuation of this office report No. [illegible]/13 of the 14th April /98 on the pension applⁿ as disposed of in G.O. No. 293 Pensⁿ of 29th April /98

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
16 MAY 1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT

J. A. L. Gower
Chief Superintendent

No. I.A.E/76
Accountant Genl's Office }
Fort Saint George }
14th May /98 }

J. H.
12/5.

11½

ar No.

No.	Year.	To whom given.	When taken out.

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 362

Memo.

From

The Financial Dept

List

Order, 21st May 1898 No. 338

Recorded.

17.5.98

18.5.98

No. 1116.

Dated 13/5/98

Received 16/5/98

Registered do

Enclosures nil

Spare copies. —

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for information copy of certain notes on G.O. 643 / 29-9-96 Finl, directing that all references to the Govt of India regarding the rules in the Civil Service Regulations should issue from the Finl Dept

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
"	643	Finl	29-9-96
"	1018	Pen.	26-10-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G
69
500—24-11-

Financial Dept

Memorandum No. 1116

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
16 MAY 1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT

Read the following paper:—

Note from the Public Works Department, No. 31 D, dated 18th April 1898:-

With reference to the attached note in the Financial Department, written on P.W.C.No.9971, it is pointed out that the P.W. draft in question, and a few others which recently went to and were returned by, the Financial Department were sent in accordance with para. 2 of G.O.643, Financial dated 29th September 1896 which directs that "all references to the Government of India regarding the rules in the Civil Service Regulations shall, in future, issue from the Financial Department, the draft of the letter being, however, prepared in the Department in which such references originated and being previously approved by the Financial Department".

C.No.9971 was an application for sanction to travelling allowances to certain officers and subordinates who visited Pondicherry, i.e. Foreign territory, on duty. The Examiner, P.W. Accounts, pointed out that the rules in the Civil Service Regulations did not admit of sanction to these charges by the Local Government. A draft letter to the Government of India for sanction was therefore prepared in the Public Works Department and transmitted to the Finl. Dept. for counter-initials and subsequent issue in accordance with para. 2 of the G.O.quoted above, which it was thought applied to the case. The grounds on which the Financial Department returned this, and other draft letters to the Government of India, are not understood, and it seems necessary, for future guidance, that they should be explained.

The expression "all references regarding the rules" which occurs in para. 2 of the Order is apparently too wide. The cases which present themselves seem to be as follows:-

(a) interpretation of rules.

(b) alteration of rules.

(c) relaxation of rules.

(d) special concessions not exactly covered by the rules

It would be convenient, if in modification of the existing orders, it were stated which of these cases are to be dealt with by Administrative departments concerned.

Note for Orders in the Financial Department
dated 5th May 1898:-

Please see G.O.No.643,Financial,dated 29th
September 1896. That order ~~pointed out that all~~ cases invol
ing the interpretation of the rules in the C.S.R. are deal
with finally in the local Financial Department, and direct-
ed that all references to the Government of India regarding
the rules in the Civil Service Regulations should issue from
the same department, the draft letter being prepared in the
department in which such references originated and being pre
viously approved by the Financial Department.

2. The Public Works Department points out that
there are some difficulties in interpreting that order. It
remarks that the cases which present themselves arise in the
following forms:-

(a) interpretation of rules

(b) alteration of rules

(c) relaxation of rules

(d) special concessions not exactly covered by the rules.

and that it would be convenient if, in modifica-
tion of the existing orders, it was stated which of these
cases are to be dealt with finally by Administrative depart-
ments concerned.

3. The Public Works Department has interpreted
the order of 1896 as indicating that all cases in which rules
in the Civil Service Regulations are involved should be dealt
with finally by the Financial Department. It is submitted
however that classes (c) and (d) of cases mentioned by the
Public Works Department - viz. relaxation of rules, and spe-
cial concessions not exactly covered by the rules - need not
come to the Financial Department except for counter-initials.
The majority of cases under class (d) are now covered by
article 378 A of the Civil Account Code, sanctions under
which are, under existing orders, dealt with finally in the
local Financial Department.

Note by the Honourable Sir Henry Bliss,K.C.I.E.:-

It seems to me that it will be much safer that all these matters should be dealt with in the Financial Department.

(Id.)H.W.B.
6-5-98.

(Id.)J.G.
9-5-98.

Copy

Copy of the foregoing notes forwarded to Secretariat Departments for information.

Ootacamund,
13° May 1898.

for Chief Secretary.

To

All Departments of the Secretariat.
The Pension Department

Pension Dept
Chief Secretariat
Fort St. George
12-10-14

13 OCT 1914

Kindly send the original of G.O. No 339 Pen. of 21-5-1898.

PRH
14/10/14

Superintendent
Pension Dept.

To
The Superintendent
in R. O.

[R. C. / 10-8-96]

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 295

Order 21st May 1898 No 339

18/5/98

The Government sees no reason to reconsider the orders already passed.

7.5.98

14.5.98

To The Petr with 5 enclosures

8/6/98

From

S. Hanumantha Row
Late Tg. Hd Acctt, Adoni

No. nil

Dated 16/4/98

Received 25/4

Registered do

Enclosures 6

Spare copies. nil

Note.-

It seems unnecessary to ask the petitioner to submit this petition through the Collector of Bellary.

7.5.98

SUBJECT.

Praying for the grant of the full pension admissible to him under the rules.

Secy The pension Dept order granting the reduced pension of Rs22 p.m. (in stead of Rs 24-8-0 p.m.) to G.O. 174 d 8.3.98 for A. Peter's misconduct was dealt with in G.O. 1634/23.10.90 Judl in confdl cover.

14.5.98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
„	174	Rn.	3-3-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

d/ 12-1-91

𝔗𝔬

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HON'BLE,
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
FORT SAINT GEORGE,
MADRAS.

The humble petition of SAGARAM HANUMANTHA RAO, *late Taluk Sheristadar of Kudligi, Bellary District.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That your Excellency's humble petitioner was originally entertained as a Taluk Gumastah in the Bellary Taluk, which he held for about 8 years, after which he was promoted to the grade of Deputy Tahsildar and Sub-Magistrate of Ramandroog, a Military Sanitarium, where he served zealously and faithfully for about 4½ years. The appointment in question having been abolished, the petitioner was transferred as a Taluk Sheristadar to other places in the District and accordingly he had for a period of upwards of 9 years discharged the duties of the said office in the following places, *viz.*, Hadagali, Adoni, Alur and lastly at Kudligi whither he joined his appointment on 14th November 1889.

2. Shortly after the petitioner's transfer to Kudligi it was his misfortune to have been unjustly reduced for no apparent cause whatever from the grade of Taluk Sheristadar on Rs. 60 to that of a 2nd Clerk on Rs. 45 in the Head Assistant Collector's Office, Hospett, as per Index No. 1531, 8th November 1890 (Enclosure 1) and G. O. No. 1841, dated 20th November 1890 (Enclosure 2).

The following are the reasons assigned for his reduction :—

(*a*) It appears that the late Tahsildar of Kudligi, Mr. Kandi Vencoba Rao, had a niece by name Sethuboyamma, who owing to her husband having become a Christian convert, lived with her uncle, but having been ill for upwards of 2 months, was placed under the treatment of the Hospital Assistant, attached to the Civil Dispensary of the said station; and as she obtained no relief from his treatment, her people had recourse to a native doctor. While under his treatment she unfortunately died on the 18th April last and her body was subsequently burnt by the direction of the said Tahsildar (Magistrate).

8/
5/
295/

(*b*) There seems to have been a rumour current about the place at the time that she had been previously pregnant for 4 months and that her death was caused by abortion owing to the administration of certain drugs by some native doctors.

(*c*) Here your Excellency's humble petitioner begs to draw the attention of your Lordship to the fact that he was then new to the place and was not consequently very familiar with the Tahsildar and he was therefore not frequenting his house except for official purposes and was totally ignorant of all his domestic transactions. Besides the petitioner himself had at the same time to be engaged otherwise in having to attend to certain troubles at home, namely, the performance of the funeral ceremonies of his father, who died only 3 days before the death of the woman in question, the serious illness of his dear child, besides the uneasiness he has had on account of the death of his mother which took place only 2½ months before his father's death.

3. That in this state of circumstances the then Police Inspector of Kudligi Division, named, Peddu Chetty, who was relieved at Alur on the 23rd April 1890, by the late Inspector of Kudligi one Mahamed Raja Sahib, having come to understand from the latter Officer about the death of the woman in question and the rumour current about the cause of her death reported to the Superintendent of Police that the remains of the Tahsildar's niece were disposed of without any Inquest being held, which gave rise to the institution of an inquiry into the matter by the Police and also by the Head Assistant Magistrate of the Division.

4. That the Tahsildar, the petitioner and the Medical officer concerned were afterwards examined in connection with the said case and the petitioner deposed as to what he had heard and known in the said case. The Head Assistant Magistrate, who was then deputed to hold an investigation into the cause of the girl's death, not being satisfied with the deposition given by your Excellency's humble petitioner in the said case as well as the explanation submitted by him in answer to the Confidential Proceedings, dated 19th July 1890, examined the petitioner a second time unexpectedly and without a previous notice at Hospett (his Head Quarter station) at his own quarters during night, contrary to G. O. No. 167, dated 15th January 1883, and B. P. No. 175, dated 23rd January 1883. (Enclosure 13), and at a time when the petitioner appeared as a witness in the Taluk Magistrate's Court of the same place. During the same night 17th Witness Achakka was also examined till 12 o'clock. But as the statement then obtained from your Excellency's petitioner being by force and under a threat of punishment, your Excellency's petitioner on the very next day (*i. e.*, on the 10th August 1890) sent a letter by post to the Head Assistant Magistrate requesting him to affix a remark to the petitioner's deposition to the effect that what he had deposed to on the previous night was not altogether his own free statement but one containing some comments and inferences derived from his answers, and the same was also brought to the notice of the District Magistrate in letter dated 3rd September 1890.

5. That on a perusal of the records in this case it would appear that the Inspector of Police of Hurpunally by name Mahomed Abdul Karim Sahib, who was specially deputed for this inquiry, reported against the petitioner that he had told him of a rumour being current in the town of Kudligi as to the pregnancy of the deceased woman and that the Medical Officer has deposed against the petitioner to the effect that the petitioner had told him that the foetus came out of the dead body while it was being burnt and that more fuel was carried and put by a taluk peon to burn the same, when in fact he had never made any such statement as it would be highly improbable that the petitioner would mention about the foetus to the Medical Officer unless he saw the same himself in the burning place, whereas he had no business to go there and inspect it inasmuch as he had himself sufficient other domestic troubles to attend to. From this your Lordship may judge that both the said Officers have recorded such a statement only in order to make the case strong and to implicate the petitioner.

6. That in para. 2 of the District Magistrate's Confidential Report No. A, dated 13th September 1890 to Government, it is stated that when the Head Constable and the Inspector were talking on 16th April last "The Hospital Assistant came up and on being questioned told Mahomed Raja Sahib all he knew of the matter adding that the Taluk Sheristadar, S. Hanumantha Rao also knew of it." Now your Excellency's petitioner begs to point out that his father died on the 16th April last and on the same day the Police Inspector Mahomed Raja Sahib left the taluk, and just before his departure the said Police Inspector accompanied by the said Hospital Assistant came up to the petitioner's house in the evening and bid him farewell, when none of the said Officers spoke to your Excellency's petitioner on the subject. If such had been the case any of them could have easily put in writing before him (petitioner) what they heard or knew in the affair with a view of taking proper steps as to holding an Inquest on the deceased's body on the day of her death. Nor the new Police Inspector, Peddu Chetty, spoke to the petitioner on the subject after he came to Kudligi. With regard to the remarks of the District Magistrate in para. 5 of his letter, your Excellency's humble petitioner begs to state that the deposition recorded by the Head Assistant Magistrate has been disavowed by the petitioner for the reasons already mentioned in para. 4 and the District Magistrate has not however brought to the notice of your Excellency's Government the several troubles in which your Excellency's petitioner was ~~then~~ involved in at the time of the said woman's death, *viz.*, his father's death, the performance of his funeral ceremonies, petitioner's weakness from the effects of Influenza, which had just left him, the serious illness of his dear child as well as the suffering of his family from the effects of Influenza. While the petitioner was thus in an unpeaceable and confused state of mind, it is impossible for the petitioner to discuss in length on the subject with the Hospital Assistant standing in a public road as recorded *in extenso* by the Head Assistant Magistrate. It is true that your Excellency's petitioner met the Hospital Assistant unexpectedly and spoke a few words to him on this matter. The petitioner deposed both before the Police Superintendent Mr. Robinson and the Head Assistant Magistrate Mr. Parsons that he had no conversation with the Hospital Assistant about the foetus; the latter Officer however pressed the petitioner to admit his knowledge

of the foetus which was denied altogether. Moreover in para. 17 of the District Magistrate's Confidential report (Enclosure 3) it is observed "the body was burnt in a hole and corner manner without intimation to the Police or the Local Medical Officer and without the attendance of any of the deceased's relatives." This itself is sufficient to convince your Lordship that the body of the deceased was carried and burnt without the knowledge of your Excellency's petitioner.

7. That from the above facts your Excellency will observe that your Excellency's humble petitioner, who had no concern or interference whatever in the matter, was not the least to blame while the Tahsildar (Magistrate) at whose orders only the body of the deceased was burnt without the knowledge of your Excellency's petitioner, was solely responsible for the disposal of the said body without an Inquest.

8. That it would be plain from the Medical Officer's deposition, that while the deceased woman was under his treatment he was not quite certain of her pregnancy except that he heard a report after her death that she was carrying from about last Christmas time till her death in the middle of April last. This statement is rebutted by the Law* of Medical Jurisprudence, page 129, para. (F) wherein it is stated :—

Or* Husband's.

> "The period at which quickening occurs varies from the 4th to the 5th month and the term is understood to imply the first perception of the movements of foetus experienced by the mother."

9. That from this your Excellency will observe that the Head Assistant Magistrate tried to make the petitioner admit certain facts connected with the said case to make it strong, but it would be plain both from the Medical Officer's deposition as well as that of the petitioner that the latter was altogether unaware of the matters which secretly took place in the Tahsildar's house; for if he had been really aware of any such thing he would certainly have interfered and done the needful. Nevertheless his Magisterial powers were withdrawn under Section 41 C. P. C. as per Notification No. 325, published in *Fort St. George Gazette*, page 828, dated 28th October 1890 and sanctioned in G. O. No. 1634, dated 23rd October (Enclosure 11).

10. That your Excellency's humble petitioner in conclusion humbly begs to bring to the notice of your Excellency that he had served the Government throughout with zeal and unblemished character for upwards of 22 years which will be apparent from the enclosed copy of his service book, that he faithfully discharged the duties of a Second Class Magistrate for about 14½ years which qualified him in every respect for a Tahsildary and that it would be his great misfortune after such a long and faithful service to have been reduced in his pay and rank for no just cause whatever while he deserved promotion to the grade of a Tahsildar.

11. And that under these circumstances, your Excellency's humble petitioner respectfully prays that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to peruse all the facts contained in the petition and after a due consideration of the same restore him to his former post from which he has been unjustly degraded.

For which act of mercy and justice, your Excellency's humble petitioner, as in duty bound, shall EVER PRAY.

Your Excellency's most humble and obedient servant,

S. Hanumantha Rao

12th January 1891
Madras

Late Taluk Sheristadar,
Kudligi, and now 2nd Clerk,
Head Asst. Collr's Office, Hospett,
Bellary District.

P. S.—The following are the copies of Records submitted by your Excellency's petitioner for your Lordship's kind perusal and return :—

1. Certified copy of the appointment list Index No. 1531, dated 8th November 1890.
2. G. O. No. 1841, dated 20th November 1890, approving of the reduction of appointment.
3. District Magistrate's Confidential Report No. A. dated 13th September 1890, to Government.
4. Head Assistant Magistrate's report No. 479, dated 1st September 1890 to District Magistrate.
5. Petitioner's deposition.
6. Medical Officer's deposition.
7. Confidential Proceedings of the District Magistrate, dated 19th July 1890.
8. Copy of the written explanation submitted by the petitioner in answer to the Confidential Proceedings above quoted.
9. Copy of the letter to the Head Assistant Magistrate, dated 10th August 1890.
10. Copy of the letter to the District Magistrate, dated 3rd September 1890.
11. G. O. No. 1634, dated 23rd October 1890 relative to the withdrawal of Magisterial powers from the petitioner.
12. Copy of petitioner's Service Book together with his testimonials and tests passed.
13. G. O. No. 167, dated 15th January 1883 (B. P. No. 175, dated 23rd January 1883).

PRINTED BY SRINIVASA, VARADACHARI & CO., MOUNT ROAD—MADRAS.

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HON'BLE,
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
FORT ST. GEORGE,
MADRAS.

DATED. 12th January 1891

ENCLOSURES 13.

Petition of S. HANUMANTHA ROW, *Late Taluk Sheristadar, Kudligi, and now 2nd Clerk, Hd. Asst. Coll'r's. Office, Hospett, Bellary District.*

Submitting papers of correspondence for the kind perusal of His Excellency's Government and praying for the restoration of the petitioner to Taluk Sheristadar's post from which he has been lately unjustly degraded to second Clerk's post in the Head Assistant Collector's Office, Hospett, Bellary District.

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABLE,
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
Ft. St. GEORGE,
MADRAS.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF SAGARAM HANUMANTHA RAO, LATE TALUQ HEAD ACCOUNTANT ADONI, BELLARY DISTRICT.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH :—

That your Excellency's humble petitioner has not been granted the full pension permissible under the rules as his character and conduct have not been thoroughly satisfactory as worded in para. 2 of G. O. No. 174—3-3-98. A

2. That your Excellency's humble petitioner was once already doubly punished for some alleged negligence (Vide G. O. No. 1841—20-11-90 and 1634—23-10-90.), after a service of upwards of 22 years performed with zeal and unblemished character; *i-e* reduction of appointment from Taluq Sheristadar's post on Rs. 60 to Rs. 45 as 2nd clerk in the Head Assistant Collector's Office, Hospet and withdrawal of 2nd class Magisterial powers from the petitioner respectively, consequent on a case of alleged abortion in Kudligi while he was Taluq Sheristedar and 2nd class Magistrate at the said station. F G

3. That your humble petitioner here begs to draw the attention of your Excellency's Government to the Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 23rd June 1891, No. 1234 (herewith submitted) where-in it was expressed that the punishment meted out to K. Vencoba Row, the Tahsildar of Kudligi, at the time when the above-said, alleged case of abortion took place, and who, as my superior officer, was mainly responsible for any neglect of duty, if any there was, was a little too severe in proportion to the nature of the offence. C

4. That your Excellency's humble petitioner hence ventures to submit that the punishment inflicted on him at the time was doubly severe regard being had to the circumstances, domestic and otherwise, in which the petitioner was then placed.

5. That your Excellency's humble petitioner duly represented the whole case in the form of a printed petition on 12th ~~June~~ January 1891 and the Government declined to reconsider the previous orders passed with reference to his conduct in the said case Vide G. O. No. 622—4-4 91. D

6. That your Excellency's humble petitioner after the said reduction was again promoted to the post of Taluq Head Accountant and Treasurer in Adoni on a salary of Rs. 50, an equally responsible post as Taluq Sheristedar, on 7th October 1892, in which capacity he served satisfactorily for more than 5 years till 30th November 1897. He was promoted to the said post by the late Collector Mr. Sewell on account of his innocence in the

alleged case of abortion. He worked hard during the late famine from November 1896 till November 1897 with much zeal and enthusiasm as is plain from the enclosed copy of Official Memo Dis. No. 131—4-7-97. The hard work he had had to perform told on his aged frame and rendered him unfit for further service (vide copy of special Assistant collector's Endorsement dated 31-10 97 attached). He was thus obliged to appear before the Medical Officer and obtain the necessary certificate.

7. That the Government in their resolution No. 5047—15-11-97 was pleased to grant certain favourable concessions to Officers on Famine duty as to leave &c.

8. That as such is the benign spirit of Government your Excellency's humble petitioner begs to submit that he has been unnecessarily and unjustly punished a third time by reduction of Rs. 3 from the full allowance of his monthly pension of Rs. 25 for the *only spot* in his long untarnished official career.

9. And that under these circumstances your Excellency's humble petitioner respectfully prays that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to reconsider the order now passed and grant the full allowance of pension permissible under the rules with effect from 1st December 1897.

For which act of mercy and justice your Excellency's humble petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Your Excellency's most obedient and humble servant,

Dated 16th April 1898
Adoni

S. Hanumantha Rao
Late Taluq Hd Accountant
Adoni, Bellary Dt.

The following are the copies of records submitted by your Excellency's humble petitioner for your Lordship's kind perusal and return.

1. Copy of Government Order No. 174—3-3-98 with Collector's Dis. No. 1011—8-3-98.
2. Copy of Government Order No. 1234—23-6-91.
3. Assistant Collector's Dis. No. 131—4-7-97 as to petitioner's work during the late famine.
4. Copy of Endorsement dated 1-11-97 on petitioner's application for retirement by Special Assistant Collector.
5. Printed copy of petition submitted to Government on 12-1 91.
6. Copy of Government Order No. 622—4-4-91.

His Excellency
the Right Honorable,
The Governor in Council
Ft St George
Madras.

Dated 16th April 1898

Pension Go No 339
21-5-98

Petition of Sagaram
Hanumantha Rao, Late
Taluq Hd Accountant,
Adoni, Bellary Dt.

Submitting correspondence
for reconsideration as to
his pension allowance.

[R.E. / 43.]
1,000—10-8-96.

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 334

F. No. 44/98

Read C.Nos 334 & 369 also 297

Order 21st May 1898 No. 340.

Para x of the Petition Rules

18.5.98

To
the Petitioner

From

P. A. Manickavasam Pillay
late 1st Class Hospital
Asst. of Civil & Medl
Dept
Madras

No.

Dated 16 April /98

Received 9/5/98

Registered 9/5/98

Enclosures —

Spare copies. —

SUBJECT.

Praying for the grant of
the full pension admissible
to him under the rules.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
„	67	Pen	27-1-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

For [illegible] Dept

CHIEF SECRETARY
-6 MAY 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

His Excellency
The Right Honorable
SIR ARTHUR ELIBANK HAVELOCK, G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,
The Governor-in-Council,
FORT ST. GEORGE.

The Humble Petition of P. A. Maucillaw Pillay, *late 1st-Class Hospital Assistant of Civil Medical Department*

Respectfully Sheweth,

That Your Excellency's Petitioner received on the 15-2-98 a copy of G. O. No. 67 of 27-1-98 granting a retiring pension of Rs. 25 per mensem, in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 31 years and 10 days; that it is laid down in the G. O. that the full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted, as the applicant's conduct and character have not been thoroughly satisfactory; and that the Petitioner perused this with mixed feelings of sorrowand surprise—sorrow because that, after a long and faithful service of more than the full period, his pension should be cut short to his utter disappointment—and surprise because that, while officers in Government employ after a long service retire with credit to themselves and benefit to their families, your Petitioner should retire with curtailment of his pension for the so-called fault, viz., his conduct and character not having been thoroughly satisfactory.

2. It was not till after the perusal of the G. O. that he was aware of the reason for the decrease of his pension. He respectfully leaves it to Your Excellency to kindly consider whether, if his conduct and character have not been thoroughly satisfactory, he should not have been called upon to explain the same before he was punished by the curtailment of his pension.

3. Your Petitioner humbly begs to state that, throughout the tenure of his appointment as Hospital Assistant, he maintained an unblemished character, except on one occasion (1895), when, owing to an unfortunate error of judgment at a most critical time—at a time like this ablest doctors differ in their opinion—he was considered careless in his duty and transferred to General Hospital, where he worked for some time, and was then transferred to Kistna District, upon the favorable report of Dr. Price, the then Principal Medical Officer of General Hospital, to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

4. The Petitioner hears that the Surgeon of the Kistna District has certified his conduct as indifferent for the reasons best known to himself. Your Excellency's Petitioner respectfully begs to state that, as is well known, several officers, when they commit some tangible fault, are merely compelled to retire without curtailment of Pension; whereas your Petitioner's fault, if it can ever be called a fault at all, is simply an error of judgment—a mistake of head rather than of heart. Now that his conduct has been put down as indifferent by the officer under whom he served only for a few months just before he retired on pension, he begs that Your Excellency will be pleased to refer to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras for the copies of Testimonials from several annual reports of Medical Officers under whom he has served for upwards of thirty years, hoping that they will serve in good stead.

5. How great your Petitioner's disappointment at the curtailment of his pension after thirty-two years' long and faithful service, after the receipt of credentials from so many officers he has served under, has been especially at a time of scarcity like this, when the loss of a pie from his pension means a great loss to his large family, had better be imagined than described.

6. Under these circumstances Your Excellency's Petitioner most humbly begs that Your Excellency will be graciously pleased to render him justice by ordering the grant of his full pension.

For which act of charity your Petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray for Your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

P.A. Manielaudy

MADRAS,
16 *April* 1898.

1st Class Hospital Assistant,
Civil Medical Department,
No. 15, Malayaperumal Street,
near Pachaiyappa's College, Madras.

To, The Chief Secretary
Govt of Madras
Madras

THOMPSON AND CO., PRINTERS, MADRAS.

Pension ~~Public~~ (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 359 ~~1592~~

CHIEF SECRETARIAT -4 MAY 1898 GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 16 MAY 1898 PENSION DEPARTMENT

From

H. A. Sim Esquire I.C.S.
Private Secretary to
H.E. the Governor

No.

~~Order~~ Endorsement. No. 1088 d/ 10th May 1898

Transferred to the
Pension Department.

[illegible]
Ag. Under Secretary

[illegible] 6-5-98.

Dated 30th April 1898.

Received 4 } May 1898

Registered 5 }

Enclosures „

Spare copies „

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition dated 16th April 1898 from P.A. [illegible] Pillai, late 1st class Hospital Assistant residing in Madras, praying to be granted his full pension.

By order,
[illegible]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[R. E. / 4d.]
1,000 -10-8-96.

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 297

From

P. A. Mancillaw Pillai
Late Hospital Assistant
Civil Med^l Dept

No. —

~~Order~~

To lie over pending receipt of the petition sent thro' the proper channel
~~[illegible]~~

Ku

SA
27/4/98

Dated 16/4/98

Received 25/4

Registered do

Enclosures nil

Spare copies. —

Note

This is only a copy sent to the Honourable Sir Henry Bliss K.C.I.E.

Yes. it must come thro' the head of his Dept

Ku
29/4/98

SUBJECT.

Praying for the grant of the full pension admissible to him under the rules.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	67	Pen.	27-1-98

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

marked to the Hble. "Rev Sec" on the envelope

To

21/8/98

REV. DEPT.
21 AUG 98
SECR.

His Excellency
The Right Honorable
SIR ARTHUR ELIBANK HAVELOCK, G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,
The Governor-in-Council,
FORT ST. GEORGE.

The Humble Petition of P. A. MAUCHLAW PILLAY, *late 1st-Class Hospital Assistant of Civil Medical Department*

RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

That Your Excellency's Petitioner received on the 15-2-98 a copy of G.O. No. 67 of 27-1-98 granting a retiring pension of Rs. 25 per mensem, in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 31 years and 10 days; that it is laid down in the G. O. that the full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted, as the applicant's conduct and character have not been thoroughly satisfactory; and that the Petitioner perused this with mixed feelings of sorrow and surprise—sorrow because that, after a long and faithful service of more than the full period, his pension should be cut short to his utter disappointment—and surprise because that, while officers in Government employ after a long service retire with credit to themselves and benefit to their families, your Petitioner should retire with curtailment of his pension for the so-called fault, viz., his conduct and character not having been thoroughly satisfactory.

2. It was not till after the perusal of the G. O. that he was aware of the reason for the decrease of his pension. He respectfully leaves it to Your Excellency to kindly consider whether, if his conduct and character have not been thoroughly satisfactory, he should not have been called upon to explain the same before he was punished by the curtailment of his pension.

3. Your Petitioner humbly begs to state that, throughout the tenure of his appointment as Hospital Assistant, he maintained an unblemished character, except on one occasion (1895), when, owing to an unfortunate error of judgment at a most critical time—at a time like this ablest doctors differ in their opinion—he was considered careless in his duty and transferred to General Hospital, where he worked for some time, and was then transferred to Kistna District, upon the favorable report of Dr. Price, the then Principal Medical Officer of General Hospital, to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

4. The Petitioner hears that the Surgeon of the Kistna District has certified his conduct as indifferent for the reasons best known to himself. Your Excellency's Petitioner respectfully begs to state that, as is well known, several officers, when they commit some tangible fault, are merely compelled to retire without curtailment of Pension; whereas your Petitioner's fault, if it can ever be called a fault at all, is simply an error of judgment—a mistake of head rather than of heart. Now that his conduct has been put down as indifferent by the officer under whom he served only for a few months just before he retired on pension, he begs that Your Excellency will be pleased to refer to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras for the copies of Testimonials from several annual reports of Medical Officers under whom he has served for upwards of thirty years, hoping that they will serve in good stead.

5. How great your Petitioner's disappointment at the curtailment of his pension after thirty-two years' long and faithful service, after the receipt of credentials from so many officers he has served under, has been especially at a time of scarcity like this, when the loss of a pie from his pension means a great loss to his large family, had better be imagined than described.

6. Under these circumstances Your Excellency's Petitioner most humbly begs that Your Excellency will be graciously pleased to render him justice by ordering the grant of his full pension.

For which act of charity your Petitioner shall as in duty bound ever pray for Your Excellency's long life and prosperity.

1st Class Hospital Assistant,
Civil Medical Department,
No. 15, Malayaperumal Street,
near Pachayappa's College, Madras.

16 MADRAS,
April 1898.

To, The Honorable Sir Henry William Bliss K.C.I.E. I.C.S.
Second in Council

THOMPSON AND CO., PRINTERS, MADRAS.

Pension 1898 Department

Government (1898) of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Current No. 345

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
12 [illegible]
PENSION DEPARTMENT

Recd. 12th May } 1898.
Regd. 12th May }

Enclosures —
Spare copies —

G.O., No. 392, 20th April 1898.

APRIL.

Books.

Communicating to the departments of the Secretariat letter from the Government of India requesting that a copy of any work published in Madras which may be suitable for presentation may be supplied to the library of the Colonial Institute at Brussels; and requesting the Superintendent, Government Press, to supply the Institute with future issues of the General Administration Report of the Madras Presidency.

Order 21st May 1898 No. 341

Recorded

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From A. T. PRINGLE, Esq., Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department (Books), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Calcutta, 22nd March 1898, No. 385.

I am directed to forward a copy of the despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State, No. 33, Public (Records), dated the 11th November last, and to request that, with the permission of His Excellency the Governor in Council, a copy of any work published in Madras which may be suitable for presentation may be supplied to the library of the Colonial Institute at Brussels.

Enclosures.

Despatch from the Right Hon'ble Lord GEORGE HAMILTON, Her Majesty's Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Right Honourable the Governor-General of India in Council, dated India Office, London, 11th November 1897, No. 33, Public (Records).

I forward herewith, for your Excellency's information, a copy of a letter * from the Secretary-General of the Colonial Institute at Brussels requesting to be supplied with official publications for the library of the Institute.

2. The Secretary-General has been informed that his request has been forwarded to your Government, and I shall be glad if you will be so good as to cause the name of the Society to be included in future lists for distribution of suitable works direct to their library.

Bruxelles,
le 15 Octobre 1897.

Monsieur,

Les membres de l'Institut réunis à Berlin au mois de Septembre 1897 ont unanimement reconnu la nécessité d'augmenter l'importance de la bibliothèque en y faisant entrer les documents officiels que publient les divers Gouvernements en matière coloniale et les ouvrages anciens et recents des publicistes sur les questions coloniales.

La plupart du temps les démarches faites dans ce but par le Secrétaire Général sont restées vaines, mais le résultat désiré pourrait peut-être être atteint, si les membres de l'Institut voulaient bien, dans leurs pays respectifs, ou bien solliciter pour notre bibliothèque un exemplaire de ces publications, ou tout au moins signaler au bureau l'apparition des ouvrages qui intéressent notre compagnie.

Il serait rendu compte des ouvrages offerts à titre gracieux dans les comptes rendus périodiques des sessions.

Nous faisons un pressant appel à votre intervention afin que le vœu exprimé par les membres réunis à Berlin puisse être réalisé.

Veuillez agréer, Monsieur, l'assurance de ma considération la plus distinguée.

Le Secrétaire Général,
(Sé) CONS. JANFIER.

---

* Dated 15th October 1897.

Order—No. 392, Financial, dated 20th April 1898.

Communicated to the departments of the Secretariat.

2. The Superintendent, Government Press, will be requested to supply the Institute with future issues of the General Administration Report of the Madras Presidency.

(True Extract.)

J. G. Roberts
for *Chief Secretary.*

To the Departments of the Secretariat. (Pension)
„ Superintendent, Government Press.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Pension 1898 Department

Government (1898) of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

Current No 344

Recd. 12-5-98 } 1898. *Enclosures*
Regd. —do— } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 381, 19th April 1898.

APRIL.

Exchange Compensation Allowance.

Communicating to the departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary letter from the Government of India stating that all European officers appointed in England may be considered eligible for — and that Eurasians so appointed may be treated as Europeans if they are not statutory natives, and explaining the necessity for retaining the word "hereafter" in the first line of rule IV (1) of the revised rules on the subject.

Order, 21st May 1898 No 342.

Pension 1898 Appointment

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers :—

G.O., No. 491, Financial, dated 24th June 1897.

Abstract.—Communicating, with remarks, to the departments of the Secretariat resolution of the Government of India issuing new rules for the grant of exchange compensation allowance; and directing republication of the resolution in the *Fort St. George Gazette.*

Letter, No. 611, Financial, dated 3rd August 1897, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department.

In rule II (1) of those for the grant of exchange compensation allowance communicated with Finance and Commerce Department Resolution No. 2422-Ex., dated 31st May 1897, it is stated that Europeans appointed in England form one of the classes of public servants who fulfil the condition laid down in rule I as regards the grant of the allowance and it is directed at the end of that rule that Europe shall be deemed to include the English-speaking colonies. In paragraph 2 of the resolution quoted above it is intimated that Eurasians who are not statutory natives of India are to be reckoned as Europeans. The case of Europeans who are statutory natives of India does not seem to be clearly provided for, and I am to request instructions as to whether Europeans of this class who are appointed in England can be admitted to the allowance.

2. I am also to draw attention to the fact that the word "hereafter" in the first line of rule IV (1) of the revised rules appears to be redundant, as rule XIII provides for the case of officers already in the service of Government.

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

Read—also the following paper :—

From W. S. MEYER, Esq., Officiating Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department (Salaries, Establishments, &c.—Compensation), to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Calcutta, 18th March 1898, No. 1271-Ex.

I am directed to refer to your letter, No. 611, dated the 3rd August 1897, in which you inquire, with reference to the exchange compensation allowance rules promulgated with the resolution in this department, No. 2422-Ex., dated the 31st May 1897, (1) whether Europeans appointed in England are eligible for the allowance if they are statutory natives of India, and (2) whether the word "hereafter" in the first line of rule IV (1) of the revised rules is not redundant.

2. In reply, I am to say that all European officers appointed in England may be admitted to the allowance, and that Eurasians so appointed may be treated as Europeans if they are not statutory natives. In dealing with the case of Eurasian officers appointed in England, the initial presumption will be that they are entitled to exchange compensation allowance, and the allowance should only be withheld from such an officer when it seems clear that his circumstances are such as to make him a statutory native.

3. With reference to the use of the word "hereafter" in rule IV (1) of the new rules, I am to explain that rule XIII of the revised rules does not, as implied in your letter, provide generally for the case of officers already in the service of Government, but merely lays down a rule of procedure in regard to such of them as were formerly admitted to exchange compensation allowance but will be debarred under the new rules. Officers already in the service of Government will (subject in the case of some of them to the proviso in rule XIII) draw exchange compensation allowance or not according as they are qualified or otherwise under rules I, II, III and V and the instructions to be issued with reference to rules II (2) and III. Rule IV is designed to simplify the disposal of *future* claims by requiring the certificate mentioned in its first clause as a necessary preliminary to any such claim being allowed in the case of officers *hereafter* appointed in India. The necessity for retaining this word will thus be evident.

Copy, with a copy of the letter to which this is a reply, forwarded to all Local Governments and Administrations; all Departments of the Government of India; Heads of Departments subordinate to the Financial Department; the Comptroller and Auditor General, and to all Accountants-General and Comptrollers.

Order—No. 381, Financial, dated 19th April 1898.

Communicated to the departments of the Secretariat and to all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary.

(True Extract.)

for *Chief Secretary.*

To all departments of the Secretariat. (Pension)
,, all heads of departments under the Chief Secretary.

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.