

Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 279

DATED 25-4-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a *recurring* pension of Rs. 7-4-00 per mensem to *Mr.*
Shaukh Pandan late *4th* *Grade Head Const.*
No. 508 of the T. Cantonment.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Mark
96/4/98

CU/4/98
R24/98

Ms
28/10/80mic

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. *Mangalore Shaik Budan*
2. Father's name. *Faqir Hamed*
3. Race, Sect and Caste. *Mussalman*
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. *Mangalore, South Canara*
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. *1st Lt. Const. No 508 old No 783*
6. Date of beginning of service. *11.9.68*
7. Date of ending of service. *13.1.98* Y. M. D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions *29.4.3*
 of which superior *29.4.1* ✓
 inferior
 non-qualifying and interruptions *2*
9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application.* *Optional retirement.*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay *Rs 15.14.8* *14-11-1898*
11. Proposed pension. *Rs 7.13.4*
12. Proposed gratuity. "
13. Date from which pension is to commence. *14th January 1898.*
14. Place of payment. *Mangalore, South Canara*
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. + *11th September 1849.*
16. Height. *5 Feet 5 3/4 Inches*
17. Marks. *a branded mark on each temple, 5 branded marks on left forearm, 2 Sears on back of left forearm, one white sear on the right side.*
18. Date. *10th February 1898.*

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.
 † (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

J. H. Roddars.
 Superintendent of Police
 SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF

No 508 (old 783) 1st Class Constable Shakti Prasad

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.	Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
Madras Police cl. co.	cl. co.	6.2	RS.	11-9-68	31-10-70	Y. 2	M. 1	D. 20		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-11-70	30-11-70	Y. 1	M. 1	D. 1		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-12-70	31-12-70	Y. 1	M. 1	D. 1		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-1-71	31-1-71	Y. 1	M. 1	D. 1		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-2-71	30-6-71	Y. 5	M. 11	D. 1		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-7-71	11-1-72	Y. 6	M. 29	D. 29		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-2-72	29-2-72	Y. 29	M. 29	D. 29		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-3-72	26-3-72	Y. 26	M. 20	D. 20		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-3-72	31-5-72	Y. 21	M. 10	D. 10		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-6-72	25-11-73	Y. 15	M. 25	D. 14		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	26-11-73	9-11-74	Y. 11	M. 14	D. 14		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	10-11-74	11-11-74	Y. 3	M. 5	D. 5		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	12-11-74	16-11-77	Y. 8	M. 7	D. 24		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	17-11-77	10-7-86	Y. 8	M. 7	D. 20		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	11-7-86	31-7-88	Y. 1	M. 8	D. 17		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-8-88	17-4-88	Y. 1	M. 1	D. 1		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	18-4-88	17-5-88	Y. 1	M. 1	D. 1		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	18-5-88	31-5-90	Y. 1	M. 10	D. 13		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-4-90	31-5-90	Y. 1	M. 2	D. 1		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-6-90	7-6-94	Y. 4	M. 3	D. 78		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	8-6-94	25-9-94	Y. 3	M. 2	D. 5		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	26-9-94	30-11-97	Y. 3	M. 2	D. 13		
Leave without pay	Leave without pay	6.2	"	1-12-97	13-1-98	Y. 1	M. 1	D. 1		
Total period of Superior Service ..						29	4	1	2	

As no previous service entitling to Pension or Gratuity

The 5th & 6th columns of the applicant's service record are correct as shown by the original records of the Madras Police.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

Good

1. As to character and past conduct of applicant.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.

Reduced from III pr. H.C. Const. to 5th pr. H.C. Const. from 1-12-97 by the 1st Deputy Commissioner of Police for Madras. This was done in the Madras City Council's order of 1898 and as he is unfit to be a S.H.C.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant (See Chapter XXI).

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.

5. Any other remarks. Voluntarily retired.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [See Article 991 (b).]

The Service claimed is established and should be admitted.

Signature of Head of Office

Signature of Head of Office

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs.

months

of the Civil Service Regulations. The

calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. 1,000 if he is considered to have rendered "approved Service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY---

Date of application.

Name of applicant.

Last appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

Am 26/4/88

86/279/88

C. No.

Govt. of Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c.,

&c.,

&c.

Dated

Desp.

G.O.,

GRANTING

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 264



From H. H. Stewart Esq. Secy
Ag. Supdt of Colies
Madras

Order

No. 43
PR

Dated 6 April 1898

Received 14.4.98

Registered 14.4.98

Enclosures 8.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submits an application for
the grant of Pension to
Mangalore Sheikh Budhan
late a 4 prof No 508 of South India
Dist

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Duplicate

South Canara District
 ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ

Declaration of conditions under which the undermentioned Police Officer elects to serve for Pension and Gratuity.

ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಾಣಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಪಾಲೀಸ್ ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗನು ಯಾವ ಶರ್ತಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪೆನ್ಷನ್ ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಟ್ಯುಟಿ ಯೆಂಬ ಇನಾಮು ಪಡೆ ಕಾಳ್ಕುವರೆ ವಸ್ತುತತ್ವಾನೆಂದು ತಾಳಿಸುವ ಕಬೂಲಿನಾಮೆ.


General No. ಜನರಲ್ ನಂಬರ್.	Rank. ಉದ್ಯೋಗದ ಹೆಸರು.	Name in full. ಪೂರಾ ಹೆಸರು	Date of enlistment. ಮೊದಲಿನಿಂದ ತಾರೀಖು	Date of election. ಕಬೂಲಿ ತಾರೀಖು.	Signature of Officer taking declaration. ಕಬೂಲಿ ಪಡೆ ಕಾಳ್ಕುವ ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗನ ದಸ್ತು.
783	3rd Class Constable	ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ	11.9.68	27.8.72	for. Ch... Supt. of Police
		Mangalore			

I Shree Naran described above now serving as 3rd class Constable Madras Police do hereby elect to serve for Pension and Gratuity under Superannuation Fund Rules.


Here enter conditions of Superannuation Fund Rules or under conditions laid down in G. O. G. I. dated 19th July 1871.

ಮೇಲೆ ವಿವರಿಸುವವನಾಗಿ ಈಗ ಮದ್ರಾಸ್ ಪಾಲೀಸ್ ಉದ್ಯೋಗಿಗನಾದ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ನು ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ಶರ್ತಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪೆನ್ಷನ್ ಯಾ ಗ್ರಾಟ್ಯುಟಿ ಎಂಬ ಇನಾಮು ಪಡೆ ಕಾಳ್ಕುವಂತೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಒಪ್ಪುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇಲ್ಲಿ ನುಪ್ರಾನ್ಯಮಯೆಶನ್ ಫಂಡ್ ಯಾ ಇಂದ್ರಾ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ತಾ. 19 ನೇ ಜೂನ್ 1871 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಹುಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ರೂಲ್ ಶರ್ತಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿರು.



Form No. 10.
 Defaulter
 For absence
 For absence
 For general
 Station.
 For gross
 delinquency
 to be a S.H.



Form No. 30.

Defaulter Sheet of *Conspicua*

Rank ~~1st~~ Class

No. 508 old 905.

Form No. 10.

Defaulter Sheet of *Confabular*

Name	Date when committed.
------	----------------------

Date when committed.

PUNISHMENT.

Black
Marks.

Other Punishments.

By whom ordered.

Date of order.

Brief description of offence.

For absence without leave.

For absence without leave.

For general neglect of duty in his
Station.

Station.

For gross misconduct in the Hollister
Lacey case of 1896 was he is indicted March 1897
to be a S. H. D.

Dist. Police Officer

South Canara

9th February 198.

Sued 4 days Superhydrolic
frag.

476.11.11A01

Forfeited 2 days

Ray.

102411-11-74

Frederick Samuel D.D.

10.5.89

Reduce to - By D. G.
I.C.C. from S. Kays

1. 12. 95.

27 March

-7- The DGR order is modified by Exhibit 4 -
The affligent is referred to to grade 40440 -
vide 2/10/27 + March 1898

J. H. Fordland.

Superintendent of Police

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
MADRAS. 24 March 1898.

No. 1168

MEMORANDUM

Order on Petition from
Shaikh Budhan late
Maddur No 508, Kollur
Station, S. Canara Dist,
appealing against his
reduction to 1st class Constable

The petitioner showed great
stupidity and negligence,
but his very persistence in his
stupidity makes me think he
was not acting dishonestly.
I agree that he is not fit
to be a station House officer,
but a reduction to 4th grade,
No Constable with in the
circumstances be a sufficient
punishment and the Deputy
Inspector General's order is
modified accordingly.

Sd/- A. A. Stuart
Cy. Insp. Genl. of Police.

Free Copy

6 Douglas Robertson

26/11 As. ant Insp. Genl. of Police

10
4/12/98

Brief description of offence.

Date when
committed.Black
Marks.

PUNISHMENT.

Other Punishments.

By whom ordered.

Date of order.

Deputy Inspector General's report on the
Kollur Dacoity Case.

J. P. C. 395.

Kollur Station C. No. 27 of 1896.

Kosahalli Village - Magal hamlet.

Inspector's Enquiry No. 106.

Property lost Rs. 45. 11s. "

Occurred 1. 12. 96.

1. This case was originally treated by Station
House Officer 508 Shaikh Budhan of Kollur
Station under C. C. P. Section 157 (b) (after
he made a local investigation) and he
submitted reports on 11th December 1896, one
to the local Magistrate and the second
to the Head Assistant Magistrate.
2. On 8th January 1897 the then Head
Assistant Magistrate Mr. Conchunam
returned it to Head Constable 508 with
an order to complete the investigation, but
the Head Constable held an imperfect
enquiry because the Complainant stated
that he suspected Subba Bhatta and
Visweswaraya. The strangest part of his pro-
cedure was his eagerness to accept a report
from the Complainant on 17th March 1897,
requesting him not to proceed with the
enquiry, whereas it was clearly his duty to
have obeyed the order of the Court. This
report is headed with the date 17th March
1897 and has been signed by the Complainant

and

and seven others, one of whom is the Head Constable opposite to whose name appears the date 20th March 1897 and it was forwarded with the Referred Charge Sheet on the same date. It is signed and dated by the Inspector 22nd March 1897. It was received by the Head Assistant Magistrate on 24th March 1897, and on 13th April 1897 that officer not being satisfied with the Police report ordered the Kundapur Stationary Sub Magistrate to make an inquiry.

3. On 8th May 1897 the Sub Magistrate submitted his report stating that the case was a true one and that a special Police officer ought to be deputed to investigate it. The Sub Magistrate agreed with Head Constable No. 508 in his view of the case but found fault with him for asking for orders to strike off as false.

4. On 20th May 1897 the Head Assistant Magistrate wrote to the Superintendent asking him to appoint a special officer as requested by the Sub Magistrate.

On 25th May 1897 the Udipi Inspector B. Shrinivasa was deputed. On 3rd October 1897 Shrinivasa returned the papers with the following remarks:-

"As I was for many days engaged in the detection of murder Case No. 25 of Karkal Station I could not enquire into this Case. As I am now going elsewhere

"elsewhere I beg to return this. I shall do this when I come back".

5. We must go back to the Kollur Head Constable 508.

(a) From his first report it would appear that he only heard of the case by accident while on beat in Hannar village on 6th December 1896, and although he treated the case under C. C. P. 159 (b) he did not submit his report for five days (i.e. until 11th December 1896).

(b) On 6th December 1896 he took a detailed statement from the complainant and a list of stolen property. From a perusal of this complaint it is clear that Head Constable 508 has worded it. To account for the supposed delay in not reporting it, the complainant who is a rich well-to-do man is made to say that he did not know he had to report it to the Pottail of Police. He (508) then notes that on questioning the complainant he stated that as he had no heirs, Nos. 10, 11 and 20 who are his relations came to live with him, and remained with him for nearly two years, when they quarrelled and returned to their village Karur in Mysore State. He No. 10 returned after some time and demanded

money

money which Complainant would not give; he left him threatening to destroy his house.

On 9th June 1896 the Complainant sent for 12, 2 and 3, and the wife of 12, and appointed by a registered document No 12 as his heir. He added that early in October, No 21 informed him that accused 7 had told him that he would destroy his house, that he was quite certain that the case had been investigated by No 11 as 10 had stated that he would be his ruin, and that if any outsiders had committed this offence they would also have looked No 11's house through which the thieves effected entrance into his, after carefully closing the doors between the two houses. On the above grounds 508 taking advantage of the family dispute dealt with the case under C. C. P. Section 157 (a) because he saw no hopes of being able to detect it. Moreover, he states that he gathered from No 1's statement, which was corroborated by 3, 4 and 6 that the offence was committed

by Complainant's relations, and that as it was a Civil dispute he treated the case under Section 157 (a) of C. C. P.

No 4 stated that he was bound with plantain fibre and 508 investigated the case at the spot. It is evident that he has not got the slightest idea of what Section 157 (a) is.

This report was forwarded by the Inspector without any remark; nor did he think it necessary to personally enquire into it.

(c) We then have 508's Referred Charged Sheet dated 20th March 1897 in which is given the statements of 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 12; but he failed to examine No 10 and 11 whom he repeats No 1 strongly suspects of having got the case committed; he further points out that the Complainant in his first statement stated that all the property was his, but in his Second Examination he stated it belonged to him and to his grandson 12, and that he refers it because it is a

Civil

Civil dispute.

(d) On 14th December 1896, Head Constable 508's Crime Report reached the Superintendent's Office, when it was pointed out that his procedure was wrong that in as much as he had made an investigation, Section 157(b) did not apply, that his conduct was suspicious and that a fuller enquiry was necessary. No notice was taken of this remark until 20th March 1897 when he again stated that he had no doubt that the case was one of a Civil nature and so he dealt with it under Section 157(c) and lastly that on the 16th December 1896 he only made an oral investigation and took no statements.

(e) The first Crime report with the above remarks of the Office and 508's reply does not appear to have passed through the Inspector or if it did, there is nothing to show it.

b. From a perusal of all the papers I am perfectly satisfied that a dacoity was committed. The Sub Magistrate

in

in spite of his having 508 with him when he made his local inquiry is also of the same opinion. Further the statements of the following persons 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 - recorded by the Sub Magistrate leave no doubt about the matter i.e. that a dacoity was really committed.

11. Head Constable 508 has shown himself utterly unfit for the post of Station House officer. He has put in 29 years' service. He is reduced to 1st Class Constable's post, but for his long service I would have dismissed him.

Sd/- J. B. Stevenson
By J. B. Stevenson
SR

(True Extract)

W. J. Robertson

Ag. Asst. Insp. Genl. of Police.

MADRAS, 6 April

1898.

No. 43
MRFROM *H. A. Stuart Esq. I.C.S.*
M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,

To

THE UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PENSION DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit for orders of Government an application for the grant of a pension to Mangalore Shaikh Budan late a 4th Cl. H² Constable No. 508 of the South Canara District.

Enclosures.

2. He has served in the Police Department for 29 years 4 months and 1 day, and has borne a good character. He is now unfit for further effective service of any kind as certified by

3. He is entitled to a retiring monthly pension of Rupees (r. 15-4) Seven, annas fifteen and pies four payable at Mangalore from 1st January 1898.

according to section iv of the Police Superannuation Fund Rules and Articles 509 and 552 Scale A of the Civil Service Regulations (Third Edition).

4. The applicant's absence without leave as specified in his appointment has been retrospectively commuted into leave without allowances under Rule 6 Article 464 of the Regulations.

5. He

5. He appealed to me in March 1898 against the order of the Deputy Inspector General in reducing him to 1st Class Constable from 1st December 1897. I modified the order in reducing him to 1st Grade Head Constable. Considering that under the circumstances of the case this was sufficient and remarked that he was not fit to be a Station House Officer. I enclose an extract from the Deputy Inspector General's report on the matter and copy of my order on the appeal. Considering the stupidity and negligence the ^{Head Constable} displayed, I am of opinion that some reduction in his pension should be made. The pension application was detained in this office in pending the disposal of the appeal petition of the Constable against his reduction.

I have the honour to be,
 Sir,
 Your Most Obedt Servant,
Douglas Robertson
 for ag. Inspector General of Police.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

Memo of Good Service entries
on behalf of No 508 of 783
1st Class Constable Mangalore
Shank Budan.

For the recovery of a stolen
elephant tusk - Received
a reward of Rs 20--- from
the Forest Department 22.10.92.

District Office
South Canara
10th February 98

J. H. Postelant.

Superintendent of Police

Memo of
Calculation of Pension

3 years average for 20 years from
14 January 1895 to 13 January 1898: —

14 to 31 Jan 195 @ Rs 16p	- 9. 4. 7
1 Feb 195 to 30 Nov 197 — Do	544 - 0 - 0
1531 Do 1897 — 14p	14 - 0 - 0
1613 Jan 1898. — Do	5 - 13 - 10
	<u>36) 573 - 2 - 5</u>
	15 - 14 - 8

Pension = $\frac{1}{2}$ of Rs 15-14-8

= Rs 7. 15-4

Douglas Robertson

Ag. Asst. Secy to Govt

26) 1342
316416
416
16436
2
576
574
0-10-5
6) 573-2-5
6) 95-8-5
2) 15-14-8
7-15-4