5-9-13

Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 241

DATED 24-3-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a superannuation pension of Rs. 4-4 —— per mensem t
late 2nd grade Head Const
[illegible]
No. 654 of the South [illegible] —

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

([3]
200—24-8-94.)

C. No. 5/58 & 206
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers :—

From the Inspector-General of Police, dated 24th February 1898, No. 260/P.R.
Endt. to the Accountant General dt 2nd March 1898 No. 13
Letter from — do — do — dt 12th March 1898, No. 3A.E./601

ORDER THEREON, 24th March 1898 No. 241.

UNDER Articles 502, 503(a), 518(b) & 521(a) of the Civil Service Regulations (~~2nd~~ 3rd Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Antony Joseph Luckay, late 2nd Grade Head Constable No. 654 of the South Arcot Dt., a super-annuation pension of Rupees (6-4-0) Six and annas four only per mensem payable at Cuddalore from date of relief in consideration of his qualifying Police service of 24 years, 1 months and 14 days.

2. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant's conduct and character have not been thoroughly satisfactory. (from orders)

Character - Indifferent
No. of years' service = 24 1/2
No. of defaults = 19
Please see defaulter sheet flagged A
Fined = 16 times
Reduced in Feby. 1874 from 2nd to 3rd Grade H.C. for having failed to pass his Examination.
Reduced in July 1885 from 2nd to 3rd Grade H.C. for being repeatedly absent from duty through drunkenness
Reduced in Apl 91 from 2nd to 3rd G.H.C. for gross neglect of duty + direct disobedience of the guard rules leading to the escape of two prisoners from the Sub-Jail at Arkonam.
Maxm. Pension = Rs 6-14-8 p.m.
P.T.O.

To the Accountant-General, with the Register ~~and L. P. C.~~
" Inspector-General of Police.

23/3/98

24/3/98

Please see para 4 of the Inspector-General's letter flagged B and the Acct-Genl's letter No. I.A.E./601 d/ 12-3-98 flagged C. The Acct-Genl says that the applicant's age may be taken as above 55 years and that he may be given the superannuation pension admissible, as in the case disposed of by G.O.No. 426 Pension, d/ 12-4-94. In this case the date of birth entered in the service Book was subsequently altered and this alteration rendered the claim to a superannuation pension inadmissible; whereas, in the present case the claim to a superannuation pension is inadmissible according to the registered age at the time of enlistment viz., 1873. The Supt says that the age entered in 1873 must be wrong, as the man looks now more than 55, and as the doctor's estimate is also above 55 years.

For orders as to whether, as suggested by the Acct-Genl, the superannuation pension admissible may be passed. The Acct-Genl does not give any answer to the Inspector-General's query whether article 55(b), Civil Account Code as regards sanction of Govt. for altering the date of birth as given in the annual return of establishments applies in the case of constables for whom the return is not submitted."

Art 55b of the C A C reads thus (the article deals with the preparation of the annual lists of establt [illegible]

"in the appropriate column xxx; the date of birth of the Xtian era should be given, & if the exact date is not known the approximate date or year should be stated. This date can only be altered, except in the case of a clerical error under the orders of the Local Govt."

7.3.98

Secy

The superannuation pension may be passed. In consideration of his indifferent service he may get Rs 6-8-0 mly.

Strictly speaking, the rule in Art 55b of the Civil Acct Code does not apply to constables as they are not included in the annual lists of establishments submitted to the Acct Genl under that article. But the rule in art 55(b) seems, I submit to be a wholesome one that should be of general application.

9/3/98

Hill.
I am not inclined to think Rs 6-8 is enough
19/3/98

Approved
JG
21/3/98

C. No.

Govt. of {189 } Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Desp.

G.O.,

GRANTING

CURRENT No..................of 189..

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Antony Joseph Zurshay.
2. Father's name. Domingo John Zurshay.
3. Race, Sect and Caste. East Indian
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Sorakalpet – Cuddalore New Town
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. 2nd H.C. 1065 [illegible] Police Dept.
6. Date of beginning of service. 17-9-73
7. Date of ending of service. 31-10-97
8. Length of service, including interruptions .. .. Y. M. D. 24-1-14

   of which superior 24-1-14

   inferior .. .. a

   non qualifying and interruptions .. .. a

9. Class of pension or ~~gratuity~~ applied for, and cause of application. Superannuation Retiring

   previous 5 years

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 18-3-2
11. Proposed pension $\frac{24}{60}$ of Rs 18-3-2 × $\frac{19}{20}$ = Rs 6-14-7
12. Proposed gratuity. Nil
13. Date from which pension is to commence. From date of receipt of G.O. sanctioning pension.
14. Place of payment Cuddalore Huzur Treasury – South Arcot.
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. † About 17 September 1841.
16. Height. 5′-4¾″
17. Marks. A boil scar on the left side of head: 2 small scars on the right shin-bone.
18. Date 12 February/98

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

Ag Superintendent of Police

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

2

206

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF 2nd H. C. 10654 Antony Joseph Lurshay.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
	2nd H. C.	20	-	17-9-73	31-1-74	-	4	14	-	-	-	-	From Pay Bills & monthly Returns in the Office of the Superintendent of Police South Arcot.	
	3rd H. C.	15	-	1-2-74	19-5-74	-	3	19	-	-	-	Reduced		
South Arcot 10440	2nd H. C.	20	-	20-5-74	24-7-85	11	2	5	-	-	-	Promoted		
	3rd H. C.	15	-	25-7-85	31-3-86	-	8	6	-	-	-	Reduced		
	2nd H. C.	20	-	1-4-86	30-9-89	3	6	-	-	-	-	Promoted		
	Do	20	-	1-10-89	25-4-91	1	6	25	-	-	-	Transferred		
North Arcot 10698	3rd H. C.	16	-	26-4-91	15-4-93	1	11	20	-	-	-	Reduced		
	Do	16	-	16-4-93	31-1-95	1	9	15	-	-	-	Retransferred		
South Arcot 10652	2nd H. C.	20	-	1-2-95	31-10-97	2	9	-	-	-	-	Promoted		
Total period of Superior Service ..						24	1	14						

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. ~~Character good conduct fair~~
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.
   1-2-74 Reduced to 3rd grade for having failed to pass his Examination:
   25-7-85. — Do — for being repeatedly absent from duty through drunkenness
   26-4-91 — Do — for gross neglect of duty & direct disobedience of the guard rules leading to the escape of two prisoners from the sub-jail at Arkonam
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI). Nil.
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil.
5. Any other remarks. Being inefficient & over 55 years of age is ordered by the Inspector General of Police to retire.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991]. Claim of Service is admissible.

Ag. Superintendent of
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for years months days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of Commencement.

Date of sanction.

Cur 15/3/98

8 24/4/98

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 206

From the Accountant-General Madras.

Order

No. 708/601

Dated 12th March 1898.

Received 15/3/98

Registered 15/3/98

Enclosures "

Spare copies

SUBJECT

stating that superannuation pension may be granted to A. J. Larahay under the circumstances.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Form No. 90.

Defaulter Sheet of A. J. Zurchay Name 2d Grade Rank No. 654.

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	Punishment.		By whom ordered.	Date of order.
		Black Marks.	Other punishments.		
Leaving his station & going to Pondicherry without permission.	March '76		Fined 1 Rupee	Supt of Div.	
Sending an incorrect arms Return after receiving special instruction	April '76		Do	Do	
Not sending an express by the nearest route	March '79		Do	Do	
Carelessly preparing Station House Report	November '80		Fd 8 annas	Do	
Not patrolling the distant gates attached to his station	June '81		Fd 4 annas	Do	
Drunkenness	August '81		Fd 1 Rupee	Do	
Not allowing his things to be searched at Sayapet	December '81		Do	Do	
Drunkenness	October '83		Fd 8 annas	Do	
Not reporting the loss of a chimney	July '84		Fd 2 annas	Do	
Inattention to his work	October '84		Fd 4 annas	Do	
Not suppressing a squabble between 2 Constables	March '85		Fd 1 Rupee	Do	
Neglect of duty	September '86		Do	Do	
Delay in sending Station House Report.	August '87		Do	Do	
Neglecting night patrol	September '88		Fd 8 annas	Do	

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	Punishment.		By whom ordered.	Date of order.
		Black Marks.	Other punishments.		
Having failed to pass his Examination	1.2.74		Reduced to 3rd class	Supt. of Police	
Being repeatedly absent from his duty through drunkenness.	25.7.85		Do	Do	
Gross neglect of duty & direct disobedience of the guard rules leading to the escape of two prisoners from the Sub-jail at Arkonam	26.4.91		Do	Do	
Allowing 3 of his guard to be absent on the night of 25.12.92 without making a report to the station House Officer.	25.12.92		F. Rs 2	Do	
Lying asleep on a bench partially dressed when Inspector visited Taluq Treasury guard.	20.10.93		F. [illegible]	Do	

True Extract,

Superintendent of Police

2nd G. H. C. No 693 Lurshay
2nd Class Const. No 1105 Abboy
No 811 Narayanaswamy } of E Division

At 7 P.M. on 26.4.91 Thirumongadam and Narayanaswamy Defendants in Crime No 33, section 379 I. P. C. of Arakonam station escaped from the Sub-jail under trial ward by unlocking the padlock while 2nd class Const. No 1105 Abboy was on sentry.

From the Roster Constables Nos [illegible] 811, 729, 1058, and 1105 were on duty that day but Consts 729 and 1058 have produced sufficient evidence to show that they were removed by 2nd class Const. No 325 for station work so the responsible constables were Head Constable 693 Lurshay 2nd class Const. No 1105 Abboy and 2nd class Const. No 811 Narayanaswamy.

Head Const. No 693 Lurshay's statement is that on the night of 25th he was relieved by station writer Const. 325 and on the 26th came to the Treasury at 8 A.M. when he made up the Roster for the day. The prisoners were then taken out and fed at 10 A.M. he went home and returned between 12 and 1. The prisoners were fed and locked up at 4 P.M. He did not examine the locks [illegible] was his duty to [illegible] at 4 P.M. Consts: 729 and 1058 were taken away by the station writer 325 on the promise of sending substitutes; he waited till 6 P.M. and no constable came so he went home to answer calls of nature and at 7 P.M. the station writer Constable 325 came to his quarters and said the prisoners had escaped. He goes home every evening between 6 and 7. He did not think of going to the station to ask for Constables when on his way home.

2nd class Const: No 1105 Abboy who was on sentry when the prisoners escaped states that on the night of 25th Head Constable Lurshay and station writer constable No 325 both slept at the Subjail and that evening the duty Roster for 26th was made up. At 6 P.M. on the 26th Head Constable Lurshay went home and returned at 12 A.M. He (Head Const.) again went home at 1 P.M. and returned at 3 P.M. and after the prisoners were fed, the Head Constable went off again and he was the only Constable left in the Subjail. The Constables first story was that after every body left he remained on guard and a little before 7 P.M. went outside the Varandah to make water finding on his return 2 or 3 minutes afterwards that the prisoners had escaped. He now states that he went to one Veerasawmy's house on the opposite side of the road

from the Subjail for a drink of wate and on his return he found the priso safe. 10 minutes afterwards he went to ma water and on his return found the prison had escaped. 2nd class Constable No 811 Narayanaswamy states he was sent by the Magistrates clerk Subramaniam to the Station with a summons and while returning he heard of the escape. As Subramanier states it was not latter than 3 P.M. when he gave the Constable the Summons and as the station is less than a minute's walk from the Treasury I find that this Const: has also been neglecting hi duty.

Head Constable No 693 Lurshay had no business to leave the Subjail at 6 P.M. He states he went to satisfy the calls of nature. If he did why did not go to the latrine in the Treasury Compound. It was his duty to have examined the locks after the prisoners were locked up and he had no business to allow the Constables No 789 and 1058 to be removed by station writer without substitutes being

2nd class Constable No 1105 Abboy by his own statement left his post. He stat he first went to get a drink of wate at one Veeraswamy's house that he returned and 10 minutes afterwards went to make water and on his return found the prisoners had escaped. I am of opinion that the Constables went to ~~hav~~ have a chat with Veeraswamy and knowing that his Head Constable returned every evening at 7 P.M. he returned there and found the prisoners had escaped.

2nd class Const: No 811 Narayanaswamy from the Magistrate's clerk Subramanier evidence also neglected his duty.

Head Const~~able~~ No 693 Lurshay and 2nd class Constable No 1105 Abboy were put under suspension by the District Superintendent

From the above evidence I find that Head Constable 693 Lurshay 2nd class Constable No 1105 Abboy and 2nd class Constable No 811 Narayanaswamy have all neglected their duty and I request permission to have them charged under Sec: 44 of Police Act for their said neglect of duty.

With reference to 2nd class Const: No 325 station writer he denied ever having sent Constables Nos 729 & 1058 but was unable to produce any evidence to that effect while on the other hand we have the people upon whom the summonses were served to prove that Constable 1058 served the summonses upon them, and he must consequently have been removed from Treasury duty. There is also the statement of the constable who were on guard. That Constable 729 was also removed is proved by the statement of the guard constables and 2 of the Constables neighbours who prove that Constable No 325 went to the Constables house and took away the beat books. I recommend Con: 325 who is at present under suspension (suspension for another month) awarding of 1 B. M and that he be warned for dismissal.

Vellore }
27.5.91 }

(Signed) C. L. Bidie
Ag Assistant Superintendent of the

2nd class Constable No 1105 Abboy will be prosecuted for negligently permitting the escape of two prisoners from the Sub jail over which he was sentry and for neglect of duty and direct disobedience of the guard orders under the Police Act.

2nd Grade Head Constable 693 Lurshay is reduced to 3rd Grade for gross neglect of duty and direct disobedience of the guard rules leading to the escape of two prisoners from the Arakonam Sub jail

2nd class Constable 325

is fined Rs 2 and 1B. Pr for the disobedience of the guard rules in withdrawing men from the guard for other duty without supplying their places. He is also warned for dismissal.

Chittoor }
9- 6- 91 }

(Signed) A. J. Agar
Dt Superintendent of Police

(True Copy)

Chittoor }
23. 11. 97 }

District Superintendent
of Police

1935
final 7

(To be kindly returned)

MEDICAL DEPARTMENT.

From Surgeon Major J. C. Marsden

District Medical
and Sanitary Officer,
CUDDALORE.

To,

The Superintendent
of Police
South Arcot.

Station—
Dated 4th } October 1897
Despatched }
No. 2317

Enclosure.

His Office Letter No. dated

Sir.

With reference to your letter No 1893 D/ dated 1st instant, I have the honor to inform you that I have examined the individual in question and I am of opinion that his age is not less than 56 years. However, a reference to his Baptismal certificate will decide the point positively

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
J C Marsden

District Medical and Sanitary Officer
South Arcot
4/10/97

The H'd Cons. admits that he is 56.

1
3
151

206

No. 168. [illegible]

R.C. No. 1935/Pub/97

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
South Arcot DISTRICT,
Cuddalore, 11 Octr. 1897

FROM

G. H. Hunt Esquire
a/ SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
South Arcot District,

14 OCT 1897

TO

THE Inspector-General of Police
Madras
Thro' D.I.G. of Police
C.R.

File kindly returned

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honour to report that it has lately been discovered that 2nd Grade Head Constable No. 654 A. J. Lurshay is more than 55 years of age though from the Enlistment Book of 1873 his registered age is only 24, As for some reason his age was noted by the Doctor as 24 whilst in reality he was 32 which is the age entered in his service book. I sent him to the Medical Officer who says he is not less than 56.

8
6
286
4
4
158
23

The Head Constable however doe not want to retire, but as he i

perfectly useless I request you
will order him to do so under B.C.
No. 384.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Ag Superintendent of Police
South Coast

is perfectly useless H.C.
Locke 60 – should be retired
E.G.L. Williams
I.G.P.C.A
13.10.97

2046

RRENT No. 3829 of 189

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE,
South Arcot District,

TO

THE Inspr. General of Police
Madras

Thro' D. I. G. of Police
C.R.

Dated 11.10.97

A.C. No. 1935/Cnl of 97

SUBJECT.

Informing that 2nd H.C. No. 654 A. J. Lurskay is aged over 55 years ~~[illegible]~~ & requesting to order ~~him~~ that retire under G.O. No. 384

For I.G. with C.R. 904/PR of 1897

Mk D.I.G.
17/10/97

The retirement of the H.C. Const who is upwards of 55 & is very inefficient is Sanctioned
19.10.97

22 OCT 97
No. 3850

948
No. 7

[illegible]
11.10.97

To

The Inspector General of Police
Madras

Most Respected Sir

With due respect and submission I beg most respectfully to bring the following facts to your kind notice and to beg you will be pleased to give them your favorable consideration.

In September 1873 I was entertained in the Mofussil Police Force as Head Constable on a Salary of Rs 20 per mensem and the Medical officer who then examined me certified my age to be 24 years.

Some 8 years ago, having lost my Service Book, I was supplied with another in which 32 is entered as my age on enlistment, thus making me now 56 years of age.

The Superintendent of Police of Cuddalore having some doubt as to my true age sent me before the District Medical Officer of Cuddalore on the 2nd Instant and my age has been certified by him to be 56 years. The Doctor telling me at the same time that he considered me physically fit to serve some years more.

I have reason to believe that the Superintendent has recommended my retirement on pension.

If I am now compelled to retire, my Pension will not be more, I think, than Rs 7-5-9, whereas if your Honor would be graciously pleased to permit me to continue in the Service for only 11 Months more I will be entitled to Rs 9-3-2. The difference 1-13-5 though small, would be of much benefit to a poor man like me, who have a wife and 3 children to support; and I can see at present

Submitted to the District Superintendent of Police
[illegible] Inspr. of Police
12.10.97

158

present no prospect of getting any other employment, as an additional means to support myself and my family -

Your are aware, Honored Sir, how difficult it is for poor Eurasians to live on small Salaries or Pensions, and now more especially that the prices of Raw Rice &ca have become abnormally high -

For the foregoing reasons I humbly beseech You, Honored Sir, to be pleased to extend my service up to the 30th September 1898-; and by your Honor so doing, myself and my family will ever pray for your long life and prosperity.

I beg leave to remain
Honored Sir
Your Most Obedient & Humble Servant.

J. H. Lumkay
Junr. Clk. M.C.

Petitioner admits he is over 55 years of age. I cannot recommend the privilege requested for [illegible]

E. G. Hund

13. X. 97

Office of the Supdt of Police
South Arcot District
7 January 1898

No 6
Gal.

From

G H Hunt Esq
Ag Supdt of Police
S. Arcot Dist

To

The Asst Inspr Genl of Police
Madras

Sir,

With reference to your memorandum No 260/P dated 17 Ultimo I have the honor to report that the Head Constable[x] referred to was placed before the District Surgeon who after Examination reported him fit for further service.

[x] A. J. Lurshay.

* * * * *

I have &c.
Sd/ G H Hunt
Ag Dist Supdt of Police

(True Extract)

J Mendunt
Asst Inspr Genl of Police

206

16
24.1.98.

8
6
1358

Memo of
Calculation of Pension

5 years average Emoluments from 1st Novr/92 to 31 octr/97.

	Rs. a. p.
1 Novr/92 to 31 January/95 @ 16 pm	432 - -
1 February/95 to 31 octr/97 @ 20 pm	660 - -
	R. 1092 - -

60) R. 1092 - - (Rs 18-3-2

$\frac{24}{60}$ of Rs 18-3-2 = Rs 7-4-5

Deduct under art 521(a) C.S.R. $\frac{2}{40}$ of Rs 7-4-5 } - 4 - 5 - 9

Rs 6-14-8

15/21.2.98

Asst. Inspr. Genl. of Police

MK

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

No. 260/PR

MADRAS, 24 February 1898.

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras,

To

THE UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PENSION DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

Enclosures. 8

I have the honor to submit for orders of Government an application for the grant of a pension to Antony Joseph Lurshay late a 2nd grade ~~bnrx~~ Head Constable No. 654 of the South Arcot District.

2. He has served in the Police Department for 24 years 1 months and 14 days, and has borne an indifferent character. ~~He is now unfit for further effective service of any kind as certified by~~

3. He is entitled to a superannuation monthly pension of Rupees(6-14-8)Six, annas fourteen and pies eight payable at the Cuddalore Huzur Treasury from the date of relief

according to ~~of the Police Superannuation Fund Rules and~~ Articles 502, 503(a), 518(b) & 521(a) of the Civil Service Regulations (third ~~Second~~ Edition).

4. There has been a question as to the correct date of birth of the applicant. From the enlistment book of 1873 his registered age at the time of enlistment is 24. The Superintendent considers that this must be wrong and that the man looks decidedly over 55. The Medical Officer thinks the man is not less than 56 years of age but adds that he is physically fit for further duty. The

Superintendent considers the man to be very inefficient and the Deputy Inspector-General who knows him says he looks 60 years and that he is a perfectly useless Head Constable. Under these circumstances I sanctioned his retirement considering that it will not be in the interests of public service to retain him longer in the force. I enclose the Superintendent's letter and the Head Constable's petition in this case. I am not sure whether article 55(b) of the Civil Account Code as regards sanction of Government for altering the date of birth as given in the annual return of establishments applies in the case of constables for whom the return is not submitted. If it is held that sanction of Government is necessary in this case I beg that it may be accorded now. The Head Constable is retained in the force pending receipt of order of Government on the pension application. Owing to the correspondence as to the age a delay has I regret occurred in the submission of this application.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. servant,

for Inspector-General of Police.

Exd.

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 158

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 3 MAR 98 MADRAS

946

From Mr. Hammick Esquire [illegible] Inspector General of Police Madras

Endt. No 13. d/2d March 1898.

~~Order~~ 1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 1-MAR 98 PENSION DEPARTMENT

No. 260/[illegible] 157

Referred to the Acctt.-Genl. for favour of remarks.

[illegible] Under Secy Pension Dept

Dated 24 February 1898

Received 28. 2. 98

Registered 28. 2. 98

Enclosures 8

Spare copies

To The Acctt.-Genl. with papers }

SUBJECT.

Submitting an application for the grant of pension to Antony Joseph Darshay late 2 [illegible] No 654 South arcot

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Pension DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
12th March 1898.

No. 9 J.a.E / 501

FROM

F. C. Harrison Esqr

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

TO

THE Secretary to Government
Pension Department

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement No 13 dated the 2nd March 1898 on letter No 260/[illegible] of the 2nd idem from the Inspector General of Police submitting for the orders of Government an application for the grant of a pension to A. J. Lasky 2nd Grade Head Constable South Arcot I have the honour to state that in accordance with the decision in the case of T. Chittamaroza, 2nd Gumastah Yerragudam Taluk disposed of in Government Order No 425 dated 12 April 1894, the applicants age may be taken as above 55 years, and he may be granted a superannuation pension as recommended

D-recommended to the Inspector General [illegible]

The endorsement under reply and its enclosures are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

J. Watson

Accountant General

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

Read again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No

Order of Government .. A A A A A A A

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filled up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only
G = Copy heading only