

Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 185

DATED 5-3-98.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an *invalid* pension of Rs. 3 *per mensem* to *A. Juggiah* late 2nd Class Constable No 1062 of the *Sanjam B.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

([3])
500-2-1-01.

C. No. 164
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers :—

From the Inspector-General of Police, dated 28th February 1898, No. 2 PR.

ORDER THEREON, 5th March 1898 N. 185.

UNDER Articles 485, 518 & 552 sub B. of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Asijuggiah, late 2.c.c N^o 1062 of the Ganjam D^o, an invalid pension

of Rupees (3/-) Three only p.m.

Character. "In different latterly"

N^o of years' service = 24 2/3.
N^o of defaults = 6.

Please see page flagged A.

Find = 5 times
Reduced in June '96 from 4th Grade Head Const. to 2.c.c. & two marks.
and suspended for 5 months & 14 days

(1) for mismanaging three murder cases which occurred on the 11th March 1896;

(2) for entering falsely in the register the hour at which they were reported to him;

(3) for telling lies before the Special Asst Agent in the 18th April 96 when the cases were being tried & before the Asst. Supd of the 28th April 1896 when enquiring into his neglect, and

(4) for neglecting to arrest a single accused person for 3 days -

Max^m pension = Rs 3.3-5 p.m.

To the Accountant-General, with the Register and L. P. O. 11/3/98
Inspector-General of Police.

11/3/98

The reduction has affected the max^m pension admissible & submitted that he may get 3 Rs.

payable at Russalkonda

from 13th Decr. 1897

in consideration of his qualifying Police

service of 24 years,
8 months and 13 days.

2. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant's character & conduct have not been thoroughly satisfactory.

11/3/98
11/3/98
11/3/98

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY

1. Name of Applicant. *Asi Inggiah*
2. Father's name. *Asi Gopsiah*
3. Race, Sect and Caste. *Redd Camanijam Caste Reddiki.*
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah *Pussalconda, Gumsoor Taluq, Ganjam District.*
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. *De Class Cons: No 1062 of Ganjam Police*
6. Date of beginning of service. *5th October 1872.*
7. Date of ending of service. *12th December 1897.*
8. Length of service, including interruptions
- | | Y. | M. | D. |
|--|----|----|----|
| of which superior or Police Service under special rules. | 25 | 2 | 7 |
| inferior | 24 | 8 | 11 |
| non-qualifying and interruptions | " | " | " |
| | " | 5 | 26 |
9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. *Causes: Incapacity for further Service vide Medical Certificate attached.*
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. *Rs 8-4-0*
11. Proposed pension *Rs 3-3-6*
12. Proposed gratuity. *Nid.*
13. Date from which pension is to commence. *13th December 1897.*
14. Place of payment *Pussalconda, Gumsoor Taluq, Ganjam District.*
15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian era. *1st July 1851.*
16. Height. *5 feet 4 3/4 inches.*
17. Marks. *A scar on the top of the nose - A round scar on the inner side of left arm and also two 2 inches above the right wrist.*
18. Date. *25-12-97*
Chakrapur

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

Sh

P. N. G. Rao
Superintendent of Police
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF

22nd Class Constable No. 1062 Sri Jaggiah.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.	Period not reckoned as service.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
Dangam Police	22 nd Class Const: 6-8-0	RS.	RS.	5.10.72	4.2.83	10 4 "	Y. M. D.			
	1 st Do	7.8-0		5.2.83	31.8.84	1 6 26				
	Leave without pay			1.9.84	10.9.84	" 10				
	1 st Class Const: 7.8-0			11.9.84	17.10.85	1 1 7				
	Leave without pay			18.10.85	17.12.85	" 2				
	Leave without pay			18.12.85	6.1.86	" "	" 20			
	1 st Class Const: 7.8-0			7.1.86	4.10.87	1 8 28				
	Leave without pay			5.10.87	6.10.87	" "	" 2			
	1 st Class Const: 7.8-0			7.10.87	31.3.89	1 5 24				
	1 st Do	8/-		1.4.89	21.2.93	3 10 21				
	Leave without pay			22.2.93	8.3.93	" 15				
	1 st Class Const: 8/-			9.3.93	10.5.93	" 2				
	Leave without pay			11.5.93	19.5.93	" 9				
	1 st Class Const: 8/-			20.5.93	15.3.96	2 9 26				
	4 th Grade Const: 14/-			16.3.96	4.6.96	" 19				
Dangam Police	22 nd Class Const: Under suspension			5.6.96	8.11.96	" 16				
	22 nd Class Const: 7/-			9.11.96	5.12.97	" 10 27				
	Leave without pay			6.12.97	2.10.97	" 16				
	22 nd Class Const: 7/-			22.10.97	12.12.97	" 21				
Total period of Superior Service				..	..	24 8 11	5 26			

See file from Pay Bill & from May Column

Harvard

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

- As to character and past conduct of Applicant.
- Explanation of any suspension or degradation.
- Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (See Chapter XXI) Nil.
- Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil.
- Any other remarks. Nil.
- Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [See Article 991 (b)].

22nd Class Constable to 22nd Class Constable from 5.1.1906 to 8.3.1906 for managing three murder cases which occurred on 11.1.1906. Entering service in the register the hours of which were 90 hours to him. Salary Rs. 10.00. Paid Rs. 10.00. On 18.4.1906 when the cases were being tried and before the trial. He was sent out on 28.4.1906 when entering into his neglect and neglecting to attend on single person - for 3 days -

Service claimed is established and should be admitted.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

SIGNATURE.

C. K. S. Rao
Superintendent of Police

Audit Officer.


(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:-
He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c, as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. 1,000 if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 164

From *A. A. Stuart Esq. Secy.*
A. J. Supergut of 60th

Order



No. 2
P.R.

Dated 28 February 1898

Received 2.3.98

Registered 2.3.98

Enclosures 6

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Submitting for the grant of
pension to Sri Jaggiah. Late
a 2 class Const No 1062 of the
Gangam District.*

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction

86/581 98

Cms 164/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 140.

(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined

Asi Juggiah

son of *Asi Sopiah*

a *2nd class Constable No. 1062*

in the *Police Department, Gungam District*

His age is by his own statement *46* years and by appearance about *46*

years.

I consider *Asi Juggiah*

to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in


the Department to which he belongs in consequence of *Malarial Cachexia.*

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

STATION *Chattrapur*
Date *13th Dec 1897.*

Rank, *Asst Comm*
Name, *Asst Comm*
Designation, *Asst Comm*

NOTE.—[If the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits."]



Chathapus }
13' Deci' 88 }

0000-94

3,000-5-94

From 7th to 31st July 192 at Rosp. in — $\frac{2.7}{5-15-14}$

" 1st to 15th March 196 @ Do — 3-13-11

" 1st April to 31st May 1966 @ D. — 28-u-u

" 9th to 30th Newcastle 96 @ 8 7/8 5-2-2

* 1st 15/22 December/97 @ Do — 2-11-1

ension 24/60 of No. 8-a-9⁸⁴

Chateaufort
25.12.97
th

E. N. Hans
Superintendent of Police

[illegible]

Minute No. 96/96

1. H. C. Grace Head Constable No. 1062 Case
Joggiah of Lidayairy Station Lidayairy Dist.

2. General inefficiency -

This managing three murder cases which occurred
on 11th March 1896. On being falsely in the
Register the hours at which they were reported
to him - Telling lies before the Spt. Case.
Magistrate on 18th April 1896 when the cases
were being tried and before the Court. Spt.
on 28th April 1896 when enquiring into his
neglect & neglecting to arrest a single
accused person for three days -

3. On 11th March 1896 at about 6 P.M. three
murders No. 24, 25 & 26 were committed one
mile from Lidayairy Station. This H. C. Grace
then a S. C. C. was Station Master and in charge
of the Station at the time. Case No. 24
was reported at Station about 7 or 8 P.M.
by brother of deceased - Instead of at once
entering the report in Crime Register & going
to the spot, he sent Constable to find out
if the case was true. On learning that
the case was true he went to the spot,
remained there a short time & returned

to

in Case No. 24 which latter fact is supported by the Cons. who were sent to the spot at once and also by Treasury Head Constable who was in the station at the time - According to the man's own admission he did not enter the case till 11 P.M. although he knew the case to be true according to him at 9 P.M. But there is absolutely no reason why it should not have been entered at 8 P.M. since Accused's own brother was the man who reported it and was an eyewitness to the crime. The entry of Case No. 26 as reported at 6 am next morning is wrong. Even if he had not the complaint of the relative who he says was drunk, he had quite sufficient knowledge as to what had taken place, for Complainant could not have been so very drunk, he was able to walk to the station from his village and moreover Compt. in Case 25 was able to give the information as Cases 25 & 26 occurred at the same time. His evidence before the Court is most astonishing. He distinctly contradicted himself by first of all saying that the Case 24 was reported at

at 11 P.M. then by saying at 8 P.M. and then again at 11 P.M. His explanation that he could not arrest any one before the 14th cannot be believed as it is a well known fact that no one attempted to run away. He had sufficient evidence on the 12th to arrest some accused. As with he seen before the Magistrate he gave the same reason for not arresting as he gave before the Magistrate. viz that accused were not present. He says before the Magistrate that he arrested a certain man on the 15th. As he saw him then for the first time but while the District Superintendent was holding his enquiry, the Magistrate was present and the Magistrate asked him whether he did not see that man on 14th when he (Magistrate) was at the spot and he had to admit this. So there again he was caught telling a direct lie. It is quite evident that whether for private reasons or from fear he did not arrest the accused when there was sufficient evidence against them. The Cases were so disgracefully mismanaged & put up that it was impossible to make anything out of them, whereas if he had gone at once

to

to the spot and held enquiry and arrested
the accused. They would have been perfectly
simple cases. He made no attempt at
any enquiry till the next day. The murders
were the result of a quarrel between the
inhabitants of two Rhonda Villages and
it is strongly suspected he sided with one
party and that this procedure was followed
for his own private ends. At the time when
this occurred Station House Officer and
Inspector were away at Chatsper. Don't
mean so there can be no excuse for the
mistakes found. Had they been faults
which any one might make, it might
be different, but for a man who has
been in the Police for 24 years and a
Station Officer for 16 years, it is impossible
to believe that he can have acted as he
did without some object in view and also
that he should have got confused before
the Court and told the lies he did and
also before Mr. Superintendent. The
man is clearly quite incapable of being
a Head Constable. Unfortunately at the
time

time these cases occurred a roll had already
been sent in for his promotion. Mr. Insp.
has seen a good deal of this man since
he has been in the District and is of the
opinion that he is perfectly useless, and
the best thing would be to get rid of him.

5. Recommended for dismissal.

6. Not suspended.

7. The case occurred on 17. March 1896. Mr.
Superintendent reported the misconduct
of the man in his weekly report for 28.3.
March 1896. Judgment has been awaited
but not yet received. The man has been
called on to show cause why he should
not be prosecuted for perjury but orders
of the Magistrate have not been received.
The charge of perjury seems clear but it
is not considered necessary to wait for that
as the man can be dealt with departmentally.
Mr. Superintendent was on tour when
the cases occurred & had not a chance of
visiting today till 27. April 96. Personal
enquiry was held on 28.4.96. The man had
a chance of examining the books.

D.O. Confirmed.

(Sd) C. B. D. Pelly.

(Sd) P. Hamington
Offg. Insp. of Police
5.6.1896.

Mr. Insp. of Police
(True copy) 30.4.96

25.12.97. Superintendent of Police

Office of the Dy. Insp. Genl. of Police
Northern Range
Dattar 26th October 1896.

Order on Appeal petition D.A. 49 of 1896.

1. Read Appeal petition dated 15th August 1896 of late 4th Grade Head Constable D.A. 1062 of Jaggiah of the Coimbatore District appealing against his dismissal by the Superintendent of Police Coimbatore District.
2. Read Copy of Minute and Extract from defaulters register dated 5th June 1896.
3. Read remarks of the undersigned on Appeal petition dated 15th August 1896.
4. Read Endorsement D.O. dated 21st October 96 of the Superintendent of Police Coimbatore Dist.
5. Read copies of Judgments in P.C.C. No. 1, 2 & 3 of 1896 of the Special Dist. Agent Coimbatore District.

A perusal of the Dist. Superintendent's Minute and of Copies of the Spl. Dist. Agent's Judgments which accompanied it shows clearly how utterly unfitted the appellant was for the position which he held at the time the 3 Cases of Murder, which he so grossly mismanaged, were reported to him.

2. He has no reasonable excuse to offer for not at once investigating these Cases nor

for

for delaying to arrest the accused neither
can he explain the contradictory statements
he made in his evidence before the Special
Jury. Refut -

3. Had his procedure been straight forward
it is highly probable that the cases would
have terminated very differently to what
they did -

4. It is inferred in the Minute that the
Appellant purposely mismanaged these
cases to suit his own private ends, be this
as it may, he has proved himself to be
utterly incompetent for the post of Head
Constable -

5. In consideration of his long service
and the fairly good character he had
previously borne his dismissal is cancelled,
he will be reduced to 2^d Class Constable
and transferred to another Division -

6. The period he has been out of employ
will be treated as "Suspension" -

(Sd) H. G. Young
Depy. Insp. Genl. of Police
Northern Circle

(True Copy)
Superintendent of Police

Chabaput
25.12.97
Sh. Vt

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

No. 2
PR.

MADRAS, 28 February 1898.

FROM H. A. Stuart Esq
M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,
of INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras,

To
THE UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PENSION DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit for orders of Government an application for the
grant of a pension to Sri Juggiah
late a 2^d Class Constable No. 1062 of the Ganjam District.

2. He has served in the Police Department for 24 years 8 months
and 13 days, and has borne ^{lately} a ^{different} character. He is now unfit for
further effective service of any kind as certified by the Acting Civil
Surgeon on the 15th December 1897.

3. He is entitled to an invalid monthly pension
of Rupees (3. 3. 6) Three, annas three and pies
five payable at Russelkonda from 15th December 1897,

according to " of the Police Superannuation Fund
Rules and Articles 486, 518 (b) and 552 Scale B of the Civil Service
Regulations (Second Edition).

4. His absence without leave as
specified in his application has been
retrospectively commuted into leave without
allowances under Article 464 of the Regulations.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,
H. A. Stuart
of Insp. General of Police.

10 17

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
 189 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT. _____

STATEMENT WITH DITTO. _____

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL. _____

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.