Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 182

DATED 5-3-98-

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 28 per mensem to P. Krishna Rao late Nazir, Dt Court Tanjore -

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

A./1

00—12-5-97.

C. No. 99 & 148.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Dt. Judge, Tanjore dated 25th Novr. 1897, No. 1742

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 8th Feby 1898, No. A.D.J./480

Endt from - do - do - d/ 22-2-98. No. JAE/553.

ORDER THEREON, 5th March 1898 No. 182.

Character - Indifferent

Suspended for one month in July 97 pending the result of enquiry into the theft of a cash chest from the Nazir's property room. The suspension was subsequently cancelled & the period of suspension was commuted into leave on private affairs.

Under articles 485 & 518(b) of the Civil Service Regulations (~~2nd~~ 3rd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Pondicherry Krishna Row, late Nazir, District Court, Tanjore, an invalid pension—twenty eight only per m. of Rupees (28-0-0) payable at Madras from 27th Sept 1897 in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 25 years, " months and 13 days. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant's character & conduct have not been thoroughly satisfactory.

Max.m pension = Rs 30/- p.m.

S.A. 14.2.98 Write When is the Progs. of 17 Nov 97 referred to by the D. Judge? Get it. [illegible] 14/2/98

Please see copy of Procgs herewith put up - flag A.

S.A. 25.2.98. The chest was lost thro' the Nazir's carelessness in not properly securing the room & the detection of the theft [illegible]

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" " Dt. Judge, Tanjore.

C. 7/3/98

4/3/98 Issue

rendered impracticable by the Nazir's conduct on discovering it. He did not report it at once to the Police but apparently not being sure that he had secured the chest in the room went about for several hours making enquiries about the court premises. He has been made to pay Rs 557 ~~[illegible]~~ 2-0+ jointly with his assistants. His own share is not given. He was also made to retire.

+ the money stolen in the chest.

In the circumstances as his retirement has certainly saved him from reduction it is supposed that he may get Rs 25 only.

W.L.
1.3.98

H.M.

There is nothing on record to evidence "indifferent" character in the past. On the occasion of the theft of the cash box his subsequent conduct was unfortunate but natural, if he neglected precautions to guard against the theft. There is no reason whatever to suspect him of any complicity in the theft. He has had to ~~pay~~ make good the loss or part of it. His conduct is however certified to be "indifferent" & some reduction should be made but I think it will be enough if we give him ~~Rs~~ 28/

S.B. 2/3/98

I agree with the Sec. It will be sufficient to reduce the pension to Rs 28

3.3.98

Pension C No 99

411

APPLICATION FOR PENSION ~~OR GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Pondicherry Krishna Row
2. Father's name. Pondicherry Subba Row
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Canarese Brahmin
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Tanjore
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Central Nazir, District Court, Tanjore
6. Date of beginning of service. 14 August 1871
7. Date of ending of service. 26th September 1897

	Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	26	1	13
of which superior	25	10	3
inferior	"	"	"
non qualifying and interruptions	"	3	11

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Invalid pension under Article 485 Civil service Regulations vide Medical Certificate
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 60-" " per mensem
11. Proposed pension. $\frac{30}{60} \times 60 = $ Rs 30-" "
12. Proposed gratuity. "
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 27th September 1897
14. Place of payment. Madras.
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. † 26th September 1845
16. Height. 5 feet
17. Marks.
    1. A scar on the left shin
    2. A scar on the posterior region of the left palm
    3. A scar on the right leg
    4. A mole below the right eye.
18. Date 25th November 1897.

If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

F H Hammett

Ag: District Judge

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

24/11

D/61

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Pondicherry Krishna Row, late Central Nazir, District Court, Tanjore.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Additional District Munsif's Court Trichinopoly	Ay: Head Gumastah on full pay	20	–	14·8·71	2-6·72	~~–~~	~~9~~	~~19~~	–	9	19		The services from 14·8·71 to 2·6·72 were verified from rough pay bills; the services from 3·6·72 to 28·9·81 were verified from acquittance rolls and the services from 29·9·81 to 26·9·97 were verified from acquittance rolls and rough pay bills	Service from 14-8-71 to 2-6-72 does not count having been rendered in an acting appointment. Verified from the records of the several District Munsif's Courts in which the applicant was employed and from those of the District Court of Tanjore and the verification of the service confirmed by reference partly to the Audit Registers and partly to the annual Returns on record in this office.
Do. Do Tanjore	Permanent Head Gumastah	20	"	3·6·72	31-3·79	6	9	~~24~~	"	"	24	These are periods for which the applicant was granted leave without allowances		
Do do Do	Head Clerk	40	"	1-4-79	28·9·81	2	5	26	"	"	2			
District Munsif's Court Tiruvadi	Do	40	"	29·9·81	6-4-84	2	6	8	"	"	"			
District Court Tanjore	Central Nazir	50	"	7·4·84	12-9-86	2	5	6	"	"	"			
Do	Ay: Record Keeper	50	10	13·9·86	9-10·87	1	"	27	"	"	"			
Do	Central Nazir	50	"	10·10·87	14·10·87	"	"	5	"	"	"			
Do	Sub pro tem Record keeper on full pay.	60	sub pro tem on full pay.	15-10-87	22·3·88	"	5	8	"	"	"			
Do	Record Keeper	60	"	23·3·88	2-10·96	8	6	10	"	"	"			
Do	Central Nazir	60	"	3·10·96	11-7-97	"	9	9	"	"	"			
Suspension afterwards Commuted into leave ~~without allowances~~ on private affairs under Article 370 C. S. R on 2/11 per mensem				12-7-97	26-9-97	"	"	"	"	2	15			
						25	·	13	·	3	11			
Total period of Superior Service						~~25~~ ~~25~~	~~1~~ 10	~~13~~ ~~3~~	~~1~~ "	~~1~~ 3	~~7~~ 11			

25 · 13

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.	Indifferent. Vide proceedings of this Court dated 17th November 1897, of which a copy was sent to the Accountant General with letter, No. 1713, dated 23rd November 1897. — flag A
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.	
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI).	Nil.
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.	Nil.
5. Any other remarks.	Nil.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991].	The service claimed is established and should be admitted.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS, 12 FEB 1898 PENSION DEPARTMENT.

F W Hamnett
Ay. District Judge
SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded)~~ qualifying service in ~~inferior or~~ superior grades has been duly proved for 25 years and 13 days ~~months~~ ~~days~~; and that an Invalid (pension ~~or gratuity~~) not exceeding Rs. 30/- per mensem equal to 30/60th of average emoluments, is admissible under Articles 485 & 518 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations 3rd Edition. The calculations have been duly verified. ~~The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund)~~.

It is observed from the remark opposite to No: 1 in the 3rd page of the application that the character and past conduct of the applicant have been recorded as "Indifferent". It rests therefore, with Government to make such reduction in the amount of pension that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to Article 514 (b) of the Regulations.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Judge, Tanjore in para 2 of his letter No. 1742 dated 25th November 1897 accompanying.

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office,
8th February 1898

To
The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department.

ADJ
480

J H Manison
Accountant General.
Audit Officer.

DESP 10·2·98

Ind.
8/2

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Application for Pension or Gratuity—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of Commencement.

Date of sanction.

Cuo99/98

98/1898

[R. E. / 46.]
1,000 10-8-96.

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 148

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
24 FEB. 189
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

From

The Accountant General

Order

No. $\frac{\text{L.A.E}}{553.}$

Dated 22-Feby 1898

Received 24- do

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding papers
called for in O. M. No 99
of 15-2-98.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No: 1696

Proceedings of the District Court of Tanjore.
The 17th day of November 1897.

The court reopened on the 12th July 1897. The Theft of the Sheristadar's Cash Chest from the Nazir's Strong room of this Court was first reported to me at 4 P.M while on the Bench by the Sheristadar. The Sheristadar's report about it was that he first came to hear of the theft at 3 P.M from the Head Clerk and that the Nazir came into his room shortly afterwards and also gave him similar information. The Sheristadar at once went downstairs and inspected the Nazir's room. He was shewn by the Nazir one of the doors of the Nazir's room, which, the Nazir reported, he had found unfastened. Neither the upper, lower or middle bolt of this door was fastened. The Venetians also were not fastened by the wooden clips inside. It was therefore quite easy ~~from~~ for any one from outside to open one of the Venetians and to unfasten the bolts of the door inside. There are several doors to this room, but the practice has been to bolt them inside and to place pieces of tape across the centre of the door outside with seals at each end. If the seals are not tampered with, it shows that the door has not been opened. As a rule, only one door is opened by the Nazir to obtain ingress and egress into and from the room and on this door a lock is placed outside which is sealed and entrusted to the Police guard. With regard to the door which the Sheristadar found unfastened, it is to be observed that this door is not the one which is usually used by the Nazir. It is the door at the end of a passage in which the Nazir usually holds his office. One of the seals on the tape on this door was found by the Sheristadar to have been tampered with, when he inspected the place. I inspected the Nazir's room at 4 P.M and I found the door above referred to in the same condition as the Sheristadar found it. One of the seals on the tape had been tampered with. Judging from the appearance of the room, it seemed that the box must have been removed through the door in question either when the Police guard and the Process servers deputed to guard the room were asleep or more probably with their connivance.

2. The Nazir's explanation of the affairs was as follows:- He reported that the missing Cash Chest had been last examined by the Sheristadar on some day in June. [The Sheristadar says that he examined it on some day subsequent to the 21st June, but he is unable to fix the exact date. When he examined it, he verified its contents and found them to be correct.] The Nazir declared that he had seen the box in the room on Friday the 9th July. On that day he alleged that he had carefully locked up the Nazir's room and that when he next opened it at 10 A.M on the morning of the 12th July, he found the box missing. I took a statement from the Nazir on the 12th July and it is desirable to reproduce *verbatim* what he then told me. He stated as follows:- (In answer to quest put by me); "When I found the box missi I examined all the seals - I then found that one of the seals in the door (inspected by the District Judge & the Sheristadar) close to the Copyists' room was not in a prope state. There are two seals on this door over th ends of a piece of tape. One seal has som letters on it, viz, the letters S. E. The other has no letters. When I noticed thi I did not at once bring this to the notic of the Police. I did not do so, because I thought the Police might tamper with the seals. I did not at once report the matter to the Sheristadar because I was searching for the box in the property room which is overcrowded. The missing Cash Chests and some other Cash Chests are alway kept at one particular spot near the entrance door. I shut the property room about 7 A.M on Friday last. The missing box was then in its usual place. When I found the box missing, I searched all over the room for it. This took an hour. Then I examined all the seals on the doors.

Then I called the peons and amins and got them to examine the seals. Then I came to make a report to the Sheristadar. The Sheristadar had then gone to take papers to the Judge. I did not go to the Judge as I wanted to report it to the Sheristadar first. Finally I reported it to the Sheristadar at 3 or 3.30 P.M.

Question. What was it you told me about making enquiries whether the box had been sent to the Sub-Court or the District Munsif's Court?

Answer. I thought that the missing box might through oversight have been sent to the Munsif's Court or the Sub-Court. I sent some of my peons at about 12 or so to make enquiries in the Munsif's Court and the Sub-Court; but no box was found there.

Question. How came you to think that the box might have been sent by mistake to these Courts when you say you saw the box in the property room on Friday when you closed the room?

Answer. I thought I might have made a mistake as to the box I saw in the room on Friday. I counted five boxes on Friday, when I closed the property room. To the best of my belief, one of these was the Sheristadar's Cash Chest, now missing. I am sure that the Sheristadar's Cash Chest was one of these five boxes, but I simply made enquiries in the District Munsif's Court and the Sub-Court t satisfy myself."

3. The Nazir's conduct after the discovery of the theft as admitted by himself in the above statement shows that his allegation that he saw the missing box in the Nazir's room on Friday evening and that he carefully locked up the Nazir's room are unworthy of belief. His hunting all over the place for the box shows that he was not certain whether it had been placed in the Nazir's room when he last locked it up. Then again his omission to at once call the attention of the Police Guard to the fact that the box was missing and to hold them responsible has rendered it impossible to throw any responsibility on the Police. The Superintendent of Police contends, and I think rightly, that in the face of the Nazir's conduct, it is *prima facie* impossible to say whether the box was taken out of the Nazir's room when under the sole custody of the Police Guard, or whether it was removed from the room on Friday or some previous day and was not at all in the room when it was locked up on Friday evening. If the Nazir had at once called upon the Police to account for the box, the Superintendent of Police would have been able to suspend

the whole guard and if they had had any concern in it, he would no doubt have been able to bring pressure to bear on them and to have discovered the culprit. As it is, he has been unable to take any action departmentally against the Police constables. The Nazir's conduct therefore has not only caused the loss of the box, but has practically rendered detection impossible. The Nazir and two process servers whom I found reason to suspect has been placed under suspension by me upto now. Amin Kasim Khan whom I, at first, suspended on suspicion was subsequently reinstated by me as I found on enquiry that there were no good grounds for suspecting him. The Police also did not entertain any suspicion against him. The Police have been trying hard to detect the case but the Superintendent of Police reports to me that he regards the detection of the crime as extremely improbable. He has had reasons to suspect two or three persons who have been spending a good deal of money, but has been unable to get any evidence connecting them with the crime. It is probable that the thieves have simply taken all the money in the cash chest and destroyed or buried the securities and the stamps and other papers in the box. Under these circumstances, it is useless to wait any longer in the hope that the Police enquiry will lead to detection of the offence and I consider it desirable to pass final orders with regard to the Nazir and the two Process Servers under suspension.

4. I have no reason to suspect the Nazir of any complicity whatever in the crime. As to his responsibility for the loss there cannot however be the slightest doubt. As observed before, his conduct after the discovery of the loss has practically rendered detection impossible. The loss itself can only be attributed to gross and culpable negligence on his part. Either he never took the trouble to ascertain for certain whether all the boxes were in safe custody on Friday evening when he closed the Nazir's room, or else if he did satisfy himself on that point, he must have failed to take the most ordinary precautions to secure the doors of the room on the inside. The Venetians of the door on which the seals was tampered with were not secured on the inner side. This was a most obvious precaution which the Nazir ought to have adopted in securing the room when locking it up for the night. The room ~~is~~, no doubt, is not as safe as it ought to be and steps will be taken to have its construction altered by the Public Works Department. The room however has been used for the custody of valuable property ever since the Court house was built in 1870 and no theft from it has ever taken place before. This in itself shows that if ordinary precautions had been adopted, no theft would have been committed now. It is clear therefore that the Nazir's connection with the Government Service must cease and that he is liable to make good the loss sustained by Government. The contents of the box were

(a) A number of securities such as promissory notes and Post office Savings Bank Books, one Banker's deposit receipt and a registered security bond. Steps have been taken to stop payment of any of these securities and their value will all be recovered in due course. No loss will accrue to Government on this account.

(b) Rs 597-2-.. in Cash.

(c) Rs 406-1-.. in Court Fee Stamps.

With regard to the Court Fee Stamps, I have with the approval of the High Court issued orders to all Subordinate civil Courts in this District to try and stop any of these stamps if they are ever presented and letters have been addressed to the District Judges of other Tamil Districts asking them to issue similar orders. A list has been prepared of the names of the persons who purchased the stamps and the value of the stamps. It seems hardly likely that the thieves will ever attempt to pass these stamps and it is doubtful therefore whether any loss will accrue to Government by the theft of these stamps. The amount Rs 597-2-.. taken in Cash has no doubt been spent by the thieves and this is a dead loss to Government. The question is whether the Nazir should be required to make good the value of the stolen stamps as well as of the money. I am inclined to think that as it is unlikely the stamps will ever be used, it is sufficient to recover from the Nazir and those of his assistants who are found to be jointly responsible with him, the amount of the cash stolen only. Subject therefore to any orders which the High Court may pass on this point after perusal of these proceedings, I order that the sum of Rs 597-2-.. only be recovered from the Nazir and his assistants, Plou Bapu Row whom I hold jointly responsible by forfeiture of their security bonds. This will be a severe punishment for the Nazir and I am not under the circumstances disposed to deal more harshly with him than necessary. The District Surgeon has certified that he is on medical grounds unfit for further service and the Nazir has applied for permission to retire. I think that this concession should be made to him and that he should be allowed to retire without any break in his Service such as would be caused if my order suspending him were to be confirmed. The order suspending the Nazir will therefore be cancelled and he will be considered to have been absent on loss of pay upto the date on which he obtained his medical certificate declaring him to be unfit for further service. He will be allowed to retire from service on an invalid pension from the date of his certificate.

5. With regard to the two process servers who are still under suspension — my reasons for suspending Bappu Row are given in my order dated the 13th July which runs as follows:— "Process server Bappu Row appears to have been a personal attendant of the Nazir and to have taken a prominent part in getting the cash boxes out and putting them in the strong room and sealing the room. It is he whom the Nazir sent on the curious errand of trying to find out if the cash chest was by mistake sent to the District Munsif's Head Clerk. It is he who is said to have made the discovery that the seal on the door near the Copyists' room was tampered with. I strongly suspect him of having some knowledge of the theft. He was trembling when I went to inspect the property room yesterday. I suspend him for a month."

Nothing further except what is stated in the above order has been brought to light against this man. I think there were good grounds for suspending him at the time on suspicion; but after all the case against him is nothing more than one of suspicion, and it does not appear to me that a man can be punished merely on suspicion. These remarks of course apply to his complicity in the commission of the theft. He must however be held responsible for negligence jointly with the Nazir. In his statement dated the 12th July, this Process Server admits that it was he that shut up the Treasury room on Friday the 9th July. It was his duty equally with the Nazir's to see that the Venetians of the room were fastened on the inside. This duty he clearly neglected in connection with the door on which the seal was found tampered with. I order this man's security of Rs 100 to be forfeited. But as his connection with the offence has not been proved he will be reinstated with arrears of pay. He will be transferred to another Court.

6. Process Server Govindasami was suspended by me on a report received from the Police Inspector who had charge of the case dated the 15th July. Before I finally pass orders on this man's case

I find it necessary to ascertain from the Police what evidence they have against him. I have addressed the Superintendent of Police on the subject and on receipt of his reply, I shall pass orders on his case.

7. As suggested by the Executive Engineer in his letter No. $\frac{75M}{C}$ dated 17th October 1897, Sanction will be applied for from Government for the preparation of an estimate by the Public Works Department for altering the Construction of the Nazir room so as to reduce the number of doors to make it easier for the Police to guard it. The Superintendent of Police has been asked to obtain the Sanction of the Inspector General for increasing the strength of the guard. Among other measures adopted for the detection of the offence, the District Magistrate was asked to offer a reward for information leading to its detection. He complied with my request and offered a reward of Rs 100. This has however produced no effect.

(Signed) F. H. Hammett.
Acting District Judge.

Endt. No. $\frac{D.A.E.}{553}$

Forwarded to Secretary to Government Pension Department with reference to his Memo No: 99 of the 15th February/98.

J. O. L. Soares
Chief Superintendent.

24 FEB. 1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT

1

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact Height. Feet.	Exact Height. In.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Pondichery [illegible] Rau	Canarese Brahmin	Tanjore	Pondichery [illegible] Rau	Tanjore	12th Purattasi of Visvavasu corresponding to 26 September 1845	29	7	15	5	"	A scar on the left shin. A scar on the posterior region of the left palm. A scar on the right leg. A mole below the right eye	11-5-75	[illegible]	T. Raghava Iyengar Actg. District Munsiff

PENSION DEPARTMENT 1898 No. 1 C. No. 99

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Head Gumastah addl. Dt. Munsif's Court of Trichinopoly	~~Permanent~~ Acting (vide Trichinopoly District Judge's letter no 2502 20-10-97 hereto attached)	FWo ..	20	"	14-8-71	Kristnadoss
Do Do Tanjore	Permanent Do.	FWo	20	..	3-6-72	Kristnadoss
Head clerk Do	Do		40	..	1-4-79	Kristnadoss
Do District Do Munsif's Court Tiruvadi	Do		40	..	29-9-81	Kristnadoss
Central Nazir District Court Tanjore	Do	..	50	"	7-4-84	Kristnadoss
Recordkeeper Distt. Court Tanjore	Acting	Central Nazir	50 ~~60~~ FWo	Rs. 10 ~~20 p.c. on Rs 50 = Rs. 10~~ FWo	13-9-86	Kristnadoss
Central Nazir District Court, Tanjore	Permanent	"	50	"	10-10-87	Kristnadoss
Recordkeeper District Court, Tanjore	Acting Sub pro tem on full-pay	Central Nazir	60	Sub pro tem on full pay	15-10-87	Kristnadoss

---

8 Character of Officer. No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
I have much pleasure in recording my opinion that this Goomasta has served as Head Goomasta under me for the last four years with great care & deligence & has always borne a good character throughout — 26th July 1875 Tanjore Raghava Iyengar District Munsif	Raghava Iyengar D Munf	2-6-71	Transfer of court	Raghava Iyengar D Munf
	Raghava Iyengar	31-3-79	Revision of establishment	Raghava Iyengar
		28-9-81	Transfer	[illegible] Jr.
	Dist Munf	6-4-84	Promotion	Dist Munf
This is to certify that Pondicherry Kristnadoss has been a copyist in this court for nearly four years. During that time he has on several occasions acted as Gumastah and has always done his work satisfactorily. Second Class Court Combaconum 22 December 1878 (Signed) A. Cruickshank Ag. Judge	Ag Dt Judge	12-9-86.	D	FWo Ag. Distt. Judge
	FWo Ag Dt Judge	9-10-87	Return of permanent incumbent.	FWo Ag. Dt. Judge
	FWo	14-10-87	Promotion	FWo Ag. Dt. Judge
Head Gumastah Kristnadoss is respectably connected, steady though he is slow, respectful and willing to please. J. S. Davies Ag. Dist. Judge		22-3-88.	confirmation	FWo Ag. Dt. Judge

Continued in page 4

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Recordkeeper Dist. Court Tanjore	Substantive and Permanent	"	Rs. 60	"	23-3-88	Kristnarow	He is, I believe, one of the few honest and trustworthy of the officers of his description and deserves to be taken into a higher office. + + + 18 May 1877 (signed) M Vencataroyar Ag. Dt. Judge P. Kristnarow is an intelligent and hardworking public servant – He is also trustworthy and deserves promotion. (signed) W. G. Pelerton Ag. Addl. Dt. Judge 4 October 1880. Leave on forfeiture of pay for 24 days in March 1879 Do for 2 days in September 1879. Head Clerk, Kristna Row leaves this Court having been transferred to the [illegible] Dist. Court, I have pleasure in certifying that during the period of nearly 4 1/2 years he served me, he has given me complete satisfaction. He bears an excellent character. I hope to hear of his further advancement in the Service. Dt. Ju. Addl. Dt. Court 29. Sep. 1881.	Ag. Dt. Judge			

FORM A.

Statement of lands possessed, acquired or relinquished by P Kristna Row central Nagri Sh court, Tanjore **- up to 31st December 1896.**

Note.—Lands in which the Officer had acquired an interest before the year for which the return is submitted and in which he retained an interest during that year, should be shown first, then those lands regarding which transactions took place during that year.

Name of Officer.	Office held and in what department.	Date of entertainment in the public service	Nature of property i. e., (1) Houses (stating whether they are with or without gardens) and the uses to which they are put (2) Lands whether used for purposes of agriculture or as gardens.	Whether acquired before or during 189	Whether relinquished during 189	Survey field.	EXTENT AND ASSESSMENT OF LANDS.				Sircar or Inam.	SITUATION OF LAND.			From whom acquired, or in whose favour relinquished.	In whose name registered after acquisition or before relinquishment.	By what means and for what purpose acquired.	Year, month and day of deed	Rights possessed in the land.	Price paid or obtained for the land.	REMARKS.
							Dry.		Wet.			Village.	Taluk.	District.							
							Extent.	Assessment.	Extent.	Assessment.											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
Kristna Row	Central Nagri, Sh Court Tanjore.	14. August 1871	Nil—																		

I HEREBY declare that the above statement truly represents all the landed property possessed by me, or in which I am in any way interested both within the Tanjore . District and elsewhere.

STATION, Tanjore.
Date 29. January 1897.

Signature. P Kristna Row
Officer Central Nagri —

N. (1) The statement must be submitted punctually on the 15th January of each year.
(2) New acquisitions during the year to which the return relates should be entered in red ink.
Particulars of any landed property of which an Officer may herein become dispossessed during the year, should also be entered in the annual return.

Register of land held by the unconvenanted Public Servants -

Name of unconvenanted public Servant	Date of entertainment in the public Service	Office & Department in which employed	district and Division in which land is situated	Particulars regarding landed property - Nature of property i.e. - whether houses, lands, gardens &c	Extent	Assessment	In whose name registered.	When acquired & interest &c —	By what means and for what purpose acquired	Nature of Extent possessed by the officer concerned in such landed property	Rem
P Kristwo Row	14-8-71	Record Keeper Dt Court Tanjore	——— Nil ———								

I. P Kristwo Row do solemnly declare that I do not at this time
in my own name or in that of any other person, any land, or any
in land, other than what is entered in this return and those previously

8 April 1891

P Kristwo Row
Record Keeper

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Given satisfaction as a Nazir 7.2.88. 17-4-88.				
	H. T. Knox Ag. Dt. Judge	2.10.96	Transfer	Ag. Distt. Judge
	Ag. Dt. Judge	26.9.97	Retired	Ag. District Judge

The service from 3rd June 72 to 28th Septr 81 is verified from the acquittance rolls. Leave without allowance for 24 days in March 79 & 2 days in September 79

18.12.89.

Dt. Offg. Accnt.
Adl. Trichinopoly

Dt. Judge

Service verified from Pay Bills and acquittance rolls up to 30th Septr 1894.

Ag District Judge

Services from 7th April 1884 to 11th July 1897 verified from Rough Pay Bills & acquittance Rolls.

Suspended for one month from the 12th July 1897 pending the result of enquiry into the theft of a cash chest from the Nazir's property room.

Ag. District Judge

Ag. Dt. & Sess. Judge.

Suspension Cancelled and the period of suspension from 12.7.97 to 26.9.97 commuted into ~~leave without allowance~~ on private affairs on ~~370~~

Services from 12 July 97 to 26.9.97 verified from Rough pay bills and acquittance Rolls.

Ag. District Judge

Extract from the Service Register of P. Kristna Row, Central Nazir of the District Court of Tanjore

Name of appointment	Nature &c	Pay			Date of appointment	Date of termination of appointment	Reason of termination	Signature
1	2	4			6	10	11	12
		Rs	a	p				
Head Clk, District Munsif's Court, Trivadi	Permanent	40	"	"	29. 9. 81	6. 4. 84	Promotion	(Signed) K. Kristnaengar District Munsif

Certificate of Verification

The Services from 29th September 1881 to 6th April 1884 were verified from the Acquittance Rolls and Office Copies of Pay Bills maintained in this Court. The entries made in this Extract are found to be correct. No leave of any kind except casual leave was granted during the interval nor was any order of suspension, degradation &c made.

9-10-97

R. Ananthaswami Iyer
Ag Dist Munsif

F. W. Hammett
Ag District Judge
Tanjore
1st October 18

189 8
PENSION DEPARTMENT
No. 2
To 99

WSB

SERVICE BOOK
OF
Kistna Row

Name.
Caste or Race. Canarese Brahmin
Residence. Tanjore
FATHER'S. Name. Subba Row
FATHER'S. Residence. Tanjore
Date of birth. 26 September 1845

District Munsif's Court
Tiruvadi
9th october 1897

From.

M.R.Ry.
R. Annaswami aiyar avl.,
Ag. District Munsif
Tiruvadi

To.

The District Judge:
Tanjore.

Sir,

I have the honor to retransmit herewith the Extract of P. Krishna Rao's Service Register, that accompanied District Court's Proceedings No. 1065 dated 1st instant, together with the usual certificate of verification as directed therein.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant
R Annaswami Aiyar
Ag. District Munsif.

189 8
PENSION DEPARTMENT
E
96
C. No.

From
The Dist Munsiff
Srimadi

To
The Dt. Judge
Tanjore

Dated 9th Oct 97
Despatched 11th do
Received

No 28/

~~Enclosure No~~

Subject

E. H. HAMNETT
12 OCT 1897

~~Returns~~

Retransmitting the Extract of P. Krishna Row's Service Register duly verified

---

Trichinopoly Distt. Court
20th October/97

No. 2512/

From L. C. Miller Esquire
Ag. District Judge
Trichinopoly

To. The District Judge
Tanjore

Sir,

I have the honour to return herewith the Service Register of P. Krishna Row, which accompanied your letter, No. 1392 dated the 1st instant.

2. I am unable to verify, as requested therein, the services of the said officer in the Additional District Munsif's Court here from 14th August 1871 to 2nd June 1872 with reference to the Acquittance Rolls, as they are reported to have been destroyed, being more than 20 years old.

It appears however, from the office copies of the Pay Bills kept in those days, that the said officer drew pay (Rs 20) as Ag. Head Gumastah of

the

189 8
PENSION DEPARTMENT
Dis. No. 4
To
C. No 94

the Additional Distt. Munsif's Court of Trichinopoly during the said period.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obt. Servt.

11-10-97

Ag. Distt. Judge

2726
22.10.97

F. H. HA
22. OCT. 1897

2438
1-10-97

CIVIL MEDICAL FORM No. 32.

(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined P. Krishna Row

son of P. Subba Row.

Central Nazar.
in the District Court Tanjore

His age is by his own statement 52 years and by appearance about 52. years.

I consider P. Krishna Row to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of Writers' Cramp.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

STATION Tanjore
Date 27 September 1897

Name. Chas A Cornu
Rank. Asst Surgeon
Designation. Ag: District Medical & Sanitary Officer

NOTE.—[If the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits."]

Countersigned.

Surgn.-Major-Genl.,

Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

STATEMENT OF CASE.

1. Rank. Central Nazar.
2. Name. P. Krishna Row.
3. Department or Office. Judicial.
4. Marks of identification.
5. Age. 52 years.
6. Service. 26 years.
7. Sick leave granted during the service.
8. Disease or cause of Disability. Writer's cramp.
9. Abstract statement of case showing how the disease or disability was contracted, the results of the treatment adopted. In case the disease or disability is believed to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits, the reasons for such belief should be stated.

He states that when he works for a short period of half an hour he feels giddy and confused and has to take a little rest before he can resume his work. He has also failing memory forgetting words at times and occasionally suffers from headache and disturbed sleep. His face is slightly puffed. Tongue is furred. The first sounds of the heart is indistinct. Pulse is full. There is arcus senilis round the cornea. The specific gravity of his urine is low but the excretion contains neither sugar nor albumen.

His handwriting is shaky and indistinct and he complains of pain in the wrist extending up to the elbow and causing him to rest his wrist on the table, he is also obliged to hold the pen tightly as it is liable to be jerked out of his hand.

Chas A Lafrenais.
Ag: Dist Med & Sanit Officer

Forwarded to the District Judge Tanjore with reference to his letter No: 1245 dated 10 September 1897.

"

Affidavit put in by P. Kristna Row
late central Nazir, Dt. court, Tanjore.

I do solemnly declare
that the post of Head Gumastah
in the additional District Munsif
court of Trichinopoly was fully
vacant; that I was appointed
on probation on 14 August 1871
as the said Head Gumastah on
Rupees 20; that I was subsequently
confirmed in June 1872 and
that no one else counted service
in the said appointment during
the said period. P Kristna Row

One Vanji aiyar was the Head Gumastah
of the addl Dt. Munsif's court of
Trichinopoly. He was dismissed
from service & was convicted of
embezzlement. Hence the vacancy
arose. I was appointed to the
vacant post on probation on
14 August 1871 and continued in
that capacity till the 3rd June 1872 on
which date the said court was
transferred to Tanjore under the
designation of " Addl Dt. Munsif

8
DEPARTMENT
6
99

"court of Tanjore" and on which date
I was confirmed in the said
appointment –

P Krishna Row

24-11-97.

Solemnly affirmed before me
this 24th day of November 1897.

F.H. Hammett
Ag. District Judge.

District Court

No

Tanjore 25 November 1897

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 29 NOV 97 MADRAS
5204

From

F. H. Hammett Esquire
Ag District Judge
Tanjore

To

The Accountant General
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith an application for invalid pension under Article 485, Civil Service Regulations, 3rd Edition, on behalf of Pondicherry Krishna Row, late Central Nazir of the District Court, Tanjore, together with his Service Register, medical certificate, last pay certificate and the affidavit of the applicant.

Pension 8 7 99

(2) The delay of more than one month in the submission of this

application is explained as follows. The applicant was suspended on the 12th July 1897 pending enquiry into the theft of a cash chest from the Nazir's property room, but during the enquiry he was sent, at his own request, for examination, to the medical officer, who gave the certificate dated 27th September 1897 incapacitating the applicant from further service. By my proceedings, No 1696, dated 17th November 1897 I have cancelled the order suspending the applicant and ordered that he be considered to have been absent on loss of pay up to the date of medical certificate. A copy of this proceedings has been sent to you with my letter, No 1713, dated 23rd instant.

As regards the applicant's service as Ag Head Gumastah of the additional District Munsiff's Court of Trichinopoly from 14.8.71 to 2.6.72, the applicant's affidavit shows that the place was fully vacant and that no one else counted service during the period and how the original vacancy occurred.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

F W Hammett

Ag District Judge

24.11.

Cur: No 4 / 1898 3

From

The District Judge
Tanjore

To

The Accountant General
Madras.

Dated 25. 11. 97
Despd Do
Recd

No: 1742

Encl: 8

Forwarding the pension application on behalf of P. Krishna Row the late Central Nazir

To await reply from Acct. Genl to letter 1822/9-12-97

9

Corr.—500—1-10-96.

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K./317.

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
4 December 1897.

F. H. HAMNETT,
7 DEC. 1897

3183/7.12.97

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE District Judge
Tanjore

Attention is drawn to No.____________of the printed Memo. of Instructions from this office.

In the column "How verified" on the 2d page of the application, the applicant's earlier service from 14th August 1871 to 2nd June 1872 is stated to have been verified from Pay bills. If so, they should clearly show how the original vacancy which gave rise to the applicant's acting appointment occurred. It is requested therefore that the records may be again examined and the information furnished.

2. If possible, the countersignature by a Commissioned Medical Officer should be obtained to the medical certificate which is signed only by an Assistant Surgeon.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension ~~gratuity~~ of P. Krishna Row, late Central Nazir Dt. Court Tanjore. is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

J. O. B. Power
Chief Superintendent.

8
PENSION DEPARTMENT
No. 8
99
C. No.......

3. The omission of remarks 3 to 5 on the 3d page of the application should be supplied.

4. In the annual Returns for 1885 and 1886 on record in this office the date of appointment of the applicant is given as 1st April 1884, which is not traceable on the 2d page of the application. The discrepancy should be explained.

Trichinopoly Distt. Court
10th Decr. 97

No. 3083

From
L. C. Miller Esquire
Ag. District Judge
Trichinopoly

To
The District Judge
Tanjore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1804. dated the 7th instant, I have the honour to state that the pay bills referred to are copies only and shew that Krishna Rao was styled Ag. Head Gumastah and drew 20 rupees per month. They give no other information. There are no other records of the ~~x~~ year 1872.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servt.
Miller
11-12-97
Ag. Distt. Judge

8
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
9
99

H. HAMNETT.
13. DEC. 1897

$\frac{3233}{13-12}$

From,

The District Judge
Trichinopoly

To
The ~~Registrar of the~~ District Judge
Tanjore
~~High Court~~

Dated 10-12 }
Despd 11-12 } 1897

No. 3083

Stating that the pay bills referred to in his letter No 1804 of the 7th instant, are copies only and show that Krishna Rao was styled Ag. Gumasta and drew 20 Rs per month and they give no other information &c

---

District Court
Ref. on Cur. No. $\frac{4}{1898}$ Tanjore, 24th January 1898

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
26 JAN 98
MADRAS

From
F. H. Hamnett Esquire
Ag: District Judge
Tanjore

To
The Accountant General,
Madras,

325/

Sir,

In returning herewith the pension application of Krishna Row, with enclosures, received with your letter, No. $\frac{A.D.K.}{317}$, dated 4th December last, I have the honor to state that a reference was again made to the District Judge of Trichinopoly as regards the services of the applicant from 14th August 1871 to 2nd June 1872 and the reply received from him is herein enclosed in original.

8
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
No. 10
99

2. The Countersignature of a Commissioned medical officer cannot be obtained to the medical Certificate, as there is no such officer in this District

3. The omission of remarks 3 to 5 on the 3rd page of the application has been supplied.

4. The date of appointment given in the annual returns of 1885 and 1886 is incorrect. Krishna Row did not join his appointment as Central Nazir until the 7th April 1884, which is the date shown in Column 5 on the 2nd page of the application.

5. The delay in the retransmission of the application is due to a reference made by me to you in my letter No 1822, dated 9th December last, to which your reply No. $\frac{\text{I.A.E.}}{483}$, dated 19th instant, was received on the 21st instant.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

F W Hammett

Ag: District Judge.

WSR
24/1

From

The Ag. District Judge,
Tanjore

To

The Accountant General
Madras

Dated 24th }
Despatched 24th } January 1898.
Received }

Ref. on Cur. No. 4 of 1898.

Enclosures 10

Retransmitting the Pension application of P. Krishna Row, late Central Nazir.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

Read again G.O., No. , dated
189

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No

Order of Government .. .. .. A A A A A A A

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.