Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 181

DATED 5-3-98.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 3 ——— per mensem to Muhammad Isack late a 2nd Class Constable No. 509 of the Nellore Dt. —

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

([3] / 500—2-4-94.)

C. No. 152
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers :—

From the Inspector-General of Police, dated 24th February 1898, No. 9/P.R.

ORDER THEREON, 5th March 1898 No. 181.

UNDER Articles 485, 518 (b) & 552 Scale B of the Civil Service Regulations (~~2nd~~ 3rd Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Muhammad Isack, late a 2nd Class Constable No. 509 of the Nellore Dt., an invalid pension — of Rupees (3-0-0) three only p.m. payable at Atmakur from 7th Decr. 1897 in consideration of his qualifying Police service of 25 years, & 10 months ~~and~~ days.

2. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant's character & conduct have not been thoroughly satisfactory.

4/3/98

Character – Bad

No. of Years' service = 25 5/6

No. of defaults = 11

Please see defaulter sheet flagged A.

Fined = 7 times

Suspended for 15 days in Oct 1881 for retracting a statement before the Court which he had made before his Inspector & thus giving false evidence to screen an offender from punishment.

Reduced from 2nd to 3rd class constable in March 1881 for keeping a sum of Rs 6-8-0 in his possession for 6 months without disbursing it to certain coolies who carried poisonous matter to Zillah Surgn. for transmission to the Chemical Examiner.

Ir. practical criminal breach of trust

P.T.O.

To the Accountant-General, with the Register and L. P. C.
" Inspector-General of Police.

C-2/3/98

Reduced from 1st to 3rd C.C in Jany 1884 for making groundless and unwarrantable charges against his Sub H.O. & for impertinent conduct in his presence.

Reduced from 1st to 2nd C.C in Oct 1897 and transferred to Kanigiri Do for being unable to account for the possession of Rs 96/- suspected of being part of stolen property in Chiramana robbery case

Maxm pension = Rs 3-15-8 pm

3.98

It is submitted that a substantial reduction should be made. Suggested that he should get Rs 3 only

2/3/98

HM
1/3/98

approved
3.3.98

CURRENT No. 7 of 18

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Muhammed Isack

2. Father's name. Nannee Boyi

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Muhammadan

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Chejerla, Atmakur Taluq

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. 2nd C.C. No. 509 Muhammed Isack of Nellore Police.

6. Date of beginning of service. 22.1.72

7. Date of ending of service. 6.12.97

		Y. M. D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	.. ..	25.10.15
of which superior Police	.. .. ..	25.10..
inferior ..	.. ..	..—..—.
non-qualifying and interruptions	.. .	..—..—15

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Invalid

10. 5 years [Average] emoluments or pay. 7.15.5

11. Proposed pension. 3.15.8

12. Proposed gratuity. ..—..—..

13. Date from which pension is to commence. 7.12.97

14. Place of payment. Atmakur, Nellore District

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. † 22.1.54

16. Height. 5 ft 4 inches

17. Marks. 2 Scars on right shoulder blade, Scar at outer end of right eye-brow. A mole on top of right arm outer side.

18. Date 1st January/98

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Ag: Superintendent of Police.
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF 2nd Class Constable No 509 Muhammed Baek

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Nellore Police force	3rd C.C.	6.8.0		22.1.72	21.2.75	3	1	-	-	-	-		By Pay Bills and monthly Returns in the District Police office Nellore	
	Leave without pay			22.2.75	23.2.75	.	.	2	.	.	.			
	3rd C.C.	6.8.0		24.2.75	30.9.77	2	7	7	.	.	.			
	2nd C.C.	7.8.0		1.10.77	30.3.81	3	6	.	.	.	.	Promotion		
	3rd C.C.	6.8.0		31.3.81	9.10.81	.	6	9	.	.	.	Reduction		
	Suspension			10.10.81	24.10.81	.	.	.	.	.	15			
	3rd C.C.	6.8.0		25.10.81	17.12.82	1	1	23	.	.	.			
	2nd C.C.	7.–.–		18.12.82	18.4.83	-	4	1	.	.	.			
	1st C.C.	8		19.4.83	15.1.84	.	8	27	.	.				
	3rd C.C.	6.8.0		16.1.84	30.9.85	1	8	15	.	.	.	Reduction		
	2nd C.C.	7		1.10.85	7.5.87	1	7	7	.	.	.	promotion		
	1st C.C.	8		8.5.87	6.10.97	10	4	29	.	.	.	do		
	2nd C.C.	7		7.10.97	6.12.97	.	2	.	.	.	.			
	Total period of Superior Service					25	10	.	.	.	15			

This Constable had no Service in any other Department previous to his joining the Police

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Indifferent
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (See Chapter XXI).
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.
5. Any other remarks.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [See Article 991].

10.10.81. Suspended for 15 days for retracting a statement before the Court which he had made before his Inspector & thus giving false evidence to screen an offender from punishment. Reduced on 31.3.81 for keeping a sum of Rs [illegible] in his possession for 6 months without disbursing it to certain coolies who carried ~~[illegible]~~ poisonous matter to Zillah Surgn for transmission to the Chemical Exam.r 16.1.84 reduced to 3d C.C. for making groundless & unwarrantable charges against his ~~Inspector~~ Station House officer & impertinent conduct in his presence. 7.10.97 reduced to 2d. C.C. permanently & transferred to Kanigiri Dn for being unable to account for the possession of Rs 96 of being part of stolen property in Chiramana robbery case

The service claimed is established & should be admitted

J. [illegible]

Ag Superintendent of Police

SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for years months days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Application for Pension or Gratuity—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of Commencement.

Date of sanction.

Cur. 152/98

P. 181/98

Pension (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 152

Order

From M. Hammick Esquire I.C.S. Inspr Genl of Police Madras

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
28 FEB. 1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. 9/PK

Dated 24 February 1898

Received 28/2/98

Registered 28/2/98

Enclosures 6

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting an application for the grant of Pension to Muhammad Isack late P.C. No 509. Nellore Dist

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No 32.

CIVIL MEDICAL FORM No. 32.

(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NELLORE. 7 - DEC. 1897

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined Mohommed Isaack

son of Nannoo Bai

a 2nd class Police Constable

in the Police department, Nellore

His age is by his own statement 48 years and by appearance about 52 years.

I consider Mohommed Isaack

to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of Defective vision from incipient Cataract of both Eyes, and chronic articular Rheumatism.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

STATION Nellore.
Date 6th Decr 1897.

J. H. Thornhill M.D.
Name.
Rank. Surgeon-Lt.-Colonel.
District Medical & Sanitary Officer,
Designation. NELLORE.

NOTE.—[If the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits."]

189 8
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
G. No. 15

Countersigned.

Surgn.-Major-Genl.,
Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

STATEMENT OF CASE.

1. Rank. 2nd Class Constable No 509
2. Name. Mahommed Isaack
3. Department or Office. Police
4. Marks of identification 2 scars on right shoulder blade; scar at outer end of right eyebrow. Mole on top of R arm outer side
5. Age. 48
6. Service. 26 years.
7. Sick leave granted during the service. none
8. Disease or cause of Disability. Incipient double cataract & Rheumatism
9. Abstract statement of case showing how the disease or disability was contracted, the results of the treatment adopted. In case the disease or disability is believed to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits, the reasons for such belief should be stated.

Suffers from bad vision in consequence of double incipient Cataract and chronic rheumatic pains of joints. Has derived very little benefit from treatment, nor is he likely to derive any permanent benefit from further treatment or change of air.

Order Book No 266
97

FORM No. 90.

Office of the Superintendent of Police, Nellore, 1898

189 Pension Department. Ens. No. 3 To C. No. 152

Defaulter Sheet of Muhammed Isack **Name** 1st Class constable **Rank** 509 **No.**

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	Punishment.		By whom ordered.	Date of order.
		Black Marks.	Other Punishments.		
For totally forgetting the Sections once learnt up in Catechism and for not observing the uniform mode of tying the Head cloth and looking very dirty.	9-5-74		Fined 2 annas	Supdt. of Police	1
For not cutting his hairs and keeping his belt dirty and for not learning Sections in the Catechism.	2.12.75		Fined 4 annas	Do	2
For absenting himself from the station in spite of strict orders.	23.12.75		Fined 8 annas	Do	3
For not learning undetected crimes of his station.	30-7-76		Fined 4 annas	Do	4
For keeping a sum of Rs 6-8-0 paid him by Taluq Magistrate of Atmakur in his possession for 6 months without disbursing it to certain Coolies who ~~have~~ carried poisonous matter to the Zillah Surgeon for transmission to the Chemical Examiner.	31-3-81		Reduced to 3rd Cl.	Do	5
For retracting a statement before the Court which he had made before his Inspector & thus giving false evidence to Secure screen an offender from punishment.	10.10.81		Suspended for 15 days.	Do	6
For making groundless & unwarrantable charges against his Station House officer and impertinent conduct in his presumed presence	16.1.84		Reduced to 3rd cl	Do	7

3,000—4-96.

constable Muhammed Ishak to 3rd class constable for keeping a sum of Rs 6-8-0 paid him by the Taluq Magistrate of Atmakur, in his possession for six months, without disbursing it to certain coolies who had carried poisonous matter to the Zillah Surgeon, for transmission to the Chemical Examiner:—

30—3—81. (Signed) W. J. A. Sullivan
Superintendent of Police

(True Copy)

Dt. Police office
Nellore
Ag: Superintendent of Police
Nellore.

Memo of 1st class constable No: 509 Muhammed Ishak of Balayapalli Station.

This constables conduct has been altogether prejudicial to discipline for some time past:— In the month of October last he wrote a report to the Superintendent and divisional Inspector accusing his Station-House-Officer 1st class Constable 830 Hunumansing of having taken bribes and hushed up a murder case at Gotticadu village, where there are two factions among the ryots— Inspector made enquiries into the alleged murder and was ably assisted in his enquiry by the Station House officer. The case was committed to Sessions and the court was of opinion that no murder had been committed but that the deceased had met with his death accidentally— Constable 509 may have entertained an honest opinion that a murder had been committed but he had no right in the absence of any proof to accuse his Station-House-officer of having taken bribes— He states that he made this allegation in writing, because there was a rumour to this effect against his Station House officer— not satisfied with making this allegation

alone

188 4
[illegible] DEPARTMENT.
Enc. No. 5
To 4
C. No.

alone, constable has committed himself to random statements in accusing the Station House officer of having hushed up other similar cases from time to time. Regarding these cases alleged to have been suppressed he can furnish no clue whatever and the only inference to be drawn is that his accusations are entirely groundless. In order to throw some suspicion upon his Station-House-officer in reference to Gotticadu case he has stated that he saw Buddvole Krishnareddy of Gotticadu, a relation of the accused, come along with two other men to Balayapally on the night of the 8th October for the purpose of conferring with the Station House officer. The Village Magistrate of Vendadu in Gudur Taluq has clearly stated on oath in the Court of the 2nd class Magistrate of Venkatagiry that Buddivole Krishnareddy slept in his village on the night in question so that this bit of implicating evidence adduced by constable 509 is clearly false. Constable, not satisfied with accusing his Station House-officer, has also endeavoured to implicate 2nd class Inspector K. Venkataramanujulu Naidu an officer of unimpeachable character. — He told the Vendadu Station House officer who came for enquiring the Gotticadu case that his Inspector was also concerned in the suppression of the case and that therefore he had delayed somewhat in reporting about the murder to him. He now denies having made any such statement but Vendadu Station House officer is under another Inspector and he can have no conceivable object in falsely imputing a statement to Constable 509 which the latter had not really made. Moreover constable 509 when interrogated by Inspector regarding the case, said he would never have written anything about the case, had he known beforehand, about the state of the Division and the bad conduct of its Station House officer and men. This was an impertinent allusion reflecting indirectly upon Inspector's management of the Division. — Nor is this all — Again on the 15th December when Inspector was about to leave Balayapally for another Station, this constable stepped forward and in the presence of Inspector

and others

and others pointed to the Station House officer and said in Telugu "this man is a thief Sir" adding in a low tone the Hindustani word "bahinchile" Again raising his voice he exclaimed "this man is shirking his duty" — Constable denies having used the word "bahinchoule" but admits that he did tell the Inspector that his Station House officer was shirking beat duty. This statement too, he cannot prove and it is in all probability as false as the other statements he has made. I reduce 1st class Constable 509 Mohammed Ishak to 3rd class constable and transfer him to C Rapoor for making groundless and unwarrantable charges against his Station House officer and impertinent conduct in that officer's presence. —

16-1-84. (Signed) W. J. A. Sullivan
Superintendent of Police

(True copy)

Dt. Police office
Nellore d
} (?) Ag. Superintendent of Police
Nellore.

Memoir of 1st C.C. No. 509 Mahamed ~~[illegible]~~ Ishauk. of
Nellore Police force.

1. 1st C.C. No. 509 Mahamed Ishauk of Chirmana Stn.

2. Being unable to account for the possession of Rs 96.0.0 suspected of being part of stolen property in Chirmana Robbery Case.

3. A robbery in which ready cash worth 200 Rs & odd was lost occurred near Annasamudram. Acting Inspector Kader Shareef enquired at Annasamudram. During his enquiry he heard a rumour that Constable Mahomed Ishak of Chermana had received some money connected with the case and he sent for him. Constable Mahomed Ishauk came to Annasamudram and handed to Inspector Rs 96/ with a statement that this money had been given him by one Jahir Beg who met him on his way to Annasamudram and gave him this money asking him to use it to save two Yanadies whom the Inspector had arrested in connection with the case.

The Inspector immediately sent for Jahir Beg who denied having given delinquent any money at all.

4. It is doubtful whether the delinquent handed over the money to Inspector

188 8
FOREIGN DEPARTMENT.
No. 6
To 1/2

Khader Shareef immediately on reaching the village or whether the Inspector threatened him and put pressure on him till he gave up the money. The Inspector himself says the man gave up the money on arrival without any questions being asked him. The Village Magistrate of Annasamudram says on the contrary (Vide his statement in Dy Magistrate's Court) that the delinquent did not give up the money till the Inspector had threatened him. I am of opinion that the Inspector has lied and that he did threaten him, because I do not think it likely that the village magistrate would have stated that he did without some reason, and because I have other information on the subject which confirms my belief.

In his ~~deffence~~ defence the delinquent ~~[illegible]~~ cites Const. No 25 (Inspector's writer) who he says was the only person present when he handed the money to Inspector except Jahir Beg himself whom he says he arrested and brought to the Inspector when he brought him the money.

I find that the only persons likely to have been present when delinquent handed over the money to Inspector were.

(1) The V. M. of Annasamudram.

(2) Hd Const. Penchalu of Chennamar.

(3) Jahir Beg

(4) Const. No 25.

1 ~~The~~ V. M. of Annasamudram says that delinquent produced Rs 96/ under pressure and thereby confirms the probability that he was in guilty possession of them.

(2) Head Const. Penchalu says that delinquent brought Rs 96/ to Inspector and said that Jahir Beg had given them to him as a bribe but that he did not arrest or ~~bring~~ bring Jahir Beg to Inspector and that he paid the Rs 96/ into Inspector own hands. No one being present except the V. M. the Inspector, delinquent, and himself.

3 Jahir Beg denies that he gave Rs 96 to delinquent at all.

4. No 25 says that delinquent brought Rs 96 to Annasamudram which he gave to Hd Const. Penchalu. The Inspector was lying down ill in a house close by. delinquent brought Jahir Beg with him but the Inspector did not see him and Head Const. Penchalu sent him away without the Inspector seeing him.

The above evidence is not at all conclusive as to delinquent's guilt in a positive sense but in a negative sense it is fairly strong when considered with delinquent's own statement. He denies that any one was present when he handed the money to Inspector except Jahir Beg and No 25 and No 25 does not support him in full because he says that Inspector never saw Jahir Beg at all and that the money was not paid to Inspector but to Head Const. Penchalu.

Delinquent entirely fails to prove that Jahir Beg paid him Rs 96/. There is not a particle of evidence as to this. I also think it most improbable that Jahir Beg did pay him this money.

There is no reason why he should have paid him any money. Delinquent was sent for by Inspector and says he met Jahir Beg on way. Jahir Beg has no obvious connection with the Yanadees who were arrested and it is most improbable that he should pay 96/ to a Const. whom he met on the way and who had nothing particular to do with the Case as far as he knew.

To sum up. There is nothing except the bare word of the delinquent to account for his possession of the money. On the other hand there is the report of the Inspector that he sent for him because he had heard that he had got hold of money connected with the Case, and the statement of the Village Magistrate that delinquent did not own to having the money till hard pressed by Inspector.

This statement was given in Court on oath and I believe it.

This failure on the part of the delinquent to prove how he came by the money together with the obvious falsehood he tells when he says that the only person present when he paid the money to Inspector was No 25, I am firmly ~~Convicted~~ Convinced that his possession of the money was most suspicious.

There is a great deal in this Case which has not come to light. The Head Constable (Penchalu) is a man who has recently been transferred to Cherimana and reduced mixing himself up with Village factions and other serious faults, The V. M. of Annasamudram is also a most untrustworthy witness being badly mixed up with factious disputes himself in some of which the Head Constable is believed to be interested. The Inspector has undoubtedly lied in the Case. The Yanadies Arrested were obviously not the right men and the deputy Magistrate has passed Strictures on the Conduct of the Police in his Judgment which the Inspector will have to Answer.

My own Opinion is that the whole case against the Yanadies was a Concoction. that the Rs 96/ was probably recovered Jahir Beg and was stolen property. that the Police attempted to use it (as stolen property) against the Yanadies. but were prevented from doing so because the V. M. refused to sign a false search list (Vide the V. M's Statement)

These facts will prove the Subject of a Separate enquiry. Had not the Circumstances of the whole Case been so suspicious I should have dismissed the present delinquent

and prosecuted him afterwards. As it is I Consider it likely that he was made a tool of by his Superior Officers. and that although his possession of the Rs 96/ was undoubtedly guilty ~~Position~~ possession, yet some allowances must be made for the pressure that was probably put on him. His defence undoubtedly contains many lies of an obvious Character and he deserves punishment for this too.

5. Reduced 2d C. C. Permanent and transferred to Kanigiry.

(True copy)

Sd/ E. G. [illegible]

Ag. Superintendent of Police

7.10.97 Nellore.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE
17 FEB 900
MADRAS.

Memo of
Calculation of Pension

5 Years average Emoluments from 7 December 1892 to 6 December 1897.

	Rs - a - p
7 to 31 Decr/92 at Rs 8 p.m. ...	6 - 7 - 2
1 January/93 to 30 Septr/97 @ do ..	456 - " - "
1 to 6 October/97 @ do —	1 - 8 - 9
7 to 31 octr/97 @ 7 p.m. —	5 - 10 - 3
1 to 30 Novr/97 @ do —	7 - " - "
1 to 6 Decr/97 @ do —	1 - 5 - 8
60)	477 - 15 - 10
	Rs 7 - 15 - 5

∴ Pension is $\frac{25}{60}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ of Rs 7 - 15 - 5

= Rs 3 - 15 - 8 ✓

189 8
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
No. 1
To 5

16
11.2.98

J. Kroute
Asst: Supdt of Police

M.K.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

No. 9
P.R.

MADRAS, 24 February 1898.

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,
INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,
Madras,

To

THE UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PENSION DEPARTMENT,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit for orders of Government an application for the grant of a pension to Muhammad Isack, late a 2d Class Constable No. 509 of the Nellore District.

Enclosures. 6

2. He has served in the Police Department for 25 years & 10 months ~~and~~ " ~~days~~, and has borne a bad character. He is now unfit for further effective service of any kind as certified by the District Medical and Sanitary Officer on the 6 December 1897.

3. He is entitled to an invalid monthly pension of Rupees (3. 15. 8) Three, annas fifteen and pies eight payable at Atmakur from 7th December 1897,

according to " ~~of the Police Superannuation Fund Rules and~~ Articles 485, 518 bis and 652 Scale B of the Civil Service Regulations (~~Second~~ third Edition).

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,

for Inspr Genl of Police.

1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
No. 8
To 152

162

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.