17 1–295

Pension (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 113

DATED 10-2-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid gratuity of Rs. 36 0 0 to B. V. Narasimulu late Dt. Post runner Badrachalam Wudajudium Line -

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[A/T]
100—12-5-97.

C. No. 77
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Post Master Genl. Madras dated 26th Oct 1897, No. PG 1-746/22271

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 2-2-1898, No. A.D.J./464

ORDER THEREON, 10th Feby 1898 No. 113.

Character - Good

The applicant retired on the 30th Novr. 1892, and his gratuity papers were received by Govt. only on the 7th Feby 1898, or more than 5 years afterwards. The Post Master General very much regrets this long delay and says that "the Inspector of the Division is greatly to blame in the matter, and that on receipt of certain further particulars from the Divisional Supdt. of Post Offices, it will be considered what punishment should be inflicted on him". Please see paras 8 & 9 of his letter flagged A.

Issue. the P.M.G. will take the necessary action

10/2/98

S.C.A.
9/2/98

Under articles 485 + 524 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Bandaru Narasimulu, late District Post runner, Badrachalam-Wudajudiem Line, an invalid gratuity — of Rupees (36/-) Thirty six only payable at Bhadrachallam ~~from~~ in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 20 years, 7 months and " days.

2. The medical certificate dated 5 yrs after the applicant ceased to do duty accepted under art: 991 (1) of the [illegible]

10/2/98

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" " P.M.G., Madras.

Pen: C-No 77

Revised APPLICATION FOR ~~PENSION OR~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Bandaru Narasimulu

2. Father's name. Bandaru Yelliah

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Hindu - Mutrasy - Sudra

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Chodavaram - Bhadrachellum Taluk

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Line runner Bhadrachellum - Wirsagudiem line

6. Date of beginning of service. 1st May 1872

7. Date of ending of service. 30th November 1892

	Y.	M.	D.
8 Length of service, including interruptions	20	7	0
of which superior	0	0	0
inferior	20	7	0
non-qualifying and interruptions	0	0	0

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Invalid gratuity the applicant having been incapacitated for further service of any kind in consequence of "heart disease" vide medical certificate, &c.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 6/-

11. Proposed pension. — nil

12. Proposed gratuity. — Rs 36/- or six months' pay

13. Date from which pension is to commence. Nil

14. Place of payment. Bhadrachallam

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 1833 (vide medical certificate)

16. Height. 5ft. 6 inches

17. Marks. Three marks of burning on the head and four on the abdomen.

18. Date 17-1-98.

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

†(If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

J Curtis,

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.
Offg: Postmaster General

13/59

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Bandaru Narasimulu, late runner Bhadrachalam-Wudagudiem line.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Bhadrachalam-Wudagudiem line.	Dt. Post runner	5	-	1-5-72	31-8-74	2	4	-	-	-	-	1 & 4	(1) By the statement of the applicant Dre.S. (2) " " " of P. Venkatappa, Chotrainam Dre.S. (3) " " " S. Atchigh, Chotrainam Dre.S. (4) " " " [illegible] Dre.S. (5) By certificate of [illegible] Dre.S.	Verified partly from the records of the Postal Department and partly from the affidavit of the applicant's contemporary evidence and the verification of the services from 1875 except from 1877 to 1879 and for 1890 are formed by reference to the annual Returns on record in this office.
	Do	5	-	1-9-74	31-12-74	-	4	-	-			5		
	Do	5	-	1-1-75	31-1-75	-	1	-				1 & 4.		
	Do	5	-	1-2-75	31-7-75	-	6	-	-	-	-	5.		
	Leave without allowances	-	-	1-8-75	31-10-75	-	3	-	-	-	-			
	Dt. Post runner	5	—	1-11-75	30-11-89	14	1	-	-	-	-			
	Leave without allowances	-	-	1-12-89	30-4-90	-	5	-	-	-	-			
	Dt. Post runner	5	-	1-5-90	31-7-90	—	3	-	-	-	-			
	Leave without allowances	-	-	1-8-90	31-8-90	-	1	-	-	-	-			
	Dt. Post runner	6	—	1-9-90	31-8-92	2	-	-	-	-	-			
	Dt. Totapaly Stage	6	-	1-9-92	30-11-92	-	3	-	-	-	-			
	Total period of ~~Superior~~ Service ..				..	20	7	-	-	-	-			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.	Good
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.	Nil
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI).	Nil
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.	Nil.
5. Any other remarks.	Under the circumstances stated by the Divisional Superintendent, the medical certificate bearing a later date may be accepted under Art: 991.C. of the C.S.R.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)].	The service claimed by the applicant has been established and should be admitted vide transmitting letter.

S. J. Curtis

SIGNATURE.

Offg: Postmaster General

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

days, and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

13/5 9

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Bandaru Narsimulu, late runner Bhadrachalam Wudagudem line.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Bhadrachalam Wudagudem line.	Dt. Post runner	5	-	1-5-72	31-8-74	2	4	-	-	-	-	154	(1) From the Statement of the affidavit [illegible] (2) Do of S. Venkatayyah [illegible] (3) Do of A. [illegible] (4) Do of [illegible] (5) From verification of [illegible]	Verified partly from the records of the Postal Department and partly from the affidavit of the applicant & contemporary evidence and the verification of the services from 1875 except from 1877 to 1879 and for 1890 confirmed by reference to the annual Return on record in this office.
	Do	5	-	1-9-74	31-12-74	-	4	-	-	-	-	5		
	Do	5	-	1-1-75	31-1-75	-	1	-	-	-	-	154.		
	Do	5	-	1-2-75	31-7-75	-	6	-	-	-	-	5.		
	Leave without allowances	-	-	1-8-75	31-10-75	-	3	-	-	-	-			
	Dt. Post runner	5	-	1-11-75	30-11-89	14	1	-	-	-	-			
	Leave without allowances	-	-	1-12-89	30-4-90	-	5	-	-	-	-			
	Dt. Post runner	5	-	1-5-90	31-7-90	-	3	-	-	-	-			
	Leave without allowances	-	-	1-8-90	31-8-90	-	1	-	-	-	-			
	Dt. ~~Post~~ runner	6	-	1-9-90	31-8-92	2	-	-	-	-	-			
	Dt. Kotapaly [illegible]	6	-	1-9-92	30-11-92	-	3	-	-	-	-			
	Total period of ~~Superior~~ Service ..				..	20	7	-	-	-	-			

from 1-5-72 to
1-1-75 to

ceased to do duty and to admit the periods of service noted in the margin claimed in the affidavit of the applicant & contemporary evidence, qualifying service in inferior grades will be duly proved for 20 years 7 months and 0 days; and an Invalid gratuity not exceeding Rs 36.0.0 equal to 6 months pay will be admissible under Articles 485 and 524(a) of the Civil Service Regulations, 2d Edition.

The calculations have been duly verified.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Post master General in para 8 of his letter referred to in the preceding para.

In accordance with Rule 3 under Article 924 & ~~the~~ attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Article 514 of the Regulations.

a D 9
464

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
7 - FEB. 1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Fort Saint George
Accountant General's Office
2nd February 1898

To
The Under Secretary to Government,
Pension Department.

J. C. Manson
Accountant General

DEO / 8.2.98

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY— Gratuity

Date of Application. 17-1-98

Name of Applicant. Bandaru Narsimulu

Last Appointment. Late runner Bhadrachalam -Wudagudiem line

Class of pension or gratuity. Invalid

Amount of pension sanctioned. Nil

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of Commencement. Nil

Date of sanction.

Ans. 77/98

Do 113/98

368

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR ~~GRATUITY.~~

1. Name of Applicant. Bandaru Narsimulu

2. Father's name. Bandaru Yelliah

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Hindu-Mutrasy, Sudra

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Bhadavaram, Bhadrachalam, Taluk.

5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Late runner, Bhadrachalam-Wudagudiem line.

6. Date of beginning of service. 1st July 1861

7. Date of ending of service. 30th November 1892.

	Y.	M.	D.
8 Length of service, including interruptions	31	5	0
of which superior	—	—	—
inferior	31	5	0.
non-qualifying and interruptions	0	—	—

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Invalid pension the applicant having been incapacitated for further service of any kind in consequence of old age and bodily infirmity vide certificate "Doc A".

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 5-7-2. (Vide memo of calculation Doc B")

11. Proposed pension. Rs 2-11-7.

12. Proposed gratuity. Nil

13. Date from which pension is to commence. 1st December 1892.

14. Place of payment. Bhadrachalam.

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† About 1827.

16. Height. 5 ft 6 inches.

17. Marks. Three marks of burning on the head and four on and near the abdomen.

18. Date 26-10-97

See Revised appln

1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
Enc. .... No. 2
To 77
C. No. ....

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

†(If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

G J Curtis

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.
Postmaster-General.

4/59

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Bandaru Nursimulu, late runner Bhadrachalam-Wudagudem line.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Bhadrachalam-Wudagudem line.	District Post Runner Chodavaram Stage	5	—	1-7-61	31-5-63	1	11	—				1,344	1. By the statement of the applicant Docs 'C' & 'C1' 2. " " of Venkatakrishna Chodavaram Docs 'D' & 'D1' 3. " " of Atchiyalo Chodavaram Docs 'E' & 'E1' 4. " " of Am Rath Chodavaram Docs 'F' & 'F1' 5. By the verification stt of the Cheruchu P.O. Doc 'G' prepared from requisite Rolls.	
	Do — Do	5.	—	1-6-63	31-8-74	11	3	—				104		
	Do — Do	5	—	1-9-74	31-12-74	—	4	—				5		
	Do — Do	5	—	1-1-75	31-1-75	—	1	—				104.		
	Do — Do	5	—	1-2-75	31-7-75	—	6	—						
	Leave without allowance	—	—	1-8-75	31-10-75	—	3	—				5		
	Dt Post Runner, Chodavaram Stage	5	—	1-11-75	30-11-89	14	1	—						
	Leave without allowance	—	—	1-12-89	30-4-90	—	5	—						
	Dt Post runner Chodavaram Stage	5	—	1-5-90	31-7-90	—	3	—						
	Leave without allowance	—	—	1-8-90	31-8-90	—	1	—						
	Dt Post runner, Chodavaram Stage.	6	—	1-9-90	31-8-92	2	—	—						
	Do Totapully Stage.	6		1-9-92	30-11-92	—	3	—						
	Total period of ~~Superior~~ Service					31	5	—						

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Good
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Nil
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI). Nil
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil
5. Any other remarks. Nil.
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. The Service claimed by the applicant has been established and should be admitted, vide transmitting letter.

P. J. Curtis

SIGNATURE.
Postmaster General.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for years months days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Application for Pension or Gratuity—

	Pension
Date of Application.	26-10-97
Name of Applicant.	Bandaru Narsimha
Last Appointment.	Late runner Bhadrachalam Wadagudem line
Class of pension or gratuity.	Invalid pension.
Amount of pension sanctioned.	
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.	Nil.
Date of Commencement.	
Date of sanction.	

A

Certificate

I do hereby certify that Bandaru Narasimha Runner of the Bhadrachalam Wadagudem line is unfit for further Service on account of old age and bodily infirmity.

Jesumel

Offg

SUPDT. POST OFFICES, COCANADA DN.

14-10-97

1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
No 3
C. No 77

B

Memo of Calculation

Arrear Increments.

For 27 months from 1-9-90 to 30-11-92 @ Rs 6/- per mensem — Rs 162-..-..

For 33 months prior to 1-9-90 @ Rs 5/- per mensem — Rs 165-..-..

Total for 60 months = Rs 327-..-.. or Rs 5-7-2 per mensem

Pension Admissible = ½ of Rs 5-7-2 = Rs 2-11-7

[illegible] 21/10/97

Statement of Bandaru Narsimulu Runner Bhadrachelam Waddapudim aged about 70 years.

I entered into Government service as Runner at Chodavaram. My business was to convey mail to Totapalli. My entertainments in the Departments was at the time the line was first opened after the Bhadrachelam Taluq was ceded to the English Government by the Nizam of Hydrabad. From the begining of my service up to the end of my service I served in the Chodavaram Stapag and no where else.

The pay I received in the begining was Rs 5/- a month and I used to get it in Bhadrachelam. This was for about 10 or 12 years after my entertainment. Then the tappal was under the Dt Post ~~sta~~ Supervision. There was direct line from Bhadrachelam to Ellore through the Nizam's territory. ~~During 2nd~~ After the Rumpa disturbance the line ~~was~~ to ~~dr~~ Ellore was diverted via Waddapudim and I used to get my pay from Overseer.

I was not given any order by the Overseer for my discontinuing from the appointment. Having become old and being unable to run I told the Overseer Mr Thopaddim then here that I cannot serve and at his oral order I ~~did~~ retired. The present Overseer too did not give me any order.

— Bandaru Narsimulu's
mark

Taken by me

J Samuel

Rathnam
Caranam

Inspector. 30/6/

18 8
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
Enc. ...... No. 4
To
C. No. 77

SUPDT. POST OFFICES,
COCANADA DN.

Statement of Bandaru Narsimulu late runner Bhadrachelam Wuddapadim line and son of Bandaru Yelliah

Dr Post line was not opened immediately after the Bhadrachelam Talug was ceded by H.H. the Nizam of Hyderabad to the British Government. For a year the tappal were conveyed by the Police but as their work did not give satisfaction and as they could not also spare their services Dr Post line was opened and I was appointed runner then. Since then I served until I retired and was never suspended, dismissed, or otherwise punished at any time.

I am not in possession of any order of my appointment. I was given a service book two or three years after my appointment as runner, but I lost it during the high floods of the Godavary about ten years back.

1 Dandakuri Venkatappiah late Kurnam's gumastah 2 Daddukuri Atchiah ryot of Chodavaram and 3 Chitimalla Antiah goldsmith Chodavaram know about my service and they can give evidence in my favour.

There is at present no runner who was appointed with me to give evidence about my service.

— Mark of Bandaru Narsimulu

Taken by me

[illegible]

Inspector 28/9/97

188 8
DEPARTMENT.
No. 5
To 77

SUPDT. POST OFFICES,
COCANADA-DN.

St. 1—

Statement of Dendukuri Venkatappiah late Kurnam [illegible] Chodavaram aged 50 years

[illegible]

[illegible] 1862 [illegible]

[illegible]

N.B.
Translation on the reverse

Taken by me

Ratnam
Curnam

Inspector P.O.
30-6-97

18[illegible]
PENSION DEPARTMENT
No. 6
77

SUPDT. POST OFFICES,
COCANADA DN.

My present residence is Chodavaram. For about 40 years I have been living here. I was Karnam's gumasta in Chodavaram. For the last 10 or 12 years I have not been looking into that duty. I discontinued from Karnam's gumastah from the time the Karnam under whom I was gumastah lost his post of Karnam. My age is now over 50 years.

I know Bandaru Narasimulu. From the time of the settlement of this taluq I know him. Settlement was made in 1862. At the time of settlement he was carrying mail. He was carrying mail to Bhadrachelam and Kotapalli. I know him from that time carrying the mail. My gumastaship under the Karnam began during the time of settlement.

True translation

Statement of Dendukuri Venkatappiah late Karnam's gumasta Chodavaram

D10

[illegible]

N.B. Translation on the reverse.

Taken by me

Gopal
Inspector P.Os
28-9-97

PENSION ESTABLISHMENT No. 7 D. No. 77

SUPDT. POST OFFICES: COCANADA-DN.

In the statement I gave last time about the service of Bandaru Narsimulu late runner Chodavaram, I told that I know him from the time the survey and settlement of the Bhadrachelam taluq was commenced. The survey of the taluq was commenced in the year 1862. Immediately after the completion of the survey settlement commenced in the year 1867. I know Bandaru Narsimulu from the time of survey i.e. from the year 1862. He was then holding the appointment of runner. There was tappal communication by the time I came here from my native village Dowleswaram, and Bandaru Narsimulu was then runner. I came to this village in the year 1862. Since then I have been here, and Narsimulu too was runner since then.

(Sd) Dandukuri Venkatappiah

True translation

Taken by me Samuel

Inspector P.O.s
28-[illegible]-97

Statement of Duddukuri Atchiah Ryot of Chodavaram

I am a native of Chodavaram. My age is now 60 years.

I know Bandaru Narsimulu. I know him for about 3 years before the survey settlement. From the time I know him he runner conveying mail to Totapalli one side and Bhadrachelam another side. Narsimulu originally was a native of Bampadu (Nizam's territory) and he came 30 years ago and since then he has been working as a runner. This is what I know as a fact.

x in 1863

..1863-3-1860

There was no mail line before and tappal communication when opened between Bhadrachelam and Waddepudi Narsimulu was first appointed as runner.

— Duddukuri Atchiah

Taken by me

Ratnam
Carn

Inspector P.O.s
30/6/97

Samuel

SUPDT. POST OFFICES,
COCANADA-DN.

Statement of Duddukuri Ate
Ryot Chodavaram.

E1 E(1)

I remember that Bandaru Narsi
was appointed runner when the Dak
line was opened between Bhadrachelam
Weddapsim. This was previous to the c
-mencement of the Survey of the Bhadrac
Taluq. Since his appointment as run
he is living in this village only.
I am illiterate and an old man al
and therefore I do not remember the e
dates as to when the line was opened, w
the Survey was commenced and what
length of service he has.
He came here from his native village of
Buragampad when the mail line was
first opened and since then he is living
here only serving as runner until he retired.
He settled here now too.

mark of Duddukuri Atelnail

Taken by me

Inspector P.O.
28/9/97.

8
9
77
SUPDT. POST OFFICES,
COCANADA-DN.

Statement of Chitimalla Antiah Goldsmith Chodavaram.

F

I am a native of Chodavaram - My age is about 50 years.

I know Bandaru Narsimulu. I know him from the time he served as runner. When mail line was first opened between Bhadrachelam and Woddagudem Narsimulu was first appointed as runner.

He belonged to Burgampad in the Nizam's territory and he came here when he was appointed as runner and since then he remained here only, serving as a runner.

Previous to 1863

His appointment ~~dates~~ was previous to the survey and +settlement of this taluq, and I know him since then.

చి టిమళ్ల అంతయ్య వ్రాలు

Taken by me

Ratnam
Caram

Inspector P.O.
30/6/97

Samuel

SUPDT: POST OFFICES.
GODAVARI DN.

F(1)

Statement of Chitimalla Antiah Goldsmith Chodavaram

Bandaru Narsimulu was runner for one or two years previous to the Commencement of the Survey in 1882. I dont remember the exact period, but he was runner from the time the line was Opened which was previous to the Commencement of the Survey.

చిటిమళ్ల అంతయ్య వ్రాలు

1862 or 1861 or 1860

Taken by me

Inspector P.Os
28/9-97

Samuel

STATEMENT OF THE SERVICES OF Bandaru Narasimulu late Runner Waddigudem Bhadrachellam line as verified by the records of the Cocanada Post Office.

NOTE.—Remarks to be furnished under column 8:

(a) The words "not traceable" should be entered against periods for which the official's name is not traceable in the records. The fact whether the appointment was filled up temporarily or permanently and under what circumstances should be stated.

(b) Where the official has held, during the last 5 years of his qualifying service, an acting appointment counting towards pension, it should be stated whether the appointment was fully vacant or whether the absentee drew any allowance.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Establishment.	Appointment. N. B.—District Post appointment should be distinguished by the words "District Post."	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Description of leaves and interruptions.	REMARKS.
Waddigudem - Bhadrachalam line	District Post Runner	5	.	1-9-74	31-12-74		
				1-1-75	31-1-75		No records
	do	5	.	1-2-75	31-7-75		
				1-8-75	31-10-75	Leave without pay	
	do	5	.	1-11-75	30-11-89		
				1-12-89	30-4-90	do	
	do	5	.	1-5-90	31-7-90		
				1-8-90	31-8-90	do	
	do	6	.	1-9-90	31-8-92		
	Tolapally stage	6	.	1-9-92	30-11-92		

Cocanada Post Office,
Dated 10-5-1897

Form No. 79. 7-9-96.—3000.

Postmaster.

I am Bandara Narsimulu, late a runner on the Bhadrachellum – ~~Dummagudiem~~ Wuddagudi Distt Post line. The Postmaster, Venkata Charlu of Dummagudiem sent for me & told me that the Dy Commissioner has ordered the opening of a line between Bhadrachellum and Kunavaram (Wuddigudiem) & asked me to get 10 runners for the purpose. At that time I was Employed ~~in the~~ as Peon ~~under~~ in the Engineering Deptt Engaged in the work of Constructing the Anicut at Dummagudiem. This was temporary work. I Contd myself. The Anicut work had been finished some five years before this. During the interval between the completion of the work of Dummagudiem Anicut & the opening of the line Bhadrachellum to Kunavaram I worked here & there – sometimes under Jagirdars and at others – I Contd myself – I served the whole of that period under one Jagirdar called Wesan Pandia. As requested by Postmaster Venkata Charlu I collected 9 men and took them to him. He directed me to go to the Tahsildar Dummagudem with these 9 men. The Tahsildar's name was Nagahoochnam. His house name I do not know. He was a Brahmin & his native place was Bunder (Masulipatam). The

Sam Bandaru Narainulu late runner Bhadrachellum–Woodragudiem line. I remember it well that the line Bhadrachellum–Kunnavaram was opened under the orders of the Dy Comr Central Provinces. The Bhadrachellum Taluq was then under the Central Provinces. The Collector (Dy Commr) used to come from Sironcha for jamabundy.

I said in my statement made on the 22nd Instt that a year after the opening of the line Syed Lal sub overseer began to distribute our pay & that he continued to do so until his transfer. After him the pay of the runners was distributed by Ponnagupatti Venkia. This man also was overseer on the Dummagudiem–Ellore line. He used to visit our line twice a month once for distributing pay and again for supervising the working. He served from 5 to 7 years and was transferred to some other place. Then the big line Dummagudiem to Ellore was divided & a regular overseer appointed to our line. The first overseer under this new arrangement was Boothavorry Venkatasawmy. He worked there for about 5 or 7 years. He then fell ill & died. He was succeeded by a person named Narasimham. He served there about 3 years & was degraded & removed. Then came Sherfuddin as overseer. He served for about 3 years and was succeeded by the present overseer Mahomed Ibrahim

8
DEPARTMENT.
15
22

Khan. Besides the overseers I have named I know no other overseer on this line -

I did not tell the Inspector that ~~the~~ before [illegible] my entertainment the Police conveyed the mail for one or two years. What I must have said is that before the opening of the P.O. at Kunavaram & the line connecting it with Bhadrachellam, whenever the Collector or any other officer visited Kunavaram this tappal used to be conveyed from Bhadrachellam & delivered to those officers by the Police - Nor did I tell the Inspector that ~~the~~ I drew my pay at Bhadrachellam for about 10 or 12 years after my entertainment - I never drew my pay from the Bhadrachellam P.O. In the commencement Syed Lal overseer used to bring the pay from Dummagudem & I used to receive it - but eventually he was directed to travel over the whole line & distribute the salaries of the runners -

X mark of Bundam Narsimulu

Taken by me this 26th day of December 1897

Supdt

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS

Code Class. 81

Monthly Number. 723

To (Office) Rajahmundry

From (Office) Nagpur J

Words. 11/3 Day. 24 Hour. 10 Minute. 25 Official Instructions. D/O

To (Person) Supdt Post

From (Person) Post

Nadagudiem opened in

May 1872

Recd. from Cda

At 7 Hrs. 45 Mts. by

RAJAHMUNDRY 25-12-9

Telegraph Master.

32 Word Form.

T.C.I.

G C P—No. 779 T.—19-3-97 · 400000

Bandaru Narasimhulu's pension case

Sir. Case is in camp. [illegible]

17/12

12683

No. 6798

K

From

UPDT. OF POST OFFICES,
RECEIVED.
17 DEC 97
COCANADA-DN.

The DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Chanda

To

The Superintendent
Post Offices
Cocanada Dn
Rajmandry

Dated 12th December 1897.

Sir,

With reference to your telegram dated 10th instant inviting attention to your No. 13645 d/ 21st ultimo I have the honor the honor to refer you to a Copy of a letter No. 5186 D/27th September forwarded under Cover of this Office No: 5187 D/ 27th idem from which it will be seen that there are no records in this Office to furnish the information required.

2. The Superintendent Post offices Nagpur Division informs me that the requisite records are not forthcoming in his Office

PENSION DEPARTMENT.
L. No. ...... No. 17
To
C. No. 77

or in that of the Comptroller C.P.
fact which the former might
ave already Communicated to
ou as stated in his letter No. 6191/C.S
f 30th September to my address.

3. Under the circumstances
may suggest that procedure
laid down in Article 987
(c) page 280 of the Civil Service
Regulations may be adopted.

I have the honor &c,
Sir,
Your Most obdt Servant

for Deputy Commissioner

12467

M

UPOT OF POST OFFICES,
RECEIVED.
23 DEC 97
COCANADA-DN.

Dis No. 1724/ENG of 1897.

GODAVARI DISTRICT, COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
Cocanada, 11th December 1897.

FROM
V. A. Brodie ESQ.,
COLLECTOR,
Godavari District.

TO
THE Superintendent of Post Offices,
Cocanada Dn,
Rajahmundry.

SIR,

With reference to your letter dated the 21st ultimo, No. 13643/CC, I have the honor to inform you that the annual returns of the District Post Establishment for the years 1877 to 1879 required by you are not forthcoming in the records of this Office.

I have the honor &c,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
11.12.
For Collector.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.
Eng. ... No. 14
To
C. No. 97

REVENUE (1897) DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR,

Godavari District.

To

THE Superintendent of
Post Offices,
Cocanada Dn

Dated 11th }
Despatched 11th } December 1897.
Received }

Dis No. 1724 ~~B.C.~~ of 1897.

ENCLOSURES. Nil.

SUBJECT.

Informing him that the annual returns of the District Post Establishment for 1877 to 1879 are not forthcoming in the records of this office.

Report sub Supdt 11/12

Bring this up this morning
sd/ 5/12

11980

No. 666

SUPDT. OF POST OFFICES
RECEIVED.
6 DEC 97
COCANADA-DN

N CAN DA P. O.

4th December 1897

From

THE POSTMASTER,

To

The Superintendent of Post Offices

Cocanada Division

Rajahmundry

SIR,

In returning your No $\frac{13640}{C-6}$ dated 21-11-97 I beg to report as follows.

2. (Para 3) No regular copy of the Annual Return for 1890 is on record in this Office; hence the omission therein pointed out cannot be reasonably explained

3. (Para 5) (a) Annual returns prior to 1891 are not traceable in the records of this Office. In 1891, the date of incumbent's entertainment into the department was shown as 1-12-70 and the same was repeated in the Annual Returns of 1892 and 1893.

1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
Com. No. 20
To
G. No. 77

4 (b) In 1891 his date of birth was shown as 15-3-31 and the same was repeated in 1892 & 1893; hence it may be considered

Ms.—8. 1295

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT.

To be returned with the reply written in the right-hand column.

FROM

The ~~POSTMASTER~~ INSPECTOR OF POST OFFICES, RAJAHMUNDRY-SUB-DN,

To The Tahsildar
Bhadrachelam

No. 880 dated 29th June 1897.

Sir

I have the honours to request you will be good enough to inform me when Bhadrachelam Taluq was ceded to the British by the Hyderabad State and when it was transferred from the control of the Government of Central Provinces to

Postmaster.

REPLY Dis. No. 1295/97

Sir,

It appears from para 2 of the Boards Resolution No. 160 dated 19th March 1890 embodied in Govt. order dated 17th June 1890 No. 460 Revenue that the ~~[illegible]~~ Taluk was transferred from ~~Central Provinces~~ the Nizam to the British Govt. in 1860 and that it was transferred from Central Provinces to the Madras Presidency in 1876.

Bhadrachalam } C.S. [illegible]
5-7-97 } for [illegible]

No. 205

P

Certified that I have carefully examined Bandaru Narisimulu son of Bandaru Yelliah a Runner in the Postal Department. His age is, by his own statement 70 years, and by appearance about 64 years. I consider Bandaru Narisimulu to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind (or in the department to which he belongs) in consequence Heart Disease. His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

RAJAHMUNDRY.
27th Decr. 1897.

Surgeon Major,
District ~~Surgeon~~ Medl. & Sanity. Officer

Post Office Department.

29 OCT 97
ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE MADRAS

6/4931

From

THE POSTMASTER GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

The Accountant General,

Madras.

Regd

No. P.G.1-746 dated Madras, the 26 October, 1897.

22271

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for favourable consideration and sanction of Government a Register of Application for an Invalid Pension of Rupees Two, Annas Eleven and Pies Seven (Rs.2-11-7) only, per month in behalf of Bandaru Narsimulu, late runner, Bhadrachalam-Wudagudiem line.

2. His last pay Certificate, Document "H", is enclosed.

3. The applicant claims a service of over 31 years from 1-7-/61. His service from 1-9-/74 up to the date of his retirement has been verified from the records of the Cocanada Head Office, with the exception of a period of one month from 1-1-/75 to 31-1-/75 for which no records are available, vide Document "G". In support of his service prior to 1-9-/74 and the unverified period of one month referred to above, the following three witnesses have been examined :-

5-10-97—3600.

(I) D. Venkatappiah,
late Kurnam's Gumastah,
Chodaveram.

(II) D. Atchiah,
a Ryot and Resident of
Chodaveram.

and (III) C. Antia,
a Goldsmith and
Resident of Chodaveram.

4. The 1st witness states, vide Documents " D " and "D.1", that when he came to settle down in Chodaveram in 1862, the survey of the Bhadrachallam Taluq had just then commenced, and that the applicant was serving as a runner then. He also states that ever since then he has always seen the applicant carrying the mail from his (witness) village - Chodaveram - to Bhadrachallam or Totapalli.

5. The 2nd witness states, vide Documents "E" & "E.1" , that the applicant was employed as a runner at Chodaveram when the District Post line was opened between Bhadrachellam and

Wudagudiem

Wudagudiem which was about 3 years previous to the commencement of the Survey in the Bhadrachellam Taluq, and that he worked continuously as a runner up to the date of his retirement.

6. The 3rd witness states, vide Documents "F" and "F.1", that the applicant entered the service when the mail line was opened between Bhadrachellam and Wudagudiem which was about two years previous to the commencement of the Survey above referred to . The applicant remained at Chodaveram working as a runner from that period up to the date of his retirement.

7. It has been ascertained that the Survey of the Bhadrachellam Taluq was commenced in June 1863. The testimony of the 1st witness shews that the applicant's service was continuous from 1863, and that of the 2nd and 3rd witnesses, clearly indicates 1861 as the year in which applicant first commenced serving. The 1st July of that year has accordingly been fixed as the date of commencement of service and as his claim to a pension has been fully established, it should be admitted and the pension granted vide para 1.

8. From the pension papers submitted, it will be seen that a delay of almost five years has occurred. The applicant was put out of employ on 30-11-/92 and if his case

had been taken in hand immediately, he would now have been enjoying his pension, but unfortunately, from the date the applicant was placed out of employ up to the 30th November last, the Divisional Superintendent of Post Offices was not aware that a case of this nature was pending in his Divn:. On the latter date, he received a petition from the applicant praying for the grant of a pension as the several Arzees (petitions) which he had submitted to the Overseer and Inspector of the line had had no effect. The Divisional Superintendent of Post Offices at once took action in the matter and the result of his enquiries up to date, shew that the overseer of the line placed the applicant out of employ and reported the matter to the Inspector who, however, denies the receipt of any such report in his office till May /95 when he received a paper in connection with the case which, he says he handed over to his successor. From an examination of the overseer's correspondence register however, it is seen that he did make two reports to the Inspector in 1892, but as the records of the latter officer for 1892 have been destroyed ,it cannot now be ascertained whether those reports were actually received . From the facts to hand up to date, it is , however, apparent that this Inspector is greatly to blame in the matter and on receipt of certain further particulars from the Divisional

Superintendent of Post Offices, it will be considered what punishment should be inflicted on him. In consequence of the above facts, a letter of application under Article 1013 of the Civil Service Regulations cannot be submitted and, under the circumstances explained, its production may be dispensed with .

9. The delay which has taken place in the disposal of this case is very much to be regretted but it would have been prevented if the runner had brought the matter to the notice of the Superintendent within a reasonable length of time after his retirement . His omission to take this simple step has been the main cause of the Inspector's negligence remaining undetected .

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G J Curtis

Offg: Postmaster General.

Corr.—500—1-10-96.

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE OF THE POSTMASTER-GENERAL MADRAS
RECEIVED
9 NO.97

No. A.D.K. / 285

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
6th November 1897.

Urgent

URGENT.

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Post Master General,
Madras.

Attention is drawn to No. 1 of the printed Memo. of Instructions from this office.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension ~~gratuity~~ of Bandaru Narasimhulu, late District Post runner Badrachalam Wudagudiem Line is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

J. O. B. Power
Chief Superintendent.

1898
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
Enc. No. 1
To
C. No. 77

1. The applicants last pay and not his average emoluments should be entered opposite to No. 10 on the 1 page of the pension application and a corresponding alteration made in the amount of pension entered opposite to No. 11 thereof.

2. Copies of the Annual Returns of the District Post Establishment Godavari District for the years 1877 to 1879 should be furnished, as the same are not traceable in the records maintained in this office.

3. The applicants name is not traceable in the Annual Return for 1890. The omission should be explained.

4. The District Post Establishment attached to the Badrachellam Taluq during the years 1866 to 1874 is not traceable in that of the Godavari District. The omission should be explained. From an entry made in the Return for 1875 it would appear that the taluk of

Bhadrachellam was transferred from the central Provinces to the Godavari District. If so, the applicants service rendered in the central Provinces should be supported by a verified statement of service signed by the Head of Office in the central Provinces.

5. Explanation of the following discrepancies is requested.

(a) In the annual Returns from 1880 to 1888 and in those from 1889 to 1892 the date of the applicants appointment is shewn as 1870 and 1-12-71 respectively while these dates do not appear on the 2 page of the pension application.

(b) In the annual Returns mentioned above the date of the applicants birth is shewn as 1840 and 15-3-31 respectively neither of which agrees with that given in the 1 page of the pension application. If the year 1840 be correct then the applicants age at the time of his retirement would be less than 60 and his incapacity for further service should be certified by a a medical officer vide article 456 of the Civil Service Regulations.

6. The date of ending of the applicants service is shewn in the pension application as 30-11-92 while his name appears also in the annual Return for 1893 with the remark that the applicant was absent on leave. The discrepancy should be explained.

Copy of a communication No. 1683 dated 28th December 1897
from the Superintendent of Post offices, Cocanada Dn.
to the Postmaster General, Madras.

In returning the papers received with your endorsement No. 23803 dated 11th November 1897, I beg to report that the applicant has no claim for pension being entitled only to a gratuity.

2. In his statement made before me on 22nd December 1897 vide original enclosed, he states that his service commenced from the date the Kunavaram* Post office was opened and that the line on which he was employed was opened simultaneously with the Kunavaram Post office. In fact the line was opened to connect the new office at Kunavaram with the already existing Post office at Bhadrachalam. I have ascertained by wire from the Postmaster Nagpur (vide original enclosed) that the Kunavaram Post

NB Kunavaram is another name for Wattagudiam

*Document J

18 8
PENSION DEPARTMENT
No. 23
27

27-5-97.—1000.

Post office was opened in May 1872.

3. The applicant further states that for about six months after the opening of the line the salaries of the runners were distributed by the Police and during the next six months by himself, there having been no overseer for the line. A Sub overseer of the adjoining line named Syed Lall was then deputed to distribute salaries on applicant's line. Syed Lall's pension case shows that he was appointed Sub overseer of the Dummagudiem Ellore line on 1st September 1874 vide case ending with yours No: 2965 dated 6th January 1892.

4. The applicant also says that a person named Ramanja Row was the Postmaster of Bhadrachalam when

when the line was first opened and the applicant entertained as one of the runners that this Ramanja Row continued in charge of that office for about 10 or 15 years and then absconded having embezzled Government money. I have no file of this case on record but my register of correspondence despatched shews that a telegram was despatched to the Postmaster General under No: 383 dated 18th September 1883 intimating that the Postmaster Bhadrachalam had absconded. A subsequent entry under No: 426 dated 19th October 1883 shews that another telegram reporting his arrest was despatched on that date. Ten years before this date will be 1873.

5. Making allowances for the lapse of time and the failing memory of the deponent it is clear that the line was not opened earlier than the post office at Kunavaram. In fact there was no necessity for the line if there was no post office at Kunavaram.

6. The records of the Cocanada Post office have verified the applicant's service since 1st September 1874 and the only portion which requires verification is from May 1872 to 30th August 1874. It has already been reported that this line was within the Territorial Jurisdiction of the Central Provinces during the period in question and all the endeavours made to obtain any

any information regarding it have proved fruitless. On the 30th April 1897 the Chanda Post office was first addressed and it reported that it had records only from 1st April 1880 and for information prior to that date it referred me to the Nagpur Post Office. The Postmaster Nagpur said that the acquittance rolls of the line in question were not on record and when asked where they could be available he replied that "the acquittance rolls appeared to have been destroyed" in the Superintendent's office some years ago when they had been kept on record". The Deputy Commissioner Central Provinces was also addressed. It is true that the question asked him originally was when the line was opened but

but the final reply obtained on the ultimate development of the correspondence was that "the line was not traceable in the registers maintained in the Comptroller's Office". This reply was assumed to be conclusive of the fact that when the line itself was not traceable in the Comptroller's records much less would be the name of the applicant and the enquiry was accordingly closed. Since receipt of your letter under reply I however addressed the Deputy Commissioner again asking him this time directly for a verification statement of the applicant's services prior to September 1874. and have received the accompanying reply[x] which shows that the requisite records are not available. I also enclose a copy of

Doc K

Doc L

of the telegram just received from the Comptroller Central Provinces in answer to my request that if annual returns of the District Post line prior to 1st September 1874 be available to say whether the applicant's name is traceable in it.

This puts an end to any hope that there was as to the possibility of verifying applicant's services from records prior to 1st September 1874. The only alternative left is to have recourse to contemporary evidence. This was already had recourse to, and the statements obtained were submitted. The net effect of these statements is to prove (1) that the applicant has been in servi but the final reply obtained on the ultimate development of the correspondence was that "the line was not traceable in the registers maintained in the Comptroller's Office". This reply was assumed to be conclusive of the fact that when the line itself was not traceable in the Comptroller's records much less would be the name of the applicant and the enquiry was accordingly closed. Since receipt of your letter under reply I however addressed the Deputy Commissioner again asking him this time directly for a verification statement of the applicant's services prior to September 1874. and have received the accompanying reply which shows Doc. K that the requisite records are not available. I also enclose a copy of

Doc L of the telegram just received from the Comptroller Central Provinces in answer to my request that if annual returns of the District Post line prior to 1st September 1874 be available to say whether the applicant's name is traceable in it.

This puts an end to any hope that there was as to the possibility of verifying applicant's services from records prior to 1st September 1874. The only alternative left is to have recourse to contemporary evidence. This was already had recourse to, and the statements obtained were submitted. The net effect of these statements is to prove (1) that the applicant has been in

Serv

Service ever since the line was opened and (2) that the line had been opened before the Survey of the Taluk took place. The latter statement is now proved to be incorrect for it has been ascertained that the line was opened in 1872 and that the Survey and Settlement had been completed five years before this (1867). This fact no doubt tends to vitiate the veracity of the other statements of the witnesses. There is however one circumstance which goes to shew that the applicant was in service prior to 1st September 1874. He says that for one year (which is obviously an error for a little more than two years) there was no overseer to distribute the runners salaries and then a Sub overseer named Syed Lall was deputed from an adjoining line to do this work, the salaries in the

interim

interim having been distributed by himself and the Police. It is now ascertained that the line was opened in 1872 and that Syed Lall was appointed Sub overseer on the line in September 1874. If due allowance be made for his old age and the lapse of time, the applicant's statement corresponds so well with these ascertained dates that it is impossible not to believe that the applicant was in service between those dates and that the witnesses are speaking the bare truth when they say that the applicant has been in service ever since the line was opened. It is on this ground I recommend

that

that his service may be counted from May 1872

7. The date of the termination of the applicant's service has been fixed in the former report as 18th December 1892. and this was no doubt correctly done. For through the conflict of statements made by the two overseers Sheafuddin and Mahomed Ibrahim Khan as to the date from which the applicant ceased to work on the line one fact is clearly discernible; viz that from whatever date the applicant ceased to work on the line, he did so on the authority and under the permission of his immediate superior and this superior's failure to obtain a formal sanction for leave from the proper authority ought to be no bar to the applicant's claim

claim to be considered to have been on authorized leave till the date his name was struck off from the list of permanent establishment i.e. 18th December 1892.

8. The Accountant General says that the applicant's name is not found in the annual return for 1890 and calls for an explanation of this omission. The Postmaster Cocanada, reports that he has no copies of annual returns in his office and he is therefore unable to explain the omission. From the postmaster's verification statement it may however be noticed that from 18th December 1889 to 30th April 1890 the applicant was on leave without pay

pay and as the acquittance rolls of
March are made the basis of the annual
return the name of the acting incumbent
instead of that of the permanent incumbent
appears to have been inserted
by mistake in the annual return
for 1890.

9. Having so far dealt with
the questions that the applicant's
service commenced from May 1872
and terminated on the 31st Decr:
1892 and that there was no
interruption of service throughout
this period I proceed to answer
the questions raised by the Accountant
General in serial order.

(1) The applicant's last pay
was Rs 6/-

2. Copies of the annual returns

1877

1877 to 79 are not available either in
the Collector's Office or in the Post
Office at Cocanada, vide original
Doc M & N replies attached.

3. This has been explained
in para 8 supra.

4. and para 2 of Postmaster
General's endorsement No: 23803.
The Bhadrachalam taluk was
transferred from the Central Provinces
to the Godavari District in 1874
Doc O vide Tahsildar's report attached.
For endeavours made to obtain verification
Statement from the Central
Provinces and the results obtained
vide para 6 supra.

5. As it has now been placed
beyond doubt that the applicant
had no service prior to May 1872.

The

The dates shewn in the annual returns for 1880 to 1888 and 1889 to 1892 were therefore incorrect. The Postmaster Cocanada is unable to explain how this error crept in vide his letter enclosed. Doc £ N

5 and para 3 of Postmaster General's endorsement No: 23803. The Postmaster Cocanada is unable to explain the discrepancies as to the applicant's age as entered in the annual returns referred to. Vide his letters* attached. Doc N The age entered in the pension application submitted by this office was copied from the form filled up by the Inspector who explains that the applicant represented his age to be 70 years and as he appeared to be of that age he deducted 70 from 1897 and entered the balance 1827* as the date of birth.

The age question is now finally settled by the enclosed certificate from the Doctor who declares the applicant to be 64 years old.

6. I beg reference to para 4 of the Postmaster's report for an explanation on this point. Doc N To this I may add that the fact of the applicant's name having been finally removed from this establishment list from 1st December 1892 was never reported by the overseer or the Inspector either to this office or to the Postmaster.

9. A revised application for gratuity is enclosed.

Post Office Department.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S
19 JAN 98
MADRAS

From

THE POSTMASTER GENERAL, MADRAS,

To

The Accountant General
Madras

237
Rep

No. PGl-746 dated Madras, the 7 January 1898.
30109

SIR,

I have the honor to return your letter No: ADK/285 dated 6th November 1897 with all its enclosures and to forward herewith copy of a communication No: 1683 dated 28th December 1897 from the Superintendent of Post Offices Cocanada Division together with its enclosures in original. I also beg to enclose a revised application for gratuity instead of pension as, from the Superintendent's communication quoted above it will be seen that the applicant's service commenced only

Docs I, Iw, J. K. L. M. N. O & P.

5.10.97—2000.

only in May 1872. He is entitled to a gratuity of amounting to six months' pay, the grant of which is hereby recommended.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

G. J. Curtis,

Offg. Postmaster General

ag

---

hen given for copying
hen copied
hen examined
en signed
189 .

AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 ,

RENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED AS BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.