F. No. 794/98 + [illegible]

Pension (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 945

DATED 13-12-97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a ______ gratuity of Rs. 360 ______ to Ra. Veerasamy Iyengar late Sub overseer P.W.D. Tanjore Dt.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

F/16 2,000—13-10-97.

C No. 944.

Pension
~~REVENUE~~ DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again:- G.O. No. 443 Pen. d/6-5-89.
Read also:- G.O. No. 3213 W. d/17-11-97.

Sect

Note

The draft order follows precedent - G.O. 847/4-XI-97 Pen.

-A

10.12.97

ORDER 13th Decr. 1897 No. 945.

Under the ruling contained in para 5 of the Secretary of State's Despatch No. 327 Financial, dated 19th. Decr. 1895, embodied in the Govt. of India's letter No. 387 P dated 28th. January 1896, Finance & Commerce Dept., His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Renga Iyengar Veerasawmy Iyengar, late Sub Overseer, P.W. Dept. 1st. grade, Tanjore Dist., a gratuity of Rupees (360) Three hundred & sixty only, payable at Madras, in consideration of his superior service of 6 years 7 months & 15 days rendered to Govt. prior to his transfer to the Local Fund Board in Jany. 1880.

2. Veerasawmy Iyengar's request that his inferior & temporary services may be converted into pensionable superior service is inadmissible.

10.12.97

(from orders)

10.12.97

To
The A.G. — (P.) with Regt.
" P.W.D.
" Petr.

15

11/12/97

[F.O. / 29.]
307-8-46.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 944.

From the P.W.D.

Dated 17th Novr. —— 1897, No. 3213 W.

FOR ORDERS.

Veerasami Aiyengar entered the public service in the P.W.D on the 1st Septr. 1870 as monigar, Kumbaconam Conservancy establishment on Rs. 7, and in May 1873 was promoted to superior service. In May '76 he rose to the position of Sub-overseer, First grade, on Rs. 60, and on the 1st Jany. 1880 he was transferred to the Local Fund Board as an overseer on Rs. 60. He subsequently secured the appointment of supervisor on Rs. 150. in the L.F. Dept. which he held till the 11th July '82, when he was dismissed by the President for "grave irregularities systematically committed in the mode of dealing with and accounting for the funds entrusted to him for the execution

C. 6/12/97.

of L.F. works", and on appeal to Govt. he was informed that he was at liberty to apply for pension in the usual manner through the President, L.F. Board, Tanjore. On this the President pointed out that Veerasami Aiyangar was not entitled to any retiring allowance under the rules, as he had been neither thrown out of employment on the abolition of his appointment, nor attained the age of 55 years, nor declared unfit for further service, nor completed 30 years' service for a retiring pension. The Govt. was however of opinion that the man was somewhat hardly dealt with and accordingly declared that his previous service should count for pension and that no objection would be taken to his re-employment in the public service.

G.O. 954/14.5.83 Finl. – H

G.O. 2144/25.9.83 Finl. – G

In 1886 when he, not having been able to secure an appointment, applied to Govt. for the retiring allowance due to him, the President was asked to submit the usual pension application to the Accountant-General. The pension application was accordingly submitted and the Accountant-General reported that if the man's service rendered in the P.W. Dept. prior to the 1st Jany. 1880 was recognised by the Govt. he was eligible for a compensation gratuity of Rs.360 for his qualifying superior service of 6 years, 7 months and 15 days under section 104 and 113(a) of the C.P. Code, Sixth Edition. The Govt. however again held that he was not entitled to any retiring allowance under the rules and

G.O. 1219 L.F/28.9.86 – F

G.O. 443/6.5.89 Pension. – E

---

and declared that if he re-entered the public service his previous service under Govt. would count for pension. The ~~fact~~ petitioner having failed to obtain re-employment in the Government service now repeats his request for the grant of a retiring allowance and makes also other alternative requests. The ~~other~~ alternative requests have already been negatived in the L. & M. Dept. and the P.W.D.

G.O. 867 L/10.5.97, L&M – B

" 3213 W/17.11.97 Pub. Works – A

In a ~~many~~ similar case disposed of by G.O. 805 dated 19-7-95, Pension, the Govt. granted a pension to the individual therein named and the grant was confirmed by the Secy. of State and a general order was passed. If it were held, with reference to the decision of the Secy. of State in that case, that the petitioner should be given a pension or gratuity, he ~~would be~~ could be granted ~~seems entitled to~~ the compensation gratuity of Rs.360 already certified by the Accountant-General to be admissible to him for his qualifying superior service of 6 years 7 months and 15 days ending with 31st Dec.'79 in the P.W.Dept. The petitioner also requests that his inferior and temporary services both amounting to 8 years may be converted into pensionable Supr. service ~~and~~ This request however is, it is submitted, inadmissible. In the analogous case above referred to namely, G.O. ~~805~~ 132 dated 14-2-96 ~~19-7-95~~ Pension, the Secy. of State says "that in such cases it is desirable that the allowance to be granted should be rather less than the maximu

– D

G.O. 132/14.2.96 Pension. – C

C

compensation allowance admissible under the rules in order to mark the compassionate nature of the grant". The applicant's character is recorded as "good" and there is nothing against him prior to his transfer to the L. F. Board in 1880.

W.W.
3.12.97.

Secy

Please see the Secy of State's Despatch flagged C. He laid down that in such cases the applicant "should not have any claim to pension or gratuity except in so far as the Govt. may be disposed to award it, but if, with such information as is accessible regarding his service under the Govt & subsequently under the Local Fund authority, there is reason to think that the man deserves some compassionate allowance, it should be open to the Local Govt. to award such an amount as it deems fit, not exceeding" &c.

But leaves the whole discretion of the Local Govt.

JG

The question therefore is whether after considering his service under the Local Fund Board as well as that under Govt, Govt should think him entitled to any allowance.

He was dismissed from L F Service – But both Sir H Stokes & Mr Forster Webster seem to have thought him harshly treated. (G) & also (H). In the circumstances it is submitted that he might given Rs 350 a reduction of 10 Rs being made to mark the compassionate nature of the grant.

W.W.
4.12.97

H.M.

I agree

I think he shd get the full amount we can give,x viz R 350. It is a very hard case, & deduction is left to our discretion.

JG
9/12/97

x This is 360 Rs
W.W.

Pchr. Put up draft order giving him 260 Rs.

W.W.
9.12.97

Secy. A draft-order is submitted below. The Hon Member clearly means 360 Rs

W.W.
10.12.97

Issue draft

11/12/97

Pension (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 944

From The Public Works Deptt

Order

No. 3213 W

Dated 17.11.97

Received 18/11

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

R. Veeraswami aiyangar, late temporary Supervisor. P.W.D.

Passing orders on petition from — praying for Entertainment in the permanent Establishment of the P.W.D. also for certain Concessions in the Matter of his past Service.

P. W. 29.
1,000—27-4-97.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
G.O. 450.	5-6-97. Rev.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

APPLICATION FOR { ~~PENSION.~~ GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Renga Iyengar Veerasawmy Iyengar

2. Father's Name. Renga Iyengar

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Brahmin, Vishnava sect

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Thillastanam Tanjore Taluk

5. Present or last employment including name of establishment. Late Local Fund Supervisor in the Establishment of Local Fund District Board Tanjore

6. Date of beginning of service. 1st September 1870

7. Date of ending of service. 10th July 1882

		Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	.. ..	11	10	10
of which superior	.. ..	8	10	11
inferior	.. ..	2	8	19
non-qualifying and interruptions	.. ..	"	3	10

9. Class of { ~~pension~~ gratuity } applied for, and cause of application * .. Gratuity vide G.O No. 1219 dated 28th September 1886.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 150/=

11. Proposed pension. Nil

12. Proposed gratuity. Rs (1200) One thousand & two hundred only

13. Date from which pension to commence. "

14. Place of payment. Tanjore

15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. † 30th April 1845

16. Height. 5 feet 8½ inches

17. Marks. Black mark at the right thigh above Knee.

18. Date.

Robt. J. Spon C.E.
Local Fund Engineer
Tanjore District.

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF R. Veerasawmy Iyengar late L.F. Supervisor Tanjore District

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay. RS.	A.	P.	Acting Allowance. RS.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by Accountant-General.
Imperial P. W. Depᵗ	Moinigar Kumbaconam Conservancy Establishment	7	"	"	"	1-9-70	15-5-73	"	"	"	2	8	19			Service from 1st September 1870 to 15 May 1873 does not count for pension being rendered in an appointment on an inferior grade. Service from 16 May 1873 to 31st December 1879 has been verified from the records of the Office of the Examiner Public Works Accounts Madras. Service from 1 January to 18 February 1880 has been verified from the records of the Local Fund Department Tanjore. The Appointment of Supervisor Local Fund Department did not exist under the old conditions. It was created newly under Act IV of 1871 subsequent to 20 May 1881. Had the applicant paid pensionary contribution he would have been eligible for counting towards pension the period of service rendered in the appointment of Supervisor - vide Revenue Board's Proceedings No 876 dated 29 March 1883. As he did not pay the prescribed rate of contribution, Service from 19 February 80 to 10 July 82 has been rejected as non-qualifying.
	Local Fund Maistry Tanjore	20	"	"	"	16-5-73	31-12-74	1	7	15	"	"	"			
	Sub overseer 3rd grade Tanjore	20	"	"	"	1-1-75	19-5-76	1	4	19	"	"	"		Verified from the Audit Register in the Office of the Examiner of P. W. Accᵗˢ	
	Do 1st Grade	60	"	"	"	20-5-76	17-11-77	1	5	28	"	"	"			
	On privilege leave	60	"	"	"	18-11-77	17-1-78	"	2	"	"	"	"			
	Sub overseer 1st grade	60	"	"	"	18-1-78	31-12-79	1	11	13	"	"	"			
								6	7	15						
Local Fund P. W. Department	Local Fund Overseer Tanjore	60	"	"	"	1-1-80	18-2-80	"	1	18	"	"	"			
	Local Fund Supervisor Tanjore Circle	150	"	"	"	19-2-80	31-3-82	2	1	~~8~~ 12	"	"	"		Verified by the Treasury Dy Collector Tanjore with reference to the pay bills & Local Fund Engineer's accounts	
	Under Suspension	"	"	"	"	1-4-82	10-7-82	"	"	"	"	3	10			
	Date of dismissal	"	"	"	"	11-7-82	" — " — "	"	"	"	-	-	-			
								2	3	"						
	Total period of { superior / inferior } service					..	....	8	10	~~4~~ 15	2	11	29			

6 months

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Bears a good character as will be seen from the testimonials produced.

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Suspended for 3 months and 10 days and dismissed on 11th July 1882 from certain irregularities noted by the Assistant Inspector of Local Fund Accounts, which decision the Government have pronounced to be hard.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. *See* Chapter XX. Rs 900 have been paid as Compensation for the loss sustained by him as per Proceedings of the District Board Resolution No 25 dated 16-1-85

4. Explanation under Section 59, Rule I, so far as the Head of the Office can give it. The applicant was dismissed by the President District Board on the 11 July 1882 and the Government have in their proceedings dated 25 September 1883 ordered that his services count for pension and this application is submitted with reference to G.O No 1219 L.F. Mis dated 28 September /86

5. Any other remarks.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not. (See Rule 6 under Section 84.) The Service of 8 years 10 months + 10 days has been established and may be admitted

Robt. J. Skon CE
SIGNATURE.
Local Fund Engineer

D 30/4

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

Submitted to Government. The applicant's Service in superior grades rendered in the Public Works Department up to 31 December 1879 as reported by the Examiner Public Works Accounts amounts to 6 years 7 months and 15 days. His Services were transferred to Local Fund Board along with Local Fund Works from 1st January 1880. He was employed as an overseer on pay of Rs 60 a month up to 18 February 1880, when he was transferred to the non-pensionable post of Supervisor on pay of Rs 150 – a month. On the 11 July '82 he was dismissed from this appointment for grave irregularities systematically committed in the mode of dealing with and accounting for the funds entrusted to him for the execution of Local Fund Works.

It is provided in G.O No 193 dated 16 February 1881, that when an officer on being thrown out of employ in the general reduction of Establishments in the Public Works Department, was provided with an appointment of equal emoluments in the Local Fund Department, his combined Services should be taken into account and that gratuity or pension should be debited to "General Revenues" and "Local Funds" according to the rules of proportions. Applying that order to the present case, it would follow, under the provisions of Section 101 of the Civil Pension Code, that the applicant lost all claim to gratuity as he was dismissed from the Local Fund Service. Government, however, in their order No 2170 dated 27 September 1883 – Finl. ruled that dismissal from Local Fund Service should not be held to deprive the person dismissed of any retiring allowance to which he would otherwise be entitled in respect of his previous Service under Government. Further, seeing that the applicant was somewhat hardly dealt with, Government in their order No 2146 dated 25 Septr. 83 directed that his previous Service be allowed to count for pension and that no objection should be taken to his re-employment in the Public service. Again in their order No 1219 L.F. Mis: dated 28 September '86 the Government directed the President District Board Tanjore to submit an application for Gratuity. Should Government under these circumstances be pleased to disregard the small period of service rendered in the Local Fund Department from 1 January to 18th February 1880, and to recognize the Service rendered prior to 1st January 1880 in the Department of Public Works, qualifying Service in superior grades may be held to have been proved for 6 years 7 months and 15 days, entitling the applicant to a Compensation gratuity not exceeding Rs 360 – – equal to 6 months emoluments under Sections 104 and 113 (a) of the Civil Pension Code 6th Edition as stated by the Examiner Public Works Accounts in his letter No 254 P dated 7 March '88 accompanying.

The long delay of nearly 6 years in the submission of this application is explained by the President in his reply to this office reference No $\frac{ADK}{316}$ dated 24 February 1888.

In accordance with Rule 4 under Section 134 the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Section 69 of the Code.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRA

K. G. Bosanq

L

Pension 1888 Deptts.
No 652

APPLICATION FOR {PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of {pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

G.O. 945/97 / No. 443/89

Enc 654/88

Public Works (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3568

Order

From

R. Veeraswami aiyangar
Late Supervisor P.W.D.

No. –

Dated 9.2.97
Received 8.4.97
Enclosures E/9 (3 S.c to E.1, 2 S.c to E.2 + 1 S.c to E.5)
Spare copies –

SUBJECT.

Praying that he may be restored to his appointment.

023169

Appendix

2

SERVICE REGISTER.

Name of appointment.	*Nature of appointment.*	*Pay.*	*Date of appointment.*
Monigar of Kauvery and Veerasholan Dam in No. 2 or Kumbakonam Range, Tanjore District.	Permanent.	Rs. 7.	1-9-70.

TESTIMONIALS GIVEN IN THE ABOVE APPOINTMENT.

1 Veerasawmi Aiyengar was employed under me as Monigar of the Cauvery and Veerasholan Dam for nearly two years. He is a very intelligent man, and was always active and attentive to his work. He is fully qualified for a higher post, and I think deserving of advancement.

TRANQUEBAR,
24th October 1872.

(Sd.) J. O'SHAUGHNESSY,
Executive Engineer.

Name of appointment.	*Nature.*	*Pay.*	*Date.*
Local Fund Mastry.	Permanent.	Rs. 20.	16-5-73.

TESTIMONIALS.

2 R. Veerasawmi Aiyangar, Mastry, was engaged on the Kumbakonam College under Lieutenant Smart, R. E., and under this gentleman's able direction he has turned out some of the best work I have seen in this Presidency.

(Sd.) R. CHISHOLM.

Extract from the Diary of the Executive Engineer in charge of the Superintending Engineer's Office, Tanjore, under date 15th December 1874.

3 Works (Kumbakonam College) are in a satisfactory state of progress. Much credit is due to Lieutenant Smart for the workmanlike manner in which this building is being erected, and the Sub-Overseer's careful and intelligent supervision of the work is most praiseworthy.

(Sd.) W. B. LEGATT.

188 7
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.
1
94[illegible]

Extract from the Diary of the Superintending Engineer under d[illegible] the 22nd March 1875. Kumbaconam Range * * * *

1 5th para. The work has been very carefully carried out, and reflects great credit on Lieutenant Smart, as also on the Overseer in direct personal charge of the work. The work has been executed departmentally, and considerable savings have been effected in carrying out the provisions of the estimate, the rates of which are found in several instances to be very liberal.

(Sd.) K. A. ROBERTS,
LIEUT.-COL., R. E.,
Superintending Engineer.

Name of appointment.	*Nature.*	*Pay.*	*Date.*
Sub-Overseer, 1st Grade.	Permanent.	Rs. 60.	20–5–76.

TESTIMONIALS.

5 R. Veerasami Aiyengar, Sub-Overseer, First Grade, takes real interest in the works entrusted to his charge. He goes to work with the conscientious feeling that Government money is not to be wasted, but spent economically in the best way possible. He is a clever practical man. His conduct is very good.

(Sd.) T. T. RAGAVACHARI, B.A. & B.C E.,
11th July 1877. *Supervisor, D. P. W., Cuddapah.*

---

6 A very good Overseer and can carry out works on daily labour at low rates. He has been in charge of the College, Tirumangalakudi and Budalur bridges, when under me, and has done well. He should, however, practise himself in drawing and improve his handwriting.

15-7-1877. (Sd.) SMART, R. E.

---

7 Veerasawmi Aiyengar takes a real interest in his work and is an able and trustworthy Overseer.

(Sd.) A. H. GARRETT,
Assistant Engineer.

No. 779 of 22-3-79.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
VTH CIRCLE.

SIR,

8 I have the honor to forward a petition from Sub-Overseer R. Veerasawmy Aiyengar, praying that he may be exempted from passing the usual test for Taluq Overseer and be taken on the Imperial

establishment in consideration of his long and faithful services in the Department in the event of a vacancy occurring in the Taluq Overseer's grade for your favorable consideration.

I have already brought to your notice the good work done by Veerasawmi Aiyengar in carrying out of the Kumbakonam College work, the Tirumangalagudi Bridge on road No. 81, and the large Vennar Bridge of 15 arches of 45 ft. span at Boodaloor. I attribute it solely to his disinterestedness and hard work that the rates for work of all description in his section and in the Kumbakonum Taluq during his employment there, have been reduced from 20 to 30 per cent below those previously obtaining. I say "disinterested" advisedly, inasmuch as being a Sub-Overseer, who had not passed any examination, he had no prospect before him of obtaining any promotion whatever but the hope that the good work he turned out could eventually speak in his favour.

His father served as a Mastry for a long period in this Department, but died some years ago.

Veerasawmi Aiyengar can survey and level very fairly, and his knowledge of the details of every description of work is very good. His testimonials from the officers he has served under, and from Mr. Chisholm, speak sufficiently well in his favour, and obviate the necessity of my discanting further on his abilities.

Trusting that you can see your way to promoting a worthy subordinate.

I have, etc ,
(Sd.) R. R. E. DRAKE BROCKMAN,
CAPTAIN, R. E.,
Ex. Engineer, Tanjore Division.

---

9 Has worked to perfect satisfaction during the 3¾ years I have been in this District. Has been exempted from passing Overseer's test on my recommendation, and is, therefore, eligible for a post of Imperial Overseer.

24-12-1879. (Sd.) R. R. E. DRAKE BROCKMAN, CAPT., R. E.,
Executive Engineer.

Read the following paper :—

No. 107. *From the Acting Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, dated 25th March 1879, No. 2,508.*

No. 108. ORDER THEREON, 8th April 1879, No. 1,105, W.

10 On the strong recommendation of the Acting Superintending Engineer, and as a special case, the Government are pleased to exempt Sub-Overseer Veerasawmy Iyengar from passing the Taluq Overseer's test. 90 I

2. It will rest with the Sub-Overseer to find employment in the department.

(True Extract.)

(Sd.) J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART,
Col., R. E.,
Ag. Secy. to Govt., P. W. D.

No. 155. Communicated to R. Veerasawmy Iyengar (through Executive Engineer, Tanjore,) who is informed that his claims will be considered when vacancies occur.

(Sd.) G. S. MANSON,
For Ag. Suptg. Engineer, 5th Circle.

3rd May 1879.

Name of appointment.	*Nature.*	*Pay.*	*Date of appointment.*
Local Fund Supervisor.	Permanent.	150.	19-2-80.

TESTIMONIALS.

11

I have known R. Veerasami Aiyengar for a period of nearly a year, he is still serving under my orders in the capacity of Supervisor of the Tanjore Circle, and I have much pleasure to certify that he is a thoroughly honest, hardworking, and conscientious man, and an invaluable assistant. He is a man I can safely recommend to be placed in executive charge of any large works, from the fact of his being thoroughly competent and trustworthy. I shall indeed be very sorry to lose his services, however, I will not be in his way, if he stands a chance of securing a better appointment.

(Sd.) A. M. C. K. ATKINSON,
Local Fund Engineer.

Tanjore, *8th July 1881.*

Date of Dismissal—11-7-82.

Tanjore District Gazette, 5th May 1883.

Local Fund Board. Proceedings of the Local Fund Board, Tanjore Circle, at their meeting held on the 31st March 1883.

XIX. Read official memorandum from the Under Secretary No. 634, dated 20th March 1883 requesting to ascertain and report whether the Local Fund Board are willing to admit Veerasami Iyengar's claim to pension from 1st January 1880 to date of dismissal.

The Local Fund Board accept liability for Veerasami Iyengar's pension for the period he was in their employ.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Financial (Petition) Department.

Endorsement No. 954 Mis. of 1883.

12

The petitioner is informed, that he is at liberty to apply for pension, in the usual manner, through the President, Local Fund Board, Tanjore.

(By order)

Fort St. George,
14th May 1883.

(Sd.) M. HAMMICK,
Ag. Asstt. Secretary to Government.

Local Fund Department,
No. $\frac{229}{2593}$ of 1883.

ORDER.

Petitioner is informed that an application for such gratuity as his service may entitle him to, will be prepared and submitted to the L. F. Board and Government who will also have to decide regarding his claim to pay subsequent to his suspension.

Tanjore President's Office,
Camp Vallam, *15th June 1883.*

(Sd.) H. E. STOKES,
President.

Local Fund Department,
No. 323 of 1883.

ORDER.

Petitioner is informed that a pension application will be prepared and forwarded to Government along with his petition.

Tanjore President's Office,
Camp Vallam, *27th August 1883.*

(Sd.) J. B. PENNINGTON,
President.

Tanjore District Gazette, 1st September 1883.

Local Fund Board. Proceedings of the Local Fund Board of the Tanjore Circle, dated 28th June 1883.

2. Read Government Order No. 954, dated 14th May 1883, permitting the late Supervisor of the Tanjore Circle R. Veerasami Iyengar to apply for pension.

Read also petition from R. Veerasami Iyengar, dated 31st May 1883, requesting to be restored to his former appointment and claiming pay for the period he was under suspension.

The Local Fund Board approve the submission of a pension application in favour of Virasami Iyengar as directed by Government and have already recorded their assent to a charge on Local Funds on this account. With regard to his claim for pay for the date of his suspension, they are clearly of opinion that for the period subsequent to his dismissal, the claim should be rejected. As regards the time he was under suspension, they observe that in such cases pay is not claimable unless the claimant is restored without blame. In the present case they consider that admission of his claim to pension by Government would amount to clearing him from blame; and if Government on receipt of the application, declare it, admission, the Local Fund Board will take into consideration his claim to pay during the suspension period.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read again :—

G. O. 14th May 1883, No. 954, Financial.

Read also letter from the President, Local Fund Boards, Tanjore, dated 16th September 1883, No. 147.

Order thereon 25th September 1883, No. 2144 Mis.

13 The Government are of opinion that Supervisor Veerasawmi Aiyengar has been somewhat hardly dealt with, and they accordingly direct that his previous service be allowed to count for pension. No objection will be taken to his re-employment in the public service. Go 111

(True extract)

(Sd.) SIDNEY LAFFAN,
Ag. Under Secretary.

Petition from R. Veerasawmy Iyengar to the address of Chief Engineer, P. W. D., bearing Tanjore Division Officer's endorsement No. 102 of 20th January 1884.

No. 2106-T.

ENDORSEMENT.

* * * * * *

Petitioner should endeavour to get an appointment from one of the Local Fund Boards.

SUPTG. ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
6TH CIRCLE, CAMP NEGAPATAM,
25th January 1884.

(Sd.) H. R. MEAD,
LT. COL., R E.,
Superintending Engineer, 6th Circle.

L. F. DEPARTMENT,
No. 157 OF 1884.

Petition presented by R. Veerasawmi Iyengar,
Late Supervisor, Tanjore Circle,
Tanjore District, dated 2nd May 1884.

Subject,—
Requesting to restore him to his former post.

ORDER.

Petitioner is informed that his appointment has been permanently filled up but that his claim for re-employment in this Board will be carefully considered on the occurrence of a vacancy. There is nothing to prevent him from applying for employment in the Public Works Department. D. 7-6-7

TANJORE PRESIDENT'S OFFICE,
CAMP, *4th August 1884.*

(Sd.) LESLIE C. MILLER,
For President.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Local Fund Board of Tanjore Circle, dated 10th January 1885.

* * * * *

25. Read report from the Sub-Committee on the claims of the late Supervisor Veeraswamy Iyengar for arrears of his pay, &c. pay re read

The Board are of opinion that six months pay may be granted.

(Sd.) J. B. PENNINGTON.
President.

No. 183. (True extract). Forwarded to R. Veeraswamy Iyengar, late L. F. Supervisor, Tanjore Circle, for information.

VALLAM,
24-1-85.

(Sd.) J. B. PENNINGTON,
President.

L. F. DEPARTMENT,

No. $\frac{68}{397}$ of 1885.

ORDER.

As the L. F. Board have sanctioned 6 months pay at the meeting held on the 10th January last the petitioner is requested to send a bill for that amount duly stamped. Do

TANJORE PRESIDENT'S OFFICE,
Camp Tanjore, 16-2-85.

(Sd.) J. B. PENNINGTON,
President.

No. 2032

MEMO.

With reference to his application No. dated 18th February 1885 to the President Local Fund Board Tanjore, Veeraswamy Iyengar, late Local Fund Supervisor is informed that out of the amount of Do

Rs. 900 therein referred to Rs. 600 has been remitted to him this day by means of Postal money order and that Rs. 291 will similarly be remitted to-morrow.

The remaining sum of Rupees 9 will be deducted on account of Money Order Commission.

LOCAL FUND ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
Tanjore, 11-3-85.

(Sd.) W. H. HUNTER,
Local Fund Engineer,
Wn. Dn. Tanjore.

Pension Department.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S OFFICE,
8th June 1886.

No. J. A. E/54.

FROM

W. DONALD ESQUIRE,
OFFG. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

To

THE PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD, TANJORE.

SIR,

14

With reference to your letter No. 378, dated 25th May 1886, requesting my opinion on the subject of the claim for gratuity preferred by R. Veerasami Iyengar, late Local Fund Supervisor on the establishment of the Local Fund Board, Tanjore, I have the honor to state that pursuant to the decision passed by Government in a similar case in October last, that of C. Narayanasawmi Mudaliar, late Sub-Overseer, Anantapur Division, disposed of in G. O. 1253, Pension Department, dated 23rd October 1885, the claim for gratuity cannot now favourably be considered. There is however no objection, on re-employment, to his former services under Government being reckoned towards pension.

The draft application and other papers connected therewith received with your reply are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,
(Sd.) W. DONALD,
Offg. Accountant General.

(True Copy.)
((Sd.) J. B. PENNINGTON,
President.

MEMO.

No 1676/21-7-86.

Veerasawmi Iyengar late Supervisor Local Fund Department, Tanjore District, is informed that the Accountant General is of opinion that his application for gratuity will not be favourably considered by Government, and that his services are not at present required in this District.

TANJORE DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,
21st July 1886.

(Sd.) J. B. PENNINGTON,
President.

Extract of a letter from the Accountant General No. $\frac{A.D.K.}{316}$ *dated 24th February 1888.*

* * * * * * * *

34. The applicant being under 55 years of age, his application for gratuity should be supported by a Medical certificate of his incapacity for further service, otherwise no retiring allowance will be admissable to him under the rules.

* * * * * *

(Sd.) *Assistant Accountant General.*

Memo No. $\frac{598}{6\text{-}4\text{-}88.}$

The Accountant General in his letter No. $\frac{A.D.K.}{316}$ dated 24th February 1888 having stated that his application for retiring allowance should be supported by a Medical certificate as to his unfitness for further effective service, R. Veerasami Iyengar, late L. F. Supervisor, Tanjore, is directed to produce a Medical certificate from a competent Medical Officer and forward the same direct to the Accountant General, Madras, intimating the fact to this office.

(Sd.) E. GIBSON,
President.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers :—

Petitions from R. Veerasawmi Aiyengar, late Local Fund Supervisor, Tanjore, No. 1, Police Ragavacharry Street, Black Town, dated 14th September and 12th December 1887.

Letters from the President, District Board, Tanjore, dated 16th January and 13th February 1888, Nos. 10 and 33 respectively.

URGENT.

19

FROM D. P. W. No. $\frac{540}{22\text{-}6\text{-}92}$

P. R. ALLEN Esq.,
Sub-Division Officer,
Vellore Sub-Division.

To

SUPERVISOR,
Wallajah.

~~20~~

The Executive Engineer has ordered to hand over charge of the Sub-Division to you. Please come at once.

(Sd.) P. ROSCOE ALLEN,
Assistant Engineer,
Vellore Sub-Division.

FROM

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
North Arcot Division.

To

R. VEERASWAMY AIYANGAR,
Supervisor in Charge of
Vellore Sub-Division.

20

The Supervisor is directed to give over charge of the Sub-Division to Supervisor Mr. S. K. Govinda Rao as soon as possible as under G. O. 1635-W. of 30th May 1892 Temporary Upper Subordinates are not to be placed in charge of Sub-Division.

3-7-22.

(Sd.) A. W. SMART,
Major, R. E.,
Executive Engineer.

No. 3528-C.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Public Works Department.

MEMORANDUM.

Dated Madras, 14th July 1892.

21

From the Superintending Engineer, IVth Circle, No. 310-E. W. of 7th July 1892.

The Chief Engineer regrets that under existing rules R. Veerasawmi Aiyengar, temporary upper subordinate, cannot be appointed to the permanent establishment of the P. W. Department.

(Sd.) J. PENNYCUICK,
Colonel, R. E.,
Chief Engineer, P. W. D.

No. 424-Wa 16-7-92.

Copy forwarded to the Executive Engineer, North Arcot Division, with reference to his No. 201-S. E. of 1st July 1892.

(Sd.) R. R. E. DRAKE BROCKMAN,
Lt. Col., R. E.,
Supg. Engineer IVth Circle.

No. 1056-S. D. of 22-7-92.

To Veerasami Aiyangar for information.

(Sd.) A. W. SMART,
Major, R. E.,
Executive Engineer.

18-7-92.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following :—

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, IVth Circle No. 445-W. of 18th October 1892.

Endorsement from the Superintending Engineer IVth Circle No. 449-E. W. of 26th October 1892.

Order thereon No. 3357-W. of 7th December 1892.

22.

R. Veerasami Iyengar is informed that his request to be appointed to the Permanent Upper Subordinate Staff cannot be complied with but it still remains open to him to re-enter the permanent establishment in the same position as that in which he left it, *viz.*, Sub-Overseer 1st Grade, counting towards pension his previous service as laid down in G. O. No. 144 Mis., of 6th May 1889, Financial.

(True Extract)

(Sd.) O. V. BODDY,
Major, R. E.,
Under Secretary to Government, P. W. D.

No. $\frac{687}{Na}$ of 9th December 1892 copy forwarded to the Executive Engineer, North Arcot Division, with reference to his endorsement No. 447 of 18th October 1892 for communication to R. Veerasami Iyengar.

(Sd.) J. C. LARMINIE,
Supg. Engineer, IVth Circle.

9-12-92.

No. $\frac{2382}{\text{S.D.}}$ 17-12-92.

Communicated in Original to R. Veerasami Iyengar (to be returned.)

(Sd) A. W. SMART,
MAJOR, R. E.,
Executive Engineer, North Arcot Division.

Endorsement on the 2nd Petition by Vellore S. D. O. sent to Government for further consideration on 4-4-93.

No. 1242.

23 Forwarded to the Executive Engineer for favourable consideration.

The Supervisor has very carefully stated his cause and I consider that he is fully justified in petitioning that he may be brought in the Permanent Establishment.

Supervisor Veerasamy Aiyangar has been working under me for the past 8 months and has proved himself an excellent subordinate. His materials and workmanship are every thing that can be desired, especially his masonry works, and I have much pleasure in recommending him as an able and well discerning man.

5th April 1893.

(Sd.) J. M. LACEY,
ASSISTANT ENGINEER,
Vellore Sub-Division.

No. 87-D.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Memorandum.

Dated Ootacamund, 3rd May 1893.

Petition from R. Veerasamy Aiyangar praying for an appointment as permanent Supervisor.

Endorsement thereon by the Executive Engineer, North Arcot Division, No. 37-S. E., dated 11-4-93.

24 Endorsement thereon by the Superintending Engineer, IVth Circle, No. 1625, dated 19-4-93.

The petitioner should be informed that his request cannot be complied with.

(Sd.) J. HANNAN,
Ag. Chief Engineer, P. W. D.

No. $\frac{235}{\text{Na.}}$ dated 4th May 1893.

Copy forwarded to the Executive Engineer, North Arcot Division, for communication to Veerasamy Aiyangar.

(Sd.) A. W. SMART,
MAJOR, R. E.,
Supg. Engineer, IVth Circle.

No. $\frac{358}{\text{S. D.}}$

Communicated to Supervisor Veerasamy Aiyangar (through Ranipet S. D. O.)

(Sd.) J. M. LACEY.

6-5-93.

FROM
THE SUB-DIVISION OFFICER,
RANIPETT.

To
THE SUPERVISOR, MASILAMONY MOODELIAR.

No. 2101 dated 21st June 1893.

25 When he has taken charge of the Wallajah Taluq, he will keep up the admirable system of work carried out by the Supervisor, Wallajah. Before a work is started all material must be at site and the quantity turned out should be checked by the amount of materials used. Great care should be taken in the lime and surkhi supplied both as to quality and quantity.

* * * * * * *

(Sd.) J. M. LACEY,
Asst. Engr., Vellore Sub-Division.

IRISH PRESS, MADARS.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No	Date

16

TANJORE.
26—10—82.

To
M. R. Ry.
R. VIRASAMI IYENGAR.

SIR,

26 Please come to the clock tower tomorrow morning at 6 A.M., as Mr. Thetard has retired from the work, and I want your help in taking over charge. Mr. Stokes has asked me to communicate with you as to your doing the work.

Yours Faithfully
BANNATYNE MACLEOD,
Vice President.

AGREEMENT ENTERED INTO BETWEEN THE PRESIDENT OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION TANJORE AND R. VEERASAMY IYENGAR.

27 1. R. Veerasamy Iyengar hereby engages to superintend to completion the construction with all reasonable despatch and in a proper and workmanlikemanner to the satisfaction of the President in accordance with the plan and specifications modified as President may desire of the Clock Tower at Tanjore.

2. The work to be commenced immediately.

3. R. Veerasamy Iyengar to make arrangements for the supply of materials and to see that the existing contract for bricks is carried out. Veerasamy Iyengar engages to keep strict accounts of expenditure and to submit them on all occasions to the scrutiny of the Commissioners.

4. R. Veerasamy Iyengar will be paid by the Commissioners 5 percent on the balance of expenditure in accordance with the plans estimate and specifications on the production of work certificate at intervals of not less than once in a month. The Commissioners reserve the right of stopping the work if rendered necessary by want of Funds.

5. The President on the one hand and R. Veerasamy Iyengar on the other reciprocally engage to give one months notice of the termination of this agreement or the President to give and R. Veerasamy Inengar to suffer the loss of Rs. 75. On the 31st August 1883 this agreement will lawfully expire and become null and void without express notice on either side.

Executed by H. E. Stokes Esquire President Municipal Commission Tanjore and by the said R. Veerasamy Iyengar in our presence this 16th day of November 1882 at Coviladi.

H. E. STOKES,
R. VERASAMY IYENGAR,
B. MACLEOD,
Vice President.

நாராயணசாமிநாய்க்கர்.
C. MOOTOOSAWMY,
Witnesses.

---

28 As the applicant is not now in the employ of the Tanjore Board, it would be out of order for me to forward his application to the Salem Board. I may say, however, that knowing about R. Virasami Aiyangar's case I should have no objection to re-employ him in Tanjore if a vacancy were to occur.

E. GIBSON.
President.
Tanjore District Board.

VALLAM,
4th August 1888.

7

2

94th

2

29 R. Veerasamy Iyengar worked under me as a contractor whilst I was Executive Engineer in charge of the Buckingham Canal Division, both for supplying Materials such as teak wood scantlings, and cut granite, and other materials, and for the construction of the Flood Gates on that Canal, and I was uniformly satisfied with what he supplied, as well as his willingness at all times to make good any deficiency or substitute suitable materials for any that inadvertenty were brought to work site, and did not come up to sample. I consider him a competent as well as conscientious contractor.

COIMBATORE,
22nd August 1888 }

R. R. E. DRAKE BROCKMAN,
Lt. Col. R. E.

SALEM DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,
2nd August 1889.

Ref on No. $\frac{941}{\text{L. E.}}$

MEMORANDUM.

From
G. MAC WATTERS, Esq.,
President, District Board,
Salem.

To
R. VIRASAMY IYENGAR,
Madras.

R. Virasawmy Iyengar is appointed Supervisor on 150 Rs. a month of the Hosur Taluk Board. He should join at once and report himself to the Sub-Collector.

For President.

To
R. VIRASAMY IYENGAR,
No. 1 Venkatarayan Street,
Black Town, Madras.

Copy to L. F. Enginer,
Try. Dy. Collector,
President Taluk Board

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read again.

G. O. No. 3357 *W. Dated 7th December* 1892.

Read also.

Endorsement of the Superintending Engineer IV circle No. 288, E. W., of 14th July 1893.

Order thereon No. 2049 W of 9th August 1893.

31 In continution of G. O. No. 3357, W dated 7th December 1892. His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that R. Veeraswami Iyengar having been exempted from passing the Taluq overseer's test, is eligible for an appointment in the permanent Upper Subordinate Service as an Overseer but that he is not entitled to such an appointment as a matter of right. 30 VI

(True Extract.)

(Sd.) H. W. BENNET,
Under-Secretary to Government P. W. D.

3

No. $\frac{434}{\text{N. A.}}$ of 15th August 1893.

Copy Communicated to the Executive Engineer North Arcot Division, with reference to his endorsement on No. $\frac{250}{\text{S. E.}}$ of 12th July 1893 for communication to R. Veeraswami Iyengar.

(Sd) A. W. SMART MAJOR R. E.,
Sup-Engineer IV. Circle.

$\frac{\text{No. 1252 S.D.}}{\text{29—8—93}}$ Copy forwarded to Temporary Supervisor Veeraswami Iyengar for information with reference to his petition forwarded with the Sub-Division Officer No. 2320 of 3—7—93.

H. E CLARK,
Executive Engineer.
North Arcot Division

Thro
S. D. O. Ranipet.
No. 3084 Fowarded H. E. C.
30—8—93.

VALLAM.
21st November 1893.

Dear MR BROCKMAN.

32 Thanks for your note of November the 18th. I return its enclosure as requested. When an Assistant Engineer is wanted, your recommendation of R. Veerasami Ayergar will be borne in mind and will carry very great weight. So far as I can foresee, it is not probable that a Local Fund Assistant Engineer will be appointed for at least 12 months to come.

Yours sincerely.
H. W. WINTERBOTHAM.

VELLORE.
13—9—94.

SUPR. VEERASWAMY IYENGAR.

33 I shall probably inspect the under Tunnel at Kaveripak on the morning of 18th and on the 20th I shall come and see Takkolam Kondam and Channel. I was very sorry to receive your resignation but ofcourse you know what is best for yourself.

Yours faithfully,
H. E. CLARK.

No. 1891.
Sivagunga 17 October 94.

M. R. Ry R. VEERASAMY IYENGAR AVERGAL,
Sivagunga.

Sir,

You are hereby appointed Superintendent of Maramut in the Service of the lessees.

34 As arranged with Mr. Stranack and myself your remuneration will be salary Rs. 200 Travelling allowance Rs. 60. per mensem.

I am addressing you separately regarding your deposit of Rs 3000.

Yours faithfully,
A. P. MILLAR,
Manager.

19

No. 679 D.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

OOTACAMUND.
Dated 10th July 1896.

35 Petition from R. Veerasamy Aiyangar, late temporary Supervisor, P. W. D. dated 24th June 1896.

The Chief Engineer regrets that the request of the Petitioner to be appointed to the permanent Upper Subordinate establishment of the P. W. Department as an Overseer, 1st grade, cannot be acceded to as the existing vacancies are not even enough to meet the appointments guaranteed to the students of the College of Engineering, Madras.

(By Order)
C. H. R. BURLTON,
Deputy Chief Engineer, P. W. D.

To

M. R. Ry. R. VEERASWAMY AIYANGAR,
LATE TEMPORARY SUPERVISOR, P. W. D.,
14, Venkata Roya Strest,
Black Town, Madras.

R Veerasamy Iyer
17/8/96

Rw. 2
3568/K7

PRINTED AT THE V.N. JUBILEE PRESS, MADRAS.

Petition — Dated April 1886

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY, THE RIGHT HON'BLE
SIR MOUNTSTUART ELPHINSTONE
GRANT DUFF, ***G. C. S. I.***,
GOVERNOR AND PRESIDENT IN COUNCIL
FORT ST. GEORGE.

THE humble petition of R. VIRASWAMI AIYANGAR, late Supervisor, Local Fund, Tanjore Circle.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

THAT your petitioner on receipt of G. O. No. 954 of the 14th May 1883, sent in his application to the President of the Local Fund Board, Tanjore, for pension or restoration and that the Local Fund Board accepted the liability for pension. Vide A. B. C. and D.

2. That on the letter of the President of the Local Fund Board, Tanjore, making a full representation of all the details of the case of your Petitioner, Your Excellency's Government passed a favorable order leaving it to the President to re-employ petitioner. Vide E. F.

3. That your Petitioner was not successful in his attempts to regain his former appointment (as the President states that the appointment was filled up) nor was petitioner successful in applying for employment in the P. W. Department, Salt Department, and other Local Fund Boards. Vide G. H. I. J. and K.

4. That Petitioner after these unsuccessful efforts, on a personal interview with the present President, applied at his suggestion for pension, and three months' notice pay with the intention that the gratuity may be refunded as soon as petitioner is restored to his former appointment.

5. That the Accountant General states on your petitioner's application for pension that his claim cannot now be favorably considered in the face of a special order on your petitioner's case by the Government after a long correspondence with the then President, H. E. Stokes, Esq., who investigated petitioner's case and in the face of the Local Fund Board's further acceptance of the liability. The precedent, whatever it may be, quoted by the Accountant General does not apply to the case of the petitioner. Vide L. and M.

7

946

6. As Petitioner has been without employment for the last 4 years, and the District Board having already paid three month's Notice pay, and has no objection to petitioner being paid gratuity and as the Accountant General alone raises an objection, your petitioner humbly prays that the gratuity he is entitled to, may be ordered to be paid, He is ready to refund the amount of gratuity as soon as he is restored to his former post.

For which Act of justice, Your Excellency's humble petitioner will ever pray to be your Excellency's Most Obedient Servant.

April 1886

R Veerasamy Iyengar
L F Supervisor
Tanjore

PWE3
3568/57

TO

His Excellency,

The Right Honorable,

THE GOVERNOR-IN-COUNCIL,

MADRAS.

The humble petition of R. Veerasamy Iyengar,
Temporary Supervisor, P. W. D., Wallajah
Taluq, North Arcot Division.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH :—

1. That petitioner entered P. W. D. in 1870, and, after having held various appointments in that Department, his services were transferred to the L. F. Board, Tanjore Circle, on the transfer of the L. F. Works from the P. W. D. in 1880.

2. That, in consideration of petitioner's energy, activity and special aptitude for work as well as his unquestionable character, Mr. E. F. Webster, who was then the President of the Local Fund Board, appointed petitioner to the post of L. F. Supervisor, Tanjore Circle, in which capacity he served for two years and a half.

3. That through the intrigues of his enemies he was removed from the services of the L. F. Board by Mr. Whiteside, who was one of Mr. Webster's successors in the Office of the President of the L. F. Board.

4. That petitioner represented the injustice of the order to Government, and the matter having been referred to Mr. (now Sir Henry Edward) Stokes who succeeded Mr. Whiteside, and who, after carefully going through the records of the case, fully sympathised with the petitioner and recommended to Government to cancel Mr. Whiteside's order, which was accordingly done in G. O. dated 14th May 1883.

5. That petitioner then applied for pension for the service rendered by him to Government and to the L. F. Board, but was informed by G. O. No. 443 dated 6th May 1889 that, under the rules in force, he was not entitled to it; and the only alternative left for him, therefore, was to re-enter the public service.

6. That he accordingly re-entered the public service in May 1890 as a Supervisor in the Temporary Establishment P. W. D. which appointment he is now holding; but as the service rendered in a temporary apointment without lien on a permanent appointment will not count towards pension, and as he had waited for over two years in the hope of being appointed to a permanent post but without success, petitioner addressed the Chief Engineer on the subject through his Executive Engineer and Superintending Engineer, both of whom strongly supported the petitioner's request to be confirmed in his present appointment. The Chief Engineer, however, has informed him through the Executive Engineer, that, under existing rules, petitioner cannot be appointed to the Permanent Establishment of the P. W. D. (vide copy of his memo enclosed).

7. That petitioner begs most respectfully to point out that the effect of the Chief Engineer's memorandum will simply be to nullify the two orders of Government issued in the Financial and Pension Departments declaring that petitioner's past service should be considered countable for pension; for, so long as he is retained in the Temporary Establishment, neither his past service nor his present service will count for pension.

8. That the existing rules, to which the Chief Engineer alludes, should not be held to apply to petitioner, who was once before in the Department, and whose removal from the service of the L. F. Board has been practically cancelled by Government. His re-appointment to the public service therefore should be governed by the rules which were in force at the time of his first appointment, and not by those which have been quite recently brought into force, and which cannot have retrospective effect in petitioner's case. Petitioner would most earnestly beg your Excellency to graciously consider how hardly the application of rules in question would affect the petitioner, who (Government has acknowledged) has already been hardly treated by the L. F. Board, Tanjore (vide G. O. No 2144 Misc. dated the 25th September 1883). From the last sentence of this last quoted order, it will be seen that Government had as much as made a distinct promise that, in the event of petitioner's applying for a permanent appointment, no objection should be raised against it; but the Chief Engineer under the present rules has raised an objection, which (petitioner even at the risk of re-petition and tediousness is forced to point out) should be applied in the case of those who wish to enter the service of Government for the first time, and not in the case of those circumstanced like the petitioner, who has once been in the Public service, but has been removed from it for no fault on his part.

9. In these circumstances petitioner most respectfully begs that in consideration of the following facts, viz:—

1. That petitioner has uniformly borne a high character, and has won the approbation of his superiors in every capacity by his hard and honest work, and his devotion to the interest of Government, as will be seen from copies of his testimonials enclosed.
2. That his present superiors, the Executive Engineer and the Superintending Engineer, have strongly supported his application to the Chief Engineer.
3. That the Chief Engineer himself has no objection to petitioner's permanent appointment, except on the ground that the existing rules debar him from doing so; (a construction of the rules which petitioner has shown above to be a very hard one to be put in his case and one not apparently intended by Government to apply to him ;and;
4. That, in similar cases in other Departments, Government servants, who are removed by Subordinate Officers, but restored under the orders of Government, are not made liable to any rules for admission to service introduced subsequent to their original appointment

Your Excellency will be graciously pleased to declare that the existing rules regarding the admission of persons to the Upper Subordinate staff of P. W. D. do not apply to the petitioner, and to order that he may be appointed permanently in his present temporary appointment in the P. W. D. at the earliest vacancy.

For this act of kindness Your Excellency's memorialist will, as in duty bound, ever pray.

October 92

[illegible]
[illegible]
Supervisor North
Arcot Division
&c.

Hammond's order

PW 54
35889

Osbacamm

TO

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE
THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
MADRAS.

The Humble Petition of R. VEERASAWMY AIYANGAR, *Temporary Supervisor, P. W. D., Wallajah Taluk, North Arcot Division.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH,

Vide copy of G. O. in Appendix marked page

Appendix page marked

1. That under G. O. No. 1105-W, dated 8-4-79, petitioner was exempted from passing the Taluk Overseer's Test and thereby became eligible to hold a permanent situation in the Upper Subordinate Establishment of the Public Works Department, and that in G. O. No. 2144 Mis., dated 25-9-83, the petitioner was declared entitled to count his past services for pension, Government having observed that petitioner had been hardly dealt with and that no objection should be taken to his re-employment in the Public Service.

2. That at the time when the petitioner's exemption order reached the hands of his superiors, the orders relating to the reduction of Establishment which prohibited the Superintending Engineers from making any new appointment in the Upper Subordinate Establishment also came into force and, at the same time, the Local Fund Department having been separated from the Public Works Department, the petitioner was obliged to continue his services in the Local Fund Department.

Vide Foot Note of Superintending Engineer in Appendix marked page

3. That the petitioner humbly begs to bring to the notice of Government that, had there been a chance of filling in a vacancy in the Upper Subordinate Establishment, the petitioner would certainly have got then and there a permanent footing in the Upper Subordinate Establishment at the occurrence of the first vacancy, (*vide* the distinct promise of the Superintending Engineer, VI Circle, contained in his No.-155 T. J., dated 3-5-79 communicating the exemption order for petitioner's information.)

To be found in Chief Engineer's Office, and Superintending Engineer's Office, 5th Circle.

4. That even after the petitioner's transfer to the Local Fund Department, about July 1881 the petitioner's claim for a permanent situation in the Upper Supordinate Establishment of the Public Works Department were duly considered, and he was recommended to Government by the then

7
5
944

Superintending Engineer COLONEL BEATTY R. E., for being confirmed as a permanent First Grade Taluk Overseer in the Public Works Department; but in reply to this recommendation the petitioner was informed about 13th July 1881, by COLONEL BEATTY R.E., that Government did not like to deprive the Local Fund Board, Tanjore, of an efficient hand as petitioner was, and of the valuable services petitioner was rendering there. That the petitioner had always liked to go back to the Public Works Department in consideration of the greater security attached to appointments in that Department and the greater scope there is in that Department for an appreciation of one's services.

To be found in Chief Engineer's Office and Superintending Engineer's Office, Vth Circle.

5. That in 1884, soon after Government had passed their orders in G. O. No. 2144, Financial, dated 25-9-83, declaring that no objection should be taken to petitioner's re-employment in the public service, petitioner applied to the Chief Engineer for a permanent First Grade Taluq Overseer's Post in the Public Works Department, through MR. W. HUGHES, the then Divisional Officer of Tanjore Division (*vide* Tanjore Division E. E. No. 102, dated 20-1-84). Though MR. HUGHES never got work from the petitioner, as his direct subordinate, yet he had ample opportunities of knowing about the petitioner's integrity and high business qualifications in consequence of his having executed large masonry works, during the time he served in that Division and he therefore recommended the petitioner's case and forwarded his application to COLONEL MEAD R. E., the then Superintending Engineer, who declined without sufficient cause to send up the petitioner's application to the Chief Engineer on the score that the petitioner should seek employment in the Local Fund Department (*vide* Tanjore Division Office Endorsement No. $\frac{102}{20\text{-}1\text{-}84}$ and Superintending Engineer's No. 2106-T., dated 25-1-84.)

Appendix marked page

Appendix marked page

6. That the petitioner then applied to the President Local Fund Board, Tanjore, for being restored to his original appointment with the view of getting his past services to count for pension. That the then President MR. J. B. PENNINGTON only made a fair promise that the petitioner's claims would be carefully considered when a vacancy occurred, but the promise was never kept up though several vacancies occurred subsequently. Petitioner thereupon applied to the Salt Department for an Inspector's place, but his application was rejected without due consideration, on the score that petitioner was barred by age, (*vide* No. 7175 of 9-9-84 of Commissioner Salt Revenue) while it could not apply to petitioner's case.

Appendix marked page

Appendix 2, marked J. page 11.

7. That petitioner had lastly to apply to Government, to provide him with a permanent situation to enable his services to count for pension and in reply petitioner was offered a Temporary Overseer's place on Rs. 60, (*vide* Chief Engineer's Memo. No. 1693, dated 20-9-84), which of course the petitioner could not accept as it did not enable him to continue his services for pension as it was merely a temporary one.

Appendix marked page

Ootacamund

8. That the petitioner's earnest endeavours to obtain a permanent situation in the Public Service having become fruitless, the petitioner was compelled once for all for the 3rd time, to apply to Government for a grant of pension to him, as his pension liabilities had been accepted by the Local Fund Board, Tanjore, in their two meetings held on 31-3-83, and 28-6-83, presided over by MR. (now SIR HENRY) STOKES, K. C. S. I., in his then capacity, as President, Local Fund Board, Tanjore. That Government, after a long and tedious correspondence, gave their final decision only in 1889, in their order No. 443, dated 6-5-89, to the effect that no retiring allowances could be given to petitioner, but that he would be allowed to count his previous services towards pension.

Appendix marked page

9. That the petitioner lastly applied for a situation, in the Public Works Department, and was appointed as a Temporary Supervisor in May 1890, in which capacity he now continues to serve.

10. That the petitioner, after 2½ years, thorough and satisfactory service, applied to the Chief Engineer for confirmation in his present appointment, and it was strongly supported by his superiors, MAJOR SMART, R. E., Executive Engineer and COLONEL R. R. E. DRAKE BROCKMAN, R. E., Superintending Engineer, to the Chief Engineer, for confirmation in a permanent Supervisor's Post, equal in rank to that to which he rose by probity in the Local Fund pensionable service, and to that which he now holds in the Public Works Department; their recommendation having been based on the merits and qualifications of the petitioner, as seen from the results of work executed by the petitioner under their personal observation, during the said 2½ years of petitioner's temporary services, in the Public Works Department, (*vide* Executive Engineer's No. 201 S. E. of 1-7-92 and Superintending Engineer's No. 310-E. W. of 7-7-92). That the Chief Engineer, while accepting the Executive Engineer's and Superintending Engineer's opinions of the merits and qualifications of the petitioner, replied that under the existing rules relating to the Permanent Upper Subordinate Establishment of the Public Works Department, petitioner's request for confirmation could not be complied with. (*Vide* Chief Engineer's No. 3528-C, dated 14-7-92).

To be seen in Chief Engineer's Office.
Appendix marked

11. That the petitioner, in consequence, appealed to Government, bringing to their notice the existence of G. O. No. 1105-W, dated 8-4-79, under which the petitioner had been specially exempted from the rules laid down for admission to the Permanent Upper Subordinate Establishment and of G. O. No. 2144, Financial, dated 25-9-83, under which it is stated that no objection should be taken to the re-employment of the petitioner in the Public Service and to his counting his past services for pension; consequently the existing rules relating to the Permanent Upper Subordinate Establishment do not apply to the petitioner whose case is a special one and governed by special Government orders; and in accordance with their provisions the petitioner was allowed only one alternative, viz., to rejoin the public service and then get his past services to count for pension; and Government in reply were pleased to favour him with an order (*vide* G. O. No. 3357-W, dated 7-12-92) directing him to com-

Appendix marked page

mence his service in the Lower Subordinate Establishment as a Sub-Overseer, 1st Grade.

12. That the petitioner in his Memorial to Government, dated October 1892, (*vide* para 9 clause 4 of the petition), requested that Your Excellency, would be graciously pleased to declare that the existing rules regarding the admission of persons to the Upper Subordinate Establishment, did not apply to the case of the petitioner, which is a special one and, though from the order of Government passed thereon, it is presumable that petitioner's request has been complied with, the order itself is not plainly worded and there is no distinct declaration to that effect; the petitioner therefore is compelled, to trouble Your Excellency now with this further prayer, for a declaration that the existing rules for admission to the Upper Subordinate Establishment do not apply to the case of the petitioner, as the petitioner has been specially exempted from the Examination qualification and is therefore eligible for a permanent place in the Upper Subordinate staff, (*vide* G. O. No. 1105, dated 8th April 1879), not only by his qualifications but also by his high character, his hard and honest work, and his devotion to the interests of Government.

Vide page of enclosure.

Appendix marked page

13. The petitioner further begs to bring to the notice of Your Excellency that he was promised an Upper Subordinate's post, as early as 8th April 1879, and the promise has not yet been fulfilled, even though his superiors the several Executive Engineers, under whom he has been working, have recommended him for it.

14. The petitioner has lost all his pensionable service which would now amount to nearly 20 years and, if the promise had been fulfilled, petitioner, would have long ago risen to a Sub-Engineer's place, as may be seen from the rapid promotions he had had, up to the post of Local Fund Supervisor—all on account of the special recommendations of his superiors, based on results of works turned out by petitioner, and of the gracious order of Government exempting and making him eligible for Upper Subordinate Establishment.

15. As the petitioner's services have been much appreciated by his superiors the Executive Engineers under whom he has been working in his present grade, he was given a Sub-Division charge, and notwithstanding the desire of his superiors to make him continue in that office, was prevented by the G. O. No. 1635, dated 30-5-82, prohibiting temporary men from holding charge of Sub-division.

Appendix marked page

Appendix page marked

16. It will also be seen that from the time the Government orders were passed, viz., G. O. Nos. 1105, Financial, dated 8th April 1879, exempting petitioner and making him eligible for an Upper Subordinate's grade, and G. O. No. 2144, dated 25th September 1883, declaring that no objection should be taken to the petitioner's re-appointment in the Public Service with his past services to count for pension, petitioner has made every endeavour to secure a permanent footing and even though in many cases he was very strongly recommended by his superiors in consideration of his hard work, his claims to a permanent appointment in the Upper Subordinate staff have not been taken into consideration; and it was not through any fault or defect on his part, that his services, since so early as 1883, have not been continuous and hence it was that petitioner has lost all his hard services and prevented from getting them to count towards pension; and to this day it remains unknown to petitioner when he would be made permanent and his appreciated services regarded as qualifying for pension as his age is now 48, and he may not be allowed to serve Government after his 55th year.

Appendix marked page

Appendix marked page

17. That Government have in spite of petitioner's numerous applications passed no favourable orders yet, and have not fulfilled, their promise to petitioner and unless Government confirm the petitioner, these orders will not have any effect at all. If therefore, as directed in G. O. No. 3357 of 7th December 1892, the petitioner is to get his previous services to count for pension, as a Lower Subordinate, i. e., as a first Grade Sub-Overseer, he will have to lose all the advantages he has earned by his hard work. On account of the meritorious services he has rendered to Government, petitioner was specially exempted from the Examinations required for an Upper Subordinate, so late as 1879, and was twice recommended to Government not long after the said date and he now renders such good services, in his present capacity, that as already referred to, he has been appointed to act even as a Sub-Division Officer and it will also be seen from records that his immediate superiors have desired to bring forward the petitioner to the responsible position of a Sub-Division Officer.

Appendix Marked page

Appendix page marked

18. Under the above circumstances Your Excellency's petitioner prays that Your Excellency will be pleased (1) to declare that the existing rules for admission to the Upper Subordinate Establishment in P. W. D. do not apply to the case of the petitioner, which has all along been treated as special, having been specially exempted by G. O. No. 1105-W, dated 8-4-79; (2) to order that his services should be considered as continuous and that he should be appointed to a permanent Supervisor's place as recommended by his superiors MAJOR A. W. SMART, R. E., as Executive Engineer of North Arcot and COLONEL DRAKE BROCKMAN, R. E., as Superintending Engineer of IVth Circle, for the confirmation of the petitioner in the present post in their Nos. 201-S. E. of 1-7-92 and 310-E.W. of 7-7-92 respectively.

19. For which act of kindness, Your Excellency's Memorialist will as in duty bound ever pray.

R Veerasamy Esqr
Temporary Supervisor
DPW North Arcot
2/7/93

Pws. S
3569/97

7
6
to
944

1.

Ootacamund
17 Aug. 1896

To

Your Excellency Sir
Arthur Elibank Havelock
G.C.M.G. G.C.S.I.

Governor of Fort St George
Madras.

The humble petition of R Veerasami Iyengar. Late Temporary Supervisor, P.W.D North Arcot Division.

Most Respectfully Sheweth

That the petitioner begs to enclose a copy of previous petition submitted to His Excellency the Governor which was forwarded thro' the Sub Dl officer (No. 2320/3.7.93 and No 250 S.E./12.7.93 by the North Arcot Dl officer and 288 E.W./14.7.93 by the Supg Engineer IV Circle) and the several testimonials he had received from his superior officers under whom he served as a recognition of proved merit and ability, from which petr's history can be seen. As early as 1883 Petitioner was favoured on the strong recommendation of Sir Henry Stokes and Mr J.B. Pennington as Collectors of Tanjore, who had both become fully acquainted with petitioner ability before they made a report, with G-O No $\frac{2144}{25.9.83}$ Mis (vide page 6 of the appendix marked 13) and thereafter with G-O No $\frac{443^{*}}{6.5.89}$ (appendix) declaring that no objection should be taken to petrs. re-employment in the Public Service and that he should be allowed to count his previous services towards pension. It will also be seen from the Appendix (   ) that petr. was a Specialist in the Execution of Works both in the P. W & L F Depts, and in their report to Govt. they stated that petrs. dismissal was a wrongful act. Under G-O No $\frac{1105^{*} W}{8.4.79}$, Petitioner was for his special qualifications and as a special case, exempted from passing the Taluk Overseers Test, on the strong recommendation of the then Capt. R. R. E. Drake Brockman R.E. and Mr. Rundall Supg Engineer, and in consequence he thereby became eligible to hold a permanent situation in the Upper Subordinate Estt. of the P. W. Department.

2. From the G.Os quoted above, it will be clearly seen that Petr. for his special qualifications was permitted to be employed in the P. W Department in the Upper Subordinate Grade both in the interests of Govt. as also to allow the petr. to count his previous services towards pension. Owing to the Govt. having delayed the disposal of his case in the

* Vide page 10 marked. 15.

* Vide appendix page 3. marked 10.

* North Arcot Dn.

from G-O No $\frac{443}{6.5.89}$ (appendix) the petitioner was unable to secure a permanent footing in the Upper Subordinate Grade to count towards his pension. Some time after the G-O. refusing pension and compelling the petr. to re-enter the Public Service for counting his past services towards pension was communicated to him, he could not help taking up an appt in the Superior Grade in the Temporary Estt. as a Supervisor in May 1890.

3. That the petr. after $2\frac{1}{2}$ years thorough and satisfactory service as Temporary Supervisor applied to the Chief Engineer Col Pennycuick R.E. for confirmation in the same rank as Supervisor which he was then holding as Temporary and the application was strongly supported by his superiors Major A. W. Smart R.E. Ex. Engineer and Col. R. R. E. Drake-Brockman R.E. Supg. Engineer who recommended his confirmation in a permanent Supervisor's Post equal in rank to that to which he rose by probity in the L. F. pensionable Service, and to that which he then held in the P.W Dept. as Temporary, their recommendations having been based on the merits and qualifications of the petr. as seen from the results of work executed by him under their personal observation, during the said $2\frac{1}{2}$ years of his Temporary Service in the P W Dept. (vide Ex. Engrs No $\frac{{}^{*}2015E}{1.7.92}$ and Supg. Engrs Nos $\frac{310 EW}{1.7.92}$) During the above

period petr also served as a Sub Dl officer at Erode for over 1½ years and sometime after as Sub Dl officer at Vellore which last situation he was obliged to leave owing to the new order of Govt which then came into operation to the effect that temporary upper subordinates could not be placed in charge of Sub Dns. It will also be seen from the fact, that petr was ordered to take charge of Vellore Sub Dn by a wd mark Q.E. in supersession of the 1st grade permanent incumbent S. K. Govinda Rou and several others in the Dn, that this was due to the petr's meritorious service rendered and to the confidence reposed on him. In spite of these recommendations and the above G-os passed in favor of the petr the late Chief Engineer Col Pennycuick R.E. while accepting the Ex Engs and Supg. Engrs opinions on the merits and qualifications of the petr regretted in his memo No 3528C/14.7.92 (vide appendix page 12 · No: 21) that under the existing rules relating to the Upper Subordinate Estt of the P.W Dept petrs request for confirmation could not be complied with.

4. That the petr in consequence appealed to Govt bringing to their notice the existence of G-O. No 1105 W/8.4.79* under which the petr had been specially exempted from the rules laid down for admission to the Upper Subordte Estt and of G-O No 244 J*/25.9.83, under which it is stated that no objection should be taken to petr re-employment in the Public Service and to his counting his past services for pension,

* page 3. No: 10.

* page 6. No: 13.

to ... the existing rules [illegible]

* (Vide petition enclosed)

relating to the Permanent Upper Subordinate Estt did not apply to the petr whose case was a special one, governed by special Govt orders and therefore petr was allowed an alternative of rejoining Public Service and then getting his past services to count for pension. This is what was said by the petr in paras 11, 10*, + 18 of his previous petn and he therein prayed for a declaration in favor of the petr that the existing rules should not be applied to his case, and for an order that his services should be considered as continuous and that he should be appointed to a permanent Supervisors place, as recommended by his superiors (vide para 18 of the previous petn) And Govt in reply were pleased to favor him with an order (vide G-O No 2049 W*/9-8-93) declaring him eligible for an appt in the Upper Subordinate Estt and allowing his previous services to count for pension.

* Page 17. No: 31.

5. In this connection petr begs to state, that before he submitted his memorial to Govt and before they had passed the G-O. No 2049 W/9.8.93 the petr had a personal interview with Sir Henry Stokes K.C.S.I. D.P.W. Councillor and handing over G-O No 3357 W*/7.12.92 which directed him to commence his service in the Lower Subordinate Estt as a Sub Overseer 1st grade, pointed out its drawbacks, telling him at the same time that petr should be allowed on account of the meritorious service rendered, to count his past services towards pension from the Upper Subordinate grade

* Page 13 No: 22.

Mr Webster, Sir Henry Stokes-

744

The Hon'ble Member [illegible] of the G. O. No. 3357 W.* / 7-12-92 communicated to him, directed petr. to resubmit his memorial. It was only in reply to this 2nd memorial that G.O. No. 2049 W.* / 9-8-93 was communicated to him. The Hon'ble Member had so much confidence in the petr., on account of his having known him & his abilities fully well ever since 1883, that he called upon petr. when he was out of employ, to execute works for him at cheap rates, when he found others in the Tanjore Dt. incapable of doing the work i.e. a clock tower work cheap & within the estimate amount (vide appendix ✗). From the time the Hon'ble Member occupied the position of Collector & President of the Dt. Board of Tanjore, he entertained a high opinion of petr. and intended that petr. should be restored to his permanent place so as to enable his past services to count for pension.

Pg 13. No: 22.

* Page 17. No: 31.

✗. Page 16. Nos: 26 & 27.

6. On the strength of this G.O. No. 2049* / 9-8-93, which made the petitioner eligible for the Upper Subordinate grade & which allowed him to count his previous services towards pension, and after petr. having served first in the Temporary Establishment as a Sub Dl. officer and as Supervisor for 4½ years and proved himself fully qualified, he submitted an application on the 24th June last to the present Chief Engineer Col. MacNeil Campbell R.E. for an appointment as 1st grade permanent overseer. Even though petr. had been strongly* recommended on previous occasions for confirmation in the Supervisor's place by Col. A.W. Smart R.E. and Col. R.E. Drake Brockman R.E. -

* Page 17. No: 31.

as [illegible] in [illegible] circle so as enable him to count his past services for pension, in the Upper Subordinate grade. (vide their Nos. 201 SE / 1-7-92 & 310 EW / 7-7-92 respectively), the Chief Engineer in his memo No. 679* of 10th ultimo replied that petr.'s request to be appointed to the permanent Upper Subordinate Estt. D.P.W. as an overseer 1st grade could not be acceded to as the existing vacancies were not even enough to meet the appts. guaranteed to the students of the College of Engineering Madras.

* Page 19. No: 35.

7. Petitioner now begs to point out that this is an objection raised for the third time on account of which petr. has to appeal to Your Excellency again for an order to the Chief Engineer directing him to appoint petr. to one of the existing vacancies. If the Chief Engineer had liked he might have appointed petr. accordingly but from a personal conversation which petr. had with him on the 8th ultimo in the course of which he said to petr. that he had received conflicting opinions about him, petr. surmises that the Chief Engineer's unwillingness to grant petitioner's request was due to this circumstance alone. Petr. further begs to state that the Chief Engineer at the same time informed him that he had received a note from Col. R.R.E. Drake Brockman R.E., a Supervisor asking him to appoint petr. and have him posted to Tanjore. ~~Were~~ large special building works ~~are~~ going on, on the ground that the petr. worked as [illegible] Mr. Webster, Sir Henry Stokes-

would be able to show a marked contrast in rates to those that were being anticipated.

8. Now the Public works deptt and some of its officers, Petr begs leave to say are working in a style far different from what it originally was in the days of Col Oakes RE and Col Mullins RE. There are now few officers doing their work with such care & conscience in the P.W.D. The present Chief Engineer Col Mac Neil Campbell RE instead of appreciating the meritorious conduct of petr in exposing a defalcation in the Calingarayan Chl in Coimbatore, during his tenure of office as Supg. Engineer IV Circle, now affirms that he had heard conflicting reports about petitioner. This Chl at Erode was situated within IV hours run to and not far from the Head Quarters of both the Supg. Engineer & Ex. Engineer i.e Coimbatore. The defalcation which had been going on there for several days, had not yet been discovered by the superior officers (and none ever took preventive steps for the non-occurrence of future defalcations) and it was at this stage that the whole defalcation was brought to light & exposed by the petr who was new to the place on the day he took charge of the Erode Sub Division in 1890 and this Sub Dn which was once an "Augean like Stable of the Division" was subsequently after petitioners assumption of charge "swept and garnished" vide Memo from Supg. Engineer Col. R.R.E. Drake Brockman RE. No 829 C.I. 27.8.91 ⁎. From the office records it will also be

⁎ Page 11 - No: 17.

seen that Col Oakes RE and Mullins RE. during their tenure of office as Supg Engineers and Chief Engineers by their constant tour in the Divisions did best to prevent the occurrence of such defalcations and appreciated deserving subordinates for their honest & hard labor and for executing works entrusted to their care at cheap rates. On the other hand the present Chief Engineer Col Mac Neil Campbell RE. disliked, it appears this exposure by petr of the defalcation which occurred in his time. Hence it seems that the Chief Engineer told petr in his interview that he has heard conflicting reports about him and how this can be petr is at a loss to understand. Petr begs leave to ask whether the present Chief Engineer would be able to mention a subordinate officer like petr who had done such valuable service in the execution of works and who had worked so ably in the interest of Govt and whether there is or was any superior officers since petitioner's commencement of service in 1870, whose words could be taken in preference to the under-mentioned officers under whom petitioner did service, viz Col Oakes RE Col. A W Stuart RE. Col R.R.E Drake Brockman RE. Mr Rundall who as Supg Engineers on inspection of petrs works recommended him for exemption from passing the Taluk Overseers Tests. Mr Hughes Mr Jarrett, Col Roberts RE. Mr Chs Wolmlate Govt Architect, Mr H E Clarke in the D.P.W and Mr Webster, Sir Henry Stokes.

Col. J. B. Pennington & Mr Gibson who one and all bear testimony to petr's ability, which will be found mostly in the several memoranda and papers relating to petr's in the D.P.W. and Govt. offices, and from whom the present Chief Engineer has heard conflicting reports about petitioner, based on the proper & legitimate grounds. And if the above named officers could not be credited whose words then can the present Chief Engineer credit, in preference to theirs ?. It will be difficult ~~for~~ the present Chief Engineer to answer this question or to name a Subordinate who has done such excellent work as petr has done. Petr is compelled to observe that the management by most of the P.W.D. officers has fallen into a state of rottenness that officers having no proper control over the Deptt have begun to take less interest than what petr found before, & that if there were few such officers who judiciously exercised proper control and performed their duties with proper care & attention they were not given credit to by the heads of Deptts and energetic subordinates under them were similarly treated.

9. The petr prepared two petns on 23.6.96. and sent one to Col R.R.E. Drake Brockman R.E. for submission to the Chief Engineer to Govt.; the other thro' Lt Col. A.W. Smart R.E. The one sent to Col R.R.E. Drake Brockman R.E. was returned to petr (whether out of policy or any other reason petr does not know) with the remark that he could not forward the petn to the Chief Engineer as petr was not in Govt. Employ. Col R.R.E. Drake Brockman R.E. however knew petr's case and abilities full well and the orders passed in his favour by Govt. and therefore it would appear he addressed a note to Col Mac Neil Campbell R.E. whose contents the latter himself explained to petr in his interview on the ~~[illegible]~~ 8th ultimo to the effect that petr may be appointed and posted to the charge of the large works now in progress at Jaipore and that petr would show a marked change in the rates or something to that effect. This was on the 8th ultimo at Ooty and petr presumes therefore that the works under this Chief Engineer at Jaipore were being executed at considerably higher rates than those which obtained in petr's time for which he was fully appreciated by all the officers named above. Petitioner further presumes that Col. R.R.E. Drake-Brockman R.E. should have heard it from some reliable source and begs that Your Excellency would refer to him on the point when he would be able to explain the whole truth.

Although ~~[illegible]~~ Secy to Govt. when petr requested his petition to be forwarded to the Chief Engineer Col R.R.E. Drake Brockman R.E. returned it to petr on the score that his Deptt was a separate one (his being Irrigation Branch) and that he had nothing to do whatever with it. His note to the Chief be able to show a marked

Engineer did not receive proper consideration.

10. The petr forwarded thro' Col A. W. Smart R.E. of 23.6.96. was ~~[illegible]~~ returned to petr with the remark that this petition to the Chief Engineer should go in direct and that he would give his opinion if called for (vide his letter no 448 of 9.7.96). Petr now begs to state that this officer too adhered to policy on the plea that petr was not in his employ. This reply was received subsequent to the receipt of the memo from the Chief Engineer. Col A. W. Smart R.E. who ~~had~~ known the petr since 1872, was the officer who recommended petr for confirmation as a permanent Supervisor in appreciation of the excellent work turned out by petr in the Palar ~~North Project~~ the Poiney anicut Systems in North Arcot Division. (vide Ex. Engr letter no $\frac{2/10\text{ SE}}{1\text{-}7\text{-}92}$) If Your Excellency wishes to be convinced of petr's abilities from this officer petr would request that this officer's opinion may be called for as he says he would give it when referred to him.

11. In fine, the G.Os passed in favor of the petr are intended to give preference as a special case to petr's claims as one that was in employ and has to be restored with a view to enable him to complete his service and earn a pension. Hence the object of several G.Os will be frustrated if the Chief Engr does not take a sympathetic view of the case & appoint petr to vacancy now existing. These are not to be

reserved only to meet the requi of guaranteed men as the petr should be considered to be in servic and in that case there would be one vacancy less, so that the petr's claim is superior to that of the guaranteed men. If there were to be no vacancy at all the guaranteed men would naturally have to wait. But the petr's case requires early consideration, as he has already lost a good deal of time which could be counted for pension. Hence it will be seen from all the memorials submitted on previous occasions by petr that the existing rules for filling up the appointments reserved for guaranteed men cannot be held to be a bar to petr's claim as his case is a special one governed by special Govt orders. If the construction of the Chief Engineer that the existing vacancies are not even enough to meet the requirements of guaranteed men were to be accepted, then evidently the several orders of Govt passed in favor of the petr after much trouble & consideration on the part of His Excellency the Governor & his Councillors would continue ~~would~~ to remain a dead letter. Petr further begs to add that, the Hon'ble Member Sir Henry Stokes told him in person that should petr re-enter the service even as a permanent Upper Subordinate Overseer, he would soon be raised to the rank of a Supervisor; petr therefore firmly believed that

No further trouble would be taken to his re-employment & that promotions would be rapid. Petr. therefore prays that Your Excellency will be pleased in appreciation of past services and with a view to put into force the several orders passed in his favor to instruct the Chief Engr. to appoint Petr. to any place now vacant in the upper Subordte Estt. & to promote him on the occurrence of the next vacancy to the place of a 1st grade Overseer or Supervisor ~~and~~ as Your Excellency may deem proper after making necessary enquiries regarding his previous works and thus enable his past services to count towards pension.

As the petr is now in his 51st year if objections of this nature should be continually put in and if petr. had as continually to trouble Your Excellency in this matter, there will be no chance whatever of the petr. ~~ever~~ ever re-entering in the service.

12 Petr. further begs permission to solicit the favor of a personal interview in order to make a full representation as to how the D.P.W is now working & how petr. worked & served Govt. when in service & how few men there are now in the Deptt. found serving like him in similar circumstances. Petr. hopes to be able to make clear how a large sum of ~~Eighty~~ ninety lakhs of Rupees in the Irrigation Branch and Twenty to Twenty four lakhs of Rupees in Road & Building Branch is now being wastefully expended, when the same works could be turned out at a far less cost & how more extensive works than are now undertaken could be completed with the present funds if only all officers, and subordinates were to take real interest and do their work as petr. has done under Col. A. W. Smart. R.E. and Col. D.R.E.— Drake Brockman R.E. Petr. begs to apologise for this boldness in having approached Your Excellency with this petn. He would not have ventured to do this if, from reliable sources of the highest and best officials in the Deptt, he had not heard that Your Excellency takes much interest in seeing every Dept. well organized and kept in an efficient state and that Your Excellency is in direct charge of the P.W.D. portfolio and looks to the disposal of matters connected therewith instead of an Hon'ble Member of Council as hitherto. Petr. begs to humbly mention when the disposal of the P.W.D. matters rested with an Hon'ble Member of Council there was every access for the officers to explain their grievances in person. On the strength of the good reports which petr has

special be able to show a mark

heard from every one high and low about the present Govt. from the fact of the Private Secy's willingness to help people in distress, and of Your Excellency's desire to do justice to all, hard working, experienced & faithful servants of Govt. the petr. is sanguine of an interview being vouchsafed before passing final orders so as to enable him to lay before Your Excellency all the facts of his case and make a full representation of his grievances.

Your Excellency's
most obedient and humble
Servant, & petitioner

R. Veerasawmy Iyengar
Late Temporary superbisor. D.P.W.
No. 16, Venkatrayar Street,
Black Town,
Madras.

RWE
3568/97

Dated Ootacamund. 17 Aug. 1896.

To

His Excellency
Sir Arthur Elibank Havelock, G.C.M.G.,
G.C.S.I.,
Governor of Fort Saint George,

Through
H. A. Stuart Esquire, I.C.S.
Private Secretary

May it please Your Excellency,

To solicit an interview with your
Excellency, I beg leave to submit my applica
with the sanguine hope that it will be gra
-ly granted.

I was a subordinate working in the D.
and Local Fund since 1870 and known to
the Superior officers under whom I worke
such as Mr Webster, Sir Henry Stokes an
Mr J. B. Pennington while Presidents of the
District Board, as a specialist in the exec
of large works at cheap rates and in a w
manlike manner. Under certain G. O.s* pa
in my favour I have to continue my pen
service and the orders themselves declar
no objection should be taken to my re-e

*Vide appendix page 6. marked No: 13.
Do. page 8. Do. 14
Do. page 10. Do. 15
Do. Do. 13. Do. 22
Do. Do. 17. Do. 31

7

7

964

On the late Chief Engineer Col. Pennycuick, R.E.
raising an objection, the Government recently
passed an order N° 204^{E}9 W dated 9-8-93* setting
aside his objection after a full representation
made to Sir Henry Stokes as D.P.W. Councillor
who was anxious to restore me to the D.P.W,
so as to enable me to continue my service and
to do works in a substantial manner at
cheap rates. This favourable impression
was due to his having personally inspected
several large works executed by me at
Tanjore, especially the Clock Tower which was
one by me at his request for the Tanjore Munici-
ality (*Vide* Appendix, page 16. N° 26 and 27).

* page 17 in Appendix, N° 31.

the strength of the last order passed in 1893
ugust, I applied on 7-7-96 for a permanent
ting to the present the Honourable the Chief
ineer Col. Macneil Campbell, R.E. and
after saw him in person on the 8th ultimo.
my Conversation with him I understand
the Chief Engineer, to whom I once exposed
falcation which had occurred in his
re of office as Superintending Engineer,

is unwilling to appoint me, inspite of G.O.
N° 2049 W, dated 9-8-93, setting aside the objec-
tion raised by Col. Pennycuick, R.E. I now regret
to hear the same objection raised by the presen
Chief Engineer. My qualifications as a speciali
in the execution of works and as one who dis-
charged his duties with scrupulous consc
sciousness, are known to Col. Smart, R.E,
Col. R. R. E. Drake-Brockman, R.E, Mr. Hughe
and several others under whom I worked
These Officers while serving as executive E
-gineers encouraged and appreciated perso
that served faithfully in the interest
Government. I can confidently show
the Department (D.P.W) is not now work
in a satisfactory condition, as I am afra
only a few officers take real interest
execution of works. Merit is rarely app
-ed and the officers now in employ do
appear to be anxious to obtain consci
men. All these I hope to be able to m
by a comparison between the works I e
so late as the close of 1894, and the

being done by others. Col. Smart, R.E., and Col. R.R.E. Drake-Brockman, R.E., are anxious to bring me forward once again in the Department as they have strongly recommended me for confirmation as Supervisor in the permanent grade in their Nos 2015.E d/ 1-7-92 of the N. Arcot Division and 310 E.W d/ 7-7-92 of the Superintending Engineer, 4th Circle. Even the present Chief Engineer told me in my interview with him on the 8th ultimo that Col. Drake-Brockman, R.E., desired that I should e appointed once again and posted to Tanjore here large special building works are in ogress, and I where I would be able to show marked change in rates, &c. Col. R.R.E. Drake-ockman, R.E., then must have surmised t the rates at Tanjore should have been iderably higher than those that had ained in my time and those that might in were I posted there. Subsequently in ays' time, it appears, if my information ect, that Col. R.R.E. Drake-Brockman, R.E., find the matter to be so.

works are Carried out by all the officers and their subordinates with due diligence and care as in the days of Col. Oakes and Col. Mullins and as now under Col. A. W. Smart, R.E and Col. R.R.E. Drake-Brockman, R.E., and a few others, ? several works could be completed in a substantial manner at less cost, and savings effected by avoiding unnecessary expenditure in rates, &c. But now with very few officers taking such interest, no care is bestowed in employment of proper men to execute the works. For example, even so late as 1893 in conjunction with Col. A. W. Smart, R.E., I worked in re-organizing a project sanctioned for Rs.90,000, and showed a large reduction in the expenditure in the ratio of 12:8, thereby effecting savings over 30 per cent. to Government.

To explain myself fully I am advised by several eminent and great officers and others to solicit the favour of a personal interview with Your Excellency, and I, therefore, humbly request that Your Excellency will be pleased to vouchsafe an interview, as I am sure that your

Excellency takes much interest in the organization of the P. W. Department and that Your Excellency's Private Secretary is always bent on helping the needy.

For which act of kindness, I, as in duty bound, shall ever pray,

I beg to remain
Your Excellency's
Most obedient and humble servant
R. Veerasawmy Iyengar
Late Temporary supervisor. D. P. W.
Inmd. Brahman's choultry.
old agraharam
Ootacamund.

?

Owe.7
3568(57)

MADRAS,
25th August 1896.

TO

H.A. Stuart Esq. I.C.S.,
Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governer.
OOTACAMUND.

Sir,

In the course of the conversation we had on the morning of Wednesday the 19th current, you were pleased to ask me if Col. Smart, R.E. had a high opinion of me as a D.P.W. Officer. I replied that he had but was precluded from going at length into this subject as you had an engagement and could not spare enough time. I beg to submit the following facts to show the estimation in which I was held by the gentleman after he had satisfied himself, by personal inspection and otherwise, of the substantial nature of my works and the conscientious manner in which I attended to my duties since 1872.

1. I worked directly under Col. Smart, R.E. from 1872 to 1877 and a few of the large works on which I was engaged and the manner in which I carried them out are referred to in the Extracts Nos. 2 to 6 (both inclusive) in the list of testimonials I have attached to my memorial. It will be observed that he lays special emphases on the cheap rates in which I introduced in the execution of large works.

2. Mr A.H. Garret who then served under Col. Smart, R.E. and who was lately Supg: Engineer of the first Circle also bears testimony to my character and capacity for work. Vide No 7.

3. In 1892 Col. Smart, R.E., while Executive Engineer of the North Arcot Division in the 4th Circle in which I was a temporary Supervisor was much pleased with my works - Vide No 18 in which he says that the works in my charge were more carefully looked after than any other in the Division.

4. It was about this time that the gentleman placed me in charge of Vellore Sub-Division in preference to several

Officers

Officers of longer service and higher standing and recommended me for a Supervisor's place in the permanent establishment. He was supported by Col. R.R.E. Drake Brockman R.E. then Superintending Engineer - Vide Col. Smart R.E's No 201 S.E. of 1-7-92 and Col. R.R.E. Drake Brockman R.E's No 310 E.W. of 7-7-92 found in Chief Engineer's office.

5. Col. Smart R.E. again recommended me for a Supervisor's place in the permanent establishment in his No 447 of 18-10-92.

6. Once again he recommended me in April 1893 - Vide No 288 E.W. of 14-7-93.

7. I was subsequently recommended for a first grade temporary Supervisor's place on Rs 200 by H.E. Clark Esq whose opinion of me he has expressed in his D.O.× [redacted] of 13-9-94.

× marked 33 in page 18 appendix.

Thus Col. Smart R.E. has on four occasions recommended me for a permanent Supervisor's place with a view to put me in charge of a Sub-Division and I now only crave to be appointed to the post for which I was so often recommended. Should this however not be found feasible at present, I beg that you will be pleased to nominate me in the vacant permanent Overseer's place with orders to give me the first vacancy in the Supervisor's grade.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Veerasawmy Iyengar

Petitioner & Late Temporary Supervisor D.P.W.

Address. No 16 Venkatrayar Street

Black Town

Madras

From
R. Veerasamy Iyengar
Late Supervisor
L.F.D & D.P.W

To
H. A. Sim Esqr
Private Secretary to
His Excellency The Governor of
Madras

Sir

In continuation of my letter dated 4.2.97, I beg to enclose herein a Petition to His Excellency the Governor and request you will be pleased to obtain the favour of an interview, so as to enable me to make a full & clear representation of the hard facts of my case and obtain justice. On two former occasions when the D.P.W. portfolio was in charge of the Hon Sir H.C. Stokes, K.C.S.I, I explained to him the treatment I had received, and submitted a petition at his suggestion, whereupon the G.O. No. 2049 W. of august 1893, declaring that I was eligible r a post in the Upper Subordinate grade the D.P.W. Service, was passed. As this . is not given effect to by the Chief Engineer

Ous. 8
3568/97

9th February 1897
No. 16 Venkatalaya Street Black Town
Madras

His Excellency the Governor in Council,
Fort Saint George.

The humble petition of R.
Veeraswami Aiyangar, late
Supervisor, Local Fund.
Board, TANJORE.

Most Respectfully Sheweth

That petitioner begs to enclose copies of his testimonials, several petitions and orders passed on the same in his case from which it will be seen that he was appointed in 1870 a Monigar P.W.D. Tanjore District on Rs 7/- per mensem and rose to the grade of Local Fund Supervisor on Rs 150/- being exempted by Government in their order No 1105 dated 8th April 1879 from passing the requisite examinations for admission in the Upper Subordinate Establishment of the P.W.D on the strong recommendations of the Executive Engineer and Mr Rundall Superintending Engineer, who found the petitioner qualified, by his experience and knowledge for a post in that Establishment, that his services were transferred to the Local Funds when the roads were made over to that body in 1880, and when he was Supervisor, Local Fund Board, Tanjore, was dismissed the service summarily in 1882 by a new President of the District Board under whom he had never served.

See Appendix page 3 marked 10.

Page 2 marked 8.

7
10
944

2. On the dismissal from service, petitioner appealed against the order and thereupon Government on the reports of Sir Henry Stokes, K.C.S.I., and Mr J.B. Pennington, President of the District Board, Tanjore set aside that order and remarking that the petitioner had been hardly dealt with, ruled that there would be

Please read Nos. 13, 14, 15, 16, & 17 in pages 4 & 5.

no

... Excellency, I beg you will be pleased to obtain His Excellency's consent to the favour of an interview I solicit.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
R. Venkatarama [illegible]

Madras
9th Febry 97

Page 6 marked 18.

no objection to his reinstatement, vide G.O. No 2144 Ind Mis. dated 25th September 1883. — flag G

3. That the petitioner has on several occasions since petitioned the President, District Board, Tanjore, to restore him to his appointment, but has been only favoured with endorsements ~~and~~ that petitioner's place had been permanently filled up ~~[illegible]~~ ~~another [illegible]~~ and that his claim would be carefully considered on the occurrence of a vacancy. The Petitioner has not been physically disabled from service and Government, when petitioner applied for pension, ordered more than once and finally in their No 443 dated 6th May 1889 that no retiring allowance could be granted as the petitioner was not disabled from service and that to secure a pension, he should put in a further service according to the rules. — E

Page 7 marked 20 & 23.
Page 16 marked 48 (9 —)
,, 18 ,, 54
,, 19 ,, 58

Page 8 marked 22, 23 24 & 25 in page 9 & 10.

4. That petitioner's request to be reinstated, notwithstanding the above said Government Orders, having borne no fruit he was obliged to accept a Supervisor's place on Rs 150 + 30 Sub-Divisional allowance in the temporary Establishment of the P.W.D; the petitioner's object in taking up such appointment was once more to shew his usual energy and honesty in the execution of works whereby to induce Government to take into the permanent Establishment an old servant who had worked to the greatest advantage of Government and at the same time to secure a further service to enable him to earn his pension. In the capacity of Supervisor petitioner served in the P.W.D. a period of 4¼ years during 1½ years of which period he held charge of responsible Sub-Divisions. His services were duly appreciated and the petitioner would respectfully beg to invite His Excellency's attention to the remarks of the Superintending Engineer

vide 132 in appendix 12

In page 26 marked 26.

Page 11 marked 27, 29 & 30.
In page 14 marked 18.

Engineer IV Circle No 829 C.T. dated 27th August 1891 communicated under the Executive Engineer's No 5227.

In page 15 marked 43 & 45.
,, 18 ,, 55
,, 12 ,, 32
,, 13 ,, 35
,, 14 ,, 42
,, 17 ,, 51

5. When he was Supervisor, petitioner, as advised, prayed in 4 petitions each of which was strongly recommended by his superiors to be provided with a Supervisor's or 1st grade Overseer's place on ~~the~~ permanent Establishment. The recommendations came from no less persons than Col.R.R.E.Drake Brockman R.E. late Secretary to Government P.W.D and Lt.Col.A.W.Smart, R.E., Special Superintending Engineer Cauvery Improvement Scheme, as Superintending Engineer 4th Circle. Even now Col.Smart, R.E., will, if consulted by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., be prepared to give his opinion of petitioner's* capacity and integrity.

Vide No 32 in Appendix page 17
* memorandum No 3528.C. of 14th July 1892

6. The late Chief Engineer Col.Pennycuick, R.E though fully alive to the worth of the petitioner observed in his memorandum No ~~3049 of 9th August 1893~~ 14 July 92 that under the existing rules the vacancies in the permanent Upper Subordinate establishment were filled up by guaranteed men of the Engineering College This was a misconception of the rules and hence petitioner had to request the Chief Engineer to refer the case to the orders of Government so as to elicit an authoritative ruling on the point. This request petitioner had to prefer at the suggestion of Sir Henry Stokes, K.C.S.I then Member of Council, having the P.W.D portfolio to whom petitioner had represented his case and who knew petitioner's qualification and integrity. On such reference by the Chief Engineer Government ordered in their No 2049, dated 9th August 1893 that petitioner is eligible for appointment in the permanent Upper Subordinate establishment. Even after the passing of this Government order the present Chief Engineer who has had no opportunity of seeing petitioner's work as he had never served

Page 17 marked 51.

under

Vide No 679 D
Dated 10" July 96.
Page 19 marked 57.

under him, when approached with a request to be appointed on the permanent establishment, regrets that the petitioner could not be appointed as vacant posts ~~would~~ should be given to guaranteed men. Petitioner is confident that if he had at any time had an opportunity to serve under Co.Pennycuick,R.E, or Col.Campbell,R.E they would have appreciated the capacity and honesty of petitioner and would have reinstated him long ago and even promoted him to an Assistant Engineer's place.

7. The petitioner respectfully submits that the objection raised by the Chief Engineer to his reappointment based on the necessity of providing for guaranteed men is not tenable and as he is already 52 years old, if his case be not finally settled at an early date, he would not in the least be benifitted by several Government Orders passed in his case during the last his memorandum No [illegible] 15 years after a great deal of hardship and anxiety on the part of the petitioner. The claims of the petitioner who as old servant has been in charge of sub-divisions and who has to put in further service to earn a pension, are superior to those of the young men who come from the college year after year; inexperienced as they are, who cannot be placed in responsible situations and who can rise to that position only after long and trustworthy service in the ~~appointment~~ Department. His re-appointment in the Superior grade is only a restoration to service.

Vide 432 in
Appendix page 12
[illegible]

8. The petitioner submits that he on 17-8-96 being introduced to Mr H.A.Stuart, I.C.S. by Col.Drake Brockman,R.E, late Secretary to Government, P.W.D who knows petitioner's case and rules of the Department, When the D.P.W. portfolio was in charge of an Hon'ble Member of Council, petitioner was able to explain in person the circumstances of his case and obtain

fully explained the facts
of his hard case
and hope that he
would place the
matter before His
excellency for
favourable consideration
but has been favoured
with no order.

obtain a favourable order as mentioned in para 6. The D.P.W. portfolio now being in His Excellency's hands, petitioner prays for a personal interview or an interview through Counsel to make a full representation and obtain redress and justice.

9. Under the circumstances stated above, the petitioner craves permission to request His Excellency to be graciously pleased to consider the facts set forth above and

i. Restore him to the D.P.W as a 2nd grade Supervisor or 1st grade Overseer in the permanent establishment - an appointment to which he had been strongly recommended by Mr Hughes, Col. Smart, R.E and Col. Brockman, R.E so early as 1884 down to 1896, and convert his inferior service of 3 years from 1870 to 1873 and temporary service of 5 years from 1890-94 (¾ year L.F. Supervisor, Salem and 4¼ years temporary D.P.W. Supervisor, Coimbatore and N. Arcot Districts) into pensionable service. Petitioner has only ~~now~~ put in 9¼ years pensionable service besides the inferior and temporary service above referred to, or

ii. Issue a G.O to Local Boards, Municipalities and Court of Wards directing them to provide petitioner with the next vacant Supervisor's (on Rs 150 or upwards) or Assistant Engineer's place under them as promised by Sir Henry Stokes, K.C.S.I, Mr J.B. Pennington, Mr E. Gibson, Mr Winterbotham and Mr G. Stokes, to enable him to continue his service for pension and convert the temporary and inferior service of 8 years referred to above into pensionable service, or

iii. If His Excellency does not consider it feasible to grant either of the above pray~~ings~~ers ~~to~~ convert the 8 years inferior and temporary service into pensionable and adding the same to his 9¼ years pensionable service ~~and~~ ~~restore~~ retire him on pension immediately and treat his case as a special one as he has been hardly dealt with and kept so long unemployed.

For which act of charity the petitioner, as in duty bound, shall ever pray for His Excellency's long life and prosperity.

The petitioner begs to remain,
His Excellency's most obedient & humble servant.

R. Veerasawmy Iyengar
Late Supervisor, P.W.D. + L.F.D

address
No 16 Venkata Rayar Street
Black Town Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following.

Petition from R. Veeraswami aiyengar, late Supervisor, Public Works Department No. dated 9th February 1897.

Proceedings in the Local and Municipal Department No. 867 L mis dated 10th May 1897.

Order thereon: — No. 3213 W, 17. 11. 97.

In his petition above read, R. Veeraswami aiyengar, late temporary Supervisor Public Works Department makes the following requests:—

(1) that he may be restored to the Public Works Department as a 2nd grade Supervisor or 1st grade overseer on the permanent establishment.

(2) that his inferior service in the Public Works Department of 3 years from 1870 to 1873 and his temporary Service of 5 years from 1890 to 94 (¾ year Local Fund Supervisor, Salem, and 4½ years temporary Supervisor Public Works Department) may be converted into pensionable Service.

(3) that a G.O. may be issued to Local Boards, Municipalities and Court of Wards, directi them to provide him with a Supervisor's post on Rs 150 or upwards, per mensem, on the next vacan

P. W. 40.
3,000—9-8-97.

(4) that his 8 years inferior and temporary service may be converted into pensionable service, and adding to this, his previous 9¼ years pensionable service, he may be retired immediately on a pension.

2. His Excellency, the Governor in Council, is unable to comply with the first request.

3 Request (3) has been dealt with in the Local and Municipal Department (G.O. No. 867 L Mis dated 10th May 1897) in which the petitioner has been told that Government is not prepared to issue an order to Local Boards and municipalities to employ him; also that he should apply to the Court of Wards, if he is desirous of securing an appointment in its service. It is left to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department to enter his name in the list of persons eligible for the appointment of assistant Local Fund Engineer. This cannot be done.

4. Requests (2) and (4) are matters to be dealt with in the Pension Department.

(True Extract)

C W B Burlton
Under Secretary

To
Mr R. Veerasawmi Aiyengar.
The Pension Department with P. W. current No 3568 in original

---

en given for copying ______ }
n copied ______ } 189 .
n examined ______ }
en signed ______ }

D AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

RENT No.

CLOSURE TO O. No

DER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
S OF GOVERNMENT.							
MENT WITH DITTO.							
ERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.