Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 88

DATED 1st Feby/96-

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 4—8—0 per mensem to D. Narayana Row late Gumastah, Penukonda Taluq office.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.
RECEIVED ON
15 OCT. 95
REGISTER No. ....

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Désayi Narayana Row
2. Father's name. Désayi Gundappa
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Smarta, Brahmin
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Penukonda
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Taluk Gumastah
6. Date of beginning of service. 26-10-1869
7. Date of ending of service. 26-7-1895

8. Length of service, including interruptions	.. ..	Y. M. D. 25-9-6
of which superior	.. ..	20-10-16
inferior	.. ..	--9-25
non-qualifying and interruptions	.. ..	4-0-25

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Invalid Pension
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 15/-
11. Proposed pension. Rs 5/- per mensem
12. Proposed gratuity. „
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 27-7-95
14. Place of payment. Penukonda
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 14-4-1842
16. Height. 5 feet 5 Inches.
17. Marks. A wart below the right eye brow, a mole on the right side of the neck, another mole on the left arm
18. Date 24-9-95

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

†(If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

for Ag Collector

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Desayi Narayanakan, late Taluq Gumastah Penukonda

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
						Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Temp Estt		RS.	RS.										Verified with pay abstracts and acquittance rolls &c.	Service from 26 October 1869 to 24 January 1871 does not count for pension being ~~reduced~~ rendered partly in a temporary appointment and partly in appointments on Inferior grades.
Penukonda Taluq	Income tax	15	–	26-10-69	31-12-69	–	–	–	–	2	6	Reduction of establishment		
	Clerk Temp			1-1-70	28.3.70	without	employ			2	28			
Tadpatri Taluk	Dufterbund permanent	7	–	29-3-70	11-5-70	–	–	–	–	1	14			
Anantapur Taluk	Gumastah ag	7	8	12-5-70	5-6-70	–	–	–	–	–	24			
Tadpatri Dy	Dufterbund perm	7	"	6-6-70	22-1-71	–	–	–	–	7	17			
				23-1-71	24-1-71	–	–	–	–	–	2	no pay drawn		
Dharmavaram Taluk	Gumastah permanent	15	"	25-1-71	6-11-76	5	9	12						Verified from the records of the Collector's Office, Anantapur and the verification confirmed by reference partly to the Audit Registers and partly to the Annual Returns on record in this Office.
"	2nd Class Rev: Inspector ag	15	10	7-11-76	10-5-78	1	6	4						
"	Gumasta perm	15	Sick Leave	11-5-78	16-8-78	–	–	–	–	3	6			
"	Do –	15	–	17-8-78	16-12-78	–	4	–	–	–	–			
"	Do –		Leave on loss of pay	17-12-78	17-6-79	✓	–	–	–	6	1	✓		
"	Do.	15	–	18-6-79	31-12-88	9	6	13 ~~14~~	–	–	–			
			Sick Leave	1-1-89	11-2-89	–	–	–	–	1	11	(not shown)		
"	Do	15	–	12-2-89	9-6-89	–	3	28	–	–	–			
Kalyandurg Dy Taluq office	Gumasta pt	15	Leave on loss of pay	10-6-89	10-11-89	–	–	–	–	5	1			
Do –	Do	15	–	11-11-89	27-12-89	–	1	17	–	–	–			
			Suspended	28-12-89	27-12-91	–	–	–	2	–	–			
Do	Do	15	–	28-12-91	17-1-92	–	–	20 ~~21~~	–	–	–			
				18-1-92	21-1-92	–	–	4	–	–	–	In transit		
Hindupur Dy	Do perm	15	–	22-1-92	8-1-93	–	11	17	–	–	–			
			Sick Leave	9-1-93	7-2-93	–	–	–	×		29			
Do –	Do.	15	–	8-2-93	4-12-94	1	9	27	–	–	–			
				5-12-94	6-12-94	–	–	2	–	–	–	In transit		
Penukonda Dy	Do	15	–	7-12-94	12-2-95	–	2	6	–	–	–			
			Suspended	13-2-95	12-5-95	–	–	–	–	3	–			
Do –	Do –	15	–	13-5-95	26-7-95	–	2	14	–	–	–			
						20 29	10	14 ~~16~~	4	10	20.			

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

SECY. GOVT MADRAS 20 JAN 96 REV. DEPT.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.	Fair
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.	The man was suspended on two occasions. Copies of the orders will follow:—
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant (*See* Chapter XXI).	
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.	The applicant has been declared unfit for further service by the Dt. Medical and Sanitary officer vide the certificate enclosed.
5. Any other remarks.	
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)].	The service claimed is established and should be admitted

SIGNATURE.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Certified that qualifying service in superior grades has been duly proved for 20 years 10 months and 14 days, and that an Invalid Pension not exceeding Rs 5/- per mensem equal to 20/60ths average emoluments is admissible under Articles 485 and 518(b) of the Civil Service Regulations, 2d Edition.

The calculations have been duly verified. It is observed from the correspondence furnished in explanation of the applicant's suspension, that his character and past conduct has not been thoroughly satisfactory. It rests, therefore, with Government to make such reduction in the amount of Pension that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to article 514(b) of the Regulations.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Collector in his reply to this Office Objection memo No. $\frac{ADK}{287}$ d/ 12 October 1895 accompanying.

Fort St: George
Accountt Genl's Office
18 January 1896

$\frac{ADJ}{598}$

To.

The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

J. Manison
Offg: Accountt General

Exd. C.R.

C. No 47

R.O. 88/96.

Application for Pension or Gratuity—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of Commencement.

Date of sanction.

Cno 47/96

C.R. 2/2717

No. 74

2/277

ANANTAPUR COLL OFFICE. RECEIVED 15 OCT. 95 REGISTER No..........

PENSION CERTIFICATE.

—(o)—

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE RECEIVED ON 15 AUG. 95 REGISTER No..........

DISTRICT TREASURY, ANANTAPUR 15 AUG. 95

CERTIFIED that I Surgeon Major H. M. Hakim District ~~Surgeon~~ Medical & Sanitary Officer Anantapur have carefully examined Desayi Narayana Row. Taluq Gumastah Penukonda son of Desayi Gundappa. His age is according to his own statement 54 years and by appearance about 55 years.

I consider Desayi Narayana Row to be completely and permanently incapacitated from further service of any kind in the department to which he belongs in consequence of Palsy of the right arm and defective vision.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

Office of the ~~District~~ District Medical & Sanitary ~~Surgeon's Office,~~ Officer Anantapur, 26th July 1895.

H. M. Hakim
Surgeon, Major
District ~~Surgeon.~~ Medical & Sanitary Officer

Forwarded to the Tahsildar of Penukonda with reference to his letter No. 814 of 30.6.95.

Statement of Case of Desayi Narayana Row Taluq Gumastah Penukonda.

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
25 SEP. 95
REGISTER No. ....

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
15 OCT. 95
REGISTER No. ....

Name.	Designation	Age.	Service.	Disease.	Brief Statement of Case.	Treatment &c
Desayi Narayana Row	Taluq Gumastah Penukonda	54 years.	About 21 years	Palsy of the right arm & defective vision.	Presented himself for examination of physical fitness for further service with letter from the Tahsildar of Penukonda No. 814 dated 30th June/895. On examination he was found weak, anaemic, and greatly debilitated, suffering from Rheumatic pains of both knees and loins which prevent him from moving freely. His hands tremble and muscles of forearms are atrophied. His Eye-sight is defective from Cataracts which are commencing in both eyes. As his general health is impaired, I consider him unfit for further service.	

Office of the District Medical & Sanitary Officer Anantapur
Dated 20th September/895
Despd 24th Do

Surgeon Major
District Medical & Sanitary Officer.

6
12
47

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.
ఈ కలములలో దాఖలు కాబడినవి ప్రతి 5 సంవత్సరములకున్ను ఒకసారి యథార్థముగానున్నవని చేవ్రాలుచేయవలసినది. ప్రతిచేవ్రాలునున్ను జాగ్రతగా రూళ్ళువేసి తారీఖున్ను స్పష్టముగా అగుపడునట్లు వ్రాయవలసినది.

Name of Officer. పేరు.	Caste or Race. కులము లేక వంశము.	Residence. వాసస్థలము	FATHER'S తండ్రియొక్క Name. పేరు.	FATHER'S తండ్రియొక్క Residence. వాసస్థలము	Date of Birth. పుట్టినతేది	Age. వయస్సు. Years సం॥లు.	Age. వయస్సు. Ms. నెలలు	Age. వయస్సు. Days. దినములు.	Exact Height, సరియైన యెత్తు. Feet అడుగులు.	Exact Height, సరియైన యెత్తు. In. అంగుళములు	Personal marks for identification. చహరా.	Date of entry దాఖలు తేది.	Signature of Officer. ఆఫీసరు చేవ్రాలు.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office. ఆఫీసుపై అధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హోదా.
Desaye Nanani.	Smartha Brain.	Bhanuram.	Desaye Gurushah.	Khanuram -	14th April 42.	37 years 38	5 mos. 8	25 ds. 18	5 fee	5 In.	A ~~wart~~ below the right eyebrow A mole to the right side above the neck: Another mole above the left arm.	8th October 1879 31-12-97	Desaye Nanai Row.	in charge Dhanuram

OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
15 OCT 95
REGISTER No.

RECEIVED ON
27 OCT 95
REGISTER No.

2

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment. ఉద్యోగము యొక్క పేరు.	Nature, *i. e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. స్వభావము అనగా వుద్యోగము స్థిరము యినదా, అథవా బదిలీనా, ఖాయమా, అథవా నిలకడ లేనిదా.	stantive appointment, e new appointment be acting only. ృషని బదిలీగానుండిన పక్ష కు ఖాయంపనియేది.	Pay. జీతము	Acting allowance. ఆక్టింగ్ అలవున్సు	Date of appointment. వుద్యోగము అయినతేది.	Signature of Officer. ఆఫీసరు చేవ్రాలు.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* యీకాలములో ఆఫీసరుయొక్క నడత వుద్యోగస్తుని విషయమయి యేదయినా మంచిదిగాని అథవా చెడ్డదిగాని వ్రాయవలసినదేగాని తప్పితే మరియేమిన్ని వ్రాయవలసినది జరూరు లేదు. సస్పెన్షన్ వుత్తరవు చేసినప్పుడు అట్టివుత్తరవును యిచ్చటదాఖలు చేయవలసినది.	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office ఆఫీసుపై అధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హెూదా.	Date of termination of appointment. వుద్యోగము ఖయిరు అయినతేది.	Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* ఆఖయిరు అయినందుకు కారణం అనగా ట్రాన్సఫరు అయినదా, బదిలీ అయినదా, ఖాయం యినసుపా వసువచ్చినాడా.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ఆఫీసుపైఅధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హెూదా.
Incometax Gumasta of Pennacondah.	Temporary	–	15	.	26th October 1869				End of December 1869	Reduction of establishment	
				From 1st January to			28th March 1870 without employment.				
Dufterbund of Tadapatri.	Permanent.	–	7	.	29th March 1870.				11th May 1870	~~Promotion~~ re-appointed	
Gumasta of Anantapur.	Acting.	Dufterbund.	7	8	12th May 1870				5th June 1870	On return of permanent Incumbent.	
Dufterbund of Tadapatri.	Permanent.	–	7	.	6th June 1870				22d January 1871	Promotion	
							No pay had been drawn for 23d & 24th January 1871 either in Tadpatri or in Dharmavaram Talug				
Gumasta of Dharmavaram.	Permanent	–	15	.	25th January 1871	Hanumanta Rao			6th November 1876	Promotion	
2d Class Revenue Inspector	Acting	Gumasta.	15	10	7th November 1876			In Charge	10th May 1878	On return of permanent incumbent	
Gumasta of Dharmavaram.	Permanent.	–	15	.	11th May 1878		Sick Leave Sick Leave for 11. 5. 78 to 16. 8. 78		16. 5. 78 31/12/81	Return to duty	

k leave for 3 months & 6 days on half pay from 11th May to 16th August 78.
vate leave on forfeiture of full pay for 6 months & one day from 17th December 1878 to June 17th of 1879

C. Macleod

17 MAR 87

Dy Collector in charge

12.9.84

13

4

5

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment. ఉద్యోగము యొక్క పేరు.	Nature, *i. e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. స్వభావము అనగా ఉద్యోగము స్థిరమయినదా, అథవా బదిలీనా, ఖాయమా, అథవా నిలకడ లేనిదా.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. ప్రకృతపుపని బదిలీగానుండిన పక్షమునకు ఖాయంపనియేది.	Pay. జీతము	Acting allowance. ఆక్టింగ్ అలవున్సు	Date of appointment. ఉద్యోగము అయిన తేది.	Signature of Officer. ఆఫీసరు చేవ్రాలు.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* ఆయాకాలములలో ఆఫీసరుయొక్క నడత ఉద్యోగస్తుని విషయమయి యేదయినా మంచిదిగాని అథవా చెడ్డదిగాని వ్రాయవలసినదేగాని తప్పితే యేమిన్ని వ్రాయవలసినది జరూరులేదు. సస్పెన్షన్ ఉత్తరవు చేసినప్పుడు ఆ ఉత్తరవును యిచ్చట దాఖలు చేయవలసినది.	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office ఆఫీసుపైఅధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హెూదా.	Date of termination of appointment. ఉద్యోగముఆఖయిరు అయిన తేది.	Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* ఆఖయిరు అయినందుకు కారణం అనగా ట్రాన్సఫరుఅయినదా, బఢతీ అయినదా, ఖాయం యిసముదారు పనికివచ్చినాడా.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ఆఫీసుపైఅధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హెూదా'
Gumasta of Dharmavaram	Permanent	"	15	-	18-6-79				31-12-88	✓	
		Sick leave on ½ pay	7½		1-1-89				11-2-89	✓	
Do	Permanent	"	15		12-2-89				9-6-89	Transferred to Kalyandroog	
Gumasta Dy Tahsildar Kalyandroog	Do	" Leave on loss of pay for 5 months from			10-6-89				10-11-89		
~~Do~~	Do	"	15	"	11-11-89 Returned to duty on the 11th, as ~~[illegible]~~ 10th was Sunday				27-12-89	~~Dismissed~~ [illegible] Dy Tahr 31-3-90.	
Do	Do	.	15	.	28/12/89		⊕ Suspension for two years. The dismissal order was cancelled by Collector on appeal Vide B.P. No 6966 31-10-90 (Mag. Progs No 113 5-4-90 Dr. R. [illegible] Dy Tahr 30/6/90.				
			15	"	28/12/91				27-12-91		
		In transit	15		18-1-92		Verified upto 31-12-94 Thungaker Dy Collector		17-1-92	Transferred to Hindupur Dy T. [illegible] Dy Tahr	
Hindupur Taluk Gumasta	Permanent		15		22-1-92		Thungaker		21-1-92		
		Sick leave	7½		9-1-93				8-1-93	Sick leave	
Do	Permanent		15		8-2-93				7-2-93		
		In transit	15		5-12-94				4-12-94	Transferred to Penukonda Dy T.	
Gumasta of Penukonda Sub			15		7-12-94		Verified upto 31-12-9 31-12-94 R.S.		6/12/94		
Do	Do		15		13/5/95		Verified upto 26/7/95		12/12/95	Suspended for 3 months vide Head Asst Collector's Dis 270. 26/7/95 Returned to duty	

verified Thungaker Dy Collector

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Name of appointment. ఉద్యోగము యొక్క పేరు.	Nature, *i. e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. స్వభావముఅనగా వుద్యోగముస్థిరము యినదా, అథవాబదిలీనా, ఖాయమా, అథవానిలకడ లేనిదా.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. ప్రకృతపుపని బదిలీగానుండిన పక్షముకు ఖాయంపనియేది.	Pay. జీతము	Acting allowance. ఆక్టింగ్ అలవన్సు	Date of appointment. వుద్యోగము అయిన తేది.	Signature of Officer. ఆఫీసరు చేవ్రాలు.

8	9	10	11	12
Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* యీకాలములో ఆఫీసరుయొక్క నడత వుద్యోగస్తుని విషయమయి యేదయినా మంచిదిగాని అథవాచెడ్డదిగాని వ్రాయవలసినదేగాని తక్కిన్నాది యేమిన్ని వ్రాయవలసినది జరూరులేదు. సస్పెన్షన్ వుత్తరవు చేసినప్పుడు అట్టివుత్తరవును యిచ్చుటదాఖలు చేయవలసినది.	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office ఆఫీసుపైఅధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలుఅతని హోదా.	Date of termination of appointment. వుద్యోగముఆఖయిరుఅయిన తేది.	Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* ఆఖయిరు అయినందుకు కారణం అనగా ట్రాన్సఫరుఅయినదా, బదిలీఅయినదా, ఖాయం యిసము వాపసువచ్చినాడా.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ఆఫీసుపైఅధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హోదా.

Cased to 18th Case Applicatn

SERVICE BOOK.

OF

Name పేరు Desaye Narayana Row.

Caste or Race కులము లేక జాతము Smartha Braman.

Residence వాస్తవ్యము Dharmavaram.

FATHER'S తండ్రియొక్క Name పేరు Desaye Gundappah.

Residence వాస్తవ్యము Dharmavaram.

Date of birth 14th April 1842

6 2 47

Refn C No. 2156
2.10.95

ENCLOSURES. 5

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.

Dated the 26th September 1895
2d October 95

465

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE RECEIVED ON 15 OCT. 95 REGISTER No.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 4 OCT 95 MADRAS

From
A. C. Tate Esquire
Ag COLLECTOR OF
ANANTAPUR.

To
THE Accountant General
Madras

SIR,

I have the honor to forward an application for pension on behalf of Desayi Narayana Rao, late Taluk Gumasta, Penukonda Taluk. He has put in a pensionable service of 20 years, 10 months, and 16 days. He has been declared unfit for further public service by The District Medical and Sanitary officer (Vide the Certificate enclosed) as also the detailed statement of his case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,

For Ag Collector.

26/9

70

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

From,
A. C. Tate Esquire
The Collector of
ANANTAPUR.

To,
The Accountant General
Madras

Dated 25 }
Despatched } September 95
Received }

No.

ENCLOSURE.

Subject

1546
1
47

Reply to Reference 2156
15/10/95

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K.
287

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
12th October 1895.

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
15 OCT. 95
REGISTER No. ....

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Collector of
Anantapur.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of Droayi Narayana Rao is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

H Pritchard
asst acct genl
~~Chief Superintendent.~~

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for

late Gumastah Penukonda Taluk received with your letter No. 2156 dated 2d October 1895

2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from ---------- to ----------, whereas in the history of services

1. Attention is drawn to No. 2 & 5 (c) of the printed Memo of Instructions from this office.

2. In the 2d page of the application the applicant is shewn to have been under suspension for 2 years from 28th December 1889 while in the annual Returns on record in this office and in the service book he is shewn to have been dismissed from the

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

the service on that date. The discrepancy should be explained.

3. The signature of the applicant should be obtained in column 7 on the 4th page of the service book

---

No. 587 / 24.12.89.

RECEIVED
14 NOV 95
REGISTER No.

Proceedings of the Ag. Head Asst Collr of Anantapur dated 17.12.89.

Read Dharmavaram Tahsildar's arzies Nos. 547/15.8.89 and 648/25.10.89 reporting upon the conduct of Desayi Narain Row Gumastah, who had kept back many papers without taking any action upon them and had wilfully evaded the Service of Summonses upon him when Summoned to give explanation.

Order

1. The late Acting Tahsildar of Dharmavaram has sent in a report regarding the conduct of Desayi Narain Row a Gumastah who is now in the Kalyandrug Deputy Tahsildar's office. The Tahsildar states that on examining

this man's desk he found a number of old papers undisposed of. A long list of such papers is submitted by the Tahsildar consisting of orders and reports and other papers. When relieved by Goffar Sahib, S. Narain-Row did not hand over charge of the papers pending with him in the usual manner, but left them in his desk just as they were.

3. He has not drafted orders in accordance with those passed by the Tahsildar - nor has despatched some important papers which the - Tahsildar had actually signed. He has not prepared the travelling allowance bills since January last, nor the bills for arrears of pay due to gumastas since September 1888. He did not despatch the travelling - allowance bill for January 89. though it was given to him for that purpose by the Head Gumasta along with the officer Copy and the Vouchers. When asked for the vouchers he said he had not got them. On search being made they were found among the papers of which he did not hand over charge.

4. Some papers he kept with him without giving them for registration nor bringing them for disposal.

5. An application for an advance which was made so far back as May 1889 has been kept back by him and never brought up for disposal. In consequence of this neglect on his part the ryot did not get the advance.

6. The Tahsildar sent him a summons to appear and explain these matters. He refused to - receive or to sign it. An other summons was sent him but he was been evading the service of it.

7. I have taken a statement from the gumastah. He states

that he was suffering from fever and plea and that he may have remitted omitted to despatch one or two papers in consequence. With regard to the registration he says that the papers ought to have been registered before they were given to him. He knows nothing about the application for the advance though he admits having had charge of that branch of work. The work of preparing the bills was given to him in February. When he took charge of the papers there were arrears pending since September. As he was near new to the work he did not dispose of them.

8. He admits denies that the Summons was ever served on him at all.

9. The above facts disclose as gross a neglect of duty as can well be imagined. The Gumasta's plea of illness cannot be accepted as a cloak for sins which have been spread over such a long period. It is impossible to expect Tahsildars to keeps keep the work of their Taluks up to the mark if they were are handicapped by having gumastas who sit at their desks and do nothing as has evidently been the case in the present instance.

10. As regards his refusal to accept service of the Summons I have taken a statement from the peon who states that he shewed the summons to the gumasta and that he refused to accept it.

11. There is apparently no reason why the peon should make this statement if it were not true without pressing this point, however, there is ample evidence that the gumasta is unfit for the Service of Government. He

is accordingly dismissed.

(Signed) M. D. Bell
Head Asst. Collector
17. 12. 89.

(True copy)

A. Forbes.
Head Asst. Collr.

Compared

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S
OFFICE.
RECEIVED ON
14 NOV.95
REGISTER No..............

6
8
47

M. R. No 113.

OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
14 NOV.95
REGISTER No..............

Miscellaneous Appeal No. $\frac{25}{90}$

Proceedings of the Collector of Anantapur
Dated 5th April 1890. Kalyandrug.

Read petition from D. Narayana Row appealing against the order of the Head Asst Collector at No $\frac{587}{24.12.89}$ dismissing him from his post of Gumasta in the Dy Tahsildar's office Kalyandrug.

Read records received with letter No $\frac{54}{32}$ dated 9. 2. 90 from the Head Asst Collector.

Notice Served. Vide Anantapur Tahsildar's Arzi No. 33 dated 2. 3. 90

Order

Party heard by Vakil

D. Naraina Row was appointed to the public Service on the 29th March 1870 having previously had a temporary appointment. He was a Taluk Gumastah up to 9th June 1889 when he was transferred to the office of the Deputy Tahsildar of Kalyanding. He went on leave for 5 months and joined his appointment on 11th November 1889 and on the 17th December he was dismissed by the Head Asst Collector for certain irregularities committed by him as a clerk in the Dharmavaram Taluk office They are as follows:—

(1) The Tahsildar found a number of undisposed papers had been kept by D. Narain Row (longest is on the records)

(2) He did not hand these papers over to Gaffer Sahib his Successor but kept them in his box

(3) He failed to prepare drafts in accordance with the Tahsildar's orders

(4) He failed to despatch orders after the Tahsildar had signed them.

(5) He failed to prepare Travelling Bills for the Establishment from 1st January to 10th June and bills for arrears of pay due to — Gumastahs from September 1888.

(6) He did not despatch the Travelling Allowance Bill for January 1889 and denied having the vouchers that should accompany it whilst they were found amongst his papers

(7) That he kept papers without registering them and failed to get them disposed of

(8) An application for an advance in May 1887 was kept back by him whereby the ryot lost his chance of obtaining a Government loan

(9) He refused to receive notice from the Tahsildar to appear and explain his conduct

(10) He did the Same a second time

2. Now charges one and two must be taken together for this reason Gaffer Sahib had signed a list

of papers received containing 53 items. The Tahsildar gives an Extra list containing further 99 items. Now the Vakil argues that as Gaffer Saheb has taken over charge he is responsible and this charge breaking down his client is exonerated. Now I cannot admit this. Gaffer Saheb could not know what papers were with D. Narama Row. It was a fact that should have been well within the cognizance of the latter. It would only be after Gaffer Saheb had had charge of the work for a little time that he would become acquainted with the state of D. Narama Row's dufter. Then there came the Tahsildar's strict examination and 99 papers in excess of the 53 formally handed over were found amongst the latter. I do not find many papers that have been very disgracefully delayed; but there are many that should have gone to the Record room.

3. The 99 papers may be taken now in the manner summarized in para 1 of these proceedings. The facts put forth in the preceding para show that D. Narama Row held back 99 papers and the second charge is clearly proved.

4 I find on an examination of the records (and I now refer to the 99 papers) that the Tahsildar has written many orders and no Takids have been drafted thereon. The Tahsildar's orders are sometimes written in Telugu and sometimes in English but Narama Row signs in English and if he did not understand the order he could have asked. So there was a

most impudent disregard of orders and probably corresponding hardship in some cases. This charge is accordingly proved. I find a very large bundle of such orders (44 in number) for which Takids have not been written.

5. I find amongst the 99 papers not handed over five Takids signed by the Tahsildar and not despatched.

6. Now No 5 charge if true has caused great loss to a poorly paid establishment whilst the former ones affected the ryots and administration of the District. I find 14 Travelling Allowance Bills for batta due to peons amongst the 99 papers. This is a most scandalous hardship to an overworked and poorly paid establishment. I cannot understand their not complaining to the Tahsildar. I shall ask that officer to get their explanation. It is possible that fair copies were prepared and signed and those on the record are office copies. They do not bear the Tahsildar's initials however. There is only one bill for a clerk's arrears of pay. It was the duty of D. Naraina Row to prepare these bills and get them signed. It is inconceivable why he took the trouble to prepare them and then never submitted them for signature.

7. The Travelling bill for January 1889 with the vouchers attached to it was found amongst Naraina Rows papers though he denied all knowledge of them to the Head Clerk of the Taluk

8. As regards the Seventh charge

it was not D Narain a Row's illegible to get papers registered. They should not have been handed to him until registered. If the proper course had been followed probably the delays would have been discovered earlier. That a number of papers were kept undisposed of when handed over is testified to by the 99 found in Narain Row's desk.

9. I find the application for an advance under the Land Improvement Act on the record amongst the 99 papers. It bears an eight anna Court fee Stamp (uncancelled). It is on the proper printed form and is undated. It has no date of receipt marked on it and does not bear the initials of any Taluk official from the Tahsildar down to the last joined Muchi. The date on the Court fee Stamp is 20. 5. 87. The paper bears the marks of age and it is clear that it has long remained with Narain Row and the applicant must have thought the Land Improvement Act was a trick of Government to extract 8 annas out of too confiding ryots. I dont think I need note the 9th and 10th charges. If Narain Row did not care to appear to defend himself that was his own lookout.

10. Now this clerk has been guilty of about as gross carelessness leading to hardship as ever I came across. There is no allegation of fraud however. The man had nearly 20 years' service when dismissed. Moreover the system

in the Dharmavaram office was such as to lead to idleness. I will change the sentence of dismissal into one of a year's suspension

(Signed) B. Teperumal Chetty
for Collector

(True Copy)

A. Forbes
Head Asst Collector

11/III

6
7
47

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)
Dated 31st October 1890.
No. 6966. Mis

Mr. H. W. Bliss
Mr W. S. Whiteside

Read again Board's Proceedings dated 21st July 1890 No 2461 Routine;

Read Endorsement of the Collector of Anantapur dated 13th September 1890 No 1159.

Resolution

The Board is not satisfied with the way in which the Collector has disposed of this case. Despite what the petitioner says he was, in the Board's opinion, most clearly convicted of the most outrageous neglect of duty. He was also convicted by the

Head

Head Assistant of twice insolently refusing to accept from the peon sent with them summonses sent him by the Tahsildar to appear and account for his negligence in the other matters complained of. On all the charges put together the Head Assistant dismissed him. On appeal, as he had twenty years Service and as no fraud was imputed to him, the Collector reduced this punishment to Suspension for one year, remarking as to his contumacy in refusing to accept Service of the Tahsildar's Summonses, that "If he did not care to appear to defend himself that was his own look out."

2. The Board does not think that insolent insubordination should be passed over in this way. It is hard enough to expect Tahsildars to do their work properly when a Gumastah proved guilty of such gross negligence, is retained in the Service, but the foundations of discipline are cut away when insolent contempt of a Tahsildar's authority is condoned. Had the man's service been shorter the Board should have restored the Head Assistant's Order of dismissal. As it is the punishment will be enhanced to 2 years ~~enhance-~~ Suspension instead of one year's.

True Extract

(Signed)

Ag Secretary

(True copy)

J Williams

For Collector.

Sm
1.12.95

3/12

6
6
47

Refund bill $\frac{2156}{24/11/95}$

Disposed 4.12.95

5629

8

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
4 DEC 95
MADRAS

Anantapur Collector's Office
Dated 24th Nov. 1895.

From

F. A. Nicholson Esquire,
Collector of
Anantapur.

To

The Accountant-General
Madras.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No $\frac{A.D.K}{287}$ dated 12th October 1895, I have the honor to state that the pension application of D. Naraina Row, Taluk Gumasta, Penukonda dated 13th August last was received from the Tashildar of Penukonda on the 15th of that month with the medical certificate declaring him unfit for further service. The certificate

not

not being in the proper form required by article 487(i) of the Civil Service Regulations, the medical Officer was requested on the 20th idem to give detailed reasons under the above article. The requisite information from the Medical Officer was received on the 25th September and the pension application forwarded from this office on the 2nd ultimo. Hence there was no delay in forwarding the pension application in question.

2. As required in 5(e) of the printed memo of instructions a copy of the Head Assistant Collector's Proceedings No 270 dated 29.1-95 Suspending the applicant, for 3 months is herewith forwarded With regard to his previous suspension for a period of 2 years the B.P. No 6966 Mis. L.R. dated 31.10.90. Suspending the Gumastah for 2 years is missing.× To save delay. I have requested the Board to furnish me with a copy which will be forwarded on receipt

× Since found and copy forwarded herewith

3. Regarding objection No 2 of your letter under reply. I have the honor to state that the order of the Head Assistant Collector dismissing the applicant was modified by the late Collector in his Proceedings No. $\frac{\text{M.R. }113}{5.4.90}$ by Suspending him for one year, but this was enhanced to two years by the Board on appeal. Copies of these Proceedings except that of the Board

which cannot now be furnished for the reason given in para 2 Supra are enclosed. The entry in page 2 of the pension application that the applicant was suspended for two years is correct. The entry in the Service book has now been correctly noted.

4. The signature of the applicant in Col. 7. of page 4 of the Service book is now taken and the Service Register forwarded herewith together with the papers received with the letter under reply.

5. The delay in replying to the reference is mainly due to the mislaying of orders relating to the

Suspension of 2 years.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

J H Williams
~~For~~ Collector.

8n
1.12.95

4
3/12

1895.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

Anantapur

To

THE Accountant General Madras

Dated 24th
Despatched 4th
Received
Nov December 1895.

Ref on C No. 2156
4/12/95

ENCLOSURES. 9

SUBJECT.

Representation on the Pension application of D Narayana Rao Gumasta Penukonda Taluq.

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.
RECEIVED ON
13 DEC 95
REGISTER No.

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

Reply to Ref. on C No. 2156
16/12/95

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K. 343

~~Fort~~ St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
12th December 1895.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Collector of
Anantapur.

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for

1 Transmission of the Head Assistant Collector's Proceedings No 276 d/ 29 Jan/95 referred to in para 2 of your reply No 2156 d/ 24 November 1895 together with this Office objection Memo No $\frac{ADK}{283}$ d/ 12 October 1895, for submission to Government.

2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from ____ to ____, whereas in the history of services

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of D. Narayana Row late Taluk Gumastah Penukonda received with your letter No 2156 d/ 2 Octr/95 is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

12/12
~~Chief Superintendent.~~
Assistant Accountant General.

14/12

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
2 - OCT. 95
REGISTER No.........

Proceedings of the Ag. Head Asst. Collector of Anantapur.

Disposal No 270
29.1.95.

Read argi No 48 / 23.1.95 from the Tahsildar of Penukonda, bringing to notice the irregularities of D. Narayanarao a gumasta of his office.

Order thereon

The Tahsildar's report shews that D. Narayanarao the Gumasta of his office entrusted with the work of registering correspondence has been guilty of the following serious faults.

(1) Failure to distribute Tappal to disposing clerks for several days together, the Tahsildar having found with him on 14-1-95 papers received in the beginning of the same month.

(2) mixing up Tappal papers with the papers marked for putting up references, in the same bundle

(3) possessing a Service Register of a gumasta transferred to Madakasira, which ought not have been in his possession, since he is neither the disposing clerk nor the Custodian of Service R

are detained for weeks by the Registering Clerk, obviously punctuality is impossible, & as English papers (which as the Tahsildar shows are generally few) come among the Taffal & the gumasta could not read them, there was all the more necessity for him ~~at once~~ to at once bring them to the Tahsildar or Taluq Sheristadar, instead of detaining them with him. The gumasta is suspended for ~~three~~ 3 months. A substitute will be sent as soon as possible.

(Sgd) A. T. Pitts

Ag Head Asst Collector

/True Copy/

P. Rajamannyamula

Ag [illegible]

6

3

47

5906

Enc: Records. 18 12 95

December 1895

From

F. A. Nicholson Esquire

Collector of

Anantapur

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
23 DEC 95
7/1/96
MADRAS

To

The Accountant General

to the Govt. of

Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. $\frac{A.D.K}{343}$ dated the 12th current, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Head Assistant Collector's Proceedings $\frac{No.\ 270}{29.1.95}$ together with your objection Memos Nos. $\frac{A.D.K}{287}$ dated 12.10.95 and $\frac{A.D.K}{343}$ under reference with the enclosures received therewith.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant.

For Collector.

lu
18/12

From

F. A. Nicholson Esquire
Collector of
Anantapur

To

The Accountant General
to the Govt. of
Madras.

Ref on C. No 2156 of 18.12.95

Dated 17th }
Despatched } December 1895.
Received }

Subject

Forwarding a copy of the Head Assistant Collector's Proceedings No. 270 / 29.1.95 with objection memos Nos. A.D.K / 287 dated 12.10.95 and A.D.K. / 343 dated 12.12.95 with its enclosures.

6
~~5~~
47

---

(1)

C. No. 47

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Collr. of Anantapur dated 26th Sept 1895, No. 2156

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 18th Jany 1896, No. A.D.J. / 598

ORDER THEREON, 1st Feby 1896 No. 88.

Character · Fair

In Decr. 1889, the applicant was dismissed from the service by the Head Asstt. Collector of Anantapur for "gross neglect of duty and insolent insubordination". On appeal, the Collector converted the order of dismissal into one of suspension for one year. The Board, however, considered this punishment inadequate for the applicant's misconduct, and enhanced the punishment to two years' suspension. Please see copy of B.P. marked with tape in the file with the remark that he wd. have been dismissed but for the length of his service

In Feby 1895 the applicant was suspended for 3 months for

(1) failure to destribute tappal to disposing clerks for several days together, the Tahsildar having found with him on 14-1-95 papers received in the beginning of the month;

(2) Mixing up tappal papers with the papers marked for putting up references, in the same bundle; &

(3) possessing a service register of a Gumastah transferred to Madakasira, which ought not to have been in his possession, since he was neither the disposing clerk nor the custodian of service registers.

Maxm. pension admissible is Rs 5/- p.m.

He was a dilatory & grossly careless Gumasta who is fortunate to have escaped dismissal. Submd. ~~that~~ Rs. 4/8/- is ample pension; he wd. have no " " Collr. of Anantapur

H.S. / 23.1.96

Under articles 485 & 518 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Desayi Narayana Row, late ~~Taluq~~ Gumastah, Penukonda Taluq Office, an invalid pension — of Rupees (4/8/-)

Four and annas eight

payable at Penukonda

from 27th July 1895

in consideration of his qualifying Superior service of 20 years, 10 months and 14 days

2. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant's character

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

conduct have not been thoroughly satisfactory.

I agree with R.S. The applicant's contumacy is probably attributable to the fact that he belongs to the Desayi family - a powerful clan in Bellary & Anantapur -

G.G. 27/1.

I think he may have R 4½

J.G.
28/1/96

C. No. 47/6

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

The Hon: Mr Grose, C.I.E

Dated

Despd.

G.O., 1st February 1896 No. 88.

GRANTING. an invalid pension of Rs 4-8-0 p.m. to D. Narayana Row, late gumastah, Penukonda Taluq office.

C.28/1.
R.29/1.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.