

Union (1897) Department

Government (1897) of Madras.

FINANCIAL.

General Order

Recd. 9th Sept }
Regd. 9th Sept } 1897.

Enclosures —

Spare copies /

G.O., No. 686, 30th August 1897.

AUGUST.

Power to remit disallowances by Audit Officers.

Recording resolution of the Government of India delegating to Local Governments and Administrations and to the Departments of the Government of India the — so far as they affect payments made more than six months before the date when they were challenged.

List

Order, 13 Sept. 1897 No 703.

Recorded.

sd/
9.9.97.

Wk
11.9.97

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 446, Financial, dated 7th March 1878.

ABSTRACT.—Communicating resolution of Government of India on correspondence regarding recovery of retrenchments against officers who have proceeded to Europe on furlough or on retirement from the service.

G.O., No. 160, Financial, dated 11th March 1896.

ABSTRACT.—Recording a resolution of the Government of India fixing the period within which claims of officers on account of arrears of pay or allowances should be investigated by the Account Department.

Read—also the following paper:—

Resolution of the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department (Salaries, Establishments, &c.—Rules and General Matters), dated Simla, the 13th August 1897, No. 3580-Ex.

Read—

Despatch from the Secretary of State, No. 450, dated 23rd November 1876.

Resolution by the Government of India, in the Finance and Commerce Department, No. 1017, dated the 22nd February 1878, promulgating certain instructions on the subject of over-payments and recoveries from officers on leave in England, or after retirement.

Despatch to the Secretary of State, No. 76 (Financial), dated the 20th March 1895, requesting sanction to certain excess payments made to certain officers of the Indian Medical Service, which were not challenged by the Audit Office within six months of payment, being written off the accounts.

Despatch from the Secretary of State, No. 68 (Financial), dated the 2nd May 1895, sanctioning the above proposal in the following terms:—

“Your proposal is in accordance with the general principle laid down in paragraph 5 of the despatch to your Government of the 23rd of November 1876, No. 450, and I accordingly authorize the amounts in question being written off the accounts.”

Read—also

Paragraph 5 of the resolution by the Government of India, in the Finance and Commerce Department, No. 998-P., dated the 2nd March 1896, directing that an Account Officer should not issue an order for the recovery from any officer of pay and allowances erroneously drawn more than one year before the issue of the retrenchment order without first obtaining the sanction of the Local Government, which has power to direct the amount to be written off, if it considers that the recipient was not in fault.

Resolution.—His Excellency the Governor-General in Council has had under consideration the expediency of delegating to all Local Governments and Administrations and the Departments of the Government of India, the power of remitting disallowances by Audit Officers so far as they affect payments made more than six months before the date when they were challenged, provided they consider the amount to have been drawn by the officer under a reasonable belief on his part that he was

entitled to it. His Excellency in Council is pleased to direct that in future Local Governments and Administrations and the Departments of the Government of India may dispose of all cases of over-payments and recoveries from officers serving under them on the principles described above, without reference to the Government of India.

2. It is only in exceptional cases that an Audit Officer should find himself unable to finally audit charges within a period of, at the outside, six months from the date of payment; and recovery should ordinarily be effected from officers of the amount of any over-payment made to them, if objection is raised within that period. Any case in which a Local Government or Administration or Department of the Government of India may consider that recovery should not be effected from any officer of the amount of any over-payment made to him within six months of the date on which objection has been raised, should be reported for the orders of the Government of India.

3. Cases in which erroneous payments have not been challenged within six months should be reported for the orders of the Local Government or Administration or Department concerned, with the Account Officer's recommendation. And an Account Officer should not, without first obtaining sanction, issue an order for the recovery from any officer of pay or allowances erroneously drawn more than six months before the issue of a retrenchment order unless the payment has been challenged within that period. The orders contained in paragraph 5 of the Resolution of the Government of India, in this department, No. 998-P., dated 2nd March 1896, may be held to be modified accordingly.

Order.—Ordered, that this resolution be communicated to all Local Governments and Administrations; to all departments of the Government of India; to the Comptroller and Auditor-General; and to all Accountants-General and Comptrollers.

(Signed) R. M. DANE,
Depy. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

ORDER—No. 686, Financial, dated 30th August 1897.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

J. H. M.
for Chief Secretary.

To the Departments of the Secretariat. *Persim*
„ all Heads of Departments under the Chief Secretary.