[D. D. / 42]
1,000-10-8-06.

# Pension (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 639

DATED 18-8-97

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that the point raised by the Examiner of P. Accounts was considered by the Govt- in arriving at a decision in a similar case G.O. 109/31-1-93 & that it was then decided that the words foregoing rules in article 581 C.R. covered only the rules in chapter XXI & did not affect art. 392 C.R.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a | 556 | Pen | 20-7-97 | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

D/4 1,000--11-6-96.

See

Pension
~~REVENUE~~ DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 629

From The Examiner, P.W. Accounts

Dated 27th July 1897.

FOR ORDERS.

Article 581 says "The foregoing rules do not apply to a Military Pensioner in civil employ; save as provided in the following articles, the claimes of such an officer to salary & pension in the Civil Dept. are not affected by his Military pension." The foregoing rules are the rules from -- articles 564 to 579 in section 1, chapter XXI re-employment of pensioners.

The articles following section 581 contain no provision which touches the applicant's case.

The applicant's case clearly fell under article 392, as he was not formerly an inferior servant reemployed after discharge as compensation -- pension or gratuity, he was not excepted by the note to that article. His claim was therefore rejected as inadmissible.

Reference is also solicited to the notes under G.O. 109, d/31-1-93 Pension -- a case exactly in point.

As stated in those notes art 581 refers only to the rules in Chap XXI. and does not cover art 392. Submitted that the Examiner may be told so.

lll 14.8.97

Used in Tus. 8.1

Orse. 8/18 Augt. 1897 No 639.

The point raised by the Exams P.W. Accts' was considered by the Govt in arriving at the decision in a Swindon case. [illegible] from them decided that "the words "foregoing rules" in Art 581 C.S.R. covered the rules in Chapter XXI & not the rules in Art 392. C.S.R.

lll 14-8-97

To The Exam. P.W. accts.

80. 556/20.7.97 - Flag A

Flag B.

lll 9.8.97

Seen the case has been finally disposed of by Govt. [illegible]

lll 10.8.97

FOR ORDERS.

Pension

# ~~Public Works~~ (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 629.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS, 28 JUL 1897, PENSION DEPARTMENT.

*Order*

*From* The Examiner
P. W. Accounts
Madras.

*No.* 4320 B

*Dated* 27th July 1897.

*Received*

*Enclosures*

*Spare copies*

SUBJECT.

Goolam Dustagir
Peon Supg Engineer
(5th Circle) Office
Remarking that
—— would appear
to be entitled to a
gratuity of 4 mos at the
salary with reference to
proviso in note to art:
392 of S.R. Pt. I.

BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| fo. | 556 | Pension. | 20-7-97 |

FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

E. No. 27.
500—26-2-97.

KEEP WITH dated 189 .

CURRENT No.

GOVT. OF MADRAS } 1897. { P. W. DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

*Madras*, 27 July 1897.

OFFICE NOTE No. 4328

To

The Secretary to Government,
Pension Department.

flag A

With reference to G.O.Pension Department No.556 dated 20th.July 1897, rejecting an application for gratuity from Goolam Dustageer,peon,under article 392 C.S.R, I beg to invite a reference to the proviso in the note to article 392 and to article 581 of the C.S. R. and to remark that the peon would appear to be entitled to a gratuity of 4 months' salary inasmuch as he was a military pensioner at the time of his appointment to the Department.

W 27.7.97
C.

A.R 27/7/97