

Pension.
Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 631



From

The Collector of
Cuddalore

Order 4 August 1897 No. 596

Recorded with reference to S.O. 483
2-7-97

459 Pension
15-6-97

del
27-7-97

del
30-7-97

Disposal No. 4470
despd 23-7-97

Dated 21st July 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures 8

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting with Remarks
the petition of M. R. Dy -
D. Subramanyam Naidu,
late Tahsildar of Badvel
requesting that his pension
may be passed.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
So. So.	459 1113	Rm do	15-6-97 18-11-96

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
So. So.	459 1113	Rm do	15-6-97 18-11-96

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

From. *D. Subbaramayya Konda*
 Recd 30.6.97 *Saibaildar Badoni*
Collector's Office *Andappah District*
at Phavapuram.
 JUN. 1897
The District Secretary
Government of Madras.
Revenue Department

Most Honoured Sir
 In Continuation to my letter
 No 19. 7. 97 I most humbly & respectfully beg to
 submit the following:-
 Submitted through the Collector of the Cudappah District.
 After repeated requests to H.C. Tate the Collector was pleased to furnish me with an order No. 2789, 9.2.97 directing me to send him 50 copies of a copy of his proceedings about my alleged dishonesty. In reply to this I submitted letter No. 14.2.97 a copy of which is herewith submitted for the perusal of the Government. Upon this the Collector was pleased to furnish me with an extract of his letter No. 5047, 25.9.96 to the Board of Revenue with his order No. 1847, 11.3.97. A true copy of the said extract is herewith enclosed.
 The Honorable Government will be pleased to see from the above papers that the Collector's proceedings No. 1485, 23.7.96 calling for an explanation of my last work did not contain a single word about my alleged dishonesty; that the Collector passed no proceedings about my dishonesty at all as stated by him in his order No. 2789, 9.2.97; and that he was pleased to report me dishonest without furnishing me with a copy of the charge of any sort of dishonesty and taking any explanation therefor. It is for the Government

silver hair

Government to consider why the Collector, who was pleased to take my explanation about my bad work and report me for the same to the Superior Authorities did not and could not take any explanation regarding any charge of dishonesty, when he reported me dishonest along with his report for bad work.

3. The Honorable Government will be pleased to see from the Extract of Collector's letter to the Board furnished to me and submitted herewith, that no charge of dishonesty of any sort is alleged against me, and that the Collector was highly pleased to report me dishonest, because I had the misfortune of committing the sin of having saved something out of my earnings during my Government Service of about 25 years and as Law Agent prior to it.

4. I respectfully beg to submit even this report of the Collector is not consistent with the papers on which he based it as shown below.

5. A Copy of the landed property list up to 31st December 1895 is herewith submitted. The Collector states in his letter that I acquired the lands as mentioned in the margin. The

Dray	Extant	Assessment	Statement will show that net area 11-47
35-78	17-15-0		
Dibet	26-14	241-0-0	

acquired Rs 144-1-0 was not acquired; but it was and is still under mortgage right to my eldest son D. Sathuparam Karu for Rs 4000. This appears to have very probably escaped the notice of the Collector. I am sorry for it.

This

head of my ending. It is said that the landed property including house purchased for Rs 17225 and the money lent was Rs 11000. The latter includes the said Rs 4000.

The purchase money of the land is therefore apparently Rs 13225 & not Rs 17225 which is incorrect. My deposition before Kangiam Sub Magistrate is quite consistent with the landed property list.

6. It is true that I bought a house some years back for Rs 175 and that I remember to have mentioned it in the landed property statement of those years. As I made a gift of it to a relative I ceased mentioning it afterwards. When I mentioned in the statement for 1895 all the property including a piece of land for Rs 50 and a house for Rs 2300 there would have been no reason for me not to mention a house purchased for a small sum of Rs 175.

7. When I was under the obligation of an oath, I answered truly the questions whether relevant or irrelevant. The Collector speaks of the 4th Establishment prominently saying well that it related to my younger days & before I entered the public service. It is purely private & shows that I was earning (as Law Agent) even before I joined the service.

8. According to the Collector my earthenware is Rs 30120 and Savings is Rs 30800. Dec 3-97 the said Rs 4000 the real Savings is Rs 25000. An important but a plain fact has apparently escaped the notice of the Collector.

He

the collector who
income or profit from the landed
property &c purchased and the money lent.
A rough calculation of 15 per cent would
very probably decrease my actual savings
out of pay to between 10 to 15 thousand
Rupees which is not extraordinary when my
earnings is considered.

I most humbly and respectfully beg
to submit that I know for certainly that the
Collector was very much prejudiced against
me; that his report against me is apparently
unjust & unfounded; that there was no
reason whatever to call me dishonest and
recommended the reduction of my pension
on the simple ground that I saved some
thing out of my earnings.

The Honorable Government
will be so highly pleased as to pass my
pension and protect me & my family.

I beg to remain
Most Honored Sir
Your Honor's most Obedient &
humble Servant.
W. Subbaramanyam
Tahsildar of Badvel

Pharaphuram
14th June 1897

7
3
331

Revenue Department
P. Subbaramanyam
Tahsildar
Badvel
District of
Mysore
14th June 1897
No. 1 (Form)
Explaining that the report of the
Collector against him is
dishonest in support of his
recommendation for the
reduction of his pension is
unjust & unfounded.

Revenue Department

From

D. Subramanyam Nair
Tahsildar of Badvel
now at Dharapuram

To
The Under Secretary
Government of Madras
Revenue Department

Through The Collector of
Cuddapah District

Dated 14th June 1897
Desp^d - No. ---
Revised

No. ---
Encl^s (four)

Subject

Explaining that the report of the
Collector against him as
dishonest in support of his
recommendation for the
reduction of his pension is
unjust & unfounded.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
21. JUN. 1897

CUDDAPAH

Memo

In connection with the request of Mr. D. Subra-
maniam Nair for a copy of the Collector's
Proceedings about his dishonesty he is
directed to send 50 copy stamps for
making copies thereof.

Cuddapah } (Sd) A. C. Iate
Collector's Office } 10-2-97
Camp Surabhu } Collector

To D. Subramania Nair
Tahsildar of Badvel
now at Dharapuram
Coimbatore Dist.

(True Copy)

D. Subramanyam
Tahsildar

Reg. No. 1 of 96

8 9-2-97

Desp^d 10-2-97

7

3

531

1990 9852 of 10 for
 199-2-27
 199-2-27

Revenue

In connection with the request of Mr. J. B. Laker
 for a copy of the Collector's
 report about his disbursement he is
 directed to send 20 copy stamps for
 making copies thereof

(12) of A. C. Lake
 to - 2-27
 Collector
 Collector's Office
 Camp, Bangalore

to J. B. Laker
 Director of Revenue
 at Bangalore
 Bangalore Dist.

1990 9852 of 10 for

STOP'S OFFICE
 JUN. 1897
 ADDAPAH

R. Subramanyam Naidu
 J. S. S. of Baduel
 now at Dharmapuri
 A. C. Lake Esquire
 Collector Cuddalore.

Most Honoured Sir

In Obedience to your honor's
 Reference in P. No. 2782
 9-2-97, I most humbly &
 respectfully beg to submit the following for such
 orders as your honor think fit.

While I was on leave between May
 & July both inclusive, your honor sent me an
 order that I should before joining the appointment
 appear before your honor and furnish Explan-
 ation regarding my bad work in Baduel Taluk.
 I did appear accordingly & was furnished with
 your honor's proceedings R. C. No. 1485
 28-7-96 for
 Explanation. I submitted forthwith my
 Explanation to your honor. I heard that your
 honor made a report against me to the Revenue
 Board along with the said your proceedings
 and my explanation & enclosed a copy of the
 report to the Government remarking in my
 pension Roll that I was dishonest & in support
 of your honor's recommendation for the reduction
 of my pension.

When I requested your honor to
 furnish me with a copy of the Charges in
 which your honor found me dishonest -
 with a view of submitting my Explanation
 for the consideration of the Government before
 the disposal of the pension Roll, your honor
 was pleased to favour me with the order
 under

7
 3
 531

same de R. Subramanyam

Under reply concerning

Stamps

I beg to Submit that your honor's
the above said proceedings by $\frac{1485}{28.7.97}$ to
which I submitted my Explanation does
not contain a word about my alleged
dishonesty. I am sure that if there was
an instance in which your honor found
me dishonest, the said proceedings
should have, as a matter of fact, contained
such instance and I would have sub-
mitted my explanation then & there to
your honor's satisfaction. As I am
not aware of any other proceedings regarding
my alleged dishonesty, I presume that
the proceedings alluded to in the Order
under reply for which Copy Stamps are
required is no other than the said proceedings
by $\frac{1485}{28.7.97}$ which has already been explained
fully. If my presumption is incorrect
then I beg to Submit the following.

I hold the appointment that
involved. Under the rules of the Government
in force, number & Date I do not remember if
a Superior makes a report of dishonesty against
a subordinate for some punishment, he must
furnish a Copy of the Charge; take & Submit
the Explanation of such subordinate to the
furnishing authority. I am sorry to
submit that I appear to have been made
an exception to the rules. I further beg
to Submit that to furnish a subordinate
with a copy of charges with a view of
getting

In Conclusion I beg to submit that
if your honor has passed a proceeding's alleged
dishonesty other than the proceedings by $\frac{1485}{28.7.97}$
I request your honor will be pleased to furnish
me with a Copy of it as a matter of course
without stamps as was done as regards
later & take my Explanation then dispose
of the matter as your honor thinks fit.

COLLECT

21-11-1907

DUDD.

I beg to submit

most honored Sir

Your honor's most obedient servant

(Signed) D. Subramanyam

Subsilder of

Board

(True Copy)

Attest Amangal

Sahib

7

3

331

Attest Subsilder Subramanyam

In conclusion of the petition that
of your name has passed a resolution
the petition after the the petition is
of your name has passed a resolution
the petition after the the petition is
of your name has passed a resolution
the petition after the the petition is

Light amount
of your name has passed a resolution
the petition after the the petition is
of your name has passed a resolution
the petition after the the petition is

of your name has passed a resolution
the petition after the the petition is
of your name has passed a resolution
the petition after the the petition is

Gilling

Disposal No. 1247 of 97
Re

Dated
Despd 11-3-97

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
21-JUN-1897
CUDDAPUR

Endorsement on petition dated
February 1897 from Mr. D. Sub-
ram Naidu late Tahsildar
Badvel requesting that copies
charges of dishonesty made on
him may be given to him.

Extract from the reports sent to
alleging dishonesty is sent herewith
as requested.

Cuddapah District }
Office March 97 }

(Sd) P. Dinathayala
10-3-97
For Collector

To Mr. D. Subramanyam Naidu
late Tahsildar

7
3
331

over house would seem to be Rs 175.
In the same deposition Subramanyam

Extract of letter Disposal No. 5017 of 1896
dated 25-9-96 submitting to the Secretary,
to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, Madras
report on Mr. D. Subramanyam Nair
Consilder of Badol.

CUDDAPAH

I enclose for the information of the
Board a copy of the statement of lands
possessed & acquired by Mr. D. Subramanyam
Nair up to 31st December 1895. This will
show that 35.78 acres of dry land assessed
at Rs 17-15-0, 26.14 acres of wet land assessed
at Rs 341-0-0 and a house all in Coimbatore
District were purchased out of his self acquired
property for Rs 17225. But no mention is made
in the statement of another house which
Subramanyam Nair admitted to have pur-
chased in his deposition before the Subma-
gistrate of Kangayam Coimbatore District on
10th May 1895. He stated that he had pur-
chased two houses for Rs 2475. The value of one
of the houses shown in the statement is
put down as Rs 2300 and the value of
the other house would seem to be Rs 175.
In the same deposition Subramanyam

district for his dishonesty. I don't think
he deserves much pension.

I have etc

(sd) A. C. Ite
Collector

(True Extract)

(sd) P. Minathayalu

x 10 - 3 - 97

For Collector

(True Copy)

D. Subb Annamalai
Sikhs

Registered



1138
To
A. C. Ite Esquire
Collector of Cudapp
District
D. Subb Annamalai
Sikhs of Badul
Charapuram

Statements of lands possessed along up to 31st December 1895.

Name of Office	Office held and in what Department	Duty of the Office in the public service	Name of property or land held and whether they are suitable or suitable for gardens and the uses to which they are put	Whether acquired before or during 1895	Whether relinquished before or during 1895	Year month and day of deed	Right possessed in the land	Price paid or obtained for the land
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
D. Subb Annamalai	Collector Revenue Department	1870 March	Wet and Dry lands for Agriculture	Before			Sole right	3000
							8%	675
							8%	2700
							8%	2300
			Wet and Dry lands for Agriculture	Before		30-9-91	Mortgaged to the Cudappah Bank	4000
							Sole right	2000
						95	Sole	200
						95	Sole	50
			One House					2300
							to the landed property within the Cudappah	
							Abraham Waidi	
							Collector	
							(Signed) L. G. Moore	
							D. Subb Annamalai	
							Collector of Badul.	

Statement of lands possessed acquired or relinquished by D. Subramanyam Waidi Tahsildar of Badvel Taluk up to 31st December 1895.

Name of Officer	Office held and in what Department	Date of this statement in the public service	Name of property or land including whether they are in the public service or not	Whether acquired or relinquished during 1895	Whether acquired or relinquished before 1895	Survey number	Extent & Assessment of land				Circar or Revenue	Situation of land			How and when acquired or relinquished	In what name registered or by what name	Year, month and day of deed	Right possessed in the land	Price paid or obtained for the land	
							Dry	Wet	Orchard	Other		Village	Taluk	District						
D. Subramanyam Waidi	Tahsildar Revenue Department	1870 March	Wet and Dry lands for Agriculture		Before	712		2 78 33 6			Circar	Dhara-Dhara			one Raju Kishan my Name	for family		Sole right	3000	
						868		2 54 38 2				puram-puram			maama Chetty					
						2591	18 57 6 13				Do	Modur								
						2592	8 79 4 6					palur Do	Do	Do	From Rajad Sahadagopala my eldest son	For his provision		Do	675	
						1046		77 7 9			Do	Dhara-Dhara								
						1047		2 83 35 6				puram-puram	Do	Do	From Ramaswami my Name but published	For family		Do	2700	
						1045		2 76 35 8			Do	Do	Do	Do	From Narayana my eldest son	For his education		Do	2300	
						633		2 76 35 8												
						636		2 75 41 4			Do									
						955		2 80 42							From Rajad Sahadagopala					
						217		3 16 25 5			Do	Do	Do	Do	Badela Sait		30-9-91	Mortgaged through Subbappa	4000	
						720		2 99 47			Do	Do	Do	Do	From Rajad Sahadagopala through Kishna Chetty my brother Registered	For family		Sole right	2000	
						27 5 19 2 10					Do	Chitana	Do	Do	not legible but my name for family		95	sole	200	
						9593 8 23 4 2					Do	Kolathu	Do	Do	Do		95	Sole	50	
												Dharapuram	Do	Do					2300	

I hereby declare that the above statement truly represents all the landed property possessed by me or in which I am in any way interested both within the Cuddalore District & elsewhere.

(Signed) D. Subramanyam Waidi

Tahsildar

True Copy

(Signed) L. G. Moore

Deputy Tahsildar of Badvel.

7
4
631

Disposal No 4470 Rev 677
dated 21st July 1897
despd. 23. 7. 97

Submitted

2. Petitioner complains that he was not called upon by me to submit any explanation about his alleged dishonesty. I did not intend to make 'dishonesty' an item of charge to be proved by external evidence. As I have already stated in my letter Disposal No 82 of 1897 - dated 8th February 1897 (Para 15) - the Charge is conclusively proved by ordinary arithmetical Calculation.

3. Petitioner next states that

I have overestimated his possessions by Rs 4000; having included the amount both under 'landed property' and 'under money lent'; and he also takes exception to my including the item under 'acquisition' of landed property, as his right is only that of a mortgage. 'Mortgage' is a kind of acquisition for purposes of the landed property statement and the lands have been in petitioner's son's possession since 1891 continuously according to his own admission - As to the double entry, the petitioner even now does not explain

Matters

Matters fully. The landed property statements show that the lands were acquired from Syed Khader Daudtha Sahib, while in the deposition given before the Kangayam Sub. Magistrate, the sum of Rs 4000 is said to have been lent to Esuff Sahib. This difference in names does not even now place the matter beyond doubt, as Subramanyam Naidu was found in other respects to be most unreliable.

4. The Petitioner then tries to explain away the omission to include a second house acquired by him in the landed property statement. No allusion to the gift was made in the statements submitted.

5. Petitioner finally attempts
to show that his savings out of
his earnings would have
amounted to only between
Rs 10,000 and 13,000 which he
does not regard as extraordinary.
The Petitioner does not deny that
his moral character even
before he entered public
service was bad and as
regards his property, the
calculation was made on the
list made and according
to his own admission at a
time when it was his interest
to make his possessions as
small as possible. Even
making the allowance claimed
from income derived from
his property, of which by the
way

way, the petitioner does not
attempt to make a regular
calculation, the possessions
are very large for a public
servant of petitioner's standing.
and the pension of Rs 22-8-0
granted by Government in
its order No 459, Pension, dated
15th June 1897 seems quite as
much as he deserves. He was
an unsatisfactory servant
throughout his career.

Cuddalore Collector's
Office, Dated 21st
July 1897.

S. S. Robert
For Collector
23.7.97
are the
all
Stipen-
addition

Copied by Cs
22-7-97

Complied by: Sub
23/7/97