

Pension (1897) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 586

DATED 2nd Augt 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Mr. Thompson that
the Govt sees no reason to reconsider the
orders already issued re the transmission
of his memorial to the Govt of India.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Pension Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 584



586

2-8-97

From H. A. Sim Esq. Secy. to H. E. The Governor.

Order

9/7

Encl. No. 1246 of 9th July 97.

No.

Transferred to the Pension Department

Dated 7th July 1897.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

ootacamund 9th July 97

Agaseth: Secretary Govt

Lead again G.O. No 442 of 2 June 1897

Read my No 584 Aug 1897 No 586. Order. The Govt also no reason to reconsider the above order

9/7

To Retn.

For orders.

Mr. Thompson's appeal to the Govt of India against this Govt's order No 134 of 14-2-96 was withheld under rule 3 (10) of India Petition Rules, as no explanation was given by him for the delay of 14 months in submitting his appeal. He now says

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition of 21st June 1897 from Mr. George Thompson late Sub. Assistant, No IV Party, Madras Survey, Pykara, praying that his petition may be forwarded to the Government of India.

(By order)

Handwritten signature

that he has no satisfactory explanation to offer for the delay, but prays that a concession may be made in his case in honour of Her Majesty's Diamond Jubilee and that his appeal may be forwarded to the Govt. of India. Orders are solicited as to whether the appeal should be sent as requested.

10/23-7-97

Mr Thompson had rendered 24 years 2 months & 16 days service when he was retired. He put in a petition asking for various concessions which were all refused. Please see note to G.O. Flipped A. His appeal to India was out of time even withheld on that account. He now says he did not know about the 6 months appeal limit. Submits that as the note above flipped shows that petition has really no case Govt. should not send it on to India without the appeal.

17.7.7.
26-7-97

BACK PAPERS.			
G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
82	941	Pm.	13-8-95

FURTHER PAPERS.			
G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

He really wants a special pension for he has been given all the rules suitable him to. There is absolutely no ground for a special pension. In fact his present pension was very nearly reduced till his term of retirement on pay flipped to 16-7-97.

(Unofficial copy)
To

His Excellency the Right Honorable Beilby Baron Wenlock, G. C. I. E.
Governor in Council,
FORT SAINT GEORGE,
MADRAS.

The humble memorial of Mr. George Thompson, Late Sub-Assistant, No. IV Party, Madras Survey, dated October 1895.

Most respectfully sheweth,

- (1). That Your Excellency's memorialist was lately discharged from service in the Survey Department and under G. O. No. 941 dated 13th August 1895 allowed a compensation pension of Rs. 60 per mensem in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 24 years 2 months and 16 days: that he was compulsorily retired in consequence of reductions ordered in the Survey Department, and was only 45 years of age at the time of such retirement.
- (2). That Your Excellency's memorialist had, before his compulsory retirement, petitioned the Superintendent of the Madras Survey to retain him in the Department till he completed his short period of service to enable him to draw the maximum pension allowed by Section 518(b) of the Civil Service Regulation; but unfortunately for him, his request was not granted. A copy of the Superintendent's order declining to grant his request is herewith submitted.
- (3). That Your Excellency's memorialist's service in the Department actually extends over a period of 27 years and 6 months of which 3 years and 4 months were disallowed under existing rules, as being service rendered before the qualifying term of age. But considering that during the whole term of his service he had never availed himself of either Furlough or Leave on Private affairs to both of which he was fairly entitled, and further that, his retirement was compulsory and that he had expressed his willingness to continue in the service, the duties of which he was still capable of performing satisfactorily, if he were allowed to do so, he humbly submits that the 20 years rule might have been relaxed in his favor, so as to entitle him to the maximum Pension allowed by the rules.

(4). That Your Excellency's memorialist would respectfully submit that there have been several instances in which Government has been pleased to condone such short periods as ten months wanted to entitle deserving servants, compulsorily retired, to full pension, and the peculiar circumstances of Your Excellency's memorialist's case would have justified such a concession towards him.

(5). That Your Excellency's memorialist's pension was calculated at the average rate of 150 Rs. per mensem. He respectfully submits that the average rate should have been 160 Rs. per mensem for the last 5 years of 60 emoluments, as he was on receipt of 200 Rs. per mensem from 17th November 1893 up till 25th September 1894, a period of 10 months and 9 days, during which, though he held an acting appointment, he was allowed to draw the full pay of a substantive appointment, which he thinks should have been included in the emoluments.

(6). That Your Excellency's memorialist now ventures to appeal to Your clemency in the matter, in the humble hope, that Your Excellency will be pleased to consider the circumstances of his case, strengthened as it is by the fact, that his services have all along been proved to be satisfactory, and award him a pension equal to a moiety of his pay at the time of his discharge; or if this cannot be done under the rules, to order at least that his services may be retained in the Department till he completes the full term required by the rules. That if neither of the above requests can be granted, Your Excellency's memorialist humbly prays that in consideration of his compulsory discharge without any fault of his own, he may be awarded a Bonus in addition to his pension, as in the case of retired Officers of the Public Works Department, who were compulsorily discharged on reduction of the Establishment. This would indeed be a great boon to Your Excellency's memorialist, burdened as he is with a large family who look up to him for support.

(7). In conclusion Your Excellency's memorialist begs to add that his whole career of 27 years and 6 months was one of honest and approved service, and further Your Excellency's memorialist is a poor Eurasian quite in the prime of his life, hale and strong with bright prospects before him which have been utterly marred by his being thrown out of employment.

And Your Excellency's memorialist will, as in duty bound ever pray.

J. Thompson

Late Sub-Assistant, Madras Survey.

8

6

Extract from G. O. No. 1694, L. Dated 9-7-90.

The Government has, on more than one occasion, declared that premature retirements should, if possible, be avoided and it desires to again impress upon all heads of departments the desirability of allowing public servants of some standing to complete such short periods of service as would entitle them to a higher pension.

7
1
2

G
(Thompson)

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Read the following papers:—

From the Superintendent Madras Survey, dated 16th January 1896, No. 160. Endorsement from the Revenue Department, dated 20th January 1896 No. 144 R:

Order thereon 14th February 1896, No. 134.

The Government declines to comply with the petitioner's request for the condonation of the deficiency of 9 months and 14 days to complete 25 year's service necessary to qualify him for a half pension.

2. His request that the acting allowances drawn by him during the last 5 years of his service may be taken into the calculation of average emoluments is inadmissible under article 530 (i) C.S.R. inasmuch as the acting allowances in question were drawn while the petitioner was temporarily holding an office on which the permanent incumbent had a lien.

3. The petitioner's alternative requests viz. (1) for retention in service till he completes the full term required by the rules or (ii) for the award of a bonus in addition to the pension are inadmissible.

(True Extract)

L. D. Davidson
LAWRENCE DAVIDSON,

Ag. under Secretary to Govt.

To

Mr. G. THOMPSON, Mangalore,
Thro' the Superintendent,
Madras Survey.

2
3 218

6
Duplicate

To

HIS EXCELLENCY

THE EARL OF ELGIN & KINCARDINE, P.C., G.M.S.I., G.M.I.E.,
GOVERNOR GENERAL OF INDIA IN COUNCIL.

THE HUMBLE MEMORIAL OF MR. GEORGE
THOMPSON, LATE SUB-ASSISTANT
No. IV PARTY, MADRAS SURVEY.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

1. YOUR EXCELLENCY'S MEMORIALIST was on the 1st day of May 1895 discharged from service in the Madras Survey Department, and under G. O. No. 941, dated the 13th day of August 1895, was allowed a compensation pension of Rs. 60 per mensem in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 24 years 2 months and 16 days. That he was compulsorily retired in consequence of reductions ordered in the said Survey Department and was only 45 years of age at the time of such retirement.

2. YOUR EXCELLENCY'S MEMORIALIST previously to his compulsory retirement petitioned the Superintendent of the Madras Survey to retain him in the Department till he completed his short period of service enabling him to draw the maximum pension allowed by Section 518 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations, but his petition was not granted. A copy of the Superintendent's order declining to grant Your Memorialist's request is herewith submitted.

3. YOUR EXCELLENCY'S MEMORIALIST subsequently petitioned His Excellency the Governor in Council of Fort St. George Madras in the alternative, either to award him a pension equal to a moiety of his pay at the time of his discharge, or to order that his services might be retained in the Department till he completed the full term required by the Rules; or, if neither of the above requests could be granted, that Your Memorialist might be awarded a Bonus in addition to his pension as in the case of retired officers of the Public Works Department, who have been compulsorily dis-

charged on reduction of the Establishment. None of Your Memorialist's requests were, however, granted by His Excellency the Governor in Council of Fort St. George. A copy of the order of Government, dated the 14th February 1896 declining to comply with Your Memorialist's requests is herewith submitted.

4.. YOUR EXCELLENCY'S MEMORIALIST'S service in the Department actually extends over a period of 27 years and 6 months, of which 3 years and 4 months were disallowed under existing rules, as being service rendered before the qualifying age; but considering that during the whole term of his service Your Excellency's Memorialist never availed himself of either Furlough or Leave on Private affairs,—to both of which he was entitled,—and further that his retirement was compulsory and that he had expressed his willingness to continue in the service,—the duties of which he was, and is still capable of performing satisfactorily, if he were allowed to do so,—he humbly submits that the 20 years rule should be relaxed in his favour so as to entitle him to the maximum pension allowed by the Rules.

5. YOUR EXCELLENCY'S MEMORIALIST would also respectfully submit that there have been several instances in which Government has been pleased to concede such short periods as ten months required to entitle deserving servants, compulsorily retired, to full pension; and that the peculiar circumstances of Your Excellency's Memorialist's case entirely justify such a concession to him. And Your Excellency's Memorialist would in this connection draw Your Excellency's attention to G. O. No. 1694, dated 9th July 1890 (a copy of which is presented herewith), in which it is declared that premature retirements should if possible be avoided and that public servants of some standing should be allowed to complete such short periods of service as would entitle them to a higher pension. Your Excellency's Memorialist humbly and respectfully submits that his case is one in which the directions contained in the said G.O. should have been observed.

6. YOUR EXCELLENCY'S MEMORIALIST'S pension has been calculated at the average rate of Rs. 150 per mensem. He respectfully submits that the average rate should have been Rs. 160 per mensem for the last 5 years of 60 emoluments, as he was in receipt of Rs. 200 per mensem from 17th November 1893 to the 25th September 1894, a period of 10 months and 9 days, during which, though he held an acting appointment, he was allowed to draw the full pay of a substantive appointment, which he submits should have been included in the emoluments. Your Excellency's Memorialist was again re-entertained in the temporary establishment of the Madras Survey from 8th February 1896, 10 months after the date of his retirement; and is still employed. Your Excellency's Memorialist's salary for such temporary service together with his pension only amount to the sum of Rs. 150 per month.

7. YOUR EXCELLENCY'S MEMORIALIST having failed in obtaining from the Government of Madras a favourable consideration of his claims,—owing perhaps to restrictions under which that Government is laid—now ventures to appeal to Your Excellency the Governor-General in Council in the humble hope that Your Excellency may be pleased to consider the circumstances of his case and to grant him the relief that he so urgently needs. He is a poor Eurasian and has a large family to maintain. Your Excellency's Memorialist therefore prays that Your Excellency's Government

may be pleased to award to him one or other of the reliefs previously sought from His Excellency the Governor in Council of Fort St. George or such other relief as Your Excellency may deem fit.

And Your Excellency's Memorialist will, as in duty bound, Ever pray.

J. Thompson

Sub-Assistant.

MADRAS SURVEY.

COONNOOR,

April, 1897.

G
Duplicate

PETITION

561

REVENUE DEPT

R

DIAMOND JUBILEE CONCESSION.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY SIR ARTHUR ELIBANK HAVELOCK,
G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.,
GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
Fort St. George.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF MR. GEORGE
THOMPSON, LATE SUB-ASSISTANT,
No. IV PARTY, MADRAS SURVEY.

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH:

Pension?

1. With reference to Endorsement No. 442 of 1897, Revenue Department, that Your Petitioner was not aware that under Section III, Rule 3 (10) an appeal against an order or decision of the Local Government must be made within 6 months after the communication of such order or decision unless a satisfactory explanation of delay can be given. Your Petitioner had, on the other hand been informed, on what he believed to be reliable authority, that the period of limitation for presenting an appeal was one year from the communication of the original order.

2. Your Petitioner has no more satisfactory explanation to offer of his delay in presenting his petition, but humbly and respectfully submits that a concession be made in his case in honour of Her Majesty the Queen-Empress' Diamond Jubilee and that his petition be forwarded by Your Excellency's Government to the Government of India.

And Your Excellency's Memorialist, as in duty bound, will ever pray for your long life and prosperity.

Camp Pyhara
21st June 1897.

J. Thompson
Late Sub-Assistant.

7
4
561

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.--

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.