Pension (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 267

Sec^y

Read the foll^g. papers:
Pet^n. from V. Venkatarama Iyengar d/ 24.9.96
Procgs. of the B^d. of Rev. R.S.L.R.&A. N^o. 179 d/ 8.3.97.
End^t of the A.G. d/ 24.3.97. N^o. $\frac{SAE}{608}$.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
25 MAR 97

From
The Accountant General
to the Govt of Madras

Order, 13 April 1897 No. 305

The Govt. ~~declines~~ sees no reason to comply with the petitioner's request.
Yes

13/4/97.
10.4.97

8.4.97

To
The Pet^r., through the B^d. of Rev^e.

No. $\frac{SAE}{608}$

Dated 24^th March 1897

Received 25

Registered

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
26
PENSION DEPARTMENT

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting with remarks the memorial of V. Venkatesa Iyengar, late classifier of Revenue Settlement Party No V, for an increased pension

Note

Please see B^d's Procgs. flagged A & the A.G.'s report flagged B. The B^d. does not support the pet^r's request.

Petitioner wants to count for pension
a period of service which terminated
in his dismissal for dishonesty
His reemployment in the Settlement
after his dismissal from the Survey
Under [illegible] Survey 502, 518(b) and 521(a) of the

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	1112	Rev.	18-11-96
G.O.	1502	do	20-12-95

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No 15/M.

Mark B.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement [illegible])

Dated [illegible] January 1896

Rev. Sett. No 50

Read the following:— C. No. 1710. Pension Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read again G.O. dated [illegible] June 1890 No 522 [illegible]

Read the following papers:—

from the Board of Revenue, Revenue Settlement &c dated 19th November 1895 No 6295

2 Certificate and Report from the Accountant General dated 13 Decr. 1895

Order thereon 20 Decr. 1895 No 1502

Under articles 502, 518(b) and 521(a) of the

Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition)

the Right Honourable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Valayapatti Venkatesa Iengar late classifier Rev: Settlement No V Party a super-annuation pension of Rupees 7-0-8 Seven and pies eight per mensem payable at Karur from the first Octr 1895 in consideration of his qualifying superior service of 22 years, 5 months and 22 days.

True Extract

Signed Lionel Davidson

Ag. Under Secretary to Govt.

To.

The Accountant General with Accounts & Registers

" Board of Revenue (Revenue Settlement)

Resolution.

Communicated to the Deputy Commissioner No V Party

True copy and Extract

Signed. P. Raja Ratnam.

Secretary.

True Copy Sd. M. Adinarayana Ayar

11. 1. 96

Deputy Commissioner

Rev. Settlement

Station Tanjore

Date 11-1-96

Communicated to the retired classifier V. Venkatesa Ayyangar.

(True copy)

Mark [illegible] D.10

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Revenue Settlement. Land Records
and Agriculture)

Dated 28th August 1890.

No. 3510 – mis

Mr. Clogstoun

Read — Petition from Venkatesa Aiyangar
classifier Rev. Settlement. Party
No V Dated 18th April 1889

Resolution.

The Board is not prepared to score out the word dismissed in the Service Register which records an actual fact. The effect of the Petitioner's — subsequent re-entertainment in 1872 in rendering (if it did render) his service prior to his dismissal pensionable can be considered only at the time of his retirement on pension.

(True Extract)

Sd. J. Lakshmikanta Rao
Ag Secretary

1847
3
267

To.
The Petitioner through the Dy. Commissioner of Rev. Settlement No V. Party

(True Copy)

R. Dept. of Survey

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

(Revenue Settlement Land Records and Agriculture)

Dated 28th August 1890.

No. 3510 — Misc.

[illegible]

Read Petition from Venkatesa Aiyangar Classifier Rev. Settlement Party dated 18th April 1889

[illegible]

Resolution.

The Board is not prepared to set out the word dismissed in the Service Register which records an actual fact. The effect of the Petitioner's subsequent re-entertainment in 1872 in rendering (if it did render) his service prior to his dismissal pensionable can be considered only at the time of his retirement on pension.

(True Extract)

Sd. J. Seshagiri Rau

for Secy

To.

The Petitioner through the Classifier of Rev. Settlement Party

189
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Current No.

Mark D

Dept of the Rev. Settlement and Agriculture Madras.

15 Sept 1895

Endorsement on Petition no 235 of 1895

Petitioner is informed here the original is torn 27 Sep 1880 Pension Dept the question of condoning any breaks in service can be brought forward and considered only at the time that application is made for pension on account of here also the original is torn

2. The request made by the petitioner is therefore one which can not be entertained

Here Sd. ———

Ag. Director of Rev. Settlement & Agriculture.

To. Venkatesa Iyengar, Classifier Rev. Sett. Party no II.

189
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Current No.

George

cil.

Rev. Settlement

tesa ayangar

ttlement

ost respect-

list was

public

the

ent and

Demarcation party in the Trichinopoly Dt and continued to work in the same party in Salem Tinnevelly and Coimbatore districts till 1865. In January 1866 he was thrown out of Service in the reduction of Establishment consequent on the Separation of the Demarcation work from the Rev. Settlement and its amalgamation with the Survey Dept. The memorialist was again reentertained a few days hence in the Survey Dept where he continued to serve till Sept 1869 when his Services were dispensed with by Mr Bomm and the then Dy Superintendent of the

189
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Current No.

Mark D, No 520
264

[illegible]

[illegible] 1870 [illegible]

23 [illegible] 284 [illegible]

[illegible] 1 [illegible] $\frac{283}{40}$ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1872 [illegible]

No 570

[illegible]

6/M 809996

1885 [illegible]

[illegible] B. Clark

Dy. Director of Rev. Settlement

[illegible]

Dy Translated.

No 570/241

~~From~~

Mr. Clark.

Deputy Director Rev: Settlement

North Arcot Dt.

To

Venkatesa aiyangar classifier.

order.

There is an order by the Director no 283/40 dated 1st March 1870 in accordance with the G.O. no 284 dated 23rd Feby 1870 that you have been dismissed for entering false accounts in the Survey Dt.

Since 15th June 1872 you are employed as a classifier by the Dy Director Salem Dt. No reference is to be found in the Survey Dt. for your instalment in public

No 570

[illegible]

1872 [illegible]

Dy. Director of Rev. Settlement

(உத்தரவு) [illegible]

Mark. A

ரு
92

ரிவனியு செட்டில்மெண்டு சூபரிண்டெண்டு அய்யா
வய்யங்கா ரவர்கள்

கிருஷ்ணயங்கார் [illegible]
யங்காருக்கு எழுதியது

உம்மை கோயம்புத்தூர் [illegible]
ஸ் பேர் [illegible] டி. [illegible]
டைரக்டர் துரையவர்கள் ஜூனை 24ம் தேதியில்
சாதகமாய் [illegible]
மேற்படி டி. [illegible] அந்தப்படிக்கு
[illegible] சூபரிண்டெண்டு [illegible]
[illegible] அனுப்பி [illegible]
படிக்கு [illegible] கொள்ளவேண்டியது.

1872ம் வரு. ஜூன் மீ
25 தேதி.
திருவாரூர்

ரெ. அய்யவய்யங்கார்
சூபரிண்டெண்டு.

(அசலுக்கு சரியானவை)

[illegible]

A Translated.

No/92

From

Mr. Aiyavaiyangar
Supervisor Rev. Settt.

To. Venkatesa aiyangar. Volunteer
Krishnapady

You are hereby sent for, by the Director of Rev. Settlement in his order no 214. to be employed as a classifier in Coimbatore Dt. So I direct you to appear before Mr Sundra Mudali Supervisor at Erode and conduct yourself as you would be instructed.

Signed.

1872 June 25 } Aiyavaiengar.
Tirivallur } Supervisor.

Dy Translated.

No $\frac{540}{241}$

From

Mr. Clark.

Deputy Director Rev. Settlement

North Arcot Dt.

To

Venkatesa aiyangar classifier.

Order.

There is an order by the Director no $\frac{283}{40}$ dated 1st March 1870 in accordance with the G.O. no 284 dated 23rd Feby 1870 that you have been dismissed for entering false accounts in the Survey Dt. You are since 15th June 1872 employed as a classifier by the Dy Director Salem Dt. No reference is to be found in the Survey Dt. for your instalment in public

public service. To the extent I was let known by the director in his order No. 1512. dated 29. last month.

You are to remind about these broken periods ~~at~~ either at the time of your retirement or the time of your applying for gratuity

4 June 1885 Salur
Sd. G. B. Clark
Dy. Director Rev. Settlement

INDIA COURT FEE
Charged
23-9-96
[illegible]
1/16 R. ONE ANNA

Z.

D809 [illegible]

His Excellency the Governor of Ft St George
in council.

Through the Board of Revenue. Rev. Settlement.

The humble memorial of Valayapatti Venkatesa ayangar late classifier Rev. Settlement no. V. Party Tanjore most respectfully Showeth:-

That the memorialist was first entertained in public Service in Septr. 1859 in the Combined Rev. Settlement and Demarcation Party in the Trichinopoly Dt. and continued to work in the same party in Salem Tinnevelly and Coimbatore districts till 1865. In January 1866 he was thrown out of Service in the reduction of Establishment consequent on the Separation of the Demarcation work from the Rev. Settlement and its amalgamation with the Survey Dept. The memorialist was again reentertained a few days hence in the Survey Dept. where he continued to Serve till Septr. 1869 when his Services were dispensed with by Mr Bommanan then Dy Superintendent of

(2)

the Survey Party in charge of
Chingleput Dt, who alleged that
the memorialist had not main
-tained a correct account of the
money entrusted to him.

2. That from that date i.e.
Sep 7. 1869 the memorialist
was in correspondence with the
Dy. Superintendent and Superdt.
about his reinstalment, but
Seeing that he had remote —
chances of Success he accepted
an appointment in the Rev.
Settlement Dt in January 1872
offered to him by Mr Banbury
the then director of the Rev. Settt.
(A copy of the order by Mr Banbury
marked A is herewith Sent)

3. Whether the allegation made
against the memorialist by the
Dy Superintendent was true or
false the memorialist did
not at length discuss here, as
the very fact that the director
of the Rev. Settlement took the
necessary step to satisfy him-
self as to the memorialist's —
conduct before offering him
the appointment and —
exonerate him from all blame
The memorialist was neither give
an opportunity by Mr Bomman
of the Survey Dt to explain his
conduct nor did he take any
statement from him as to the
error in the accounts by main
-tained by him. His action wa
always arbitrary in the Surve
Dept and he observed neither
rules nor regulations. So Mr
Banbury ascertained these facts
and after satisfying himself
that there was no fault in the
memorialist's conduct enter-
tained him back in the Settlem
Dt.

Copies of correspondence in the Subject have been applied for and will be submitted when received)

3. That from the year 1872 to 1895 memorialist continued to serve and his services have been acknowledged by the — benevolent Government. [Please vide G.O. No× 502 dated 20. 12. 95 copy herewith submitted marked B.]

× G.O. No 1502
(G.O. granting pension)
disposed of
in B.P. 56 M
7-1-96

4. That from the above order it appears that his services between 1859 and 1869 amounting to 4 years 10 months and 17 days are not included in the total service of 22 years 5 months and 22 days now accepted, for if it had been he would become eligible to a half pension of 12 Rs per mensem instead of 7 Rs-0-8 now — granted to him

5. That the memorialist is unaware of the circumstances under which the above period was excluded notwithstanding his special request in his — pension application that the period should be taken into account.

6. That the grounds under which the memorialist contends that his superior service of 4 years 10 months and 17 days should be taken into account [illegible] follow.

a. That the alleged miscond

(3)

Copies of correspondence in the subject have been applied for and will be submitted when received]

3. That from the year 1872 to 1896 memorialist entered the service and his services have been acknowledged by the Government. [Please vide G.O. No 592 dated 20.12.95 copy herewith submitted marked B]

4. That from the above order it appears that his services between 1857 and 1862 amounting to 4 years 10 months and 17 days are not included in the total service of 22 years 5 months and 22 days now accepted, for if it had been he would become eligible to a half pension of Rs 12-8 per mensem instead of Rs 7-8-3 now granted to him.

5. That the memorialist is unaware of the circumstances under which the above period was excluded, but in the absence of his special request [illegible] the pension application [illegible] that the records should be taken into account.

6. That the grounds under which the memorialist [illegible] that his further service of 4 years 10 months and 17 days should be taken into account are as follows

[illegible] That the alleged misconduct

From
V. Venkata [illegible]
Pensioned [illegible]
Rev. Settlement [illegible]
residing at [illegible]
[illegible]
Coimbatore Dt

Not traceable
[illegible]

To
His Excellency the Governor of Ft St George in Council
Through the Board of Rev. Settlement
Dated 24-7-[illegible]

Abstract.

Requesting that the memorialist may be granted full pension or half instead of Rs 7-8-3 granted under G.O. No 592 dated 20-12-95 [illegible]

(4)

misconduct which led to his severance from the Survey Department was found by Mr Banbury to be groundless.

b. That in the case of
E. Ramachandra aiyar,
2. C. Ekambara aiyar
3 Thirumeni Pillaimen
who were in the same appointments as the memorialist the Government was pleased to recognise their services and grant them gratuity in 1886 or 1887

c. That the service entered in the Govt order of 1880 can not be regarded as final.

7. The memorialist brought all these facts to the notice of his superiors in the Rev. Settlement Dt in 1883, 1885 and 1890 but was informed that his case would be considered at the time of his retirement on pension [Please see B.P no 3510 misdated 28.8.1890 as well as endorsement by the director of the Rev. Settlement no 235 dated 15. 9. 1895 copies of these are herewith attached marked C & D.]

The memorialist is not aware whether any action has been taken by the Board to consult the records when submitting the pension application of the memorialist to Govt.

From

V. Venkatesa aiyangar
Pensioned classifier
Rev. Settl Party I
residing at varur
Karur
Coimbatore Dt

To.

His Excellency the
Governor of Ft. St George
in Council

Through the Board of Rev. Rev Settl

Dated 24-9.96.

Abstract.

Requesting that the memorialist may be granted full pension of Rs12 instead of Rs7-0-8 granted under no G.O. no 1502 dated 20.12.96 under the circumstances explained within.

---

(5)

8. That the memorialist during the reduction of 1880 was not aware whether his pensionable service put in between 1859 to 1869 would be qualifying for pension and that therefore he did not press his claim immediately, but that when he found that in similar cases such periods were taken as qualifying for pension he addressed his superiors with the result as stated; [vide para 6. b above. above].

9. The memorialist therefore respectfully requests to send for the records from the Survey and Settlement Dept and ascertain how far the above statements are true and then grant the full pension to which he is entitled. For which act of kindness the memorialist will ever pray.

24.9/96.

Peti Regn no
809 of 96
(with 7 encls)

From

V. Venkatesa aiyangar
Pensioned classifier
Rev. Settt Party V
residing at Karur
Karur
Coimbatore Dt

BOARD OF REVENUE SETTLEMENT
24 SEP 96

To.

His Excellency the
Governor of Ft. St George
in Council.
Through the Board of Rev. Rev. Settt,

Dated 24 - 9 - 96.

__abstract.__

Requesting that the memorialist may be granted full pension of Rs12 instead of Rs 7-0-8 granted under ~~no~~ G.O. no 1502 dated 20.12.96 under the circumstances explained within.

Petition dated
" received
" submitted to Secretary
" submitted to Member
Explanation of delay, if any.—

189
REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure No.
To Current No.

Presentation of the Peti
(Sett. 57—2,000—23-11-94.)
1427 of 96

Abstract.

Name S. V. Venkatesa Iyengar
Occupation }
Village }
Taluk }
District }
Pensioned Classifier Rev. Sett Party no V.

The petr herewith submits for the Bd's perusal, the extract from the letter of 7-7-73 from the Director of Rev. Sett Mr Banbury, to the Supdt Re Survey.

2. It was very unjust of Mr Bommond, the Dy Supdt of Survey, to have dismissed the petr from service, in 1869. The pe kept correct accounts and was not at all in fault. The account were not examined and no depositions we taken from the petr

3. Mr Bommond was a capricious man This day he would give promotion ~~to~~ to a man because he thou

him to have done good work, and to-morrow he would degrade him stating he was unfit for work. So he did with the ~~Dy~~ Inspector O. Vydyanadha Iyer, whose Service Register will clearly bear testimony to this fact. If the petr. were really in fault, he would not have been entertained in Govt service again by Mr. Banbury. 4. The petr therefore prays, that the 4 years, 10 months and 17 days' service which he put in, in ~~the Survey Deptt.~~, may be allowed to count towards pension, so that he may be qualified for half pension. Or, if this is not possible, the petr requests that at least the Service of 1 year and 2 months, put in by him in the Sett Deptt before the Party to which he had been attached came to a close in Decr 31, 1865, may be allowed to count towards pension; so that this may cover the deduction of Rs 1-12-0 from his pension, on account of age-bar and Rs 0-6-5 on account of the deficit of 1 years' service

[illegible] Rs 2-2-5

FOR COPIES ONLY

INDIA

TWO ANNAS

THOS. DE LA RUE & CO. LONDON.

Extract from the letter from the Director of Revenue Settlement, to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey, dated, Madras, 7th March 1873, No. 436/23:—

"The other man Vencatesa Iyengar was one of the old settlement hands who were all dismissed after transfer to the Survey and concerning whom correspondence took place with your office when he was employed 2½ years ago. He is now working in Coimbatore and the Acting Assistant Director gives him a character for energy and efficiency."

(True Extract Copy)

J. Sacabhaliyan[illegible]
for Secretary

Application Petn Regr No. 812 of 1896 for copy received } on 24-9-96.

Stamp Papers called for ,,

Stamp Papers produced ,, 24-9-96

Copy ready ,, 18-11-96.

Copy delivered or sent by post to } ,,

7
9
267

189

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

LODGED ON

CUPPONE NO.

Copied by C. Bashyam Iyengar.
Compared by ~~C. Sommaraghavachar~~ M. V. Venkataraghavachari.

him to have done good
work and to-morrow.

3359

[illegible] 25/9/[illegible]

8 NOV

M.1127/96

BOARD OF [illegible]
30 NOV 96

12

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 24 [illegible]

[illegible] 73 [illegible]

that

applied

the

tries

two

stamp to

Pole Regs No
1127 of 96
(with 2 encls)

Pole Regs No
1127 of 96
(with 2 encls)

1896

No. 2567/Gu

Reference application dated 24 September 96 from Venkatesa Aiyar applying for papers in connection with his dismissal by Mr Beaumont late Deputy Supdt: Madras Survey.

The applicant is informed that the papers applied for are not on record in this Office.

2. The two Annas Stamp

paper sent by him is herewith returned —

Survey office
Madras
23 October
1896

Asstt. Superintendent
Madras Survey.

To,

M. 983/96

[illegible]

1872 [illegible] 30 [illegible]

[illegible]

Taken
to Venkatesa
Iyengar from
Ayyangar. Supervisor Rev. Sett.

D/30/1/72 As you have been appointed to act for Volunteer Venkatachela Iyer (under order No 29) you are directed to appear before the Asst. Supervisor Sundara Moodly at Ponneri & to look after the Public Duties, abided by h

---

To.

His Excellency the Governor in Council
Fort St. George

983

Through the Board of Revenue
Revenue Settlement.

The humble petition of V. Venkatesa aiyangar late classifier Party V Revenue Settlement respectfully begs to submit the following additional facts in continuation of his petition of the 24th Sep last regarding his pension.

1 That when after his services had been dispensed with in Sep 1869 from the Survey Party he was entertained in the Settlement Dept then working in the Salem Dt in May 1870, the Survey Supt objected to his reentertainment and his services were immediately dispensed with by Mr Goodrich in August 1870 & that he then appealed to the Survey Supt regarding his restoration but received no reply.

2 That Mr Banbury then made enquiries about the petitioner and satisfying himself that he was not at all guilty appointed him in January 1872 to a temporary post on Rs 10 attached to the [illegible] Party and that he served in this party in the Chingleput Dt. Petitioner begs to enclose a copy of the appointment order given to him by the then Supervisor Aiyangar. That on the 15th June he was made a permanent classifier. The prayer in his previous petition was to condone the 6 months' break of service (viz. 4 months in 1870 from May to August 1870 & 5 months and odd in 1872 from January to June 1872.) perusing the records connected with his dismissal and reappointment and to treat it as also eligible for pension.

3. That his allusion in his petition of 24 Sep to the cases of Thirumalai Pillai, Swaminaiyar and Ramachendra aiyar was with reference to the fact that their services as [illegible] gumastahs

were taken into account and gratuities were granted to them even for that service during their reduction in 1880 and that similar recommendation was not then made in petitioner's favour. That the fact of the inclusion of their services as demarcation gumastas in considering their claims for gratuity was known to Petitioner in 1883 and that he then immediately petitioned to the Dy Commissioner in charge of No II Party to consider his claims also for similar service previously enclosed rendered by him. Petitioner also begs to ~~enclose~~ say that he has a copy of endorsement granted to him by that officer on his petition. The mention of the cases of Thirumalai Pillai etc in his original petition was not to show that their services also had also been dispensed with as was the case of Petitioner.

4. That if his services as a demarcation gu-
masta on Rs 12 in Coimbatore from 1864 to 65
is for a period of 1 year 2 months were taken
into consideration there would be no necessity
for a reduction of 1/5 of his pension ie Rs 1-12
n account of his over age.

That in submitting his pension appli-
cation with the records through the Dy. Com-
missioner in charge of No 5 Party he made
a special request to include the services
f 4 years 10 months & 17 days previously
omitted and to grant him half pension.

That the petitioner begs that the pension
roll, his service register and other records
which may now be in the Govt office or in
the Accountant General's office may be
ent for and that the Govt may be pleased
o consider favourably the claims of
Petitioner who has sustained loss in 2 ways
eduction of pension on account of age &
eduction by not including his previous ser-
ices.

Petitioner begs to enclose a copy of the
dorsement given to him on his application
y office for copies of records regarding

---

his dismissal in 1869 which shows that they are not available in that office.

5/11/96

Aurit. [illegible]

Pension Regn. No. 983
1896
(with 2 encls)

To.

His Excellency the Governer in Council
Fort St George.

Through the Board of Revenue
Rev. Settlement.

From

V. Venkatesa aiyangar.
Pensioned classifier
Rev. Se~~tt~~ no V Party
Neruvr village
Karur Taluq.
Coimbatore Dt.

Dated 5th Nov. 1896.

Subject

Submitting further information regarding his claim for increased pension.

Through the Accountant General

Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

Order

From The Board of Revenue Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture, Madras.

No. 1719 Miscellaneous

Dated 8th March 1897.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government with remarks, through the Accountant General the Memorial of V. Venkatesa Iyengar, late classifier of Revenue Settlement, Party No. V, for an increased pension

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

(Sett. 24–2,000–29-10-96.)

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
10 MAR 97
MADRAS

1057

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Revenue Settlement, Land Records and Agriculture.)

Dated 8th March 1897

No. 1719 Miscellaneous

Read Petition from Valayapatti Venkatesa Aiyangar, dated 24th September 1896, requesting to grant him full pension to which he is entitled, after perusing all the records.

Read also petition from the same individual dated 5th November 1896, submitting additional facts, in continuation of his petition of the 24th September last, regarding pensions.

Read also Board's Proceedings No. 5659 Mis dated 13th November 1896, Requesting Government to send the records connected with the pension and gratuity application of

V

1114/457

V. Venkatesa Aiyangar, retired classifier of Revenue Settlement Party No. V for reference and return

Read also G.O. Mis No. 1112 dated 18th November 1896, forwarding to Board the pension and gratuity papers of V. Venkatesa Aiyangar, late classifier, Rev Settlement Department, for reference and return.

Read also petition from V. Venkatesa Aiyangar, Pensioned classifier, dated 29th November 1896, praying to recommend him for half pension.

Resolution

The papers read above will be submitted for the orders of Government through the Accountant General.

2. The Memorialist was lately employed as classifier of Revenue Settlement, Party No. V and Government in its order No. 1502 Pension dated 20th December 1895 granted him a monthly pension of Rs 7-„-8 in consideration of his qualifying Superior Service of 22 years 5 months and 22 days in this Department.

3. It will be seen from the Memorialist's Service Register, that he was originally employed in the Settlement Department and was then transferred to the Survey Department from which he was dismissed on 17th August 1869, his Superior pensionable Service up to the date of his dismissal being 4 year 9 months and 2 days excluding the period which was shown under breaks.

4. He was again taken into the Settlement Department on 17th May 1870 and continued there till the end of 1879, when, on the abolitio of the Party in which he was employed, he was retired on a gratuity of Rs 140 or 7 months pay, calculated with reference to the period of his service, since his re-entertainment in Superior Service in 1872 (vide G.O. No. 522 dated 8th June 1880 Pension). He was again taken into the Department on 1st January 1880 and continued to serve till 30th September 1895, when he was finally retired on pension.

5. The memorialist requests that the period of superior qualifying service which he had put in prior to his dismissal in 1869, may also be taken into account in calculating the amount of pension and states that prior to his re-employment in the Settlement Department, it was ascertained by Mr. Banbury, the then Director of Revenue Settlement that his dismissal had been very arbitrary. The same request was made by the memorialist when his pension application was under consideration in this office.

6. There are no records in the office to show that the matter of the memorialists' dismissal was specially reported to Government or that the sanction of Government was sought for his re-entertainment. In the return of servants dismissed from the Settlement and Survey Departments recorded in G.O. No. 284 dated 23rd February 1870 Revenue Department, the memorialist's name is entered and the "grounds of dismissal" are stated to be "Submitting false returns of expenditure". This is corroborated by para 4 of letter No. 1822/60 dated 4th September 1872 of the Superintendent

of

of Revenue Survey to the Director of Revenue Settlement wherein it is stated that Venkatesa Aiyangar was discharged from the Survey Department, for dishonesty. The explanation given by the Director of Settlement, for the re-entertainment of the memorialist and some others who had been similarly dismissed is contained in his letter No. 1337/59 dated 26th August 1870, to the Superintendent of Survey who had strongly protested against the measure. The director observed that "the misconduct which caused dismissal was not of so heinous a nature as to demand permanent exclusion from the service". The Superintendent of Revenue Survey, repeated his remonstrance against men dismissed for misconduct in the Survey, being entertained in the Settlement Department and after some further correspondence between the Superintendent of Survey and the Director of Settlement, the latter officer finally observed in his letter No. 436/23 dated 7th March 1873 as follows:- "He (Venkatesa Iyengar) is now working in Coimbatore and the Acting Assistant Director gives him a character for energy and efficiency".

7 In 1889 the memorialist requested the

Board

Board to Score out the word "dismissed" in his Service register, but the Board in its Proceedings No. 3510 dated 28th August 1890 declined to do So as the entry represented a fact. The Board however observed that the effect of the memorialists Subsequent re-entertainment in 1872 in rendering (if it did render) his Service prior to his dismissal, pensionable, Can be considered only at the time of his retirement on pension.

8. Accordingly when the pension application Came up the Board fully considered the matter and decided to exclude from the memorialists pensionable Service, the period prior to his dismissal, and the Board has no recommendation to make on his behalf.

(True Extract)

R. V. Srinivasier
Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
(Through the Accountant General)

with records. & 3 Petitions Nos. 809, 983 & 1127 of 96.

Sd
KM
9-8-97

No. [illegible]
608

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office,
24th March 1897.

7
15
267

Submitted to Government. The applicant was granted a Superannuation pension of R. 7 -"- 8 a month under Government Order No. 1502 dated 20th December 1895, for his qualifying service of 22 years 5 Months and 22 days which was reckoned only from the 16th June 1872. He now requests that the period of Service in Superior grades amounting to about 4 years which he had put in prior to his dismissal in 1869, may also be taken into account, and that an increased rate of pension may be granted to him. Although the applicant's service from 1st February 1865 to 17th August 1869 is borne out by Office records, it was rejected as non-qualifying having been vitiated by a long break caused by dismissal from the Public Service once on the 1st January 1880 owing to abolition of appointment in the revision of Office Establishment and again on the 1st October 1895 when he retired on ground of old age. It is observed that the

the condonation of the break in service will not enable the applicant to count his previous service, as removal from the Public Service entails forfeiture of past service under Article 440 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations.

Should Government, however, in the circumstances, be pleased to reverse the order of dismissal, and under Article 441 of the Regulations, declared that the past service of the reinstated Officer shall count, the applicant's whole qualifying service in Superior Grades will amount to 26 years 9 months and 23 days, as shewn in the margin, and will render him eligible for a pension not exceeding Rs 12-0-0 per mensem, equal to 30/60th average emoluments in lieu of Rs 7-0-8 already sanctioned to him in the Government Order quoted above.

W Michael

Offg: Accountant General

To

The Secretary to Government

Pension Department

	Yrs - M. D.
Period admitted in Government order Pension No: 1502 of 20-12-95.	22 - 5 - 22
Do from 1-2-65 to 17-8-69 = 4-6-17 deduct non qualifying Yr - 0-2-16	4 - 4 - 1
	26 - 9 - 23

1R 24/3