

Pension (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 193

Lab Sec


Order, 11 March 1897 No. 200.

Records, pension receipt
of the applicant's pension papers.

9.3.97

10/29/1917

From



No. 2278

Dated 4 March 1897.

Received 8th March 1897

Registered 8th March 1897.

Enclosures Two

Spare copies will

SUBJECT

K PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	71	Pm.	30-1-97

OK 22

any reference to the subject the number and date of this letter should be quoted.]

LOCAL FUND DEPARTMENT.

From,
THE PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD,
South Arcot.

To,
THE Director of Public
Instruction, Madras.

Camp or Station Buddalane.
Dated 16 } February 1897.
Despatched }

Ref. on Reg. No. 237 of 1896

Enclosure.

SIR,

With reference to your letter no 1383 dated 12th February 1897, I have the honour to inform you that the Pension application on behalf of K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar will be submitted as soon as his Service Register and copies of 2 verified extracts from his Service Register are

Chidambaram (2 Feb 1897)

Ag. Secy. Dist. Bd. South Arcot

are received from the Assistant Inspector of
Schools to whom an urgent reminder is being
sent to day.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

W. M. K. M.
Vice President
for President S. M. S.

23 July 1896

From

The Asst. Inspector of Schools
Ceylon Division

To

The President
Orinot Board

Sir,

I have the honour to submit
herewith the pension application and
the undermentioned connected papers
on behalf of K. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar
late 1st Assistant of the Orinot Middle
School V. Thirupuram.

(1) The Service register of K. R. Srinivasa
Aiyangar

(2) A memorandum of calculation
showing the average emoluments.

(3) The last pay Certificate

(4) Extracts from the Service register
referred and a few other papers in
all eleven marked A to K in
blue pencil as per enclosed list I.

2. K. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar's service
began so far back as August 1871 in
the Soto Anvo Taluk School, then a
Government institution. Since then he
served in various Govt. Schools till
20 September 1894. On the 1st October
1894 when all Govt. middle schools

he became a Local Fund Servant and continued as such till 8 February 95 where his services had been dispensed with by the District Board, as the continuance of two teachers on Rs 50 was found quite superfluous.

3. K. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar being a Govt. Servant and not having completed service enough to entitle him to half pension under the rules and not being superannuated we have been on the look out for a suitable vacancy for re-employing him as teacher. There being absolutely no chance of his getting a post of Rs 50 again within any reasonable time, application is now put in for a Compensation pension on his behalf.

4. K. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar submitted his pension application on the 1st October last with his service register showing all the entries of which had been verified. In many cases even the initials and signatures of his immediate superiors were omitted to be taken by him. It is a matter for great

very first appointment was wrong, he having given the date of the appointment order instead of the actual date on which he entered service. The immediately preceding entry, the 2nd one with regard to the date of promotion was equally wrong. The records of Soto Awo School had been ransacked with regard to the verification of these two entries. But the old records were reported to be eaten away by white ants and no information was available from that quarter. After all copies of Inspector's order No. $\frac{1110}{22-8-71}$ and the proceedings of the District of Public Instruction No. $\frac{858}{4-5-72}$ bearing on these entries were obtained some time ago and they vouch for the altered dates with regard to his appointments in the Soto Awo Middle School. Vide enclosures A & B.

5. A reference to the verified extracts will show that the correctness of the termination of several appointments notably those in Soto and Soto Awo schools ^{has} been presumed from the

The succeeding appointments testified
to by authentic resources such as
pay abstracts, acquittance rolls,
letter books etc.

6. As regards his appointment in
Tripathi Middle School two different
periods have been given by two
different officers, one a school master
whose information was entirely
based on the strength of his memory
and the other a sub-mistral Inspector
who called the necessary information
from records. The dates given by the
latter officer had been taken for correct
ones and were adopted in the pension
application.

7. Almost all the officers found
it impossible to verify the transit
periods.

8. This application is made under
Article 468 of the Civil Service
Regulations and the application
is for granted compensation
pension for reasons stated in para 3
supra. On the 8 February 95 the
applicant completed ^{430 months days} 23.5.11
service. As fractions of a year are
not taken into account in the calculation

of pension under Article 572, the period
to be considered for fixing the amount
of pension is 23 years. The average
emoluments calculated on the prin-
ciple laid down in Article 531 are
Rs 50. The amount of pension under
Art of Article 578 comes up to $\frac{23}{60}$ of
 $\frac{50}{1}$ or $\frac{115}{6} = Rs 19-2-8$. This amount
is inserted accordingly in the pension
application in the place intended
for it.

As K.R. Srinivasan a Diyaagar
was a Govt. servant sent to the
Local Board they request that his
pension application may be submitted
to the Assistant General through
the Director of Public Instruction.

In conclusion they submit
that the dates given in the pension
application are correct ones as testi-
fied to by the verified extracts herewith
submitted. To avoid further alterations
and confusion in the Service register,
it is sub. in its present state.
Thavese

(Sd/ N. Deva Sikkhamani)
(The Secy) Asst. Dir. of Public Instruction
Chidambaram
(7 Feb 1897) Ag. Secy. Dir. of Public Instruction

C No. 219

Office of the Asst. Dir. of Schools
and
21 July 1896

From

The Assistant Director of Schools,
And Division

To

The President
District Board
Sonthoost

Sir,

With reference to your letter

No. 932
31.7.96

I have the honor to submit
the pension application of K. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar late 1st Assistant
Board Middle School, Villupuram
and all the other connected papers
with further remarks as follows. —

1) The year 1894 is a clerical error
for 1884.

2) The full name of the applicant
is now given in the 1st page of the
pension application.

3) The entries in the old register
have been transferred to the form
now in use.

4) The entries as transferred to
the new form of Service Register
exactly correspond to those given

Copy of Asst. Dir. Asst. Director's
letter No. C142
23.7.96

— Sent. D. Board S. Asst.

submitting remarks on

the pension application of

K. R. Srinivasa Aiyangar,

late 1st Asst. B. Middle School
Villupuram

in the verified extracts and the two
additions made in your office in red
ink.

5) Breaks (17-6-78, 12-7-78,
15-6-85 and 2-9-85 to 7-9-88
referring to transit periods cannot
be verified for reasons stated in para 7
of my letter to $\frac{142}{25-7-96}$. These periods
are however shown in the new form of
Service register as periods spent in
transit.

6) With regard to the omission against
Col. 6 in the 3rd page of the pension
Application I beg to state that I
thought that it should be filled in
by you as head of the office. As
required I have now supplied the omission.
I think the Application should be
prepared in the President's office.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

(Sd/-) D. Devarikhamani Mudaliar

Asst. Dir. of School
and DA

(Enc copy)

Closed as usual

Agst. Dir. of School
and DA

Chidambaram
(17 Feb 1997)

M/7/12

ARCOT DIVISION

Clidantaram 17 February 1897.

In R Reg

C.N. Subramania Aiyar vol BA

af Assistant Inspector of Schools,
Arcot Division.

The Director of Public Instruction
Madras

Sir,
I have the honor to submit the following remarks with reference to your order no. $\frac{1382}{12.2.97}$ Communicating copy of G. O. no. $\frac{71}{30.1.97}$ regarding the pension application of K. L. Srinivasa Aiyangar, the late 1st Assistant Master, Board Middle School at Villupuram. Received the order today and I hasten to reply to it immediately.

2. The application for pension ^{was} for the first time received in this office on the 1st October 1895 and from the Service Register that accompanied it it was found that almost all the entries therein had been verified.

3. The application with all the necessary
verified extracts and other connected papers
was finally forwarded to the President
with this office No. C. 142, Copy of which
23-7-96
is enclosed for information. A reference to it
will show that the delay was unavoidable.

4. The application with the tree connected

Copy of letter Du Assistant
Inspector's No. C. 219 to the
President, District Board,
S. Tree submitting further
remarks on the pension
application of K. R. Srinivas
Aiyangar, late 1st Asst. Board
Med. Sch. Vellupuram.
Vellupuram

papers was returned to this office in the President's Ref. on No. $\frac{937}{31.7.96}$ for further particulars.

5. The papers were retransmitted to the President in this office No. $\frac{C. 2195}{31.8.96}$ with all the additional particulars called for by him. Copy of this is also enclosed for information.

6. On the 22nd October last that is, nearly two months afterwards, received Ref. on No. $\frac{937}{20.10.96}$ from the President asking for copies of this office Nos $\frac{C. 1425}{25.7.96}$ & $\frac{C. 2195}{31.8.96}$ with which the pension application and the connected papers were forwarded. It may be noted here that in this number of the President, the connected papers were not asked for. In the letter was however enclosed a blank last day certificate with instructions to fill it in and return to this office.

7. In my No. $\frac{23035}{25.10.96}$ I forwarded copies of the letters quoted above. But the last pay certificate had to be forwarded to the Headmaster Middle School Villupuram for being filled up and returned, and the Certificate was forwarded on receipt with my No. $\frac{23555}{3.11.96}$.

8. While the matter stood thus, I received on the 2nd November the President's Ref. on No. $\frac{937}{31.10.96}$ which was in continuation of the letter referred to in para 6 supra wherein he requested me to furnish him at a very early date with copies of

enclosures referred to in para (4) of this office letter C No. $\frac{142}{25.7.96}$ that is, the verified extracts and other connected papers, 11, in number. Kindly refer to the para in the enclosed copy. Along with the letter, the pension application and the Service register of Srinivasa Aiyangar were returned for reference and retransmission. Copies of verified extracts were not of course available in the office and I was not able to ascertain the nature of the other papers referred to. It may be noted here that in the above letter of the President it was not definitely stated whether the verified extracts and other papers were not received with the application or whether they were missing.

Accordingly I sent in my reply No. $\frac{24125}{7.11.96}$ stating that copies of verified extracts were not maintained here and that fresh extracts had to be made from the service register and sent over to the several officers concerned for verification and that they would be forwarded soon after receipt and with a view to ascertain what the other papers actually referred to, I requested him to return some for reference List No. I adverted to in para (4) of this office letter C No. $\frac{142}{25.7.96}$ quoted above. The President in his Ref. on No. $\frac{937}{3.11.96}$ wrote back that both the enclosures and the List No. I "were wanting". This was hardly

able to understand more than two months after the submission of the application with all the necessary papers. At the same time asked for copies of papers on the subject available in my office.

10. In my reply no. 2525 I enclosed copy of Director's Proceedings No. 858 the only paper available and wrote that the Inspector's memo of the first appointment of Binivasa Aiyappa was not forthcoming and that verified extracts would be forwarded on receipt from the officers concerned. On the same date I sent extracts, ten in number, to the officers concerned for verification and return.

11. In his Rep. on No. 932, the Resident reminded me of the previous correspondence on the subject and asked me to send over the papers concerned at a very early date. To this I sent in my reply no. 2228 stating that all the extracts had not been received duly verified, that reminders had been sent to the officers concerned and that the papers would be forwarded soon after all had been obtained. And in this office

no. 2295 Copy of the Inspector's order No. 1110 the petitioner's first appointment order which had been received from the Accountant General was forwarded to the Resident.

12. Again in his Ref. on No. 937 dated 26 January 97, the Resident reminded me of the subject and requested me to send

over the papers without further delay. To this I had to send in my reply no. 2865 stating that of the 10 extracts sent for verification and return, eight had been received back and two had not been received and that reminders had been sent to the officers concerned. As regards the delay noted by him I referred him to all my previous communications on the subject and pointed out that "no delay whatever" occurred in this office as the necessary action was taken then and there and that all the trouble and delay would have been saved but for the missing of the enclosures to this office letter submitting the application. In these circumstances I am led to think that the enclosures were received but mislaid in the Resident's office. If not the requisition should have come much earlier, but is soon after my no. C. 2193 31.8.96 was received by him. The last extract was received verified on the 12 instant I returned from my tour last night. The entries have now to be compared with those of the service register and sent over with the application and this will be done as soon as practicable.

13. From the above; you will be pleased to observe that the application was treated as urgent from beginning to end and that no avoidable delay took place in dealing with the paper. The frequent orders of Government that applications for pension should be treated as urgent have been steadily kept in view and strictly acted upon.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C. S. S. S. S.

Assistant Inspector of Schools,
Arcot Division.

27/12

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ASSISTANT INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS,
Arcot Division

To

*The Director of
Public Instruction
Madras*

Station

Chidambaram

Dated

17 Feb 1897

Despatched

No. 469A

ENCLOSURES. 2

Subject.

*Submitting explanation for
the delay in the resubmission
of the Pension application
of K.R. Srinivasa Aiyangar,
late 1st Asst. D. mid. Schol
Villupuram.*

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras, *4* March 1897.

No. 2278

From

THE HONOURABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D. Sc., LL.D.,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

The Secretary to Government, Pension Department,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

With reference to G. O. Pension Department, No. 71,
dated 30th January last, I have the honour to submit letters from
the President, District Board, South Arcot, and the Assistant
Inspector of schools, Arcot Division, Nos. 937/D.B. and 469/s
dated 16th and 17th February respectively, from which it will be
seen that the delay in the submission of the Pension application
of K.R. Srinivasa Aiyangar, is due to the difficulties in the way
of verification of his service, and that the delay is not due to
any neglect on the part of the officers of this Department. Full
explanation will be furnished when the application is submitted
to Government.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

D. Duncan

Director of Public Instruction.