

CURRENT No. 183

Telegram

From

Chellapalli Ramachandrayya.

Govt. No. 75 of 2-3-97.

Order

Transferred to the Acct. Genl. for

No.

Disposal

1897

161
2-3-97

Dated 25/2/97

Received 26/2

Registered do

Enclosures Nil

Spare copies.

Note

Info 1145 the letter was printed &
19/9/97
transmission of Rs 22-8-0 per.

SUBJECT.

Stating that his PPO has not yet been received
requesting its early despatch.

age of 25 years. So, under the
you were

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
80	1145	Pm.	19-9-74

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Pension Dept

Read CN: 156

See 4

Order, 8 March 1896 No 176

The petitioner is informed that, under the rules which were in force at the time he entered the service, he would have been entitled to a pension of Rs 4-3-6 per; while under the new rules he has been granted a pension of Rs 5-3-8 per. He has thus benefited by the alteration of the rules.

* Art 57 of the Civil
Procedure Code, First
Edition

1st
6.3.97

5
1.3.97

1897

To

The Pet.

Note

The applicant is a Superannuation pensioner & his qualifying service began after the 20th January 1871, & after he had attained the age of 25 years. So, under the

you were

* rules in force at the time he entered the service, he would, for his service of 19 yrs + odd, have been eligible for a pension of $\frac{20}{3} \times \frac{19}{30}$ or Rs. 4-3-6 p.m., which is less than he was given under the present rules.

MS
6.3.97.

* Sec 57 of the Civil Pension Code, 1st Edn.
not 6th

Pension Judicial (1897) Department.



CURRENT No. ¹⁵⁶
629

From A. M. Sanyasi

Late Sanyasi, Sub-
Court, Negapatnam.

Order

Order No. 454 of 19th February 1897.

Transferred to the
Pension Dept.

No.

Dated	12	} 2 - 97
Received	13	
Registered	15	
Enclosures	—	
Spare copies	—	

W. Francis

As: Under Secretary.

MS
18/2/97.
H.M.

Order of

The Govt. declines to comply with the petitioner's request.

MS
3.3.97

SUBJECT.

Stating that the pension granted to him is insufficient and requesting to have favourable orders on his petition.

Query. - This is the pension admissible under the Civil Pension Code as amended in 1888

Note.

In G.O. 19 Pension, the petitioner was granted a pension of Rs. 5-3-8.

p.m. the full amount admissible for his qualifying service of 19 yrs + odd, although his character was recorded as 'fair'. He now asks for an enhanced pension under the old rules for the same service. Under these rules he would have been entitled to a pension of Rs. 5-8-0 p.m. or only 4 as. + 4 p. in excess of what has already been granted to him. So the request cannot be complied with.

MS
3.3.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
80	19	Pen.	8-1-76

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 629

I
The Right Honourable the Governor in Council
Fort St. George
Madras.

The humble petition of Adm. Sanyeevi
Taidoo late ameen Sub Court Negapatam
most respectfully sheweth.

- 1 That your Excellency's humble petitioner
was retired from service on the 23^d Sept. 95
after a continued service of 22 years
4 months and 22 days.
- 2 That he entered the Government Service
on 22^d August 1862 as a Copyist in Court.
Sanyee District Court and held acting
Gumastah and other unserviceable appoint-
ment upto 26th January 1873 covering a
space of 10 years and odd when he was
permanently appointed Gumastah of the
said Court and ever since continued
in service as such and ameen until he
was retired and that he was getting
Rs 20. a month at the time of his retire-
ment.
- 3 That your Excellency's petitioner was
granted

granted a pension of Rs 5-3-8 calculated under Civil Service Regulations.

4. That the said rates of pension were introduced in 1888 i.e. 15 years after he entered the Government Service.
5. That the rules which were in force at the time he entered the Service are contained in the Civil Pension Code 6th Edition according to which he is entitled to a higher rate of pension.
6. That his pension is to be regulated according to that Code will be evident from the report of the uncovenanted Civil Service Committee held in London in 1890 when the Chairman Sir John Gorst under-Secretary of State for India has ruled that the new rules will not have retrospective effect when they act prejudicially upon the interest of Govt. servants. And the Government of India have in their notification based on the said report published in pp. 1236 of the Fort St. George Gazette of 15-12-91 ~~have~~ provided that if any case of apparent hardship arises the local

the local authorities should understand that when the officer applies to retire, they are not precluded from Examining into all merits and ascertaining whether in their opinion he has subsequently suffered from the introduction of a rule not in force at the time he entered the service. If after comparing the advantages secured to the officer by the altered rules with any disadvantage incidentally involved they find that he has on the whole substantially suffered, the point may be taken into consideration in determining whether some compensation ought not to be granted in the particular instance.

7. Your Excellency's petitioner begs to add that he did not choose to retire of his own accord but was compelled to do so owing to age &c, that the amount of pension that he has been granted is scarcely sufficient to carry him and his large family through a fortnight of the month, more especially in these hard and famine straging

3

raging days and that the small addition that he could get in virtue of the adoption of old code to his hard case would be an invaluable help to a retired old man who had scored 32 years in all.

Under the circumstance set forth above your Excellency's humble petitioner most respectfully begs that his prayer which can well be granted under the Government notification pointed above will receive favourable acceptance at your Excellency's hands and his grievances redressed.

For which act of charity your Excellency's humble petitioner will ever pray for your Excellency's long life & prosperity.

He begs to remain
Your Excellency's most obedient & humble servant

12.2.97.


(A. M. Sanyasi Das)

Address
A. M. Sanyasi Das
Late ameen Sub Court
Auliyar
Keevalur Post - Tanjore District.

Pension (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 143



From

The Accountant General
to the Govt. of Madras

Order, 8th March 1897 No. 177.

As the ^{petitioner} ~~pensioner~~ is a Compensation

No. Jan
2 & P

pensioner, his emoluments (pay + pension) during
re-employment were restricted to Rs. 9-0-0 per-
the pay of the ^{immediately} ~~last~~ appointment he held prior to
retirement in conformity with art. 569 of the
C.S.R. His request for refund of the amount
of Rs. 41-14-0 already ~~drawn~~ ^{drawn} is there-
for inadmissible.

Dated 13 February 97

Received 16th - do -

Registered 16th - do -

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT

Explaining why Rs. 41-14-0 was ~~drawn~~
from the pensioner's credit account late
of the Marine Police cannot be
repaid to him

Wd
3-2-97

Wd
3-2-97

To the P. Secy.

The Educ. Secy. 9-0 ¹³⁵ ~~135~~ ^{but not} ~~was~~ ^{was} ~~recd~~ ^{recd} only on the 2nd inst.

Pu

Pension (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 143



From

The Accountant General
to the Govt of Madras

Order, 8th March 1897 No. 177.

No. 120
22P

As the ^{pensioner} pensioner is a Compensation
pensioner, his emoluments (pay + pension) during
re-employment were restricted to Rs. 9-0-0 ^{immediately} from
the pay of the ~~last~~ appointment he held prior to
retirement in conformity with art. 569 of the
C.S.R. His request for a refund of the amount
of Rs. 41-14-0 already ~~retained~~ is there-
fore inadmissible.

Dated 13 February 97

Received 16th - do -

Registered 16th - do -

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT

Explaining why Rs. 41-14-0 retained
from the pensioner's share cannot be
of the Marine Police cannot be
repaided to him

The Petitioner.

135th put up
was rec'd only on the 2nd inst.