# Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

**G.O., No.** 106.

**DATED** 6 Feby/96

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid gratuity of Rs. 42 to T. Govindappa Naicken, late a process server Sub Court Negapatam.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

---

### APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Taujore Govindappa naicken.

2. Father's name. Taujore Chithappa naicken

3. Race, Seet and Caste. Gentoo - Vishnavite.

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Velipoleim, Negapatam Taluk Taujore District.

5 Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Process Server, Central Nazarat, Negapatam Sub Court.

6. Date of beginning of service. 11th March 1875

7. Date of ending of service. 23d October 1895

| | Y. M. D. |
|---|---|
| 8. Length of service, including interruptions | 20 - 7 - 13 |
| of which superior | 〃 - 〃 - 〃 |
| inferior | 20 - 1 - 10 |
| non-qualifying and interruptions | 〃 - 6 - 3 |

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application.* Invalid gratuity; retired on the ground of old age and incapacity for further service.

10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 7 per mensem.

11. Proposed pension. 〃 — 〃 — 〃

12. Proposed gratuity. 42 — 〃 — 〃

13. Date from which pension is to commence.

14. Place of payment. Negapatam.

15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian era.† 7th October 1840.

16. Height. 5 feet 4 inches.

17. Marks. A Scar in his right arm.

18. Date. 10th December 1895.

District Judge

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate).

## HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Tanjore Govindappa Naicken.

| Establishment. | Appointment. | Pay. | Acting Allowance. | Date of beginning. | Date of ending. | Period reckoned as service. | | | Period not reckoned as service. | | | Remarks. | How verified. | Remarks by the Audit Officer. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | RS. | RS. | | | Y. | M. | D. | Y. | M. | D. | | | |
| District Munsiff's Court, Negapatam | No. 24 Process Server. | 6 | " | 11-3-75 | 16-8-78 | 3 | 5 | 5 | | | | | Verified from Acquittance Rolls and Pay Bills. | Verified from the records of the District Munsif's Court and of the Sub Court of Negapatam and the verification of the service confirmed by reference to the Annual Returns on record in this office |
| Do | Do No. 23 acting | | Full pay Rs 6 | 17-8-78 | 31-10-78 | " | 2 | 15 | | | | | | |
| Do | " | Suspended | | 1-11-78 | 30-4-79 | . | - | - | . | 6 | - | | | |
| Do | Do No. 23. acting | | Full pay Rs 6 | 1-5-79 | 31-3-84 | 4 | 11 | . | | | | | | |
| Central Nazaret Negapatam | Process Server | 6 | | 1-4-84 | 7-9-84 | " | 5 | 7 | | | | | | |
| Do | Do Leave without pay | | | 8-9-84 | 10-9-84 | - | - | 3 | . | . | 3 | | | |
| Do | Do | 6 | | 11-9-84 | 31-3-89 | 4 | 6 | 20 | | | | | | |
| Do | Do | 7 | " | 1-4-89 | 23-10-95 | 6 | 6 | 23 | | | | | | |
| Total period of ~~Superior~~ inferior & non qualifying Service .. .. .. | | | | | | 20 | 1 | ~~10~~ 13 | - | 6 | 3 | | | |

SECY. GOVT MADRAS
31 JAN 96
REV. DEPT.

## REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. "Fair"

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Suspension order attached. Suspended for 6 months.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI). This is the first time the applicant has applied for gratuity

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. nil.

5. Any other remarks. nil.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. The office records establish the service encountered and I think they may be admitted.

District Judge
SIGNATURE.

## CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that ~~(subject to the remarks below recorded)~~ qualifying service in ~~inferior or superior~~ inferior grades has been duly proved for 20 years 1 months and 13 days; and that ~~a~~ an Invalid ~~(pension or~~ gratuity) not exceeding Rs. 42/- equal to 6 months' pay is admissible under Articles 485 and 514 (a) 2nd Edition of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. ~~The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).~~ The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the District Judge of Tanjore in paragraph 2 of his letter No. 2011 dated 10th Decr. 95 enclosed. In accordance with Rule 3 under Article 994 (b), the attention of Government is respectfully solicited to Article 514 of the Regulations.

Fort Saint George
Accountant General's Office
30th January '96

A.D.?
616

Offg. Accountant General
~~Audit Officer.~~

To The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. 1,000 / 2,000 if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

C. No 85

80. 106 / 96

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

C no 85/96

---

26 10 95 DM

No 995

**Office of the Civil Surgeon**

NEGAPATAM 24' October 1895.

983 | 26/10/95

17.1.96

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined Govindappa naik son of Chitappa naik of Tanjore. His age is by his own statement 55 years, and by appearance about 60 years I consider Govindappa naik to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind in the Department to which be belongs in consequence of old age and Hernia. His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits. ~~His incapacity does appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.~~

Civil Surgeon,

24.10.95

33 Office of the Civil Surgeon

to be completely and permanently disabled for further service

of any kind in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of

---

~~37~~ No. ~~38~~ 33

[illegible]

Govindappa Naik.

| Name of Officer. | Caste or Race. | Residence. | Father's Name. | Father's Residence. | Date of birth. | Age. Years | Age. Ms. | Age. Days. | Exact height. Feet. | Exact height. Ins. | Personal marks for identification. | Date of Entry. | Signature of Officer. | Signature and designation of the head of the Office. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Govindappa Naidu | Gentoo. Vishnuvaish | Nagore Negapatam Taluq | Chittappa Naidu | Tanjore | 7th October 1840. | 36 | 5 | 13. | 5 | 2 | P. Bears a scar in his right arm. | 26th March 1877 | | J. Erhannes Draft |
| Tanjore | | | Tanjore. | | | 48 | 11 | 26 | 5 | 4 | | 21089 | | J.R. Sub Judge |

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS ; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THAT THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.

| 1<br>Name of appointment. | 2<br>Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. | 3<br>Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. | 4<br>Pay. | 5<br>Acting allowance. | 6<br>Date of appointment. | 7<br>Signature of Officer. | 8<br>Character of Officer.<br>*No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* | 9<br>Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. | 10<br>Date of termination of appointment. | 11<br>Reason of termination. *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* | 12<br>Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Batta Peon No 24 Dt. Muffi Court Negapatam | Permanent | " | Rs 6 | " | 1st March 1875 | [illegible] | Suspended for 6 months from the 1st November 78 as per order of the Dt. court of North Arcot dated 29th October 1878. | [illegible] Dist. [illegible] | 16/9/78 | Promotion | [illegible] |
| Do. No 23 | Ag | 24th No. Peon Rs 6 | | " | 17/9/78 | | Leave on forfeiture of pay for 3 days from 8th September 84<br>Sub-Judge | | 31-3-84 | ~~[illegible]~~ Amalgamation of the process establishment of Dt. Muff. Subcourts into a Central office | verified from 1.3.75 to 31.3.84 [illegible] |
| Process Server in the Central Nazarat Office Negapatam | Permanent | — " — | Rs 6 | " | 1-4-84 | | Stoppages Rs 36 " " from 1st November 78 to 30th April 1879 being suspended during that time.<br>" " " 4 " in November 1880<br>" " " 8 " in Aug. 1882<br>verified with reference to the acquittance Rolls &c.<br>[illegible]<br>Dist. [illegible] | | 31.3.89 | Promotion | for late Sub Judge |
| Do. | Do. | do | Rs 7 | | 1.4.89 | | | | 23-10-95 | Retired | Sub Judge |

Verified up to 31-12-1891 with reference to the acquittance Rolls
Sub Judge

Verified up to 31st December 1892, with reference to the Acquittance Rolls.
Sub Judge

Verified from acquittance rolls from 1st April 1884 to 6th March 1889
Sub-Judge

Verified up to 24/12/89

Verified with reference to the acquittance rolls from 6th March to 31st December 90
Sub Judge

Verified up to 31st December 1893 with reference to the acquittance Rolls.
Sub Judge

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Name of appointment. | Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. | Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. | Pay. | Acting allowance. | Date of appointment. | Signature of Officer. | Character of Officer.<br>*No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* | Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. | Date of termination of appointment. | Reason of termination. *e.g.. transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* | Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. |
| | | | | | | | Verified up to 30th September 1894 with reference to acquittance Rolls<br>Sub-Judge<br>Verified up to 31st December 1894<br>S. J.<br>Verified up to 22nd Octr 95 with reference to acquittance Rolls.<br>Sub-Judge | | | | |

| 1<br>Name of appointment. | 2<br>Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. | 3<br>Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. | 4<br>Pay: | 5<br>Acting allowance. | 6<br>Date of appointment. | 7<br>Signature of Officer. | 8<br>Character of Officer.<br>*No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* | 9<br>Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. | 10<br>Date of termination of appointment. | 11<br>Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer*, *promotion*, *return of permanent incumbent*. | 12<br>Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

57/

No. 24

# SERVICE BOOK

OF

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Name. | | Goundappa Naicken |
| Caste or Race. | | Gentoo. Vaeshna Vaith |
| Residence. | | Nagore Negapatam Tallug |
| FATHER'S | Name. | Chethappa Naicken |
| | Residence. | Tanjore |
| Date of birth. | | |

6
9
85

22nd October 1878

No 70

To

The District Court

Tranquebar

Respected Sir,

With reference to Court's Proceedings of the 14th Instant, I beg to submit the following explanation & report as therein called for -

2 - The Summons to Defendant was made returnable and served in due time before the date fixed for hearing, & the prescribed time was allowed between the date of the decree & the issue of the warrant in execution of that decree. The petition admitting the plaintiff's claim was however brought to me for orders the next day after its presentation as usual with the other miscellaneous applications and disposed of by recording judgment on confession and not upon merits in the presence of the parties who responded to the call

without

without reference to the day fixed for trial of Small causes there is also a practice which obtains of then & there filing & passing orders upon Razinamahs presented by parties before dates fixed for hearing of the suits to which they relate. Hence the judgment was passed (& ~~examination~~ J.A.F. execution carried out before the date fixed for the hearing of the (~~Small causes~~ suits J.A.F.) alluded to.

3. As for the general conduct of the peons named, I humbly beg to submit that as the tenure of my present office extends over only a period of a little more than a couple of months, I know little or nothing beyond the representation of the Head Gumastah under whom they do their duties more directly that they do not appear to have acted otherwise than well.

---

assure the court that all precautions will be taken by me as ordered, to prevent the recurrence of any instance similar to the one to which the present relates.

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient & humble
servant

(Signed) K. Krishnaiengar
District Munsiff

(True copy)

J. A. Fernandez
District Munsiff

2m

6
8
85

No 21

Proceedings of the District Court
of North Tanjore.
Dated 14th October 1878.

Read again records in Session Case No. 10 of 1878.

In the Small Cause suit No. 157× of 1878 which gave rise to the prosecution in the above case the plaint was filed on the 1st August and Notice to defendant issued on the 5th fixing the 26th Idem as the date of hearing. The Petn. admitting the Plaintiffs claim was presented on the 8th and judgment passed on the 9th, and the attachment of defts property and the arrest of his person in execution of the decree were made on the 19th so that it is clear that the judgment was passed and the Execution of the decree carried out long before the date fixed for the × × × × × × small cause suits.

×On the file of the Dt. Munsif's Court Negapatam

tter
led
mber 9/8

Sheristadar

The District Munsif is requested to explain the above apparent irregular proceedings and also to report on the general conduct of peon Narainasamy Naick who served the summons on a man personating the defendant Muthoo Pattan and of peons Govindappa Naick and Shunmoogham Pillai who attested the signature, made, according to their own account not before them but in another place and merely spoken to before them.

The District Munsif should take every precaution in future to guard against such tricks as have been played upon him.

(True Extract)
(Signed) J. H. Sharp.
District Judge
(True copy)
J. P. Fernandez
District Munsif

6
7
88

---

Proceedings of the District Court of North Tanjore.

Dated the 29th October 1878.

Read again Proceedings of this Court No. 21. dated the 14th Instant.

Read also the explanation of the District Munsif of Negapatam dated the 22nd Instant submitted with reference to the above proceedings.

The District Munsif's explanation of his own share in the Proceedings is accepted. No doubt the practice of passing judgments in Razinamahs before the dates fixed for disposal of suits is very general. The present case illustrates the risk attending the practice unless when very careful precautions are taken.

2. As to the peons Narainasami Naick, Govindappa Naick and Shunmugam Pillai, Their conduct wears

Sheristadar

2249

a most suspicious appearance of actual Criminality but participation in the crime of the Plaintiff has not been proved against them. However as in the most charitable view of their conduct they were indubitably guilty of gross carelessness, they are suspended for a term of six months each.

(True Extract)

(Signed) W. H. Glenny.
Acting District Judge.

(True Copy)

6
6
88

J. P. Fernandez
District Munsif.

EM

---

5735

No. 2011

District Court,
Tanjore, 10th December 1895.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE MADRAS
11 DEC 95
12/12

From
J. M. Horsfall Esq.,
District Judge,
Tanjore,

To
The Accountant-General,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith under Section 524 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations, an application for gratuity on behalf of Govindappa Naicken, late process server, Central Nayarat, Sub Court Negapatam together with his Service Register, Medical Certificate and copies of correspondence relating to the applicant's suspension.

2. The delay of more than a month in the submission of this application is due to the fact of the Medical Certificate having had to be returned to the Civil Surgeon Negapatam for certain information.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
By order of the
District Judge,
R. S. Aiyangar
Sheristadar

FROM

THE District JUDGE,

*Tanjore*,

TO

THE Accountant General Madras

Dated 10 } December 1895.
Despatched 10 }
Received

No. 2011

ENCLOSURE. 6 (Six)

Forwarding the appln for gratuity of Govindappa Naiken process server central Nazareth Sub Court Negapatam for sanction

---

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K. 360

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
21-12-1895.

DISTRICT SESSIONS COURT
25 DEC 95
TANJORE

1. Explanation ~~why his name does not appear in my Office records for~~ of the following discrepancies:-

(a) In the annual Returns from 1890 to 93 the initial letter of the applicant's house or country name is shewn as G, whereas in the application his country name is given as Tanjore.

(b) In the annual Returns for the years from 1881 to 1888 the date of the applicant's appointment is shewn as 13-march-75 instead of 11th March 75 as shewn in the 2nd page of the application.

~~2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from~~ ——— ~~to~~ ———, ~~whereas in the history of services~~

(c) In the medical certificate the applicant's name is shewn as Govinda Naik and not as Govindappa Naik as shewn in the application.

(d) In the 2nd page of the application and in the service book, the applicant is shewn as process server No 24 for the period from 11th March '75 to 31st March '84, whereas in annual returns between 1875 and 88 his number is entered as 32, 25, 19 and 22.

2. Attention is drawn to No 9 of the printed memorandum of Instructions from this office.

3. The reason for the stoppage of the applicant's pay in November 1880 and July 1882, should be given.

4. The date of the application should be inserted opposite to No 18 in the 1st page thereof

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE District Judge of Tanjore.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for ~~pension~~ gratuity of Tanjore Govindappa Naiken late process server central Nazareth Sub court, Negapatam received with your letter No 2011 dated 10th December 95 is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

identification marks

J. B. Medford
for

Asst. Acctt. General
~~Chief Superintendent~~.

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

No. 63.

Negapatam Sub Court
18th January 1896.

From,

P. Naraimasami Iyer,
Subordinate Judge
Negapatam.

To,

J. M. Horsfall Esquire,
District Judge
Tanjore.

Sir,

With reference to the District Court's Proceedings No 15 of the 8th Instant and No 50 of the 15th idem, I have the honor to return herewith Accountant General's letter No 360 of 21st December 1895 with enclosures and to submit the following explanation.

I (a) The applicant is the son of one Chittappa naik and hence his initial was entered as C in the returns.

(b) 13th March 1875 was originally entered in the Service Register as the date of appointment. It was altered as 11th March when the services had to be verified through the District Munsif of Negapatam subsequently.

I (c) The certificate has now been

amended on being returned to the Surgeon.

(d) The order in which the peons stand vary from time to time and these fluctuations do not seem to have been entered, the changes not having carried any change in the pay of the applicant.

2. The applicant has a scar in his right arm.

3. These two stoppages were fines.

4. The date has not been entered and I request that it may now be done.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Mŭrŭgumasámily
Subordinate Judge.

ca

115

From,

The Subordinate Judge of
Negapatam.

To

The District Judge of
Tanjore.

No 63.

Dated 18'
Despd "
} January 1896.
Recd

& SESSIONS COURT
20 JAN 96
TANJORE

Enclosures 8.

Submitting explanation to
Accountant General's
objection about Govindappa
Naik's Pension application

---

6
4
85

---

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
22 JAN 96
24/1
MADRAS

No. 57.

District Court,
Tanjore, 20 January 1896.

From

J. M. Horsfall Esquire,
District Judge,
Tanjore.

233

To

The Accountant General
Madras

Sir,

×
Sent herewith with 8 Enclosures.

With reference to your letter No $\frac{ADK}{360}$ × dated 21st December last, returning for want of certain information the application for gratuity of Tanjore Govindappa Naik late process server Central Nazarit Sub Court Negapatam, I have the honor to forward herewith in original the letter ~~received~~ from the Subordinate Judge of Negapatam containing the information therein required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
J M Horsfall
District Judge

FROM

THE District JUDGE,

Tanjore,

To

THE Accountant General

Madras

Dated 20

Despatched 20 January 1896.

Received

No. 57

ENCLOSURES 9.

Retransmitting the pension application of Tanjore Govindappa naik late process server Central Nazarat, Negapatam with the required information.

6

5

85

---

(1)

C. No. 85

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

## PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

---

READ the following papers :—

From the Dt. Judge, Tanjore dated 10th Dec 1895, No. 2011

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 30. 1. 1896, No. $\frac{\text{A.D.J.}}{606}$

---

ORDER THEREON, 6th Feby 1896 No. 106

Character. Fair

Suspended for 6 months in (or from) Nov. 1878 for gross carelessness in the discharge of his duties

D

Under articles 485 & 524 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honourable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Tanjore Govindappa Naicken, late a process server, Central Nazarat, Sub Court, Negapatam, an invalid gratuity — of Rupees (42/-) Fortytwo only payable at Negapatam ~~from~~ in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 20 years, 1 month~~s~~ and 13 days.

$\frac{\text{LD}}{3.2.96}$ LG 4/2.

To the Accountant-General, with Register. 1st/2/96

C. No. 85

# Govt. of {1896} Madras.

## PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated
Despd,

G.O., 6th February 1896 No 106

Granting an invalid gratuity of Rs 42/- to I. Govindappa naicken, late a process server, Sub Court, Negapatam

---

*When given for copying*
*When copied*
*When examined*
*When signed*
189 .

Read again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No

Order of Government .. .. .. A A A A A A A

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

*N.B.—*
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.