Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 88.

DATED 1st Feby/96.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 4—8—0 per mensem to D. Narayana Row late Gumastah, Penukonda Taluq office.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.
RECEIVED ON
15 OCT. 95
REGISTERED No. ....

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Désayi Narayana Row
2. Father's name. Désayi Gundappa
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Smarta, Brahmin
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Penukonda
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Taluk Gumastah
6. Date of beginning of service. 26-10-1869
7. Date of ending of service. 26-7-1895

	Y. M. D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	25-9-6
of which superior	20-10-16
inferior	--9-25
non-qualifying and interruptions	4-0-25

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. Invalid Pension
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs 15/-
11. Proposed pension. Rs 5/- per mensem
12. Proposed gratuity. „
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 27-7-95
14. Place of payment. Penukonda
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 14-4-1842
16. Height. 5 feet 5 Inches.
17. Marks. A wart below the right eye brow, a mole on the right side of the neck, another mole on the left arm
18. Date 24-9-95

If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

†(If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

for Ag Collector

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

72

✗ name appears only in [illegible] in 1890 – (page) but shown as being dismissed
Shown as suspended in [illegible] Returns for 1891 – page 28

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Desayi Narayanakan, late Taluq Gumastah Penukonda

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Temp Estt														
Penukonda Taly	Income tax Clerk Temy	15	–	26-10-69	31-12-69	–	–	–	–	2	6	Reduction of establishment	Verified with pay abstracts and acquittance rolls &c.	Service from 26th October 1869 to 24th January 1871 does not count for pension being ~~reduced~~ rendered partly in a temporary appointment and partly in appointments on Inferior grades. Verified from the records of the Collector's Office, Anantapur and the verification confirmed by reference partly to the Audit Registers and partly to the Annual Returns on record in this Office.
				1-1-70	28.3.70	without employ				2	28			
Tadpatri Taluk	Dufterbund permanent	7	–	29-3-70	11-5-70	–	–	–	–	1	14			
Anantapur Taluk	Gumastah ag	7	8	12-5-70	5-6-70	–	–	–	–	–	24			
Tadpatri Dy	Dufterbund perm	7	"	6-6-70	22-1-71	–	–	–	–	7	17			
				23-1-71	24-1-71	–	–	–	–	–	2	no pay drawn		
Dharmavaram Taluk	Gumastah permanent	15	"	25-1-71	6-11-76	5	9	12						
"	2nd Class Rev: Inspector ag	15	10	7-11-76	10-5-78	1	6	4						
"	Gumasta perm	15	Sick Leave	11-5-78	16-8-78	–	–	–	–	3	6			
"	Do	15	–	17-8-78	16-12-78	–	4	–	–	–	–			
"	Do	Leave on loss of pay		17-12-78	17-6-79	–	–	–	–	6	1			
"	Do	15	–	18-6-79	31-12-88	9	6	~~14~~ 13	–	–	–	(not shown)		
		Sick Leave		1-1-89	11-2-89	–	–	–	–	1	11			
"	Do	15	–	12-2-89	9-6-89	–	3	28	–	–	–			
Kalyandurg Dy Taluk office	Gumasta ag	15	– Leave on loss of pay	10-6-89	10-11-89	–	–	–	–	5	1			
Do	Do	15	–	11-11-89	27-12-89	–	1	17	–	–	–			
		Suspended		28-12-89	27-12-91	–	–	–	2	–	–			
Do	Do	15	–	28-12-91	17-1-92	–	–	~~21~~ 20	–	–	–			
				18-1-92	21-1-92	–	–	4	–	–	–	In transit		
Hindupur Dy	Do perm.	15	–	22-1-92	8-1-93	–	11	17	–	–	–			
		Sick Leave		9-1-93	7-2-93	–	–	–		×	29			
Do	Do	15	–	8-2-93	4-12-94	1	9	27	–	–	–			
				5-12-94	6-12-94	–	–	2	–	–	–	In transit		
Penukonda Dy	Do	15	–	7-12-94	12-2-95	–	2	6	–	–	–			
		Suspended		13-2-95	12-5-95	–	–	–	–	3	–			
Do	Do	15	–	13-5-95	26-7-95	–	2	14	–	–	–			
						~~29~~ 20	10	~~16~~ 14	4	10	20			

being dismissed
Shewn as suspended in [illegible] 1891 - page 28

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

SECY. GOVT. MADRAS
20 JAN 96
REV. DEPT.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant.	Fair
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.	The man was suspended on two occasions. Copies of the orders will follow :—
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant (*See* Chapter XXI).	"
4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it.	The applicant has been declared unfit for further service by the Dt. Medical and Sanitary officer vide the certificate enclosed.
5. Any other remarks.	
6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)].	The service claimed is established and should be admitted

T Hughes
SIGNATURE.
[illegible]

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Certified that qualifying service in superior grades has been duly proved for 20 years 10 months and 14 days, and that an Invalid Pension not exceeding Rs 5/- per mensem equal to 20/60ths average emoluments is admissible under Articles 485 and 518(b) of the Civil Service Regulations, 2d Edition.

The calculations have been duly verified. It is observed from the correspondence furnished in explanation of the applicant's suspension, that his character and past conduct has not been thoroughly satisfactory. It rests, therefore, with Government to make such reduction in the amount of Pension that may be sanctioned to him as it may deem proper with reference to article 514(b) of the Regulations.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Collector in [illegible] reply to this Office Objection memo No. $\frac{ADK}{287}$ d/ 12 October 1895 accompanying.

Fort St. George
Account Genl's Office
18th January 1896

$\frac{ADJ}{598}$

To.

The Under Secretary to Government
Pension Department

J Mansin
Offg: Accountt General

C. No 47

S.O. 88/96.

Cuo 47/96

Application for Pension or Gratuity—
Date of Application.
Name of Applicant.
Last Appointment.
Class of pension or gratuity.
Amount of pension sanctioned.
Amount of gratuity sanctioned.
Date of Commencement.
Date of sanction.

CR/5/3??

No. 74

2/27

ANANTAPUR COLL...
OFFICE.
RECEIVED ...
15 OCT. 95
REGISTER No.........

PENSION CERTIFICATE.

—(o)—

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S
OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
15 AUG. 95
REGISTER No...............

CERTIFIED that I Surgeon Major A. W. Hakim District ~~Surgeon~~ Medical & Sanitary Officer Anantapur have carefully examined Desayi Narayana Row. Taluq Gummalak Penukonda son of Desayi Gundappa.

DISTRICT TREASURY,
15 AUG. 95
ANANTAPUR

His age is according to his own statement 54 years and by appearance about 55 years.

I consider Desayi Narayana Row to be completely and permanently incapacitated from further service of any kind in the department to which he belongs in consequence of Palsy of the right arm and defective vision.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

Office of the Medical & Sanitary District ~~Surgeon's Office,~~
Officer Anantapur, 26th July 1895.

A W Hakim
Surgeon, Major
District ~~Surgeon.~~ Medical & Sanitary Officer

Forwarded to the Tahsildar of Penukonda with reference to his letter No. 814 of 30.6.95.

Statement of Case of Desayi Narayana Row Taluq Gumastah Penukonda.

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
25 SEP. 95
REGISTER No.……………

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
15 OCT. 95
REGISTER No.……………

Name.	Designation	Age.	Service.	Disease.	Brief Statement of Case.	Treatment &c
Desayi Narayana Row	Taluq Gumastah Penukonda	54 years.	About 21 years	Palsy of the right arm & defective vision.	Presented himself for examination of physical fitness for further service with letter from the Tahsildar of Penukonda No. 814 dated 30th June/895. On examination he was found weak, anaemic, and greatly debilitated, suffering from Rheumatic pains in both knees and loins which prevent him from moving freely. His hands tremble and muscles of forearms are atrophied. His Eye-Sight is defective from Cataracts which are commencing in both eyes. As his general health is impaired, I consider him unfit for further service.	

Office of the District Medical & Sanitary Officer Anantapur
Dated 20th September/895
Despd 24th do —

H. M. H. Roberts
Surgeon Major
District Medical & Sanitary Officer.

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CLEARLY SHOWN.
ఈ కలములలో దాఖలుకాబడినవి ప్రతి 5 సంవత్సరములకున్ను ఒకసారి యథార్థముగానున్నవని చేవ్రాలుచేయవలెనుది. ప్రతిచేవ్రాలుకున్ను జాగ్రతగా రూళ్ళువేసి తారీఖు స్పష్టముగా అగుపడవలసినది.

Name of Officer. పేరు.	Caste or Race. కులము అథవా జాతము.	Residence. వాసస్థలము.	FATHER'S తండ్రియొక్క Name. పేరు.	FATHER'S తండ్రియొక్క Residence. వాసస్థలము.	Date of Birth. పుట్టినతేది.	Age. వయస్సు. Years సం॥లు.	Age. వయస్సు. Ms. నెలలు	Age. వయస్సు. Days. దినములు.	Exact Height, సరియైన యెత్తు. Feet అడుగులు.	Exact Height, సరియైన యెత్తు. In. అంగుళములు	Personal marks for identification చహరా.	Date of entry దాఖలు తేది.	Signature of Officer ఆఫీసరు చేవ్రాలు.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office. ఆఫీసునకైన అధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హోదా.
Desaye Naraian.	Smartha Brain.	Dharwar.	Desaye Gundshak.	Dharwar.	14th April 42.	37 years	5 Mos.	25 ds.	5 fee	5 In.	A ~~wart~~ wart below the right eyebrow. A mole to the right side above the neck: Another mole above the left arm.	8th October 1879		Dr. in charge Dharwar
						38	8	18				31-12-97	Desaye Narain Row	

OFFICE RECEIVED ON 5.0... REGISTER No.....
OFFICE RECEIVED ON 27 OCT 95 REGISTER No.....

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment. ఉద్యోగము యొక్క పేరు.	Nature, *i. e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. స్వభావము అనగా ఉద్యోగము స్థిరమయినదా, అథవా బదిలీనా, ఖాయమా, అథవా నిలకడ లేనిదా.	tantive appointment, e new appointment be acting only. ప్రుషని బదిలీగానుండిన పక్ష కు ఖాయంపనియేది.	Pay. జీతము	Acting allowance. ఆక్టింగ్ అలవున్సు	Date of appointment. ఉద్యోగము అయినతేది.	Signature of Officer. ఆఫీసరు చేవ్రాలు.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* యీకాలములో ఆఫీసరుయొక్క నడత ఉద్యోగస్తుని విషయమయి యేదయినా మంచిదిగాని అథవా చెడ్డదిగాని వ్రాయవలసినదేగాని తప్పుదిరేమిన్ని వ్రాయవలసినది జరూరులేదు. సస్పెన్షన్ ఉత్తరవు చేసినప్పుడు అట్టి ఉత్తరవును యిచ్చటదాఖలు చేయవలసినది.	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office ఆఫీసుపైఅధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హెూదా.	Date of termination of appointment. ఉద్యోగము ఖయిరు అయిన తేది.	Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* ఖయిరు అయినందుకు కారణం అనగా ట్రాన్సఫరు అయినదా, బడితీ అయినదా, ఖాయం యిసము వచ్చినాడా.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ఆఫీసుపైఅధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హెూదా'
Incometax Gumasta of Pennacondah.	Temporary	–	15	.	26th October 1869				End of December 1869	Reduction of establishment	

From 1st January to 28th March 1870 without employment.

Dufterbund of Tadapatri.	Permanent.	–	7	.	29th March 1870.				11th May 1870	~~Promotion~~	
Gumasta of Anantapur.	Acting.	Dufterbund.	7	8	12th May 1870				5th June 1870	On return of permanent incumbent.	
Dufterbund of Tadapatri.	Permanent.	–	7	.	6th June 1870				22d January 1871	~~Promotion~~	

No pay had been drawn for 23d & 24th January 1871 either in Tadpatri Or in Dharmaveram Taluqs

Gumasta of Dharmaveram	Permanent	–	15	.	25th January 1871	Hanumi Rao			6th November 1876	Promotion	
2d Class Revenue Inspector	Acting	Gumasta.	15	10	7th November 1876			in Charge	10th May 1878	On return of permanent incumbent	
Gumasta of Dharmaveram.	Permanent.	–	15	.	11th May 1878		Sick Leave Sick Leave for 11. 5. 78 to 16. 8. 78		16/4/78 to 31/12/87	Return to duty	

...k leave for 3 months & 6 days on half pay from 11th May to 16th August 78.

...vate leave on forfeiture of full pay for 6 months & one day from 17th ...cember 1878 to June 17th of 1879

C. Macleod

17 MAR 87

Dy Collr in charg

12.9.84

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment. ఉద్యోగము యొక్క పేరు.	Nature, *i. e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. స్వభావము అనగా ఉద్యోగము స్థిరమయినదా, అథవా బదలీనా, ఖాయమా, అథవా నిలకడ లేనిదా.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. ప్రకృత ఉపని బదిలీగానుండిన పక్షముకు ఖాయంపనియేది.	Pay. జీతము	Acting allowance. ఆక్టింగ్ అలవున్సు	Date of appointment. ఉద్యోగము అయిన తేది.	Signature of Officer. ఆఫీసరు చేవ్రాలు.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* యీకాలములో ఆఫీసరుయొక్క నడత ఉద్యోగస్తుని విషయములో యేదయినా మంచిదిగాని అథవా చెడ్డదిగాని వ్రాయవలసినదే గాని తప్పదియేమిన్ని వ్రాయవలసినది జరూరులేదు. సస్పెన్షన్ ఉత్తరవు చేసినప్పుడు అట్టి ఉత్తరవును యిచ్చటదాఖలు చేయవలసినది.	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office ఆఫీసుపై అధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హోదా.	Date of termination of appointment. ఉద్యోగము ఆఖయిరు అయిన తేది.	Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* ఆఖయిరు అయినందుకు కారణం అనగా ట్రాన్సఫరు అయినదా, బడ్తీ అయినదా, ఖాయం యిసముమా పనువచ్చినాడా.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ఆఫీసుపై అధికారియొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హోదా
Gumasta of Dharmavaram	Permanent	"	15	-	18-6-79				31.12.88	✓	
		Sick leave on ½ pay	7½		1-1-89				17 2 89	✓	
Do	Permnt	"	15		12-2-89				9.6.89	Transferred to Kalyandrug	
Gumasta Dy Tahsildar Kalyandrug.	Do	" Leave on loss of pay for 5 months from			10-6-89				10-11-89		
~~Do~~	Do	"	15	"	11-11-89 Returned to duty on the 11th, as 10th was Sunday				27-12-89	~~Dismissed Service~~ Ramachandra Rao Dy Tah 31.3.90.	
Do	~~Do~~		15	.	28/12/89		Suspension for two years. The dismissal order was cancelled by Collector on appeal vide D.S.No 6966 31-10-90 vide Procs No 113 5-4-90 Dr R.			Ramachandra Rao Dy Tah 30/6/90.	
			15	"	28/12/91	Hanumantu			27-12-91		
		In transit	15		18-1-92				17-1-92	Transferred to Hindupur Dy Ramachandra Dy Tah	
Hindupur Taluk Gumasta	Permanent		15		22-1-92				21-1-92		
		Sick leave	7½		9-1-93				8-1.93	Sick leave	
Do	Permanent		15	.	8-2-93		Verified upto 31/12/94 Thungaker Dy Collector		7.2.93		
		In transit	15		5-12-94		Thungaker		4.12.94	Transferred to Penukonda Dy.	
Gumasta of Penukonda Dy			15		7-12-94				6/12/94.		
							Verified upto 31-12-94 31-12-94 R.S.		12/12/95	Suspended for 3 months vide Head Asst Collector's Dis 270. 29/1/95 Returned to duty	
Do	Do		15		13/5/95		Verified upto 31/12/95		26/7/95	Returned	Verified Thungaker Dy Collector

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment. ఉద్యోగము యొక్క పేరు.	Nature, *i. e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary. స్వభావము అనగా ఉద్యోగము స్థిరమయినదా, అథవా బదిలీనా, ఖాయమా, అథవా నిలకడ లేనిదా.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only. ప్రకృతము బదిలీగా నుండిన పక్షముకు ఖాయం పని యేది.	Pay. జీతము	Acting allowance. ఆక్టింగ్ అలవున్సు	Date of appointment. ఉద్యోగము అయిన తేది.	Signature of Officer. ఆఫీసరు చేవ్రాలు.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.* యీ కాలములో ఆఫీసరు యొక్క నడత ఉద్యోగస్తుని విషయమయి యేదయినా మంచిదిగాని అథవా చెడ్డదిగాని వ్రాయవలసినదేగాని తలిమ్మాదిరి యేమిన్ని వ్రాయవలసినది జరూరు లేదు. సస్పెన్షన్ ఉత్తరవు చేసినప్పుడు అట్టి ఉత్తరవును యిచ్చుట దాఖలు చేయవలసినది.	Signature & designation of immediate head of the Office ఆఫీసు పైఅధికారి యొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హుదా.	Date of termination of appointment. ఉద్యోగము ఖాయిరు అయిన తేది.	Reason of termination *e. g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.* ఆ ఖాయిరు అయినందుకు కారణం అనగా ట్రాన్సఫరు అయినదా, బఢతీ అయినదా, ఖాయం యిసము వాపసు వచ్చినాడా.	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office. ఆఫీసు పైఅధికారి యొక్క చేవ్రాలు అతని హుదా'

Encl. to 18th Vern Application

SERVICE BOOK.

సర్వీస్ బుక్కు

OF

Name పేరు Desaye Narayana Row.

Caste or Race కులము లేక జాతము Smartha Bramin.

Residence వాస్తవ్యము Dharmavaram.

FATHER'S తండ్రియొక్క Name పేరు Dasaye Gunda Appah

Residence వాస్తవ్యము Dharmavaram.

Date of birth పుట్టినతేది 14th April 1842

6
2
47

Ref. C No. 2156
2.10.95

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.

Dated the 26th September 1895
1465 2nd October 95

ENCLOSURES. 5

DEPUTY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
15 OCT. 95
REGISTER No.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
4 OCT 95
MADRAS

From
A. C. Tate Esquire
Ag. COLLECTOR OF
ANANTAPUR.

To
THE Accountant General
Madras

SIR,

I have the honor to forward an application for pension on behalf of Desayi Narayana Rao, late Taluk Gumasta, Penukonda Taluk. He has put in a pensionable service of 20 years, 10 months, and 16 days. He has been declared unfit for further public service by The District Medical and Sanitary officer (Vide the Certificate enclosed) as also the detailed statement of his Case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant,

For Ag. Collector.

26/9

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

From,
J. C. Tate Esquire
The Collector of
ANANTAPUR.

To,
The Accountant General
Madras

Dated 25
Despatched
Received
September 95

No.

ENCLOSURE.

Subject

Reply to Office No 2156
15/10/95

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K.
287

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
12th October 1895.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
15 OCT. 95
REGISTER No.

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Collector of
Anantapur.

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for late Gumastah Penukonda Taluk received with your letter No. 2156 dated 2d October 1895

2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from ——— to ———, whereas in the history of services

1. Attention is drawn to No. 2 & 5 (c) of the printed Memo of Instructions from this office

2. In the 2d page of the application the applicant is shewn to have been under suspension for 2 years from 28th December 1889 while in the annual Returns on record in this office and in the service book he is shewn to have been dismissed from the

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of Droayi Narayana Row is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

H. Pritchard
Asst Acct Genl
~~Chief Superintendent.~~

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

the service on that date. The discrepancy should be explained.

3. The signature of the applicant should be obtained in column 7 on the 4th page of the service book.

6
45
47

No. 587/24.12.89.

Proceedings of the Ag. Head Asst. Collr of Anantapur dated 17.12.89.

14 NOV 95
REGISTER

Read Dharmavaram Tahsildar's arzies No. 547/15.8.89 and 648/25.10.89 reporting upon the conduct of Desayi Narain Row Gumastah, who had left back many papers without taking any action upon them and had wilfully evaded the service of Summonses upon him when summoned to give explanation.

Order

1. The late Acting Tahsildar of Dharmavaram has sent in a report regarding the conduct of Desayi Narain Row a Gumastah who is now in the Kalyandrug Deputy Tahsildar's office. The Tahsildar states that on examining

this man's desk he found a number of old papers undisposed of. A long list of such papers is submitted by the Tahsildar consisting of orders and reports and other papers. When relieved by Gaffar Sahib, D. Narain-Row did not hand over charge of the papers pending with him in the usual manner, but left them in his desk just as they were.

3. He has not drafted orders in accordance with those passed by the Tahsildar - nor has despatched some important papers which the - Tahsildar had actually signed. He has not prepared the travelling allowance bills since January last, nor the bills for arrears of pay due to gumastas since September 1888. He did not despatch the travelling — allowance bill for January 89. though it was given to him for that purpose by the Head Gumasta along with the office copy and the vouchers. When asked for the vouchers he said he had not got them. On search being made they were found among the papers of which he did not hand over charge.

4. Some papers he kept with him without giving them for registration nor bringing them for disposal.

5. An application for an advance which was made so far back as May 1889 has been kept back by him and never brought up for disposal. In consequence of this neglect on his part the ryot did not get the advance.

6. The Tahsildar sent him a summons to appear and explain these matters. He refused to — receive or to sign it. An other summons was sent him but he was been evading the service of it.

7. I have taken a statement from the gumastah. He states

that he was suffering from fever and ple and that he may have remitted omitted to despatch one or two papers in consequence. With regard to the registration he says that the papers ought to have been registered before they were given to him. He knows nothing about the application for the advance though he admits having had charge of that branch of work. The work of preparing the bills was given to him in February. When he took charge of the papers there were arrears pending since September. As he was near new to the work he did not dispose of them.

8. He admits denies that the Summons was ever served on him at all.

9. The above facts disclose as gross a neglect of duty, as can well be imagined. The Gumasta's plea of illness cannot be accepted as a cloak for sins which have been spread over such a long period. It is impossible to expect Tahsildars to Keeps keep the work of their Taluks up to the mark if they were are handicapped by having gumastas who sit at their desks and do nothing as has evidently been the case in the present instance.

10. As regards his refusal to accept service of the Summons I have taken a statement from the peon who states that he shewed the Summons to the gumasta and that he refused to accept it.

11. There is apparently no reason why the peon should make this statement if it were not true. Without pressing this point, however, there is ample evidence that the gumasta is unfit for the Service of Government. He

is accordingly dismissed.

(Signed) M. D. Bell
Head Asst. Collector
17. 12. 89.

(True copy)

A. Forbes.
Head asst collr

Compared

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S
OFFICE.
RECEIVED ON
14 NOV.93
REGISTER No.

6
8
47

M.R. No 113.

Miscellaneous Appeal No. 25/90

Proceedings of the Collector of Anantapur Dated 5th April 1890. Kalyandrug.

OFFICE
RECEIVED ON
14 NOV.93
REGISTER No.

Read petition from D. Narayana Row appealing against the order of the Head Asst Collector at No 587/24.12.89 dismissing him from his post of Gumasta in the Dy Tahsildar's office Kalyandrug.

Read records received with letter No 54/32 dated 9. 2. 90 from the Head Asst Collector.

Notice Served. Vide Anantapur Tahsildar's Arzi No. 33 dated 2.3.90

Order

Party heard by Vakil

D. Narayana Row was appointed to the public Service on the 29th March 1870 having previously had a temporary appointment. He was a Taluk Gumastah up to 9th June 1889 when he was transferred

to the office of the Deputy Tahsildar
of Kalyandurg. He went on leave
for 5 months and joined his
appointment on 11th November
1889 and on the 17th December
he was dismissed by the Head
Asst Collector for certain irregularities
committed by him as a clerk in
the Dharmavaram Taluk office
They are as follows:—

(1) The Tahsildar found a number of
undisposed papers had been
kept by D. Narain Row (longest
is on the records)

(2) He did not hand these papers
over to Gaffer Sahib his Successor
but kept them in his box

(3) He failed to prepare drafts in
accordance with the Tahsildar's
orders

(4) He failed to despatch orders
after the Tahsildar had signed
them.

(5) He failed to prepare Travelling
Bills for the Establishment from
1st January to 10th June and bills
for arrears of pay due to
Gumastahs from September 1888

(6) He did not despatch the Travelling
Allowance Bill for January 1889
and denied having the vouchers
that should accompany it, whilst
they were found amongst his papers

(7) That he kept papers without registering
them and failed to get them disposed of

(8) An application for an advance
in May 1887 was kept back by
him whereby the ryot lost his
chance of obtaining a Government
loan

(9) He refused to receive notice
from the Tahsildar to appear and
explain his conduct

(10) He did the same a second time

2 Now charges one and two must
be taken together for this reason.
Gaffer Sahib had signed a list

of papers received containing 53 items. The Tahsildar gives an Extra list containing further 99 items. Now the Vakil argues that as Gaffer Saheb has taken over charge he is responsible and this charge breaking down his client is exonerated. Now I cannot admit this Gaffer Saheb could not know what papers were with D. Narana Row. It was a fact that should have been well within the cognizance of the latter. It would only be after Gaffer Saheb had had charge of the work for a little time that he would become acquainted with the state of D. Narana Row's dufter. Then there came the Tahsildar's strict examination and 99 papers in excess of the 53 formally handed over were found amongst the latter. I do not find many papers that have been very disgracefully delayed; but there are many that should have gone to the Record room.

3. The 99 papers may be taken now in the manner summarized in para 1 of these proceedings. The facts put forth in the preceding para show that D. Narana Row held back 99 papers and the second charge is clearly proved

4 I find on an examination of the records (and I now refer to the 99 papers) that the Tahsildar has written many orders and no Takids have been drafted thereon. The Tahsildar's orders are sometimes written in Telugu and sometimes in English but Narana Row signs in English and if he did not understand the order he could have asked. So there was a

most impudent disregard of
orders and probably corresponding
hardship in Some cases. This
Charge is accordingly proved.
I find a very large bundle of
Such orders (44 in number) for
which Takids have not been
written.

5. I find amongst the 99 papers
not handed over five Takids Signed
by the Tahsildar and not despatched.

6. Now No. 5 charge if true has
caused great loss to a poorly
paid establishment whilst the
former ones affected the ryots
and administration of the District.
I find 14 Travelling Allowance
Bills for batta due to peons amongst
the 99 papers. This is a most
Scandalous hardship to an overworked
and poorly paid establishment.
I cannot understand their not

complaining to the Tahsildar.
I Shall ask that officer to get
their Explanation. It is possible
that fair copies were prepared
and Signed and those on the record
are office copies. They do not
bear the Tahsildar's initials however.
There is only one bill for a Clerk's
arrears of pay. It was the duty
of D. Naraina Row to prepare these
bills and get them Signed. It is
inconceivable why he took the
trouble to prepare them and then
never Submitted them for Signature.

7. The Travelling bill for January
1889 with the vouchers attached
to it was found amongst Naraina
Row's papers though he denied
all knowledge of them to the
Head Clerk of the Taluk.

8. As regards the Seventh Charge

it was not D. Naraina Row's illegible
to get papers registered. They should
not have been handed to him
until registered. If the proper
course had been followed probably
the delays would have been discovered
earlier. That a number of papers
were kept undisposed of when handed
over is testified to by the 99 found
in Naraina Row's desk

9. I find the application for
an advance under the Land
Improvement Act on the record
amongst the 99 papers. It bears
an eight anna Court fee Stamp
(uncancelled). It is on the
proper printed form and is
undated. It has no date of
receipt marked on it and does
not bear the initials of any
Taluk official from the Tahsildar
down to the last joined Muchi
The date on the Court fee Stamp
is 20. 5. 87. The paper bears the
marks of age and it is clear
that it has long remained with
Naraina Row and the applicant
must have thought the Land
Improvement Act was a trick of
Government to extract 8 annas
out of too confiding ryots. I
dont think I need note the
9th and 10th charges. If Naraina
Row did not care to appear to
defend himself that was his own
lookout.

10. Now this clerk has been guilty
of about as gross carelessness
leading to hardship as ever I came
across. There is no allegation of
fraud however. The man had
nearly 20 years' service when
dismissed. Moreover the system

in the Dharmavaram office was such as to lead to idleness. I will change the sentence of dismissal into one of a year's suspension.

(Signed) B. Teperumal Chetty
for Collector

(True Copy)

A. Forbes.
Head Asst Collector

ud
11/III

6
7
47

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
(Land Revenue)
Dated 31st October 1890.
No. 6966. Mis.

Mr. H. W. Bliss
Mr. W. S. Whiteside

Read again Board's Proceedings dated 21st July 1890 No 2461 Routine;

Read Endorsement of the Collector of Anantapur dated 13° September 1890 No 1159.

Resolution

The Board is not satisfied with the way in which the Collector has disposed of this case. Despite what the petitioner says he was, in the Board's opinion, most clearly convicted of the most outrageous neglect of duty. He was also convicted by the

Head

Head Assistant of *twice* insolently refusing to accept from the peon sent with them summonses sent him by the Tahsildar to appear and account for his negligence in the other matters complained of. On all the charges put together the Head Assistant dismissed him. On appeal, as he had twenty years Service and as no fraud was imputed to him, the Collector reduced this punishment to Suspension for one year, remarking as to his contumacy in refusing to accept Service of the Tahsildar's Summonses, that "If he did not care to appear to defend himself that was his own look out."

2. The *Board* does not think that insolent insubordination should be passed over in this way. It is hard enough to expect Tahsildars to do their work properly when a Gumastah proved guilty of such gross negligence, is retained in the Service, but the foundations of discipline are cut away when insolent contempt of a Tahsildar's authority is condoned. Had the man's service been shorter the Board should have restored the Head Assistant's Order of dismissal. As it is the punishment will be enhanced to 2 years ~~enhance~~ Suspension instead of one year's.

True Extract

(Signed)

Ag Secretary.

(True copy)

J. Williams

For Collector.

Scn
1.12.95

a
3/12

6
6
47

Refd bck $\frac{2156}{24/11/95}$

Dispd 4.12.95

5629

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
6 DEC 95
MADRAS

Anantapur Collector's Office
Dated 24th Nov. 1895.

From

F. A. Nicholson Esquire,
Collector of
Anantapur.

To

The Accountant-General
Madras.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No $\frac{A.D.k}{287}$ dated 12th October 1895, I have the honor to state that the pension application of D. Naraina Rou, Taluk Gumasta, Penukonda dated 13th August last was received from the Tashildar of Penukonda on the 15th of that month with the medical certificate declaring him unfit for further service. The certificate

not

not being in the proper form required by article 487(b) of the Civil Service Regulations, the Medical Officer was requested on the 20th idem to give detailed reasons under the above article. The requisite information from the Medical Officer was received on the 25th September and the pension application forwarded from this office on the 2nd ultimo. Hence there was no delay in forwarding the pension application in question.

2. As required in 5(c) of the printed memo of instructions a copy of the Head Assistant Collector's Proceedings No 270 dated 29-1-95 Suspending the applicant, for 3 months is herewith forwarded

W[illegible] previous suspension

for a period of 2 years the B. P. No 6966 Mis. L. R. dated 31.10.90 Suspending the Gumastah for 2 years is missing. To save delay, I have requested the Board to furnish me with a copy which will be forwarded on receipt

x Since found and copy forwarded herewith

3. Regarding objection No 2 of your letter under reply I have the honor to state that the order of the Head Assistant Collector dismissing the applicant was modified by the late Collector in his Proceedings No $\frac{\text{M.R. } 113}{5.4.90}$ by Suspending him for one year, but this was enhanced to two years by the Board on appeal. Copies of these Proceedings except that of the Board which

which cannot now be furnished for the reason given in para 2 Supra are enclosed. The entry in page 2 of the pension application that the applicant was suspended for two years is correct. The entry in the Service book has now been correctly noted.

4. The signature of the applicant in Col. 7. of page 4 of the Service book is now taken and the Service Register forwarded herewith together with the papers received with the letter under reply.

5. The delay in replying to the reference is mainly due to the mis-laying of orders relating to the Suspension of 2 years.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Serv

H Williams
For Collector.

8n
1.12.95

3/12

1895.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

Anantapur

TO

THE Accountant General Madras

Dated 24th
Despatched 4th
Received
Nov December 1895.

Ref on C No. 2156 / 4.12.95

ENCLOSURES. 9

SUBJECT.

Representation on the Pension application of D Narayana Rao Gumasta Penukonda Taluq.

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.
RECEIVED ON
16 DEC.95
REGISTER No. ....

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

Reply to Ref. on C No. 2156 / 16.12.95

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K. / 343

~~Fort~~ St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
12th December 1895.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Collector of
Anantapur.

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for ______ 1 Transmission of the Head Assistant Collector's Proceedings No 27 d/ 29 Janry/95 referred to in para 2 of your reply No 2156 d/ 24 November 1895 together with this Office objection Memo No ADK/283 d/ 12th October 1895, for submission to Government.

2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from ______ to ______, whereas in the history of services

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of D. Narayana Row late Taluk Gumastah Penukonda received with your letter No 2156 d/ 2 Octr/95 is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

12/12
~~Chief Superintendent.~~
Assistant Accountant General.

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

6
4
47

Proceedings of the Ag. Head Asst. Collector of Anantapur.

ANANTAPUR COLLECTOR'S OFFICE.
RECEIVED ON
2 - OCT. 9
REGISTER No..........

Disposal No 270
29.1.95.

Read arzi No 48 (23.1.95) from the Tahsildar of Penukonda, bringing to notice the irregularities of D. Narayana Rao a gumasta of his office.

Order thereon

The Tahsildar's report shew that D. Narayana Rao the Gumasta of his office entrusted with the work of registering Correspondence has been guilty of the following serious faults.

(1) Failure to distribute Tappal to disposing Clerks for several days together, the Tahsildar having found with him on 14-1-95 papers received in the beginning of the same month.

(2) Mixing up Tappal papers with the papers marked for putting up references, in the same bundle

(3) possessing a Service Register of a gumasta transferred to Madakasira, which ought not have been in his possession, since he is neither the disposing Clerk nor the Custodian of Service Registers

As the Tahsildar points out the Gumasta's explanation is unsatisfactory. If papers are detained for weeks by the Registering clerk, obviously punctuality is impossible, & if English papers (which as the Tahsildar observes are generally few) even among the Tappal & the gumasta could not read them, there was all the more necessity for him ~~at once~~ to at once bring them to the Tahsildar or Deputy Sheristadar, instead of detaining them with him. The gumasta is suspended for 1 month. A substitute will be sent as soon as possible.

(Sd) A. J. Pitt
Ag Head Asst Collector

/True Copy/

Ag Tahsildar

6
3
47

5969

Ref: on C. No 2156
En: Rundo. 12-12-95

Anantapur Collectors Office
December 1895

From

F. A. Nicholson Esquire
Collector of
Anantapur

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE MADRAS
23 DEC 95
7/1/96.

To

The Accountant General
to the Govt. of
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No A.D.K/343 dated the 12th current, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Head Assistant Collector's Proceedings No 270/29.1.95 together with your objection Memos Nos A.D.K/287 dated 12.10.95 and A.D.K/343 under reference with the enclosures received therewith.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

For Collector.

W
18/12

From

F. A. Nicholson Esquire
Collector of
Anantapur

To

The Accountant General
to the Govt. of
Madras.

Ref on C.No. 2156 of 19.12.95

Dated 17th
Despatched
Received
} December 1895.

Subject

Forwarding a copy of the Head Assistant Collector's Proceedings No. 270 / 29.1.95 with objection memos No. A.D.K / 287 dated 12.10.95 and A.D.K / 343 dated 12.12.95 with its enclosures.

6
~~5~~
47

---

(1)

C. No. 47
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Collr. of Anantapur dated 26th Sept 1895, No. 2156

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 18th Jany. 1896, No. A.D.J. / 598

ORDER THEREON, 1st Feby. 1896 No. 88.

Character. Fair

In Decr. 1889, the applicant was dismissed from the service by the Head Asstt. Collector of Anantapur for "gross neglect of duty and insolent insubordination". On appeal, the Collector converted the order of dismissal into one of suspension for one year. The Board, however, considered this punishment inadequate for the applicant's misconduct, and enhanced the punishment to two years' suspension. (Please see copy of B.P. marked with tape in the file with the remark that he wd. have been dismissed but for the length of his service)

In Feby 1895 the applicant was suspended for 3 months for

(1) failure to destribute tappal to disposing clerks for several days together, the Tahsildar having found with him on 14-1-95 papers received in the beginning of the month;

(2) Mixing up tappal papers with the papers marked for putting up references, in the same bundle; &

(3) possessing a service register of a Gumastah transferred to Madakasira, which ought not to have been in his possession, since he was neither the disposing clerk nor the custodian of service registers.

Maxm. pension admissible is Rs 5/- p.m. He was a dilatory & grossly careless Gumasta who is fortunate to have escaped dismissal. Submd. that Rs. 4/8/- is ample pension; he wd. have no ,, ,, Collr. of Anantapur [illegible]

JKS
23/1/96.

To the Accountant-General, with Register.

Under articles 485 & 518 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Desayi Narayana Row, late ~~Taluq~~ Gumastah, Penukonda Taluq Office, an invalid pension — of Rupees (4/8/-)

Four and annas eight only

payable at Penukonda
from 27th July 1895
in consideration of his qualifying Superior service of 20 years, 10 months and 14 days

2. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant's character

conduct have not been thoroughly satisfactory.

I agree with H.S. The applicant's contumacy is probably attributable to the fact that he belongs to the Desayi family - a powerful clan in Bellary & Anantapur -

G.G. 27/1.

I think he may have R 4½

J.G.
28/1/96

C. No. 47/

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

The Hon: Mr Grose, CIE

Dated

Despd.

G.O., 1st February 1896 No. 88.

GRANTING an invalid pension of Rs 4·8·0 p.m. to D. Narayana Row, late gumastah, Penukonda Taluq office.

C. 28/1.

R 29/1

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 189 .							
CURRENT No.							
ENCLOSURES TO C. No							
ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.