

Pension (189 /) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 82.

DATED 31st Lang / 96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Rejecting a petition from Abdul
Nabee Khan & others praying that they may
be allowed to be re-employed in public
offices like military pensioners -

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

*Please put this in
 with No. 82
 31.1.76
 J. H. H. H.
 J. H. H. H.
 J. H. H. H.*

$\left[\frac{G}{g} \right]$
A000-28-1-96

Current No. ~~186~~ 222

P. L. DEPARTMENT

From Abdul Wahid Khan and
other pensioners of the
Police Dept.
Shore.

No.

Dated 3 }
Received 6 } February '96.
Registered 7 }
Enclosures 1

SUBJECT.

Submitting a petition to
H.E. the Governor in Council
praying for re-employment
in Public Service.

Q. d
12/3/96

Hand No 544

Transferred with
notes to the Pension
dept.
from orders.

7.3.96
for C.S.
7.3.96

Folk-Club George
11th March 1896

Note for orders

The present petition is only a copy of the petition received from the pensioners in this department, and disposed of by G.O. 82 Pension & 31-1-96 For orders as to whether the current may be lodged with reference to that G.O. or whether that order should be reconsidered with reference to the Hon. Mr. Bliss' remarks in the note for orders marked with tabs which runs as follows:-

"I can't see any reason why the request should not be granted - art. 569 does not stand in the way of these pensioners drawing pay in inferior grades on Rs. 5, 6 and so on plus so much of their pensions as will cause the total not to exceed the full pay formerly drawn - This should be pointed out - Also the provision in art. 577(i)."

$\frac{Kd}{24.29}$

ප්‍රකාශයක්

1	Abdul Kader Khan	20	2	10	9	S. H. O. in Salugan
2	Shahk Meeran	12	4	10		do
3	Mohd Ismail	12	5	1	5	do
4	Mohd Doolamon	8	3	1		Nil
5	B. Subbamma	8	3	14	11	S. H. O. in Salugan
6	N. Venkanna	8	4			Nil
7	Chingee Shih	8	3	15	4	Nil
8	Shukthos Moyan	8	3	10	11	Nil
9	Mohd Pachak	8	4			Nil
10	Shahk Ismail	8	3	12		Nil
11	Hasan Ahmed	8	4			Nil
12	M. Papayya	8	3	12		Nil
13	N. Suleyya	8	3	6		Nil
14	S. Lakshmayya	8	4			Nil
15	Hasan Ahmed	7	3	4		Nil
16	R. Pullayya	7	3	4		Nil
17	Abdul Gafar	7	3	2		Nil
18	Shahk Serhamon	7	2	3	6	Nil
19	Shahk Buday	7	2	7	2	Nil
20	V. Subbarayudu	7	2	13	7	Nil
21	P. Venkataswamy	7	3	4		Nil
22	P. Simhadri	7	3	4		Nil

ප්‍රකාශයක්

Form.

No	Names with ranks	Payments		Pension		Qualifications of the pensioners
		at service	Payment	Payment	Payment	
1	Abdul Kader Khan	20	2	10	9	S. H. O. in Salugan
2	Shahk Meeran	12	4	10		do
3	Mohd Ismail	12	5	1	5	do
4	Mohd Doolamon	8	3	1		Nil
5	B. Subbamma	8	3	14	11	S. H. O. in Salugan
6	N. Venkanna	8	4			Nil
7	Chingee Shih	8	3	15	4	Nil
8	Shukthos Moyan	8	3	10	11	Nil
9	Mohd Pachak	8	4			Nil
10	Shahk Ismail	8	3	12		Nil
11	Hasan Ahmed	8	4			Nil
12	M. Papayya	8	3	12		Nil
13	N. Suleyya	8	3	6		Nil
14	S. Lakshmayya	8	4			Nil
15	Hasan Ahmed	7	3	4		Nil
16	R. Pullayya	7	3	4		Nil
17	Abdul Gafar	7	3	2		Nil
18	Shahk Serhamon	7	2	3	6	Nil
19	Shahk Buday	7	2	7	2	Nil
20	V. Subbarayudu	7	2	13	7	Nil
21	P. Venkataswamy	7	3	4		Nil
22	P. Simhadri	7	3	4		Nil

ප්‍රකාශයක්

ප්‍රකාශයක්

To

The

Chief Secretary to Government

Civil Department

Fort St George

Madras

Most Respected Sir

We the undersigned Police Pensioners respectfully beg to enclose here with a petition for the submission of His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor in Council Fort St George praying for the grant of sanction as "Military Pensioners" to be employed in Public offices &c that your honor will be graciously pleased to submit it to His Excellency with your strong recommendation & support after your honor's kind perusal

For which act of charity and benevolence we shall as in duty bound ever pray for your honor's long life health wealth & fortune

A petition about the points referred to in para supra has been submitted on 7th January 1896.

Please address the endorsement to

Abdul Nazeem Khan

Pensioned 2nd G. Head constable

Sellone

Godavari Dis

We beg to remain

Most respected Sir

Your most obedient servants

3-2-96

Ed

Abdul Nazeem Khan P. 2. G. H.

1896
Pub Dept
Enobles No
To
O. No. 496

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲಕರು

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲಕರು

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ

Mark. V. Subbarayan

" P. Venkata swami

" P. Linhadri

G. 9

-28-1-96.

Current No. 496

DEPARTMENT.

From

Abdul Nahu Khan and
other persons of the
Police Department.
Ellore.

No.

Dated 3.

Received 6

Registered 7

Enclosures 21.

February '96

SUBJECT.

Forwarding a petition to be
Submitted to Mr. E. The Governor
in Council

GR forward in 23620784

2/2/96

22470

500
500
200

G. S. Forbes Esq
NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

We cannot be cer-
tain to what papers
the H.M. alludes. He
has seen papers on
the subject in both
L & M. Pension Dept.
The search has brought
to light the fact that
a similar petition to
that under disposal
was rejected (without
circ:) by G.D. No 82 Pen
of 31.1.96. Under the
last para of G.D. No
34 Pen of 14.1.96 that
is no doubt the correct
dept to deal with the
subject and instruc-
tions are requested
whether the current
and the notes may
be transferred to
Pension Dept for the
further action requi-
site.

G.S.B.
5/3/96.

I have a recollection of the case
to H.M. the H.M. refers - did

as well as the L.M.
of the H.M. and
the H.M. will
be

of the H.M. {
L
M
P

as well as the H.M.
of the H.M. and
the H.M. will
be

22X170
T

500
500
200

it not come up from Chingle
put?

The pp shd be transp'd
however to P. D.

[Signature]

[Initials]

[G.]
24.
1,000-15-11-95.

Public DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated	27/11/84	189	, No. 2562
Again G.O., dated	12-5	188	, No. 10521
Current No.	496	of 1896, dated	, from
" "	"	189	" "
" "	"	189	" "

For ORDERS

I can't see any reason
why the request should not
be granted - Art 569 does
not stand in the way of these
pensioners drawing pay in inferior
grades on Rs 5, 6 & so on plus
some of their pensions will
cause the total not to exceed the
full pay formerly drawn - This
should be pointed out - also
the provision in Art 577(i) - A

There was, I recollect, a case
in L. & M. the other day in which
the dismissal of some pensioners
who were employed as watchmen,
or something of the kind, was asked.
Please look up. There is a suspicion
that a wrong decision was arrived
at therein

25/2/96

No such case in the
L & M. Dept. It is probably
refers to the case disposal of
by 80. 84/14/96 Pension here with put up -

No relaxation in this
respe - need be given,
the 1896 was the
date if posts were given to
them for exam and required for

The petitioners are pen-
sioners from the Police.
Their request is that they
may be treated like pensioners
from the Army and be
permitted if reemployed
in other depts to draw their
pay in addition to their
pensions. They would
also have to be included in
the exemption in 4(1) of
the Public Service Act.
(red tape). For orders whether
the request shall be rejected
or referred to the S. G. of P.
for report in the first instance

58B.
15/2/96

See A above, why not? This is also a
necessary concomitant?

[Signature]

on the assumption that
men already pensioned are
not likely to have passed any
exams.

144

The prohibition of reemployment (Art 569 (S. 2)) with retention of pension applies only to govt. service & service under incorporated funds. Municipal service is open to such men, the municipal fund not being an incorporated fund.

So far as the port service is concerned no relaxation of the rule is admissible. But in respect of service under incorporated local funds ^{Boards}, there is certainly some hardship, as there are many inferior ports ^{under District Boards} of #4 & #5 which a pensioner might well take up & live decently on the pay, if eked out by a small pension.

The ports in respect of which such relaxation was allowed might be limited to inferior ports carrying not more than say #7 pay, & the holders pension being similarly limited.

But unless there is any disposition to view the petitioners' claims favourably, it is not necessary ^{here} to work out the question farther, or examine its financial effect.

J. H. 2. 6

The rules as to reemployt. do not apply to police pensioners paid from a police superannuation fund constituted by the force (Art 572) but police's doubtless knew this & still

Permission to Police Pensioners to draw pay in addition to pension

FOR FROM ORDERS.

C.S. H. H.

I would help poor people like these as far as I could
JH

To

His Excellency
the Right Honourable the Governor
in Council Fort St George
Madras

Matthias petition of the undersigned civil pensioners of Police department
Edmore

Most humbly sheweth

With due respect we the humble petitioners beg to bring the following few lines into your favourable consideration with a sanguine hope of being crowned with success.

That while your petitioners were serving the Police department heartily and obediently some of them were pensioned before they had completed the period for pension for $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{2}{3}$ under the new rules (limiting 10 years service to deserve pension) and on certificates of the medical officers and some of them had received their pensions under the old rules (to have about 15 years service) And the pension payments which are now being drawn by the petitioners as well as their former payments while at service are herein shown below in a form for your kind perusal and consideration

That each of the petitioners having 5, 7 or 8 members to support feels very hard to protect them without any employment

in a form for your kind perusal and

That for their protection only (but not to
secure a second pension) without employing
themselves in public services such as
peons, duffadams etc in any department
of Local and Municipal, Railway
and D.O.W. They feel very hard for their
support and your petitioners are unable
to get any footing under the shawkars
as they (shawkars) have already engaged
their own men or those whom they are
well acquainted with

That under the rules your
petitioners are not allowed to serve in
the public service if they do so they are
entitled only to draw the latter pay
but not the Government Pension

Consequently your petitioners
humbly pray your honor will be graciously
pleased to sympathize with them on their
poor condition & give them the same
right as the military pensioners have

For which act of benevolence
& charity your petitioners as in duty
bound shall ever pray for your honors
long life & prosperity

We beg to remain

Most Respectfully Shown

3rd February 1896

E. More

Your most obedient & humble petitioners

Alidul Nabi Kham P. 2. G. H. C.

C. no 222. Pen. Dep. 1896

Transferred to this Dept. 11. 3. 96.

For Orders

The Petrs. describe themselves as
Police Pensioners who were
pensioned (i) before they had completed
the period for pension for $\frac{1}{2}$ or
 $\frac{1}{3}$ under the new rules, (ii) or
an certificate from Medical
Officers Pensioners (iii) or who are drawing
Pensions under the Old Rules. Their
request is in general terms
is to the effect that they
may be treated in the same
way as Military Pensioners &
be allowed to draw full pay
+ pension in event of re-employ-
ment.

This request has already
been once rejected as inadmissible
in P.O. no 82 Pen. D. 31. 1. 96,
and it is submitted that there
are no grounds for altering that
decision, which is in accordance
with the C.S. Regs.

At the same time the
C.S. Regs. do allow in certain
circumstances to draw the whole
or part of their pensions on
re-employment (§§ 569, 574, 575)

in a form for your kind perusal and

~~The Hon. Mr. Bliss~~

C. no. 222. Pension

Тесу

Ms A. 9.2
Await reply of
India for reference
made.

HA 24.3.

~~Suggested that the reply of India be awaited to the reference made~~

x The Hon. Mr. Bliss appears to be
Co. no 34. of Ms. 1. 96. in the
file.

Under the circumstances, therefore, I submit there is no ground to reconsider the order already passed. A general concession such as that asked for cannot be granted. Cases of the sort can best be dealt with as they arise.

a. Sh.

к. пав. 1/3 п. м.

The L & M case referred to by

40

The Chief Secretary to Govt
Civil Department
Fort St. George

Most Respected Sir

The undersigned Police Pensioners respectfully beg to enclose herewith a petition for the submission of His Excellency the Right Honorable the Governor in Council Fort St George praying for the grant of sanction as "Military Pensioners" to be employed in Public offices ^{and} that your honor will be graciously pleased to submit it to His Excellency with your strong recommendation & support after your honor's kind perusal.

For which act of charity
and benevolence we shall as in duty
bound ever pray for your honors long life
health, wealth & fortune.

We beg to remain
Most Respected Sir

Yours most obt petitioners

1 - Gussard 2nd & 3rd Sec -
Gussard 4th & 5th Fa -

1324

Aug 30 to Dec -

in a form for your ~~own~~ ^{own} use

1911

Godwin St

Madras.

Mahazar petition of the under
signed civil prisoners of
Police department Ellore.

Most Humbly Sheweth.

With due respect we the humble

petitioners beg to bring the following few lines into your favourable consideration with a sanguine hope of being crowned with success.

1. That while your petitioners were serving the Police Department heartily & obediently, some of them were pensioned before they had completed the period for pension for $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{3}$ under the new rules (limiting 10 years service to deserve pension & on the certificates of the Medical officers & some of them had received their pension under the old rules (to have about 15 years service) And the pension payments which are now being drawn by the petitioners as well as their former payments while at service are herein shown below in a form for your kind perusal and

She

20 (2)

23

ar

2

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Shri
२६
६
५
४
३

ಇದರಲ್ಲಿರುವುದು

Baruch Spinoza

சென்னை 10.12.2019

ಕಂಪುಜಗ್ರಾಂಯ ಕೆಎಎಎ

२३४ अंश ११' २०"

Get into our Good and Bad

Thank You Much


మహామూలములను జూచుట

[Handwritten signature]

ಇವುಗಳಿಂದ

Mark of V. Sabba Ranyan

V. Ramaswami




PENSION DEPARTMENT.

From

Abdul Nabee Khan
+ others,

Police pensioners.



No.

Dated -

Received 13th January 1896.

Enclosures /

SUBJECT.

Praying that they may be allowed to be re-employed in public offices, like military pensioners.

P.T.O

Sec⁴

Order 31st Jan^y 1896 No. 82

The petitioners' request is inadmissible;

~~The petition is accordingly rejected~~ 29. 1. 96

21/23.1.96

21/30/1

To
Abdul Nabee Khan & Co.

an given for copying
copied
examined
signed

189

MAIN G.O. No. DATED

No.

PER TO O. No.

OF GOVERNMENT

OF GOVERNMENT.

MENT WITH DITTO.

RS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A