[D. D. / 42]
1,000—10-8-96.

Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 805

DATED 28-8-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 20-0-0 only p.m. to Palamcottah — Vadamanikkam Gnanaprakasam, late 2nd English Clerk, Collector's office Tinnevelly.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

195

2717

TANT GENER OFFICE
13 JUN 96
MADRAS

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Palamcottah Vedamanikkam Gnanaprakasam
2. Father's name. Palamcottah Gnanaprakasam
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Protestant Christian
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Palamcottah, Tinnevelly District.
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. 2d English Clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly
6. Date of beginning of service. 8th February 1867
7. Date of ending of service. 31st May 1896.

Y. M. D.

8. Length of service, including interruptions .. .. 29 - 3 - 23

of which superior .. .. 26 - 5 - 18

inferior .. .. 0 - 2 - 23

non-qualifying and interruptions .. .. 2 - 7 - 12

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application.* Invalid pension. Retired on medical certificate on account of incipient cataracts in both eyes.
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rs-44-14-6
11. Proposed pension. Rs 22-7-3
12. Proposed gratuity. nil
13. Date from which pension is to commence. 1st June 1896.
14. Place of payment. Huzur Treasury Tinnevelly.
15. Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era.† 7th February 1842
16. Height. 5 feet
17. Marks. one black mole on left thumb and another on the left foot.
18. Date. 10th June 1896

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

Dr Collector

Collector

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

2,000 - 9-12-93.

6/20

Verified from 1867
[illegible] Register for 1872 is missing

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF V. Gnanaprakasam 2d English Clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Revenue Department Provincial	Duftarband Dy. Tahsildar's office Vilattikulam	7	..	8-2-1867	30-4-67.	-	2	23	.	.	-	Inferior Service	and annual list of establishments	Service from 8-2-67 to 30-4-67 rendered in an appointment in inferior grades will not entitle the applicant to any gratuity under Article 436 of Civil Service Regulations. Verified from the records of the Collector's office, Tinnevelly, and the verification of the service, except for 1872, confirmed by reference partly to the Audit Registers and partly to the annual Returns on record in this office.
	5 gumastah Ottappidaram Taluk, permanent	15	.	1-5-1867	31-5-68	1	1	-	✓					
	Gumastah Dy. Tahsildar's office Palamcottah	15	.	1-6-1868	31-3-71	2	10	-	✓					
	5th Ver. Acct Collector's office Tinnevelly	15		1-4-1871	1-8-71	.	4	1	✓					
Local	Local Fund 2d Clerk Collr's office	20	.	2-8-1871	27-7-73	1	11	26	✓					
	Suspension			28-7-1873	12-10-73	-	-	-	-	2	15	This will not count towards pension		
	Total period of Superior Service ..				..									
	Local Fund 2d Clerk Collr's office Tinnevelly	20		13-10-1873	11-2-74	-	10	29	✓					
Revenue Department Provincial	6th Eng. Accountant Collector's office	20.		12-9-1874	30-9-77	3	-	19	✓	.			Verified with reference Pay abstract	
	Famine Clerk of the Famine office at Ottappidaram Temporary	20	10	1-10-1877	12-11-77	.	1	12	✓					
	6th Eng. Accountant Collector's office	20.		13-11-77	28-2-78	-	3	18	✓					
	Clerk to audit Famine accounts	20	5	1-3-1878	20-8-78.	.	5	20	✓					
	6th Eng. Accountant	20	.	21-8-1878	16-5-79	-	8	26	✓					
	5th Eng. Accountant acting	20	5.00	17-5-1879	30-6-79	-	1	14	✓					
						12	2	8	-	2	15			

on appeal to the Board of Revenue he was suspend
[illegible]

V/20

Verified from 1867

Tiring Leg Register for 1872 is missing

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF V. Gnanaprakasam 2nd English Clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.											
Department Provincial	Dufterband Dy. Tahsildar's Office Vilathikulam	7	..	8-2-1867	30-4-67.	-	2	23	-	-	-	Inferior Service	Documents	Service from 8-2-67 to 30-4-67 rendered in an appointment in inferior grades will not entitle the applicant to any gratuity under Article 436 of Civil Service Regulations. Verified from the records of the Collector's office, Tinnevelly, and the verification of the service upto 1872 confirmed by
	5 gumastah	15		1-5-1867	31-5-70	1	1							
Revenue Department Provincial						12	2	8	-	2	15		abstracts and annual list	
	6 Eng. Accountant	20.		1-7-1879	2-11-79	-	4	2						
	5 Eng. Accountant	25		3-11-1879	5-5-80.	.	6	3						
	Leave on ...	.		6-5-1880	5-5-81.				1	-	-	This will not count towards pension		
	5 Eng. Accountant Collector's office Tinnevelly	25.		6-5-1881	12-5-82	1	-	7						
	Sea Borne trade Clerk Collector's office acting	25-	7-0-0	13-5-1882	12-7-82	-	2	-						
	5 Eng. Accountant	25.		13-7-1882	4-11-82	-	3	22						
	4 Eng. Accountant	30	.	5-11-1882	1-3-83	.	3	27						
	3rd Eng. Accountant acting	30.	5-0-0	2-3-1883	30-6-83	.	3	29						
	4 Eng. Accountant	30.		1-7-1883	31-3-84	-	9	-					Verified with reference to pay of establishments	
	3rd Ver. Clerk Substitute	30	5-0-0	1-4-1884	31-12-84	-	9	-						
	3rd Ver. Clerk acting	30.	5-0-0	1-1-1885	6-1-85	-	-	6						
	Privilege leave with full pay			7-1-1885	6-2-85	-	1	-						
	3rd Ver. clerk acting	30.	5-	7-2-1885	9-4-85	-	2	3						
	3rd Ver. clerk Substitute	30.	5	10-4-1885	30-4-85	-	-	21						
	3rd Ver. clerk acting	30-	5-	1-5-1885	31-8-85	-	4	-						
						17	4	8	1	2	15			

On appeal to the Board of Revenue he was suspended

2/20

Verified from 1867
[illegible] 47 Register for 1872 is missing

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF V. Gnanaprakasam 2nd English Clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Department incial	Dufterband Dy. Tahsildar's office Vilathikulam	7	..	8-2-1867	30-4-67.	-	2	23	-	-	-	Inferior Service	[illegible]	Service from 8-2-67 to 30-4-67 rendered in an appointment in inferior grades will not entitle the applicant to any gratuity under Article 436 of Civil Service Regulations. Verified from the records of the Collector's office, Tinnevelly, and the verification of the service,
	5 gumastah													
						17	4	8	1	2	15			
Revenue Department Provincial	3rd Ver. Clerk.	35	-	1-9-1885	4-7-86	-	10	4 ✓					Verified with reference Paybills and annual list of establishments	
	Privilege leave with full pay			5-7-1886	25-7-86	-	-	21 ✓						
	3rd Ver. Clerk.	35.	-	26-7-1886.	17-1-87	-	5	22 ✓						
	2nd Eng. Clerk acting	35	9.	18-1-1887	4-4-87	-	2	17 ✓						
	2nd Eng. Accountant Subpro tem	35	10	5-4-1887	9-5-87	-	1	5 ✓						
	2nd Eng. Accountant acting.	35	9-	10-5-1887	15-6-87	-	1	6						
	2nd Eng. Accountant Subpro tem	35	10.	16-6-1887	6-7-87	-	-	21 ✓						
	3rd Ver. Clerk	35	.	7-7-1887	20-7-87	-	-	14						
	Ver. Hd. Accountant acting	35	9.	21-7-1887	20-8-87	-	1	. ✓						
	3rd Ver. Clerk	35	.	21-8-1887	4-11-87	-	2	14 ✓						
	3rd Eng. Acct	35		5-11-1887	1-2-88	-	2	27 ✓						
	Privilege leave with full pay			2-2-1888	29-4-88.	-	2	28 ✓						
	3rd Eng. Acct	35.	-	30-4-1888	18-2-89.	-	9	19 ✓						
	2nd Eng. Accountant Subpro tem	35	10	19-2-1889	24-5-89	.	3	6 ✓						
	2nd Eng. Accountant acting	35	9	25-5-1889	23-7-89	-	1	29 ✓						
						21	3	1	1	2	15			

on appeal to the Board of Revenue he was suspended

20

Verified from 1867
Tinnevelly Register for 1872 is missing

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF V. Gnanaprakasam 2d English Clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.											
Department Provincial	Duffterband Dy. Tahsildar's office Vilattikulam	7	..	8-2-1867	30-4-67.	-	2	23	-	-	-	Inferior Service	[illegible]	Service from 8-2-67 to 30-4-67 rendered in an appointment in inferior grades will not entitle the applicant to any gratuity under Article 436 of Civil Service Regulations. Verified from the records of the Collector's office, Tinnevelly, and the verification of the service
	5 gumasta Sub													
						21	3	1	1	2	15	29th Octr 91 to 20-12-91 was dismissed & the Board he was reinstated. Vide B. P. returned to duty on 14-7-92.	bills and annual list of Establishment.	
	2d Eng. Accountant Sub. pro tem.	35	10	24-7-1889	30-9-89.	-	2	7						
	2d Eng. Accountant acting	35	9.	1-10-1889	31-12-89	-	3	.						
	2d Eng. clerk Sub. pro tem.	35	10	1-1-1890	13-3-90	-	2	13						
	Privilege leave with full pay			14-3-1890	13-5-90	-	2	-						
	2d English clerk Sub. pro tem.	35.	10	14-5-1890	25-9-90	-	4	12						
	2d English clerk Cl. office	45.		26-9-1890	5-1-91	-	3	10						
Revenue Department Provincial	Sick leave on half pay			6-1-1891	21-5-91	-	-	-	-	4	16	‡ Retired from the 7th 96 being medically certificate received on the A.N. of 31 May 1896.	Verified with reference to pay	
	2d Eng. clerk	45		22-5-1891	25-5-91	-	-	4				has issued a Shacaus on 21-12-91: on an appeal No 4022 of 29-6-92 Rev. He		
	Sick leave on half pay			26-5-91	21-9-91	-	-	-	-	3	26			
	2d English clerk	45		22-9-1891	28-10-91	-	1	7		-	-			
	Suspension			29-10-1891	20-12-91	-	-	-	-	1	22			
	Break			21-12-1891	13-7-92					6	23			
	2d English clerk	45		14-7-1892	12-8-93	1	-	29						
	Privilege leave			13-8-1893	27-8-93	-	-	15						
	2d English clerk	45		28-8-1893	~~31~~ 28-5-96	2	9	3						
	Deduct service after Medl. Certt. from 29 to 31 May 96					"	"	"	"	-	2			
	Total					~~26~~ 26	8 5	~~11~~ 16	2	7	~~12~~ 14			

On appeal to the Board of Revenue he was suspended

2/20

Verified from 1867
[illegible] Register for 1872 is missing

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF V. Gnanaprakasam 2d English Clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly.

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.											
Department mercial	Dufterband Dy Tahsildar's office Vilattikulam	7	..	8-2-1867	30-4-67.	-	2	23	..	..	-	Inferior Service	ments	Service from 8-2-67 to 30-4-67 rendered in an appointment in inferior grades will not entitle the applicant to any gratuity under Article 436 of Civil Service Regulations. Verified from the records of the Collector's office, Tinnevelly, and the verification of the service
	5 gumastah													

1. As to character and past condu

2. Explanation of any suspension

Remarks to item 2

1. He was suspended pending enq. Rs 6-6-0 by the Head Asst Collector in 1873 for ... been charged with defrauding Government money collected on dutiable articles brought by native passengers who landed at Tuticorin. Fine was remitted by the Collector on appeal (vide copy of judgment in Case no. 3 of 1873 under Regn: IX of 1822 and in Revenue Appeal No 178 of 1873).

2. He was dismissed by the Collector in 1880 for having prepared a false document which was at variance with the verified service Register: On appeal to the Board of Revenue he was suspended for one year (vide copies of Collector's Proceedings dated 8th May 1880 and Board's Proceedings No $\frac{9106}{13.8.80}$ Mis Revenue Department.

3. He was suspended & dismissed by the Collector in 1891 for having committed gross & deliberate perjury in a theft case before the Taluk Magte of Tinnelly. On an appeal to the Board he was re-instated (vide copies of Collector's Proceedings $\frac{1110F}{21.12.92}$ & Board's Proceedings No $\frac{4023}{29.6.92}$ Mis LR).

Tinnevelly
4 June 1896 } Ed.

Dr Collector.

ex:
MN

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Indifferent

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI.) Nil.

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil.

5. Any other remarks. Retired on medical certificate on account of Incipient cataracts in both eyes.

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. The service claimed is established and should be admitted.

Collector
SIGNATURE.

Sd/- B. Dy Collector.

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Submitted to Government. In May 1880 the applicant was dismissed from the Public Service by the Head of Office for having prepared a false document; and was in consequence out of employ for a period of one year (6th May 1880 to 5 May '81). But on appeal the Board of Revenue reversed the order of dismissal, and directed that he be restored to his appointment; the period of non employment being commuted into one of suspension. Again in October 1891, the applicant was dismissed from the service by the Collector for having committed gross and deliberate perjury in a theft-case. On appeal the Board of Revenue ordered his reinstatement; but omitted to declare that his past services should count for pension in conformity with Article 461 of the Civil Service Regulations. In the absence of the declaration the period of his non employment amounting to 8 months and 15 days; (29th October 1891 to 13th July 1892) has been regarded as an interruption entailing forfeiture of his past services. Should Government, upon such conditions as it May think fit, be pleased to condone the interruption under Article 465 of the Regulations, the whole of the applicants service in Superior grades will amount to 26 years 5 months and 16 days and will entitle him to an Invalid pension not exceeding Rs 22-7-5 per mensem equal to $30/60$ths of average emoluments under Articles 485 and 518 (b) of the Regulations. But the pension admissible will be subject to reduction on account of his character and pas conduct, which from the file of papers accompanying, do not appear to have been entirely satisfactory. Vide Article 514 (b) of the

A part of the applicants' pensionable service was paid from Local Funds, and a part from Provincial Revenues. As the proportionate share of pension debitable to the former is less than one fourth, the whole amount of any pension that may be sanctioned to him should be made payable from Provincial Revenues agreeably to Article 860 of the Civil Service Regulations.

FORT S^T GEORGE,
Accountant Generals Office,
4 August 1896

No. ADJ / 252

N
5.8.96

Offg. Accountant General.

To
The Under Secretary to Government,
Pension Department.

Ex^d
M.N.

Class of ... , is ... under Article ... Service Regulations. The
days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs.

calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Calculation of Average Emoluments

From 16-9-90 to 25-9-90 at 35-0-0 = 11-10-8
" 26-9-90 to 28-10-91 at 45-0-0 = 588-2-3
" 14-7-92 to 31-5-96 at 45-0-0 = 2096-2-0

10 | 2695-14-11
6 | 269-9-5
2 | 44-14-10
22-7-5

12 @ 10 = 3-13-11
60 | 3-13-11
2 | 0-1-0
0-0-6

22-8-0
6
22-7-6 ✓

No 97

CIVIL MEDICAL FORM No. 32.

(Certificate for retirement on invalid pension or gratuity.)

CERTIFIED that I have carefully examined

V. Gnanapragasam

son of Gnanapragasam

a Second English Clerk

in the Collectors Office Tinnevelly

His age is by his own statement 54 years and by appearance about 54 years.

I consider V. Gnanapragasam

to be completely and permanently incapacitated for further service of any kind or in the Department to which he belongs in consequence of Incipient Cataract in both Eyes.

His incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.

DS *His ~~incapacity does not appear to me to have been caused by irregular or intemperate habits.~~* DS

STATION Palamcottah
Date 28.5. 1896.

Rank, Surgn Major
Name K Unnijann
Designation, Dist: Med & S. Officer Tinnevelly

NOTE.—[If the incapacity is obviously the result of intemperance, substitute for the words in italics "In my opinion his incapacity is the result of irregular or intemperate habits.]

189 6
PENSION DEPARTMENT
16
870

Statement of Case

1 Rank. Second English Clerk.
2 Name. V. Gnanaprakasam.
3 Department or office Collector's Office Tinnevelly.
4 Marks of identification *
5 Age. 54 years.
6 Service 29 years: 3 months & 18 days.
7 Sick leave granted during the Service.
4 months & 16 days on medical Certificate (6-1-91 to 21-5-91)
8 Disease or Cause of disability.
Incipient Cataract in both Eyes.
9 Abstract Statement of Case showing how the disease or disability was Contracted, the results of the treatment adopted. In case the disease or disability is believed to have been Caused by irregular or intemperate habits, the reasons for such belief should be stated.

For the past 2 years patient's vision has been gradually failing him & for the past two months it has been rapidly failing
On examination - Vision in both Eyes very defective
He is not able to read print easily and as his work is such that he has to correct proof sheets he is not able to distinguish ~~the~~ letters such as C, e, o &c
Even with glasses on

Ag. Dist. M. Officer
9/7/96 Tinnevelly

* (One black mole on left thumb & another on the left foot

TINNEVELLY ✱ TREASURY DEPUTY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
1 MAY 97

Statement showing the calculation of average emoluments.

From	To	Period	Monthly rate of pay	Amount drawn			Remarks.
		y. m. d	Rs	Rs	as	p.	His permanent pay Rs 35 is taken for calculation as he held only an acting appointment on Rs 45 during the period.
16th Septr 1890	25th Septr 90	" " 10	35	11	10	8	
26th Septr 1890	28th octr 1891	1-1-3	45	588	2	4	
14th July 1892	31st May 1896	3-10-17	45	2094	10	10	
	Total	5 " "	" "	2694	7	10	The acting appointment was not fully vacant.

His average pay comes to

Rs $\frac{2694-7-10}{60}$ = Rs 44 14 6.

As he has earned 26 years qualifying service the amount of pension is Rs 22 7 3

N.B. He was in the pensionable Local Fund Service for 3 years 1 month & 10 days including the period of suspension. As the amount of pension payable from

Local Funds is less than one fourth of the amount payable from Provincial, no distribution is made; the Provincial Revenue will bear the whole charge (vide article 860 of Civil Service Regulations).

Tinnevelly
9 June 1886 } Sd. Deputy Collector

9/6/86

6
870/12

Revenue appeal No. 178 of 1873.

This is an appeal from the decision of the Head Assistant Collector under Regulation IX of 1822

The 2nd clerk and a peon of the Sea Custom house were charged with defrauding Government of Rs 6-6-0 collected on dutiable articles brought by native passengers who landed at Tuticorin. From the evidence in the case it appears that the 2d clerk ~~and~~ was asked to collect by the very man who preferred the charges against him and the entire evidence against this 2d clerk is that of the person who asked him to help him.

This collection took place late in the evening when the clerks were going home and the 3d clerk stood by but did not offer to assist. The want of system exhibited in this case is lamentable but better arrangements will be made in future and the collection will be conducted under the supervision of the Master attendant.

There seems to be no ruled book for entries. No locked box to drop money into. No railed enclosure in which search is made and no desk or table for the officer conducting the search - all is ~~hurry~~ hurry and confusion and there is an utter absence of check - for this the superintend is partly to blame and if reform is no effected and regularity ensured he must be removed elsewhere. In the present case both defendants bear excellent characters while the same cannot be said of all the witnesses for the prosecution. The sole evidence against the defendants as mentioned above is that of the weigher a subordinate officer who should not have been employed on this duty and though the Head Assistant Collector has accepted this evidence after due consideration and has based his decision thereon, the Collector is inclined to give the defendants the benefit of the doubt and remit the fines imposed.

The 2d Clerk will revert to his post of acting Local Fund Clerk and the peon will be provided with a post at one of the salt pans by the Salt Charge Deputy Collector.

The 3rd Clerk has been reduced time after time for misconduct and should not be retained in so important a post as ~~he~~ he now holds. The Head Assistant Collector will arrange for his transfer to a Salt pan or to one of the Taluks.

1873 October 11. (Signed) R. K. Puckle
Collector

6
11
870

(True copy)

Exd.
H.R.

Dy Collector

Proceedings of the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 8th May 1880.

Read letter from the Treasury Deputy Collector to the Collector No 13 dated 5th January 1877.

" Letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly to Board No 128 dated 5th March 1880

" Comparative statement of services of Barthasarathy Iyengar and Narasimma Sastry referred to in the above letter

" Service registers of the above two officers

" Statements taken from Gnanapragasam Chidambaram Pillai. Seshachalam Chetty Jaganadha Nambi. Gopalasami Chetty and Narasimma Sastry

Order

On the 8th August last, Barthasarathy Iyengar being at the time absent on leave, Mr Gibson asked that Narasimma Sastry might be sent to act as Subdivision Sheristadar temporarily, without prejudice to the claims of any one to permanency.

On the 12th November Barthasarathy Iyengar wrote to Mr Gibson setting forth his claims Mr Gibson forwarded this to the Collector on the 16th idem with an endorsement that he thought Barthasarathy Iyengar the best qualified of the three or four candidates eligible

On the 21st November Mr Pennington replied "the undersigned has no objection to the appointment of Barthasarathy Iyengar as Subdivision Sheristadar but the appointment is not permanently vacant yet"

On the 8th December Mr Gibson seemingly under the impression that the post was about to become permanently vacant, wrote to nominate Barthasarathy Iyengar for the post, stating that he believed him to be "on the whole slightly senior in total length of Service in all grades together to the only other likely Candidate Narasimma Sastri" On receipt of this letter Mr Penington wrote on it "Let me have a Comparative Statement (in parallel columns) of their Services"

A Comparative Statement was then prepared of their services up to 1st December 1879; that Statement is a false one - it is at variance with the Service Registers from which it purports to be Compiled; instead of Showing Barthasarathy Iyengar to be on the whole Slightly Senior as Stated by Mr Gibson, it Showed Narasimma Sastry to be the Senior of the two in qualifying Service.

On the 5th March 1880 this Comparative Statement with the additional Service up to the 1st March entered in it was forwarded to the Board of Revenue with the Sub-Collectors nomination of Barthasarathy Iyengar and Mr Penington Stated that he Considered Narasimma Sastry more suited to the office "with reference to their respective Service list as Shewn in the enclosed Comparative Service"

This comparative Statement, which was relied upon as Showing the Superior claim of Narasimma Sastry credited him with "Seniority in qualifying Service"

It may be held that the point of Seniority was immaterial, and that Mr Penington was referring to the Several occasions in which Narasimma Sastry had temporarily officiated in higher appointments on accidental vacancies; but it is an incontestable fact that Seniority, no matter how slight, was not thought in the district to be immaterial, for Mr Gibson considered it worth mentioning among other things Barthasarathy Iyengar pressed it in his application of the 12th November, and three years ago there was a similar tussle for seniority between these two men; at the instance of Mr Davies, the Head Assistant Collector, the Treasury Deputy Collector was directed to enquire into the matter and he wrote letter no 13 of the 5th January 1877 showing Barthasarathy Iyengar to be the Senior in qualifying service by three months and fourteen days - now this letter was not lost sight of; it was quoted by Barthasarathy Iyengar in his application of the 12th November: the fair copy of the letter filed in the Collector's office

is in the handwriting of Gnanapragasam the accountant, who prepared this false Comparative Statement; which is the subject of the present enquiry. So it was impossi for Gnanapragasam to deny knowledge of this letter and he does not attempt to do so; on the contrary he says that he took this letter, and a Service Register Certified by Mr Rice to be Correct to the English Head Clerk Chedambaram Pillai. The Service Register shewed that Barthasarathy Iyengar's permanent service Commenced on the 1st September 1867 and Mr Locke's letter Confirmed this; nevertheless a statement was prepared showing that his qualifying service Commenced from 1st July 1868 only, and the deduction of these nine months, without any reference to the Treasury Dy Collector or even to a superior accountant, in defiance of his certified service register and of Mr Locke's recorded opinion had the result of robbing Barthasarathy Iyengar of the coveted and contested Seniority and of transferring it to Narasimma Sastry

The idea of accident is precluded. the correct information was actually in the hands of the accountant and he does not deny it, he might at once have prepared the Statement from the verified Service list in his hand; it was no business of his to correct a Service register and to put Mr Locke's decision to the test, but he says the English Head Clerk told him to compare the Service register with the pay abstract and to make out a detailed list

There is one entry of "Acting" after the 1st of September; although in the pay abstract for September the word "Acting" is not entered, it is entered in october and the November entry shows Barthasarathy Iyengar to have been acting but

10th English Accountant up to 19th November and 12th English Accountant from the 19th November.

In May. Barthasarathy Iyengar was acting as 8th vernacular Accountant but he retained his permanent post as 12th English Accountant

Whatever cause there may be for doubt about the Service between Septr 1st and November 19th, owing to the word "Acting" being in the abstract for october - it is as clear as the noonday, without a shadow of doubt, that Barthasarathy Iyengar got a confirmed appointment which he never lost on the 19th November 1867, and that his qualifying Service reckons from that date at all events: this leaves the Seniority with him by a few days.

Then what reason was there for Gnanapragasam preparing the return which showed that his Service commenced from the 1st July ~~1878~~ 1868 only 2

Certainly none in the pay abstracts. Gnanapragasam though he refrains from Stating it in express terms, intimates that he was instigated to do this by the English Head Clerk Chidambaram Pillai; he says that he showed the English Head Clerk the Service Register and Mr Locke's letter, and that the English Head Clerk told him to go behind the Service register and to Compare it with the pay abstract. Were this true, the English Head Clerk would, in my opinion, be Responsible for the frauds but Gnanapragasam goes on to say that the English Head Clerk & he together Compared the Service register with the pay abstract, and this independentally of the English Head Clerk's denial, I Regard as ~~a~~ an obvious and unqualified falsehood. It is in the highest degree unlikely that he would take the trouble to do so if he wanted a true return or that he would implicate himself by doing so if he wanted a false one. I accept

as true the English Head Clerk's version that he merely told Gnanapragasam to prepare the return, which he accepted as a Correct extract from the register and then Required Seshachalam Chetty to check the entries in the extract to see that the calculations were Correct without Comparing it with the register. It appears almost incredible that both the English Head Clerk and the 2d English clerk should have forgotten Mr Locke's letter on the subject, and the more so because the letter was written with reference to their rival claims for the English Head Clerkship which Chidambaram Pillai obtained.

It is greatly in the favor of the English Head Clerk that in the draft of the letter of the 5th March 1880 to the Board which he prepared in accordance with Mr Pennington's instructions no mention was made of this Comparative Statement; the Sentence referring to it was added to the draft by Mr Pennington when it was taken to him for approval

? Surely it wd be desirable for a conspirator to keep the existence of the false comparative statemt as much in the background as possible. F not by a clerk of the English Department according to the custom but by Narasimma Sastri who was interested in the appointment.

Suspicion cannot fail to attach itself to Narasimma Sastry as the false return was prepared in his interest; grave suspicion also attaches itself to him in connection with the removal from the Subdivision Records of Mr Penington's docket of the 21st November last saying that he had no objection to the appointment of Parthasarathy Iyengar; there was ample evidence forthcoming in the subdivision office that he retained it for months in his blotter without sending it for record and his explanation is that on receiving he found it among his papers and gave to the English clerk Jagganadha nambi; but this clerk denies having received it. The points against Narasimma Sastri are:—

(1) That he kept it from the file for more than 2 months

(2) That the clerk to whom he avers he gave it denies having received it

3 That he is the only person who could benefit by its removal.

He plausibly ~~appear~~ argues that he could gain nothing by its removal as so many knew of its existence; but the answer to this is that he did actually gain by it, for I, as Sub collector, forwarded Partha-sarathy Iyengar's nomination without knowing that only 3 months previously Mr Penington had written that he had no objection to his appointment.

The nomination therefore was laid before the Board for orders.

(1) With a false ~~Statement~~ return showing Narasimma Sastry to be the senior in qualifying service

(2) Without a letter giving the strongest possible recommendation Parthasarathy Iyengar could have viz— a letter from the Collector who had the right of veto stating that he had no objection to his appointment

I have never seen anything to equal the effrontery exhibited by Gnanapragasam during my enquiry: when he came to explain he contended that the Comparative Statement was Correct; and that ~~Seniority~~ the Seniority was entered in accordance with Mr. Locke's decision; when I said that on the Contrary in Mr. Locke's letter which was before me I saw Parthasarathy Iyengar was the Senior even then, with my hand on the entry in the letter he told me I was wrong. and that the entry which I was reading was about Narasimma Sastry I then placed the book in his hand and showed him Parthasarathy Iyengar's name written over the entry; the fraud was plainly apparent: he immediately fainted and on a sudden dropped heavily on to the floor.

The conclusion that I arrive at is:- that the English Head clerk is highly to blame for accepting from an inferior accountant what purported to be an extract from a Service register without taking any step to have it verified Chidambaram Pillai is acquitted of any complicity in the preparation of the false return" but he is censured for a negligent and perfunctory performance of his duty.

I find that Gnanaprakasam wilfully prepared a false document, which was at variance with the verified Service Register from which it purported to be compiled, that he gave it to the English Head Clerk for Submission in due course to the Board of Revenue with the knowledge of its falsity in the hope of benefitting Narasimma Sastry in his competition with Parthasarathy Iyengar for the post of Subdivision Sheristadar. I decide that he has falsely accused the English Head clerk Chidambaram Pillai of giving him irregular instructions which led to his going behind the Service Register and of personally assisting him in the preparation of the false return; and I the Service

direct that Gnanaprakasam be dis-
missed from the Public Service

Tinnevelly (True copy) (Sd) A. L. Lister
8-5-1880. Ag Collector

Dy Collector

Compd
B
4696

No. 1252. Revenue Department

Mis No. 9106

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Dated 19th August 1880.

Read letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 13th July 1880 No. 421 submitting the report called for in Boards petition No. 1028 of 1880 presented by Gnanaprakasam

As recommended by the Collector the Board resolve to reduce the punishment awarded to V. Gnanaprakasam to one of suspension for one year. During this period Narasimhasastri will be debarred from all promotion for his misconduct in omitting to bring the Collector's docket upon the file of the Sub Collr's office.

(True extract)
(Signed) E. Gibson
Acting Sub Secretary

(True copy)

Dy Collector

B
4696

6
9
870

Proceedings of the Collector of Tinnevelly
dated 28th October 1891.

Read judgment in Calendar Case No. 74 of 1891 on the file of the Taluk Magistrate of Tinnevelly in which Gnanaprakasam 2d English Clerk Collector's office and 4th Court witness in the case is charged with having perjured himself.

Read also written explanation given by V. Gnanaprakasam 2d English Clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly dated 28th October 1891.

Order

V. Gnanaprakasam is suspended till further orders.

(Signed) G. S. Forbes
Collector

(True copy)

E.W.L.
Dy Collector

7.12.96

No 108

Proceedings of the Collector of Tinnevelly
Dated the 21st December 1891

In his judgment in Calendar case No 74 of 1891, the Taluk Magistrate of Tinnevelly recorded the opinion that V. Gnanaprakasam second clerk in this office and performing the responsible duty of accountant in charge of Pension registers had, as a witness in the case, committed gross and deliberate perjury.

I therefore directed the Treasury Dy Collector, under whom he was working, to favor me with his remarks on the clerk's conduct. It was then brought to my notice that he had been in trouble on two previous occasions, on one of which he was suspended by the Board of Revenue for a year.

On the 24th October, Gnanaprakasam 2
was called upon to explain the circumstances of the alleged perjury. He submitted a written 95
explanation on the 28th idem, which I did not consider satisfactory. I accordingly directed his suspension pending further enquiry and the result of the appeal if any, should be taken from the Taluk Magistrate's judgment.

An appeal was taken which the Divisional Dy Magistrate dismissed, being of the same opinion as the Lower Court that the evidence of the witness, Gnanaprakasam, on which the case mainly depended was perjured and false.

On the 6th November I ordered Gnanaprakasam to show cause why he should not be dismissed from the Public Service. His explanation was not received till the 4th December, and on the 21st December, he appeared personally before me to urge his case.

2. Having considered the papers connected with the case, the explanations filed by Gnanaprakasam, and heard what he has to say in his own behalf, and having regard to his previous character I have come to the conclusion that dismissal is the only appropriate termination of the matter.

3. The nature of the perjury is described in the following extract from the Taluk Magistrate's Judgment. The Criminal charge at the trial of which Gnanaprakasam appeared as a witness for the defence related to the theft of a box from the Railway Station and his evidence was in support of the prisoner's plea of alibi. The Second class Magistrate writes:-

"10. The 4th Court witness, Gnanaprakasam, accountant, Collector's office, states that the Defendant was engaged on the day of offence from 5 P.M. to 11½ P.M. in decorating a pandal in his house erected on account of his son's marriage which was to take place the next day."

"11. I do not believe a word of this witness' statement. I am of opinion that he has grossly perjured himself. As soon as the defendant was arrested and remanded this witness came to me once or twice and requested that he should be let on bail stating that the Police unjustly charged this boy who had gone on the night of offence to the Railway Station to engage 4 or 5 carts and bring down things expected by the train for the marriage of his son which was to take place the next day. I told him it was not my habit to let men on warrant cases on bail and that he ought not to tell me anything about a case to come up before me. It will be seen from the evidence of this witness and the way in which he was questioned by the 1st witness that he told that witness the same story that he told me and that he even went the length of asking 1st witness to induce his son, 2nd witness to say that he was not certain that the defendant was the person who got the box but that he looked like him. All this shows what amount of interest this witness took in the defendant. I believe that, knowing that he would be questioned on these points, that he arranged to have his evidence dispensed with and went away before the date of hearing, although summonses were personally served upon him in my presence. He was prosecuted for disobedience of summons but unfortunately he was discharged by the Sheristadar Magistrate ng to a legal quibble - viz. that in the duplicate summons the place where Defendant was to have attended, was by mistake entered as ஸ்ரீவை, a contraction of ஸ்ரீவைகுண்டம், instead of the full name itself. I had some difficulty in securing his attendance".

4. The Divisional Magistrate in dismissing the appeal, remarks:-

"5. The 4th Court witness, an Accountant of the Collector's office was first called by the appellant amongst others, and dispensed with afterwards. The Lower Court examined him. He is the father of the overseer and he swears like the defence witness that Appellant was engaged in his house till 11 and he did not go out till 12. If the witnesses' statements be true the evidence for the prosecution pointing to the Appellant as the thief must be false. I have to consider which evidence I am to believe"

+ + + + + + "

"6. I must therefore treat the ~~appellts~~ evidence as to the appellant's *alibi* as false and confirm the sentence and dismiss the appeal".

5. The defence is merely in the first place a denial of the perjury, and secondly an allegation that the man who was the Complainant in the magisterial prosecution was his inveterate enemy and strangely enough mixed up in the proceedings taken against him (Gnanaprakasam) in 1873. This latter allegation tells, however, entirely against Gnanaprakasam himself. The Complainant, however hostile, could not

---

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.
(Land Revenue)
Dated 29th June 1892.
No: 4023 Mis:

The Honorable Mr: H. W. Bliss
Mr: E. Gibson.

Read letter from the Collector of Tinnevelly dated 26th March 1892, No: 230.

& also petition from V. Gananaprakasam late Second English Clerk, Collector's Office Tinnevelly, No: 2 Langherkhana street Palamcottah, dated 9th March 1892, with Collector's endorsement thereon dated 15th May 1892.

Resolution:-

1. The appellant in this case was the Second English Clerk in the office of the Collector of Tinnevelly. He has been dismissed by the Collector because:-

(a). the Taluq Magistrate recorded in a theft case (Calendar case No: 74 of 1891 ie that the petitioner perjured himself by swearing that the prisoner was at inducement to perjure himself.

On the whole the Board is not satisfied that the prisoner's conviction was correct and considers that the appellant who has not been tried and convicted of perjury should be reinstated. He will not however be allowed arrears of pay from the date of his suspension by the Collector. The Board has no doubt that he uttered a falsehood about the summons being made out for October 5". This falsehood of his and his previous bad character no doubt influenced the Collector's decision so that petitioner is himself largely responsible for his suspension and subsequent dismissal. Moreover the case of perjury against him is not free from doubt.

(True Extract)

(Signed) M. Adinarayana Iyah
for Ag: Secretary

(True Copy)

E.W.S. Compd 4.6.96

Dy Collector

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
Land Revenue
Dated 11th May 1896.
No. 2167 Mis.

Read – letter from A. W. B. Higgins Esq: Collector of Tinnevelly Cur No. 222 A.B.G dated 28 April 1896.

Resolution. Article 461 Civil Service Regulations, which is quoted by the Collector is permissive and does not require that a finding as to an officer's past service should invariably be recorded. Board's Proceedings No. 4023 dated 29 June 1892 is silent on the point and the matter must be brought to notice when Gnanaprakasam's pension application is submitted. This application may be drawn out on the assumption that the past service was not forfeited.

2. The Collector's attention is drawn to Article 99 Civil Service Regulations.

(True extract)
(Signed) R. C. C. Carr
Ag. Secretary.

To the Collector of Tinnevelly

(True copy)

Compd 13/4.6.96

Dy Collector

6
5
870

Judgement

In this Case Ganaprakasam the 2nd writer in the Sea Customs office and Syed Ausar one of the peons in the same office are charged with embezzling some of the Collections made on dutiable articles brought from Ceylon by the passengers who landed from the Steamer Bagdad on the 19th July 1873. —

The passengers landed late in the afternoon just as the office was about to close and there was some hurry and confusion in making the Collection.

The weigher Motha Gomez was first on the Spot and he began to Collect; the 3rd Clerk Narasimalu Naidoo was standing by at the time and talking to one of his friends just then the Second clerk Ganaprakasam

Came down~~stand~~ stairs to go home and
Motha and the 2d clk asked him to assist
in Collections.

This he did and when the
passengers had all paid up & gone
away Motha dictated to Ganaprakasam the sums which he had Collected.
And these Ganaprakasam wrote
down with pencil on the back of
a letter. He then added the sums
which he himself had Collected
and afterward went up stairs
with Syed Ansar the peon who held
the Collection to write out the list
fair.

There he made a fair Copy
of the list which Showed that Collection
amounting altogether to Rs 8-8-0
had been made from six persons
The List was then given over to Syed
Ansar who produced it in the Office
and paid the R. 8-8-0 on Monday
and this amount was credited.

Motha Gomez the weigher
then Came forward on Monday
and informed the Superintendent
that there was some cheating, for
he himself had Collected Rs 9-6-0
and had dictated this amount
to be written down by Ganaprakasam on the back of the letter.

Syed Ansar he states
held the whole of the Rs 9-6-
and also received from another
peon Katamuthu the sums
which he had Collected under the
orders of Ganaprakasam.

Ganaprakasam in
his Statement says that he
himself Collected Rs 5-8-0 and
that instead of dictating Rs 9-6

to be written down. Motha had only dictated R3 and that therefore as far as he is concerned the list which he made out is all correct.

If the statements of Motha and Ganapookasam are both correct R14·14·0 in all was collected and there is a difficiency of Rs 6-6-0 to be accounted for and it is with embezzling this amount that the two defendants are now charged.

Motha and the 3rd clerk state that before Ganaprakasam and Syed Ausan went upstairs all the other peons paid over the money which they had collected to Syed Ousai and that Ganaprakasam & Syed Ausai went up alone.

Ganaprakasam however

and Syed Ousai state that Kattamuthu accompanied them upstairs and brought up what he had collected which amounted, they say, to Rs 4-0-0

Kattamuthoo declares that he did not go upstairs with them and that he only collected Rs 1-12-0 which he gave over to Syed Ousai at once.

There are other descrepancies too with regard to the amounts collected and neither Motha nor Ganaprakasam are corroborated by the peons in the particulars which they give.

One thing however I am satisfied of and that is that Ganaprakasam and Syed Ausai went up alone with the money and that there the former drew up a list showing that Rs 8-8-0 only had been collected - We have to decide now whether a larger amount had been collected for if so Ganaprakasam and Syed Omar are jointly responsible for the sum not credited.

Ganaprakasam himself states that he collected Rs 5-8-0 so that we have to decide whether Motha collected Rs 3 only or Rs 9-6-0 as he himself states. The probabilities are in favour of his having collected the larger sum for he began to collect from the passengers before Ganaprakasam, this however is by no means a certainty for it is not every passenger that has dutiable articles in his bundle.

We come therefore

to Motha's oath that he collected Rs 9-6 and dictated the amount to be credited in the list by Ganaprakasam.

Ganaprakasam adduces evidence to show that he has had quarrels with Motha about the division of fees collected on Sunday & Holidays and states that the whole office including the Superintendant is prejudiced against him because he is a Christian and they would be glad to see him get into trouble.

This charge he states is the result of a plot by Motha and the 2d clerk and the ~~depositer~~ deposition of the former is a deliberate & malicious falsehood. He argues that with open enemies around him he would not be so foolish as to give them an opportunity to catch him tripping.

Syed Ansai the peon adheres to his statement that he only collected R 4-8-0 for Motha and that he took up this sum and that the peon Kattamuthu brought up R 4-0-0. He says it is very hard that he should be dragged in a case which arises out of ~~his~~ disputes between the clerks.

It is possible of course that Motha's deposition on which this case turns may be wilfully false but I don't think that the probabilities in favour of such a conclusion are strong enough to justify me in giving the defendants the benefit of the doubt.

I therefore repeat my conviction that on the 19th July a larger sum was collected from the passengers than R 8.8.0 which was the sum credited & I must hold Ganaprakasam and Syed Ansai responsible for the amount.

Both these men have hitherto borne exceedingly good characters and I regret extremely that I am unable to acquit them in this case.

Finding:- The Court finds Ganaprakasam & Syed Ansai guilty of the offence specified in the charge viz that they have embezzled Rs 6-6-0 of the public money and direct under clause 1 section 5 Reg IX of 1822 that Ganaprakasam do pay a fine of R 6-6-0 and that Syed Ansai do pay a fine of Rs 3-3-0.

(Signed) G. E. Bird
Ag. Head Assistant Collector
1.9.73

(True Copy)

Ag. Sub Collector
4.6.96

1405 mis
Acc 96

TREASURY DEPUTY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
JUN 96
TINNEVELLY

Copy of Judgment in Case no 3 of 1873 under Regulation IX of 1822.

N

Forwarded to the Treasury Dy Collector with reference to his Ref. A. on current no. 1405 mis/96 d/ 20.5.96

Ag. Sub collector
4.6.96

2.6.

6
4
870

List of [illegible] Documents [illegible] the pension application of V. Gnanaprakasam. 2d English Clerk Collector's office – Tinnevelly.

1. Pension application ——— 1.
2. Medical Certificate ——— 1.
3. Last pay Certificate ——— 1.
4. Service register ——— 1.
5. Memo. showing Calculation of pension ——— 1.
6. Copy of Collector's Proceedings in Rev: Appeal No. 178/1873 — 1.
7. Copy of Collector's Proceedings dated 8th May 1880 ——— 1.
8. Copy of Board's Proceedings Mis No. 9106/19.8.80 ——— 1.
9. Copy of Collector's Proceedings dated 28th October 1891 — 1.
10. Copy of Collector's Proceedings dated 21 December 1891 — 1
11. Copy of Board's Proceedings No. 4023/29.6.92 Mis ——— 1.
12. Copy of Board's Proceedings No. 2167/11.5.96 Mis ——— 1.
13. Copy of Judgment in Case no 3 of 1873 under Regn IX of 1822 — 1

13

Tinnevelly
9th June 1896

Deputy Collector

9/6/96

4 JUL 96

1 195

From
The Collector of
Tinnevelly

To
The Accountant General
Fort Saint George

167

Dated 11 }
Despd } June 1896.

Ref Bo mem No. 1404 Mis / Acc of 96
Enc. 14

ector

Forwarding the pension application
Gnanaprakasam 2nd
lish Clerk Collector's Office
velly.

P. 600—9-5-96.

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

4 JUL 96

No. A.D.K. / 173

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
1st ~~June~~ July 1896.

32

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Collector of Tinnevelly.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension ~~gratuity~~ of Palamcottah V. Gnanapragasam, late 2nd English clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Chief superintendent ~~Assistant Accountant-General~~.

1. Attention is drawn to No. 12(a) of the printed Memo. of Instructions from this office and to no. 3 of the instructions regarding the applicants' initial V.

2. The medical certificate should contain information as to whether the applicants' incapacity is or is not the result of his irregular or intemperate habits. The omission should be supplied.

3. The signature of the medical officer is wanting in the statement of the medical case. The omission should be supplied.

R 3016

PENSION DEPARTMENT
6
1
870

3489

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
16 JUL 96
MADRAS

Treasury Deputy Collector's Office
Tinnevelly dated 13th July 1896

From

M. R. Ry. V. Subbaiyyar Avergal
Treasury Deputy Collector
Tinnevelly

To

The Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. $\frac{\text{A.D.K}}{173}$ dated 1st July 1896 pointing out certain defects in the pension application of V. Gnanaprakasam late 2d English Clerk Collector's Office Tinnevelly, I have the honour to state that the medical certificate declaring him unfit for further service was received only on the afternoon of 31st May 1896 though dated the 28th Idem. As the result of the medical examination was not known, he was retained in the service till the receipt of the certificate. He was therefore ordered to retire from the

1st

From
The Treasury Dy Collector
Tinnevelly

To
The Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Dated 13th }
Desp'd } July 1886

[illegible] No. ~~1404~~ [illegible]

Enclosures 15

Subject

Returning the pension application of V. Gnanaprakasam late 2nd English clerk Collector's office Tinnevelly with the missions pointed out duly supplied

6
2
870

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age. Years	Age. Ms.	Age. Days.	Exact height. Feet.	Exact height. Ins.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the head of the Office.
Vedamanikam Gnanapragasam	Protestant Christian	Palamcottah	Gnanapragasam	Palamcottah	7th February 1842	31	"	"	5	"	One black mole on the left thumb finger and another on the left foot	7. Feb. 73	V. Gnanapragasam	
						36	—	—	5	"	do do	7. Feb. 78	V. Gnanapragasam	C. Kuhn[?] Ellis Actg Collr
						41	—	—	5	—	do do	7. Feb. 83	V. Gnanaprakasam	
						46	—	—	5	—	do do	7. Feb. 88	V. Gnanaprakasam	
						51	—	—	5	—	do do	7. Feb. 93	V. Gnanaprakasam	Dy Collector
						54			5		one black mole on left thumb and another on the left foot	1. 5. 96	V. Gnanaprakasam	Dy Collector

THE ENTRIES IN THESE COLUMNS ARE TO BE REATTESTED EVERY FIVE YEARS; EACH ATTESTATION SHOULD BE CAREFULLY RULED OFF THE DATE MAY BE CL DOWN

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
Duftercund, at the Dy Tahsildar's Office Ottatikulam	Permanent	"	7	—	8th Feb 1867	V. Gnanapragasam	Dy Collector	30th April 1867	Promotion	
5th Gumastah. Ottapidaram Taluq	Do	"	15	"	1st May 1867			31st May 1868	Transfer	
Do Palamcottah Dy Tahr's Office	Do	"	15	"	1 June 68			31 May 71	Transfer	
5th Vernacular Acctt Huzur	Do	"	15		1st Ap. 71			1st Aug 71	Promotion	
Local Fund 2d Clerk Huzur	Do	"	20		2 Augt 1871			27.7.73 ~~17 May 1872~~	Suspension ~~Transfer~~	
~~Ordered to do duty in the H. Asst Collector's Office~~ ~~as 3d Class Rev. Inspector Srivaikuntam Taluq~~	~~Permanent~~ ~~Acting~~	~~Local Fd 2d Clerk~~	~~20~~	"	~~10 May 72~~			23 Feb 73	Transfer	
~~Lt 2d Clerk Huzur to do duty in the H. Asst Collector's Office~~	~~Permanent~~ Suspended pending Enquiry	"	~~20~~	"	~~24 Feb 1873~~ 28-7-73			~~27 July 73~~ 12 Oct 73	~~Transfer~~ Reinstated with back pay	
Local Fund 2d Clerk Huzur	Do	"	20	.	13th Octr 1873	V.G.		11 Sep. 74	Transfer	
6th Eng Accountant Do	Do	"	20	"	12 Sep. 74	V.G.		30th Sep. 77	Promotion	
Famine Clerk under the Famine Office at Ottapidaram Tq	Temporary	6th Eng. Acctt Huzur	20	10	1st Octr 1877	V.G.		12th Novr 1877	Transfer	
6th Eng. Acctt Huzur	Permanent	"	20	"	13 Novr 77	V.G.		28 Feb. 78	Promotion	
Clerk to Audit Famine Accounts	Temporary	6th Eng Acctt Huzur	20	5	1st March 1878	V.G.		20th Augt 78	Abolition of the Estt	
6th Eng Acctt Huzur	Permanent	"	20	"	21st Aug 78	V.G.		16 May 79	Promotion	
5th Eng Accountant	Acting	6th Eng Acctt Huz	20	5	17 May 1879	V.G.		30 June 79	Return of permanent incumbent	
6th Eng. Accountant	Permanent	"	20	"	1 July 79	V.G.		2 Novr 79	Promotion	
5th Eng Accountant Suspension	Permanent	"	25	"	3 Novr 79 6.5.80	V.G.		5.5.80 ~~4.5.1880~~ for 1 year 5.5.81	Suspension up to 5.5.81 Suspension	
5th Eng Accountant	Permanent	"	25	"	6th May 81	V.G.		12th May 1882	Promotion	
Sea Borne Trade Office Asst Clerk	—	5th Eng. Acctt Huz	25	7	13 May 1882	V.G.		11th July 1882	Return of permanent incumbent	

8

Character of Officer.

No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.

Puthiamputhur
15th January 1867.

The bearer Vethamanikam Gnanapragasam has asked me for a testimonial as to character and ability which I willingly give him as I have known him for many years. He was educated at Sawyerpuram and has been for a long time connected with the Mission. He is well educated, speaks English with considerable fluency, writes it well and is a good translator. He is painstaking and will endeavour to please his employers. He is honest and industrious. He leaves me of his own accord in order to seek for a more lucrative employment and I wish him every success. I should add that he has passed the Government Examination.

(Signed) James. F. Kearns.
Missionary S.P.G.

This is to certify that V. Gnanaprag asam has been a Gumastah in my Taluq & served under me for about 11 months. He is very attentive to his work & gave me entire satisfaction in the discharge of his duties, he bears good conduct, I shall be glad to see him promoted in the service.

(Sd) D. Narayanaswami Mudr
Tahr of Ottapidaram.

Gnanaprag asam, I was quite pleased with you when I was Sub Magistrate & Dy Tahr of Palamcottah. You possess qualification superior to several Taluq Gumastahs; and I should like to see you get on well

(Sd) G. V. Chinnatambi Pillai
Dy Tahr of Tinnevelly

V. Gnanapragasam was my Gumastah while in charge of the Sub Magistrate's office of Palamcottah from December 1868 to April 1870.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
5th Eng. Accountant Huzur	Permanent	–	25	–	13th July 1882	Vlg.		4 Novr 82	Promotion	GH
4th Eng. Acct Do	Permanent	–	30	✓	5 Novr 1882	Vlg.		1st March 1883	Promotion	GH
3rd Eng. Acct Do	Acting	4th Eng. Acct	30	5	2nd March 1883	Vlg.		30th June 1883	Return of permanent incumbent	GH
4th Eng Acct Do	Permanent	–	30	–	1st July 1883	Vlg.		31st March 1884	Promotion	GH
3rd Ver. Clerk Do	Sub pro tem	4th Eng. Acct	30	5	1st April 1884	Vlg.		31-12-84	Change in appointment	GH
Do ~~Do~~	~~acting~~	Do	30	5	1-1-85			6-1-85	Leave	
	Privilege leave		30	5	7-1-85	Vlg.		6-2-85	Returned from Privilege leave	GH
3rd Vernacular clerk	Acting	4 Eng Acct	30	5	7-2-85		R. A[illegible] Dy Collector	9.4.85	Change in appointment	
3rd Ver. Clerk Huzur	Sub pro tem	Do	30	5	10-4-85	Vlg.		30-4-85		GH
~~Do Do~~	Acting	Do	30	5	1-5-85			31-8-85	Confirmed respectively from 1st May 1885.	
~~Do Do~~	Permanent	Do	35	–	1-9-85			4-7-86	Leave	
Privilege leave			35		5th July 1886	Vlg.		25th July 1886	Returned from lee	
3rd Ver. Clerk Huzur	Permanent	–	35	–	26th July 1886	Vlg.		17 January 1887	Promotion	Ch
2nd Eng. Clerk Huzur	Acting	3rd Ver. Clerk	35	9	18th January 1887	Vlg.		4th April 1887	Promotion	Ch
2nd Eng. Accountant Do	Sub pro tem	Do	35	10	5th April 1887	Vlg.		9 May 1887	Do	Ch
2nd Eng. Accountant Do	Acting	Do	35	9	10th May 1887	Vlg.		15th June 1887	Do	Ch
2nd Eng Accountant	Sub pro tem	Do	35	10	16th June 1887	Vlg.		6th July 1887	Return of [illegible]	Ch
3rd Vernacular Clerk	Permanent	–	35		7th July 1887	Vlg	Deputy Collector	20 July 1887	Promotion	Ch
Vernacular Hd Acct	Acting	3rd Ver Clerk	35	9	21 July 1887	Vlg		20th Augt 1887	Return of permanent incumbent	Ch
3rd Ver. Clerk	Permanent	–	35		21st Aug 1887	Vlg		4 Novr 1887	Transfer	Ch
3rd Eng Accountant	Permanent		35	✓	5 Nov 1887	Vlg		1st Feby 1888	Proceeded on privilege leave	Ch
Privilege leave			35		2nd Feby 1888	Vlg		29th April 1888	Returned from Do	Ch
3rd Eng. Accountant	Do	–	35		30th April 1888	Vlg		18 Feb 89	Promotion	Ch

8

Character of Officer.

No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.

I always found him active, punctual and thorough in his work. He knows both Revenue and Magisterial business & is a quick and good writer of both English and Tamil. A best Accountant. He is hardworking straight forward & of a respectful behaviour. Knows English & has the advantage of being a passed candidate which with the practical knowledge he has whether Revenue or Magisterial renders him a good public servant; and I shall be happy to hear of his promotion which he really deserves.

Palamcottah 28th April 1870 } (Sd) C Anantanaraniah
Sub Magistrate.

True Translation of the Extract from Arzi dated 8th April 1871 by Shaik Ahamed Sahib Sub Magte of Palamcottah to the Collector, Tinnevelly.

× × ×

Gnanaprakasam has good experience in his duties as Sub Magistrate Gumastah and knows English; and especially in this town Palamcottah where Military force is stationed his services are indispensably needed to supply the required Bandies, Palankees, bearers &c for gentlemen & to make English correspondence as well as to prepare the accounts in English and he is so able as to perform almost the whole of the duties of this office during my absence on circuit.

× × ×

(Sd) Shaik Ahamed
Dy Tahsildar.

Gnanaprakasam! I have much pleasure in certifying that I have known you for the last seven months, during which time I have found you hardworking, obedient & willing to work, double tides if required;

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
2d Eng. [illegible] Accountant Huzur	Sub Pro tem	3d Eng. Acct.	35 -	10 -	19 Feb 1889	VG
Do Do	Acting	Do	35 -	9 -	25.6.89	VG
Do Do	Sub Protem	Do	35 -	10 -	24.7.89	VG
~~Do Do~~	Acting	~~Do~~	35 -	9	1-10-89	
2d Eng. Clerk do.	Sub Protem	do	35 -	10 -	1.1.90	VG
Privilege leave			35	10 -	14.3.90	VG
2d Eng. Clerk Do	Sub protem	Do	35 -	10 -	14.5.90	VG
Do Do	Permanent	..	45 -	..	26.Sep.90	VG
Leave on medical certificate			22-8-	..	6-1-91	VG
2d Eng. Clerk Do	Permanent	..	45 -		22.5.91	VG
[illegible]			22-8-		26-5-91	VG
2d Eng. Clerk Do	Permanent		45 -		22.Sep.91	VG
		Suspension			29.10.91	VG

V. Gnanapragasam who was under suspension from 29.10.91 was dismissed on 21.12.91. On an appeal to the Board he was reinstated to his post. Vide Board's Pro. No. $\frac{4023}{29.6.92}$ Mis. He returned to duty from the period of his dismissal on 14th July 92.

Was fined 4 annas by the Collector in October 1892

2nd Eng. Clerk Huzur	Permanent		45		14.7.92	VG
Privilege leave					13.8.93	VG
— do —	permanent		45		28.8.93	VG

Verified upto 31 May 1896

[illegible] Collector

9/6/96

---

8 Character of Officer.

No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.

particularly neat in your work & well versed in the technicalities of an office and I will always be glad to hear of your future success.

26. March 1878 (Sd) Walter J. Collis.
Famine Officer.

V. Gnanapragasam is a diligent & accurate accountant perfectly familiar with his work. He gave me full satisfaction in the discharge of his work.

Tinnevelly 25 Sept. 1882 (Sd) K. Ramaswami Sastri
Ag. Dy. Collector.

A useful painstaking clerk deserving of promotion.

27-6-83 (Sd) J. B. Pennington
Collector

Was fined 4 annas by the Collector [illegible] August 1883

He is a very useful accountant in the Account Dept. Employed in preparing pay abstracts, pension registers & other important work. He is very accurate in everything he does.

C. [illegible] Pillai
27.10.84 Ag. Dy. Coll.

A very industrious Clerk, a good Accountant and a very regular attendant at office — Character very good.

18th July/87 S. R. Locke
Retd. Dy. Collector

~~[illegible] fined [illegible] for [illegible] in April 1894~~

9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
	24.5.89	Sub protem turned into acting	VG
	23.7.89	Promotion	VG
	30-9.89	Change in appointment	
	31.12.89	Transfer	VG
	13.3.90	On leave	VG
	13.5.90	Return from leave	VG
	25.Sep.90	Confirmation	VG
	5-1-91	On leave	VG
	21.5.91	Return from leave	VG
	25.5.91	On leave	VG
	21.Sep.91	Return from leave	VG
	28.10.91	Suspension	
Verified upto 31st August 1891			[illegible] Dy. Collector
VG	20.12.91	dismissal 24-9-91	
Verified upto 31.10.93			[illegible] Dy. Collr.
	12.8.93	Leave	VG
	27.8.93	Ret. from leave	VG
	31-5-96	Retired	
		~~Verified upto [illegible]~~	
		~~[illegible] Dy. Collector~~	
	30/10/84	Verified upto 31.7.9[illegible]	[illegible] Dy. Collector

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g.*, *transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.	8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Name of appointment.	Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	Pay	Acting allowance.	Date of appointment.	Signature of Officer.	Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	Date of termination of appointment.	Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

Statement of lan Public Servants.

Name of Public Servant.	Date of entertainment in the public service.	Office and department in which employed.	District and division in which land is situated.	PARTI NG LANDED PROPERTY.				When acquired, inherited, &c.	By what means and for what purpose acquired.	Nature of interest possessed by the officer concerned in such landed property.	REMARKS.
				Nature of propert (1) houses (statin ther they are without gardens) uses to which they a (2) lands whethe for purposes of ag ture or as garde	Extent.	Assess-ment.	In whose name registered.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

I hereby declare that the above statement truly represents all the landed property possessed by me or in which I am in ay interested both within the district and elsewhere.

(Signature.)

(Office.)

(Station.)

(Date.)

NOTES.—1. The statement must be submitted punctually on the 15th January of each year.
2. New acquisitions during the year to which the return relates should be entered in red ink.
3. Particulars of any landed property of which an officer may have become dispossessed during the year should a tere in the annual return.

sion admissible under the
rules has not been granted as the applicant

Statement of land y Public Servants.

				PA GARDING LANDED PROPERTY.							
Name of Public Servant.	Date of entertainment in the public service.	Office and department in which employed.	District and division in which land is situated.	Nature of prop (1) houses (stat ther they are without garde uses to which they (2) lands whether for purposes of a ture or as gar	Extent.	Assessment.	In whose name registered.	When acquired, inherited, &c.	By what means and for what purpose acquired.	Nature of interest possessed by the officer concerned in such landed property.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
V. Gnanaprakasam	7th February 1867	2nd English Clerk, Collector's Office, Tinnevelly	Palamcottah, Tinnevelly Taluq, Tinnevelly District	1. House out garden live in	–	–	My name	1869 & 1876	From his own earnings to dwell in	Proprietary interest	
			Ukkirankottai, Tinnevelly Taluq, Tinnevelly District	1. House out garden dwell in	–	–	Wife's name	1893	From his own earnings to dwell in		
				Wet lan for agricultura purpose	Ac. C 7·30	Rs. As. P 61-8-–	Wifes name	1889	From his own earnings		

SERVICE BOOK
OF

Name.
Caste or Race.
Residence.
Father's Name.
Residence.
Date of birth.

6
3
870

Hon'ble Mr. [illegible]

C. No. 870

(1)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following papers :—

From the Collr. of Tinnevelly dated 11th June 1896, No. 1404

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 4th Augt. 1896, No. A.D.J. 252

ORDER THEREON, 28 Augt 1896 No. 805

Character — Indifferent.

embezzling

Suspended pending enquiry and fined Rs. 6-6-0 by the Head Asst. Collector in 1873 for having been charged with ~~defrauding~~ Government money collected on dutiable articles brought by native passengers who landed at Tuticorin. Fine was remitted by the Collector on appeal (vide copy of Judgment in case No. 3 of 1873 under Regn. X of 1822 and in Revenue appeal No. 178 of /73 (enclosed))

2. Dismissed by the Collector in 1880 for having prepared a false document which was at variance with the verified service Register. On appeal to the Board of Revenue he was suspended for one year (vide copies of Collector's Proceedings dated 8th Jany 1880 and Board's Proceedings No. 9106/13-8-80, Mis. Rev. Dept.

3. Suspended & dismissed by the Collector in 1891 for having committed gross & deliberate perjury in a theft case before the Taluk Magte. of Tinnevelly. On an appeal to the Board he was reinstated

Accountant-General, with R

Under articles 485 + 518(b) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honourable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Palamcottah Vedamanikkam Gnanaprakasam, late 2nd Eng: Clerk, Collector's Office, Tinnevelly, an invalid pension — of Rupees (20/-) Twenty only p.m. payable at Tinnevelly from 1st June 1896 in consideration of his qualifying Supr. service of 26 years, 5 months and 16 days.

2. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant

3. The interruption in the applicant's service caused by his dismissal in Oct 1891 has been condoned under art: 465 of the C.S.R.

p.o.
25.8.96

---

vide copies of Collectors Pros. No $\frac{108}{21-12-92}$ & Boards' Pros. No $\frac{4023}{29-6-92}$ Rev. L.F.).

Max. pension admissible. Rs 22-7-5

Please see the Acctt: Genl's report. It is submitted that the interruption in the applicant's service caused by his dismissal in Oct 1891 may be condoned under art: 465 of the C.S.R.

8.8.96 The applicant has been singularly fortunate when in trouble. In 1873 the Colr. on appeal merely gave him the "benefit of the doubt", but seems to have (flag A) been morally convinced of his guilt, for he made the man revert to Local Fund work, being unable to trust him to collect customs.

In 1880 I submit he was properly

[illegible]

~~has~~ been permanent injury to the prospects of another clerk.

Again in 1891 he was acquitted on a mere quibble. of wilfully disobeying a summons to give evidence in a criminal case; when his attendance was procured gave evidence which the courts held to be wilfully false. The Board however gave him the benefit of the doubt in this case also. (flag D) (flag C)

The maximum pension is Rs 22-7-5 - if the indulgence of condoning the break in service is granted. - I submit that Rs 20/- is ample pension

20.8.96

Too much I think
AA 20.8.96

The break must be cond and R 20 may be given.

23/8/96

When given for copying 27/8
When copied 27/8
When examined 27/8
When signed 28/8
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189

URRENT No.

NCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

ETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

MENT WITH DITTO.

ILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.