[D. D. / 42]
1,000—10-8-96.

Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 790

DATED 26 August 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting an invalid pension of Rs. 3 viz only per mensem to Ibrahim Saheb, late peon, Nandigama Taluk Office.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

In the pay bills from March 1853 to June 1855 as well as from April 1856 to June 1866 his name appears Mahamed Ibra[him] son of Silar Saheb of Nuzvid, while from July 1855 to March 1856, it appears as Mahamed Khasim, son of Silar Saheb of Nuzvid. In th[e] annual returns from 1865 his name appears as shown below:—

1865 Mohomed Ebrahim 1866 Mahamad Ibram 1867+70 Ibram Saib	1868+74 Ibharam Saheb 1869 Ibharam Saib 1871/1873 Ibram Sahib	1875 Ifaram Saib In the rest it is spelt as Ibrahim Saheb.

Affidavit dated 8-8-95 (both in Telugu & translation into English)
Head Asst. Collector's no 161/22-6-81 — do — do-
do do no 166/27-6-66 — do — do — Refer Coo. 1860 auto of
do do 1572/28-8-74 — do — do
do do 933/25-5-74 — do — do
Extract of do 3153/16-2-83 — (Day bills) & the Last pay certificate

144

2302

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant. Ibrahim Saheb
2. Father's name. Silar Saheb
3. Race, Sect and Caste. Mussalman, Sheik
4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Gudur, Bandar Taluk, Kistna District
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment. Revenue peon, Tahsildar's Office, Nandigama, Kistna District
6. Date of beginning of service. First day of April 1850.
7. Date of ending of service. Thirty-first day of March 1886

		Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	.. ..	46	0	4
of which superior	.. ..	–	–	–
inferior	.. ..	43	10	24
non-qualifying and interruptions	.. ..	2	1	10

9. Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application.* Invalid — Insufficient owing to age
10. [Average] emoluments or pay. Rupees Six only.
11. Proposed pension. Rs (3-0-0) Three only.
12. Proposed gratuity. — — —
13. Date from which pension is to commence. First day of April 1886.
14. Place of payment. Nandigama, Nandigama Taluk, Kistna District.
15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian era.† About 1835 (30-6-35)
16. Height. 5 feet 6 inches
17. Marks. Two scars on the left side of the back bone. A black mole on the breast near the right armpit. Face thickly pitted with small-pox. Face round. Color. Brown.
18. Date. 12-5-96

The annual returns from 1885-86 to 1890-91 available, give 1834 as the year of birth

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL 21 MAY 96 MADRAS

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate).

Dy Collector

J. N. Atkinson 12/5
Collector

SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

… for 14 days was deducted

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF Ibrahim Saheb, Revenue peon, Tahsildar's office, Nandigama Taluq, Kistna District

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service.			Period not reckoned as service.			Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.			Y.	M.	D.	Y.	M.	D.			
Taluq Police, Jaggayyapet	Police peon	4	..	1-4-50	29-6-51	-	-	..	1	2	29	Rejected under Art. 392	By pay bills	Service from 1-4-50 to 29-6-51 does not count for pension having been rendered by the applicant before the completion of the 18th year of his age. Verified partly from the records of the Collector's Office Kistna and partly by the affidavit of the applicant, and the verification of the service from 1865 confirmed by reference to the annual Returns on record in this office.
	do. do.	4	..	30-6-51	30-6-60	9	-	1	-	-	-	-	By pay bills	
	do. do.	4	-	1-7-60	31-12-60	-	6	-	-	-	-		By affidavit	
	do do	4	-	1-1-61	30-6-61	-	6	-	-	-	-		By pay bills	
	do do.	4	-	1-7-61	30-6-62	1	-	-	-	-	-		By affidavit	
	do. do.	4	-	1-7-62	30-9-62	-	3	-	-	-	-		By pay bills	
Taluq Revenue Nandigama	Revenue peon	5	..	1-10-62	31-12-62	-	3	-	-	-	-		do.	
	do do.	6	-	1-1-63	17-6-64	1	5	17	-	-	-		do.	
	Absent without leave			18-6-64	24-6-64	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	do.	
	Total period of Superior Service			..	..	..								
	Revenue peon	6	-	25-6-64	31-12-64	-	6	6 ~~7~~	-	-	-		By pay bills	
	do. do.	6	-	1-1-65	31-1-65	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	By affidavit	
	do. do.	6	-	1-2-65	31-7-65	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	By pay bills	
	Absent without leave			1-8-65	3-8-65	-	-	-	-	-	3		do.	
	Revenue peon	6	-	4-8-65	18-5-66 ~~31-5-66~~	-	9	15 ~~27~~ ~~28~~	-	-	-		do.	
	absent wt. leave			19-5-66	31-5-66	"	-	-	"	"	12			
	absent without leave			1-6-66	21-6-66	-	-	-	-	-	21		do.	
	Suspension	-	-	22-6-66	8-10-66	-	-	-	-	3	17	-	do	
	Revenue peon	6	-	9-10-66	17-6-68	1	8	9	-	-	-		do.	
	Absent without leave			18-6-68	27-6-68	-	-	-	-	-	10		do.	
	Revenue peon	6	-	28-6-68	31-10-68	-	4	3 ~~4~~	-	-	-		do.	
Deputy Tahsildar's Establishment Vissannapeta Div.	do do.	6	-	1-11-68	11-4-69	-	5	11	-	-	-		do.	
	Leave on half pay	-	-	12-4-69	3-5-69	-	-	22	-	-	-		do.	
					C.7.	17	5	9	1	7	27			

The peon in his affidavit says that the period under suspension was at the time of reinstatement converted into period of dismissal but no official record is forthcoming to support this. Hence the period of actual service prior to the date of dismissal is also shown as period reckoned as service

					B.7	17	5	9	1	7	27		
Deputy Tahsildar's Establishment – Vissannapet Do.	Revenue peon	6	-	4-5-69	30-11-71	2	6	27	-	-	-	-	By pay bills
	Do do.	6	-	1-12-71	31-12-71	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	By affidavit
	Do do	6	-	1-1-72	17-7-73	1	6	17	-	-	-	-	By pay bills
	Leave on loss of pay		-	18-7-73	28-7-73	-	-	11	-	-	-		Do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	29-7-73	9-10-73	-	2	11	-	-	-		Do.
	Absent without leave			10-10-73	24-10-73	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	Do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	25-10-73	23-3-74	-	4	29 (27 struck)	-	-	-	-	Do
	Absent without leave			24-3-74	-	-	-	-	-	-	1		Do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	25-3-74	6-5-74	-	1	12	-	-	-	-	Do.
	Absent without leave			7-5-74	14-5-74	-	-	-	-	-	8		Do
	Revenue peon	6	-	15-5-74	22-5-74	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	Do.
	Without employment having been dismissed (vide Head Assistant Collector's order No 933 23-5-74)			23-5-74	22-8-74	-	-	-	-	3	-	He was reinstated by Mr. F.E. Gibson, Head Assistant Collector, in his order No 1592 28-8-74 and transferred to Nandigama	Do.
Tahsildar's Estt. Nandigama Taluq	Revenue peon	6	-	23-8-74	18-2-75	-	5	26 (27 struck)	-	-	-	-	By pay bills
	Absent without leave	-	-	19-2-75	20-2-75	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	Do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	21-2-75	2-2-76	-	11	12 (13 struck)	-	-	-	-	Do
	Bin Badili (i.e., without substitute) leave with pay	6.	-	3-2-76	2-3-76	-	1	-	-	-	-		Do
	Leave on loss of pay			3-3-76	10-3-76	-	-	8	-	-	8		
	Leave on substitute	2		11-3-76	30-4-76	-	1	20	-	-	-		Do.
	Absent without leave			1-5-76	16-5-76	-	-	-	-	-	16		Do
	Revenue peon	6	-	17-5-76	14-5-79	2	11	28	-	-	-		Do
	Privilege leave	-	-	15-5-79	14-7-79	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	Do
	Revenue peon	6	-	15-7-79	8-12-79	-	4	24	-	-	-	-	Do.
	Leave on loss of pay		-	9-12-79	23-3-80	-	3	15	-	-	-	-	Do.
					C.7	27	11	17	2	0	9		

In the annual returns for 93-94 & 94-95, the dates of 23-1-74 and 6-1-63 are wrongly given as the dates of appointment

Irregular under Article 372 of the Civil Service Regulations as it is granted in continuation of privilege leave

[illegible]

				B-F		27	11	17	2	0	9		
Tahsildar's Estt. Naudigama Taluq.	Revenue peon	6	-	24-3-80	10-12-81	1	8	17	-	-	-	-	By pay bills
	Ag. Daffedar	6	2	11-12-81	10-2-82	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	11-2-82	16-2-83	1	-	6	-	-	-		do.
	Suspension	-	-	17-2-83	17-3-83	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	18-3-83	6-12-86	3	8	19	-	-	-	-	do
	Privilege leave on full pay			7-12-86	6-1-87	-	1	-	-	-	-		do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	7-1-87	5-5-89	2	3	29	-	-	-		do.
	Leave on loss of pay		-	6-5-89	15-5-89	-	-	10	-	-	-		do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	16-5-89	6-7-89	-	1	21	-	-	-		do.
	Ag. Daffedar	6	2	7-7-89	15-7-89	-	-	9	-	-	-		do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	16-7-89	12-8-89	-	-	~~28~~ 27	-	-	-		do.
	Ag. Daffedar	6	2	13-8-89	19-9-89	-	1	7	-	-	-	-	do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	20-9-89	8-4-90	-	6	~~20~~ 19	-	-	-	-	do.
	Ag. Daffedar	6	2	9-4-90	17-4-90	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	18-4-90	3-7-90	-	2	16	-	-	-	-	do.
	Ag. Daffedar	6	2	4-7-90	8-7-90	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	do
	Revenue peon	6	-	9-7-90	20-7-90	-	-	12	-	-	-		do.
	Ag. Daffedar	6	2	21-7-90	15-10-90	-	2	25	-	-	-		do.
	Revenue peon	6	-	16-10-90	22-12-90	-	2	7	-	-	-	-	do.
	Ag. Daffedar	6	2	23-12-90	5-3-91	-	2	~~11~~ 13	-	-	-		do
	In transit			6-3-91		-	-	1					do
	Revenue peon	6	-	7-3-91	31-3-96	5	-	~~25~~ 24	-	-	-	-	By pay bills
						43	10	~~125~~ 24	2 (0)	1 (11)	10 (15)		

Total inferior Service is 46 years and 4 days.

× no pay seems to have been drawn



REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant — *Fair*

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation — *Please vide report about suspensions. No degradation*

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI). — *"*

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. — *"*

5. Any other remarks — *Insufficient owing to age* / *The explanation for delay in the submission of this application has been called for from the Divisional Officer and will be submitted on receipt.*

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. — *The service claimed from 23-8-74 is established and should be admitted. The applicant's total service prior to his dismissal on 23-5-74 amounts to 24 years 1 months 23 days including interruptions amounting to 1 year 8 months 21 days. This period is in the body of the application shown as rejected as there is no official record supporting the statement of the ~~affidavit~~ applicant that the period of dismissal was at the time of reinstatement converted into that of suspension. The order reinstating him considers him as having been on duty from 23-8-74, though it is itself dated 28-8-74, thus giving room to a conjecture that he was kept under suspension for exactly three months as stated by the applicant in his affidavit. Considering the applicant's long service of 46 years 7 months 4 days, I recommend that the period of dismissal may be treated as period of suspension and the old man allowed half pension.*

SIGNATURE. *J. N. Atkinson [?]* *Dy Collector* *Collector 12/5/96 [?]*

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

Certified that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for ...

From 18-6-64 to 24-6-64
1-8-65 to 3-8-65
19-5-66 to 21-6-66
18-6-68 to 27-6-68
10-10-73 to 24-10-73
on 24-3-74
From 7-5-74 to 14-5-74.

Submitted to Government. The applicant commenced service as peon in the Taluq Police Jaggayyapet from 1-4-50 and served till 1-10-62 when he was appointed as peon in the Revenue Department. This appointment he held till 23-5-74 when he was dismissed from the public service, the reason for his dismissal not being traceable from the office records. The applicant was without employ for 3 months from the date of dismissal i.e. from 23.5.74 to 22.8.74. The Head assistant Collector of Kistna in his order No. 1592/23.8.74 reversed the order of dismissal and restored the applicant to his post with effect from 23-8-74, but omitted to declare that his past services should count for pension in conformity with Article 461 of the Civil Service Regulations. In the absence of the ~~dec~~ declaration the period of his non-employment amounting to 3 months has been regarded as an interruption entailing forfeiture of his past services. Should Govt. be pleased, as recommended by the Collector, to condone the interruption under Article 465 of the Regulations, and be further pleased with reference to article 466 Civil Service Regulations, to commute the applicant's absence without leave for the different periods noted in the margin into leave without allowances, and to admit the service from 1-7-60 to 31-12-60, ~~&~~ 1-7-61 to 30-6-62; 1-1-65 to 31-1-65 and from 1-12-71 to 31-12-71 claimed by the applicant in his affidavit, the whole of the applicant's service in inferior grades will amount to 43 years and 10 months and will entitle him to an Invalid pension not exceeding Rs 3--- per mensem equal to half pay under Articles 486 and 524(b) of the Civil Service Regulations.

The delay in the submission of this application is explained by the Collector in his letter No. 1860 dated 7-6-96 accompanying.

In accordance with rule 3, under Article 994(b), the attention of Govt. is respectfully solicited to article 514 of the Regulations.

No. 489/260

Fort Saint George, Acctt. General's Office, 7 August 1896. To *The Under Secretary to Govt. Pension Department.*

J. Marison [?]
Offg. Accountant General.

Ex'd. M.K.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 8 AUG 1896 PENSION DEPARTMENT

calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

C. No. 893

Application for Pension or Gratuity—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

G.O. 791/96

C. No. 893/96

C. No. 893

Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers :—

From the Collr of Kistna dated 12th May 1896, No. 1860

Certificate and report from the Accountant-General, dated 7th Augt 1896, No. A.P.J. 260

Order thereon, 26 Augt 1896 No. 791

Character – Fair

Suspended for 3 months 17 days in 1866 for absence without leave
1 month 1 day in 1883 for failing to bring a boat to a ferry though ordered to do so

Total service – nearly 44 years

Please see the Acctt: General's report. It is submd. that the interruption in the applicant's service, caused by his dismissal* (subsequently reversed) in 1874, may, as recommended by the Collr., be condoned under art: 465 of the C.S.R. Hence draft order for approval.

JG 19/8/96

* Dismissed for reasons unknown; reinstated a few months later; period of absence to count as suspension.

D

AS 13.8.96

AS

Under articles 486 & 524 (b) of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honourable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Ibrahim Sahib, late peon, Nandigama Taluk Office; an invalid pension — of Rupees (3/-) Three only per mensem payable at Nandigama from 1st April 1896 in consideration of his qualifying inferior service of 43 years, & 10 months ~~and~~ ~~days~~.

2. The applicant's absence without leave has, under art: 464 of the Regns, been commuted into leave without

To the Accountant-General, with Register.
" " Collr of Kistna

without allowances.

3. The interruption in the applicant's service, caused by his dismissal in 1874, has been condoned under art: 465 of the C.S.R.

[illegible] 15.8.86

[illegible] 17.8.86

C. No. 893

Govt. of 1896 Madras.

PENSION.

To

The Accountant-General,

&c., &c., &c.

The Hon: Mr Grose C.I.E.

Dated

Despd.

G.O.,

Granting an invalid pension of Rs 3/- p.m. to Ibrahim Sahib, late peon, Nandigama Taluk Office.

C17/8

Name of Officer.	Caste or Race.	Residence.	Father's Name.	Father's Residence.	Date of birth.	Age: Years	Age: Ms.	Age: Days.	Exact height: Feet.	Exact height: Ins.	Personal marks for identification.	Date of Entry.	Signature of Officer.	Signature and designation of the Head of the Office.
Ibrahim Sahib.	Muhammadan. Sheik.	Gudur, Bunder Taluq	Silar Sahib.	Gudur, Bunder Taluq	not known; About 1835.	About 44 years.	-	-	5 feet	6 inches	One white mark (not appearing) on the back. Thick marks of small pox over the face. A black mole on the right breast. A burnt mark near the right foot (not appearing). Face round, ~~blackish~~, brown	18th June 1878	[illegible]	H. Ramaswami Row, Tahsildar, 18.6.78
											Verified. [illegible] 23/5/83			[illegible]
											White mark on the back not appearing now. Thick marks of small-pox over the face — appearing. A black mole over the right breast — same as the mole noted in the application. Burnt mark near the right foot — not appearing. Two scars on the left side of the back bone — are new marks. [illegible] Tahsildar 7/10	7. 10. 95.		

[illegible] [illegible]

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Police Peon of the Juggiahpet Taluq	Substantive Permanent	"	R. A. P. 4-0-0	"	April 1850	[illegible]
Revenue Peon of the Nandigama Taluq	Do.	"	5-0-0	"	31st October 1862	
Do. Do.	Do.		6-0-0	"	6th January 1863	
Suspended from						
Do. Do.	Do.		6-0-0		21st August 1866	
Revenue Peon Vipannapet Do.	Do.		6-0-0	"	1st Aug 1868	
From 23rd May 187[illegible] but was restored to but was transferred						
Revenue Peon Nundigama Taluq	Do.		6-0-0	"	23rd August 1874	

Statement showing the Service of Ibrahim Sahib, Revenue Peon of Nandigama Taluq in the [illegible] Dist.

Period of Service	Pay	Period and nature of leaves, breaks, &c. verified	Remarks
	Rs. [illegible]	Leave on half pay from 1-11-68 to 11-4-69; from 12-4-69 to 5-3-69; from 4-5-69 to 30-11-71; from 1-1-72 to 17-7-73; from 29-7-73 to 9-10-73; 25-10-73 to 23-3-74; from 24-3-74 to 6-3-74; from 15-5-74 to 22-5-74	Verified by [illegible] except [illegible]

8 Character of Officer. *No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.*	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, *e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.*	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
		30th October 1862	By ~~[illegible]~~ transfer	[illegible]
		~~31-12-62~~ 5th January 1863	Change in pay	
Absent without leave from 18-6-64 to 24-6-64, for which 14 days' pay was deducted.				
Do from 1-8-65 to 3-8-65, for which pay was deducted.		20th May 1866.	Suspension from Service.	
× Do from ~~[illegible]~~ 19-5-66. to 21-6-66	[illegible]			
three months from ~~21st~~ 22nd May. upto 8-10-66.				
		31st July 1868.	By transfer	
		~~23rd~~ 22nd May 1874.	By dismissal from service.	

... upto 22nd August 1874, without employ-

... his post by Mr Gibson on the 23rd August 1874.

... sed to Nandgiuma taluq.

10-12-81 Promotion.

Leaves upto date

(1) From 24th June 1863 upto 23rd July 1863 for one month on loss of full pay.

(2) From 2nd September 1864 upto 16th October 1864 for one & half month on Do

(3) From 6th June 1865 to 20th June 1865 for fifteen days on Do

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, i.e., whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Absence without leave from 18th to 27th June 1868						
Absence without leave on 24-3-74						
Absence without leave from 7th to 14th May 1874						
Absence without leave from 19-2-75 to 20-2-75						
Ag Daffadar	Ag	Peon				
Naudigama do Hev Peon do	Permt	Naudigama				
order 315 of 16-2-83 Suspended ~~for 1 month~~ from 17th February 1883. And rejoined on the forenoon of 18-3-83.						

8 Character of Officer. No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.
(4) From 1st April 1867 to 1st May 1867 for one month on loss of full pay				
(5) ~~From 4th July 1868 to 3rd Septr 1868~~ [June, August] for 2 months on				Do
(6) From 12th April 1869 to 30th April 1869 for 3 weeks on				Do
(7) From 3rd February to 2nd March 1876 for one month on pay. N.B. Leave was given without loss of pay. It is called in the Pay Abstract Privilege leave.				
(8). From 3rd March 1876 to 10th March 1876 for 8 days; ~~absent without leave. This was subsequently converted into~~ Leave without pay.				
(9) From 11th March 1876 to 10th [30th] April 1876 for one month on loss of pay.	Utnunna Row Tahr			Utnunna Row Tahr
Absence without leave from 1-5-76 to 16-5-76				
		18-7-79		
		23-3-80		
		7-9-80		
		10-2-82	Reversion	
		6-7-89	Promotion	
	28-11-83			28-11-83

Ag Hd Asst Collector

Changes	Completed		Pay		
Peon, Naudigama	Permanent		6	-	18-6-90
Ag. Dafedar do do	Acting Permanent	Do	6	2	4-7-90
Peon, Naudigama	Acting Subst. Permanent		6		9-7-90
Ag Dafedar	Acting Perm	Do	6	2	21-7-90
Peon, Naudigama	Subst. Permanent		6	-	16-10-90
Ag Dafedar	Acting Permt	Do	6	2	23-12-90
Peon Naudigama	Substantive Permanent	Do	6		7-3-91

1 Name of appointment.	2 Nature, *i.e.*, whether substantive or acting, permanent or temporary.	3 Substantive appointment, if the new appointment be acting only.	4 Pay.	5 Acting allowance.	6 Date of appointment.	7 Signature of Officer.
Daffadar Naraingunj	Ag Permt.	Peon Naraingunj	6	2	7-7-89	
Peon	Substantive Permt.	—	6	—	16-7-89	
Daffadar ... Jamal[illegible]	Ag Permt.	Peon Naraingunj	6	2	13-8-89	
Ex Peon Naraingunj	Substantive Permt.	—	6		30-9-89	
[illegible]			6	—	7-1-87	
Retired Dafadar				2		

No changes up to 29.2.96
[signature]

No changes. verified up to 31-3-96
[signature]

The peon was retired from 1st April 1896

Offg. Asst Collector

Verified from 1-10-94 to 31-3-96.

[signature]
Try Dy Collector

The peon retired from 1st April 1896 & his total inferior service is 46 years and 4 days.

J. N. Atkinson
Collector

[stamp]

8 Character of Officer. No entry need be made in this Column except when there is any thing either good or bad to be recorded. Suspensions should be noted here when the order of suspension is passed.	9 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.	10 Date of termination of appointment.	11 Reason of termination, e.g., transfer, promotion, return of permanent incumbent.	12 Signature and designation of immediate head of the Office.

Nothing is there entered upto date

[illegible signature]
Tahsildar

31-10-85

Complete upto 31st March 1886 — Reversion — Promotion

[illegible signature]
Tahsildar

Privelege leave for one month from 7-12-86 to 5-1-87 — Joined on 7-1-87 — Ag. Tahsildar

Complete upto 31st March 87

Ag Tahsildar — Reversion

Complete upto 31st March 1888 — Promotion

18-5-88

Leave on loss of pay from 1st to 15th May 1889 both days inclusive — 26/5/88

Verified upto 31st December 1889

Dy Collector

3-7-90 Promotion

Return of permanent incumbent — Promotion

22-11-90 Promotion

6-3-91 Return of permanent incumbent

Complete upto 31st March 1891

Complete upto 30th June 1891.

Vol. 5

SERVICE BOOK

OF

Name. Ibrahim Saheb

Caste or Race. Mussalman Sahib

Residence. Fuleer Bundar Taluq

FATHER'S Name. Kheer Sahib

FATHER'S Residence. Fuleer Bunder Taluq

Date of birth. about 1835 Not Known

Encl to 2986.

Extract from the Taluq Despatch Register of 1883.

Order No $\frac{315}{16.2.83}$

on Nandigama Tahsildar's No $\frac{242}{1883}$

reporting that Ibrahim Sahib Revenue peon failed to bring the boat to the ferry at Kesara though he was specially employed on that work

Informing that the Revenue peon is suspended for one month.

(True Extract)

[signature]

12/10

6
7
873

Extract from the Taluq despatch

Register of 1874.

Vissannapet Deputy Tahsildar's arzi no $\frac{236}{15-5-74}$. Reporting that the pay of peon Ibrahim Saheb was deducted, he having absented without leave and soliciting orders on the subject.

Order no $\frac{933}{23-5-74}$.

~~Informing that~~ The man has been dismissed.

(True extract)

(Signed) F.D.S. Oldfield

Head Asst Collector.

Translated

Cu

15/5/96

Extract from the Taluq dispatch Register of 1874

Vissannapeta deputy Tahsildar's arzi

No $\frac{236}{15 \cdot 5 \cdot 74}$ [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] -

Order No $\frac{933}{23 \cdot 5 \cdot 74}$

[illegible]

[illegible] -

(True Extract)

[illegible]

10/80

Affidavit.

Kistna District 2nd class Magistrates Court

8-8-95 Nandigama

Name — Ibrahim Saheb

Fathers Name — Silar Saheb

Caste — Mussulman — Shaik

Age — 60

Profession — Taluk peon

Residence — Nandigama

Deposes as follows on solemn affirmation:—

Q. In your service book, you have entered Gudur in Bandar Taluk, as your place of residence; whereas your father's place of residence is entered as Nuzvid in Barawards. How do you account for this?

A. Our place of residence was originally Gudur; ~~Subsequently~~ As my father served, subsequently, for a long time (about 40 years) at Nuzvid, the latter place was considered as our place of residence and entries were accordingly ~~were~~ made in Barawards. But, when the entries were ~~first~~ made in my service register my original place was entered as my place of

Evidence.

Q. ~~Are there~~ Out of those persons that served ~~worked~~ contemporarily with you in the Police department at Jaggiapett, ~~at~~ in Nandigama Taluk, in Vizianapatam Dwn, prior to 1874, who are still alive?

A. There are none.

Q. From July 1860 to December; from July 1861 to December; from January 1862 to June, were you on leave? or were you absent without leave? Or were you on duty? If on duty, on what duty?

A. In those days, I was a policeman at Jaggiapett. During that time, I was not under suspension, or on leave. I remember, I was then in ~~continuous~~ service without any break.

Q. Were you in service in January 1865? In what service?

A. I was a Revenue peon ~~of~~ Nandigama Taluk. I remember I was ~~never~~ not on leave or, under suspension then, but was on duty.

Q. Were you in service in December 1871? In what service?

A. I was a Revenue peon of Vizianapatam Dwn. I was not under suspension or on leave. I remember that I did serve continuously.

Q. In the Barawards from March 1853 to June 1855, the name of the peon bearing your number is entered as Mohammed Ibrahim. In the Barawards from July 1855 to March 1856, the name of the peon of your number is entered as "Mohammed Khasim." From April 1856 to June 1866, the name is entered in Barawards as Mohammed Ibrahim. Were you the person that ~~who~~ served during those days and drew the pay? How do you account for the names being thus entered? Was there any other person then in service who was the son of Silar Saheb of Nuzvid and who bore the name of Mohammed Ibrahim

or Mohomed Khasim? If there be any such person, where were you then and in what service?

A. In those days, it was I that served for a time as policeman of Jaggiapett and afterwards for the remaining period as Revenue peon of Nandigama Taluk. There was none other, than myself, that served as a peon of my number in those days. They used to call me "Mohomed Ibrahim" too. Perhaps, they wrote that name, too, in the Barawards, I donot know. The name "Mohomed Khasim" might have been entered by mistake for "Mohomed Ibrahim". As I was not educated, I dont know how it was written in Barawards. None other of those names was in this service. There was none other

~~than myself, who is the Son of Nazoid Silar Saheb, none among those that were serving then.~~

Q. ~~Who dismissed you and why?~~ When were you dismissed? Who restored you to service? At the time of your ~~restoration~~, what orders have been issued as to how the period of absence was treated

A. I was dismissed on the report of Manapeedi Venkatachelaiahgaru then Deputy tahsildar of Vissannapett Dn. when I was a Rev. peon of that Dn., for the late conveyance of Tappal from Tiruvur to Vissannapett and for some other reasons not known to me as they were not expressed. The then Head Asstt. Collr. Mr. Gibson dismissed me. Rally petitioning

them again, Mr. Willock or some other Gentleman, I don't remember the name, held Enquiry at Kundapatti and told me that the Gentleman who dismissed me will restore me to duty. ~~Coll~~ Mr Gibson's return, he passed orders suspending me for the period I was absent from duty, appointing me again as peon and transferring me as peon of Nandgaum Taluk in view of the fact that I was not in good terms with the Dy: Tahsildar. In accordance with these orders I joined my duty as peon of Nandgaum Taluk. I declare that the above facts

are true to the extent of my knowledge & memory. Read & found Correct.

(Sd) Mark of Ibrahim saheb

In the presence of

(Sd) Bade Saheb

(Sd) ~~Walajitkar~~ Hiramansing.

Sd.
23/10

seal

Kistna

Taken to Trimmarajee Venkatachelum Pantulu Talookdar of Nandigama Taluk

Read your arzee No. 156 of 20th instant ~~the~~ the particulars of

23/10

You mentioned that ~~the~~ your Taluk peon Vibheram Saheb absented him self for a month keeping the belt + the badge with him: that he may be suspended for three months and that Seram Narasimha may be appointed instead. You should report why ~~___~~ the fact of his absence has not been reported immediately. Also, you should secure the peon's presence by a ~~regular~~ warrant and ~~___~~ hold a regular enquiry, regarding his absence under the offence of ~~___~~ theft. For the peon's post, Sir, ...

14
8/3

Clerk nominated

Who is your nominee has been appointed until further orders. You should therefore, take work from him and enter Narisimulu's name in the Barawardi.

Camp Nawdgama
Dated 22d June 1866.

(Sd) J. Stuart
Ag: Hd Asst Collr

(Sd) K. Srinivasachari
Head Clerk

(Sd) Papatla Purushottam
Clerk

Translated
Sd/-
20/10

6
14
893

Seal

No 166

To J. Stuart Esquire
Assistant Collector
Kistna

Taken to Timmaraju Venkatachalam Pantulu Tahsildar of Nawdgama Taluk

Read the particulars of your narratively your [illegible] No 158 of 25th June

You reported that the peon Vibharam Saheb who absented himself (without leave) appeared before you with the belt & badge on the 23rd Instant; that in consequence the warrant has been issued against him; and that he is liable to suspension for 3 months from 19th May, the day of his absence (without leave)

In his kyfiat, he stated that he was absent because he has gone to his village for the Moharram, and fell ill there. Had he beg[illegible]

ill, he ought to have reported the fact immediately. As he has not done so, he is suspended for three months from the 23rd Instant, on which he appeared before you.

You must take work first Seram Narasimhulu who was appointed already to act (for No 158 Ibrahim) until the expiration of the suspension period, and after the suspension is over, work must be taken as usual from Ibharam Saheb

Camp Narasingaram
D/27th June 1866

(Sd) A. J. Stuart
Ag Hd Asst Collr

(Sd) K Srinivasa Row
Hd Gumashta

(Sd) Papaiah Pernustwaroo
Clerk

Translated
[illegible]
24/10

6
13
873

No 1592

To E. Gibson Esquire
Acting Head Assistant
Collector, Kistna

Taluk of Villugunnam Latchmi-
narayanappa Pantulu Tahsildar
Of Narasingaram Taluk

As we have transferred to your Taluk Ibharam Saheb a dismissed peon of Voisannapett Division, after restoring to him to his post from the 23rd Instt, You must select a peon of Your taluk, as soon as he joins his duty in the same, and send him to Voisannapett Division in his place. You must treat Ibharam Saheb as a peon of your taluk and prepare barawarda for him from the 23rd Instt., in your taluk,

only.

(Sd.) F. E. Gibson

28th August 1874

Asst. Head Asst. to Collr.

[illegible]

6
12
893

Affidavit.

Kistna District, 2 [illegible] Magistrate's Court,

8 8 1895.

~~Case No.~~ of 189

~~Witness~~

Name [illegible]

Father's name [illegible]

Caste [illegible]

Age 60

Profession [illegible]

Residence [illegible]

Deposes as follows, on solemn affirmation :—

[illegible]

[illegible] 1874 [illegible]

[illegible] 1860 [illegible] 1861 [illegible]

[illegible] 1862 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1865 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1871 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1853 [illegible] 1855 [illegible] 1855 [illegible] 1866 [illegible] 1856 [illegible] 1866 [illegible]

[illegible]

Affidavit of Ibrahim Saheb (Contd)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

8-8-98

893

No. 161

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1560 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 022 [illegible]

[illegible]

(sd) A J Stuart
Ag. Ad. Asst. Collr.

(sd) [illegible]

(sd) [illegible]

True copy
[illegible]
Tahsildar
Nandigama

[illegible] 7/8.

166

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

27 [illegible] 1866 [illegible]

(sd) [illegible] (sd) A J Stuart

Ag Head Asst Collr.

(sd) [illegible]

|True copy|

[illegible]

Tahsildar

Nandigama Taluq

---

15 [illegible] 1592

Head Assistant Collector Kistna District

No 4598

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 23 [illegible]

[illegible] 23 [illegible]

[illegible]

28 [illegible] 1874

(sd) F. E. Gibson

Ag Head Asst Collector

(sd) [illegible]

|True copy|

[illegible]

Tahsildar

Nandigama Taluq

From

The Collector, J. N. Atkinson Esquire

Kistna

The Accountant General
Madras

...ted 12. 5. 96

...d 13. 5. 96

Ref on C no $\frac{1860}{auts}$ 96

Subject

Pension application

...itting the — of ...him Saheb, Revenue ... Nandigama Taluq.

Ref on C. No. $\frac{1860}{auts}$ 96

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 12 JUN 96 MADRAS

KISTNA DISTRICT,
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
MASULIPATAM,
7th June 1896.

2704

FROM,
J. N. Atkinson Esquire
~~SYED ALY SAHIB BAHADUR, KHAN SAHIB,~~
~~DEPUTY~~ COLLECTOR, ~~IN CHARGE OF THE TREASURY,~~
Kistna District.

To,
The Accountant General,
Madras.

SIR,

In Continuation of this office Ref. on C. No $\frac{1860}{auts}$ 96 dated 12th May 1896, submitting pension application of Ibrahim Saheb, I have the honor to state as follows on the delay made in its submission:-

2. The applicant it appears retired with effect from 1st April 1896 and the last pay Certificate was received in this office on 4. 5. 96. The Head Assistant Collector having been Called on to explain the delay in the Submission of the last pay Certificate stated in his letter Dis No $\frac{1282}{Rev}$ 96 that there was

was no delay in his office as the last pay Certificate was received by him only on 24.4.96 and that the delay was in the Taluk office. The Tahsildar of Nandigama reports in his turn that the last pay of the retired individual was paid to him on 20.4.96 on which date the Taluk Establishment pay bill was received in his office with payment order from Huzur.

3. The Taluk Establishment pay bill for March 1896 prepared by the Tahsildar on 8.4.96 instead of on 31.3.96 or 1.4.96, appears to have been received in this office on 10.4.96 for pre-audit. The Establishment, I am given to understand, was then busy with the April returns, and that Taluk pay bills received late in that month could not be taken in hand for audit then & there and had therefore to be delayed

delayed for a few days. Thus the pay bill of Nandigama Taluk Establishment was only returned with payment order on 18.4.96. My Account Branch throws all the blame on the Taluk Establishment, but it is quite clear that the two must share the blame between them.

4. The delay of one month referred to in the pension application may be ignored.

5. As the last pay Certificate issued by the Tahsildar was not in proper form a fresh one was prepared in this office and submitted with the pension application.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
[signature]
For Collector

Cu

From,

The ~~Deputy~~ Collector,
~~in charge of the Treasury~~
Kistna District.

To,

The Accountant General, Madras.

Dated 7th June 1896.
Despatched
Received

Ref on C No. 1860 ante 896

Enclosures. (nil)

Subject.

Submitting explanation for the delay made in the submission of the pension application of Ibrahim Saheb, peon, Nandigama Taluk.

6
5
893

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

Pension Department.

No. A.D.K. 139

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
16 June 1896.

Very urgent
DG. 2/6

From
The Accountant-General to the Government of Madras,

To
The Collector of Kistna.

1. Explanation why his name does not appear in my Office records for ——— ———

Explanation should be furnished of the circumstances under which the applicant's service rendered prior to 23d May '74, was admitted towards pension, when it was

2. Office records show applicant enjoyed leave from ——— to ———, whereas in the history of services

vitiated by a break caused by dismissal from the Public service. If there be any order forthcoming, containing a declaration that the past service of the reinstated Officer should count for pension (Vide Article 461 of the Civil Service Regulations), a copy of the same should be furnished.

2. The explanation of delay promised in the 3d page of the application, should be forwarded.

Sir,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension of Ibrahim Sahib late Revenue peon Nandigama Taluk is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Chief Superintendent.

Cor.—1,000—5-4-95.

WR 10/6

Ref. on C No. 1860/auto of 96

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S 29 JUN 96 MADRAS

3075 1/2

KISTNA DISTRICT,
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
MASULIPATAM,
24th June 1896.

FROM,

J. N. Atkinson Esquire
~~SYED ALY SAHIB BAHADUR, KHAN SAHIB,~~
~~DEPUTY COLLECTOR IN CHARGE OF THE TREASURY,~~
Collector, Kistna District.

To,

The Accountant General,
Madras.

SIR,

In resubmitting herewith your letter No A.D.K/139 dated 16th June 1896, with its enclosures, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2. There is no written order available showing that the service of the applicant rendered prior to 23rd May 1874 should count for pension, but from order No 1592/28-8-74 of the Head Assistant Collector, Kistna, (Copy attached to the pension application) it appears that the dismissed peon was restored to his post with effect from 23-8-74, though the above order was apparently passed on 28-8-74. Thus it is clear that the applicant

applicant was out of employ for exactly 3 months (i.e., from 23-5-74 to 22-8-74). The above order does not show that he was reappointed, but that he was restored to his post, with effect from 23-8-74, and that he was also transferred to Nandigama Taluk from Vissannapet Division where he was dismissed. This order amply justifies the surmise that the then Head Assistant Collector might have meant that the applicants' break in service should extend to only 3 months and be treated either as leave on loss of pay or as suspension. If treated as leave on loss of pay, the period would count for pension under article 455 of the Civil Service Regulations. But the applicant admits in his affidavit that the period in question was, at the time of his reinstatement, treated as suspension. From the foregoing facts, I am of opinion that the applicants' service prior to 23-5-74 is not forfeited under articles 459 & 463(c) of the Civil Service Regulations. I have recommended that the break of the 3 months may be treated as suspension and the old man allowed half pension. The period of applicants' service rendered prior to 23rd May 1874 is therefore shown as admitted towards pension, in the pension application.

If, however, you think that the conditions of article 461 of the Civil Service Regulations have not been fully satisfied, nothing being expressly stated in the Head Assistant Collector's order No $\frac{1592}{28\text{-}8\text{-}74}$, I request you to be so good as to move the Local Government to condone the break in the service under article 465(i), taking into consideration the long service put in by the peon.

3. The explanation promised in the 3rd page of the pension application was submitted on the 8th current. (please vide this office letter Ref. on C no $\frac{1860}{\text{auts}}$ of 96 dated 7-6-96.)

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

For Collector

Ca

FROM,

THE ~~DEPUTY~~ COLLECTOR
in charge of the Treasury
Kistna District.

To,

The Accountant General,
Madras

Dated 24th June 1896
Despatched
Received

Re. for C No. 1860 / auts of 96

Enclosures. (10)

6
7
893

Subject.

Resubmitting the pension application of Ibrahim Sahib, with the explanation called for on certain points

P. 600—9-5-96.

(TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.)

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. A.D.K. / 209

Fort St. George,
Accountant-General's Office,
10 July 1896.

Recd 15/7/96

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To
THE Collector of
Kistna.

~~Attention is drawn to No. of the printed Memo. of Instructions from this office.~~

1. Insertion should be made opposite to No. 5 on the 3rd page of the application that the applicant is inefficient owing to age or infirmity, vide Article 486 of the Civil service Regulations.

2. From the accompanying lated No. 166 dated 27th June '66 marked as enclosure No. 9 in blue pencil, the applicant is stated to have been absent without leave from 19th May '66, while in the application he is stated to have been so absent from 1st June 66. The discrepancy should be explained.

SIR,

I have the honour to inform you that the application for pension ~~gratuity~~ of Ibrahim Sahib late Revenue peon Nandigama Taluk is being delayed for want of the information noted in the margin. Where possible your answer should be written underneath the several points to which your attention is drawn.

2. The enclosures and your reply should be returned with the least possible delay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]
Chief Superintendent.
~~Assistant Accountant-General.~~

Rd 10/7

To

The Treasury Dy Collector
Kistna District

Argi of the Tahsildar of
Nandigama Taluq

Respected Sir,

In obedience to your order Ref. on No. 1860/accts of 96 directing me to explain ~~why~~ how pay was drawn to Ibrahim Saheb, retired peon of this office from 19th to 31st May 66 while he was absent during the days, I beg respectfully to report that from the argi No: 159/25.6.66 sent the Head Assistant Collector by the Tahsil[dar] it appears that the peon absented himself the 19th May without report and appeared be[fore] the Tahsildar on the 23rd June. The Head Assistant Collector in his order No: 166/27.6.66 suspe[nded] him for 3 months from the 23rd of June. In paybill the full pay of Rs. 6 was shown again[st] peon, but in the acquittance column it w[as] remarked that he was paid only Rs. 3.7 being the pay from 1st to 18th of May quoting aforesaid argi as an authority. As the remark was written in small hand in es[caped] notice when the ~~off~~ application was prepared, as full ~~day~~ pay was shown in the bod[y of] the bill. The peon was actually paid on[ly] for the first 18 days of May. He was not

for the whole month. The deduction ~~of the~~ in the pay seems to have been made after the bill with the full pay for the month for the peon was sanctioned by the Head office and at the time of disbursement. Thus, there was no necessity for recovering the excess drawn for him.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most obedt. servant
[illegible]
for Tahsildar
Nandigama
21/7/96

6
2
893

3766

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 29 JUL 96 MADRAS

From

The Tahsildar
Nandigama Tq.

To

The Treasury Dy Collector
~~Kistna~~

Dated 21 }
Despd 21 } 7-96
Recd

No: 4608/2.7.96
Encl: nil

Refce: on C no: 1860/accts of 96

Subject.

Explaining how pay was drawn for Ibrahim Saheb for 1st to 31st May 66, & reporting that only Rs 3-7-9 his pay for 1st to 18th June 1866 was actually disbursed to him as may be seen from his acquittance.

Ref. on C no 1860/accts of 96

Submitted to the Accountant General, Madras with reference to rejection 2 of his letter No A.D.K/209 dated 10-7-96, in continuation of this office Ref. on C No 1860/accts of 96 dated 15-7-96. The office copy of the application is corrected conformably to the Tahsildar's arzi. It is requested that the necessary corrections may be ordered to be made in the Service Register and the pension application already submitted.

Kistna Collectorate
Masulipatam
24-7-96

Try Deputy Collector

Ref. on C No 1860/accts of 96 — Kistna Collectorate, Masulipatam, 15th July 1896.

3559

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE 20 JUL 96 MADRAS

From

The Collector,
Kistna.

To

The Accountant General,
Madras.

Sir,

In resubmitting herewith your No A.D.K/209 dated 10th July 1896, with its enclosures, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2. The necessary certificate has been entered against No 5 on the 3rd page of the pension application.

3. From pay bills for May and June 1866 of Nandigama Taluk, available in this office, it appears that full pay was drawn for the applicant

for

for May 1866, that he was absent from duty without leave from 1st to 21st June 1866 and that he was suspended from 22-6-66 as per Head Assistant Collector's order No 161 dated 22-6-66 (vide enclosure No 8 marked in blue pencil). It is not known how pay was drawn for him from 19th to 31st May 1866 during which period he seems to have been absent without leave as found out from Takid No 166 dated 27-6-66. The Tahsildar of Nandigama has been requested to explain the discrepancy by a reference to the records other than pay bills, available in his office, and his reply will be submitted on receipt.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[illegible]

For Collector

6
3
893

When given for copying 24/8
When copied 25/8
When examined 25
When signed 26/8
1896

Read again G.O., No.   dated   189

Current No.

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government .. .. .. A A A A A A A

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.