Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 719.

DATED 4th Aug/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a retiring pension of Rs. 3—12—0 per mensem to Balaguru Naidu late a 2nd C. C. of the Tanjore Dt.-

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 168 6

20 JUN 96
MADRAS.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION ~~OR GRATUITY~~.

1. Name of Applicant. Balaguru Naidoo (Has no house or country name)

2. Father's name. Veeraraghavaloo Naidoo

3. Race, Sect and Caste. Hindu, Vishnavite, Kavarai

4. Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. Shiyali

5. ~~Present~~ ~~or~~ last employment, including name of establishment. 2nd class Const. No. 98/1308 of Tanjore Police

6. Date of beginning of service. 18th May 1867

7. Date of ending of service. 10th June 1896

		Y.	M.	D.
8. Length of service, including interruptions	.. ..	29	"	23
of which superior or Police Service	.. ..	29	"	23
inferior	.. ..	"	"	"
non-qualifying and interruptions	.. ..	"	"	"

9. Class of pension ~~or gratuity~~ applied for, and cause of application.* Retiring

10. [Average] 3 years emoluments or pay. Rs 7-15-6

11. Proposed pension. Rs 3-15-9

12. Proposed gratuity. — " — nil.

13. Date from which pension is to commence. 11th June 1896

14. Place of payment. Shiyali Taluq Treasury, Tanjore Dt.

15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian era. † About 1847 at the best estimate

16. Height. 5' 4½"

17. Marks. A scar on the inside of the right fore-arm and another on the right side of the back

18. Date. 15th June 1896.

A. A. Agar
Superintendent of Police
SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.

---

* If the application is for a compensation pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

2,000—15-6-93.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (SHOWING INTERRUPTION) OF 2nd class Constable No. 98/1308, Balaguru Naidoo of Tanjore Police

Establishment.	Appointment.	Pay.	Acting Allowance.	Date of beginning.	Date of ending.	Period reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Period not reckoned as service. Y.	M.	D.	Remarks.	How verified.	Remarks by the Audit Officer.
		RS.	RS.											
Tanjore Police	2nd class Const.	6-0-0	0 ✓	18.5.67	9.7.70	3	1	22	–	–	–	× New scale	By reference to the Pay Bills, monthly returns &c of the Office of the Supdt. of Police, Tanjore Dt.	36 × 8 = 288
	1st class Const.	7-0-0	″ ✓	10.7.70	18.8.70	″	1	9	–	–	–			
	2nd class Const.	6-0-0	″ ✓	19.8.70	30.6.71	″	10	12	–	–	–			
	3rd class Const.	6-8-0	″ ✓	1.7.71	30.9.76	5	3	″	–	–	–			
	2nd class Const.	7-0-0	″ ✓	1.10.76	31.10.78	2	1	″	–	–	–			
	1st class Const.	8-0-0	″ ✓	1.11.78	13.1.80	1	2	13	–	–	–			
	3rd class Const.	6-8-0	″ ✓	14.1.80	30.6.82	2	5	17	–	–	–			
	2nd class Const.	7-0-0	″ ✓	1.7.82	30.4.85	2	10	″	–	–	–			
	1st class Const.	8-0-0	″ ✓	1.5.85	9.5.96	11	″	9	–	–	–			
	2nd class Const.	7-0-0	″ ✓	10.5.96	10.6.96	″	1	1	–	–	–			
Total period of Superior Service				..	..	29	″	23				✓		

6 ⌊288
6 ⌊47 – 13 – 4
2 ⌊7 – 15 – 6
3 . 15 . 9

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE.

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. Fair

2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. Reduced to 2nd C. Const. on 19.8.70, for hushing up a crime by effecting a compromise between the parties whom he had first arrested and subsequently released; reduced to 3rd C. Const. on 14.1.80, for aiding and abetting his S.H.O. in hushing up cases of House-breaking and High-way robbery; and reduced to 2nd C. Const. on 10.5.96, for gross neglect and suspicious conduct in connection with the execution of a warrant issued by the Sub Magistrate, Manaparai.

3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by Applicant. (*See* Chapter XXI.) Nil

4. Explanation under Article 469 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. Nil

5. Any other remarks. Optional retirement

6. Specific opinion of Head of Office whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not [*See* Article 991 (*b*)]. Established and should be admitted.

A. F. Ogar
Superintendent of Police
Tk

SIGNATURE.

Asst. Supdt. of Police

CERTIFICATE AND REPORT OF THE AUDIT OFFICER (1).

CERTIFIED that (subject to the remarks below recorded) qualifying service in inferior or superior grades has been duly proved for years months days; and that a (pension or gratuity) not exceeding Rs. , is admissible under Article of the Civil Service Regulations. The calculations have been duly verified. The (pension or gratuity) is chargeable to (here specify whether to General Revenues, or to what Fund).

Audit Officer.

---

(1) In the case of Civil Engineers and Telegraph Officers who are eligible for the additional pensions authorized in Article 714, the following addition should be made to the usual form of Certificate:—

He has served for three years as (Chief Engineer, Superintending Engineer, &c., as the case may be) and is eligible for a special additional pension of Rs. $\frac{1,000}{2,000}$ if he is considered to have rendered "approved service" under Article 714 of the Civil Service Regulations.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction

6
8
729

80. 719/96

130

DISTRICT.
ஜில்லா.

Declaration of conditions under which the Undermentioned Police Officer elects to serve for Pension and Gratuity.

அடியிற்கண்ட போலீசு உத்தியோகஸ்தன் பிஞ்சனுக்காகவும், கிராட்டியூட்டிக்காகவும் சேவகம் செய்யும்படி கோருகிற ஷரத்துகளைக்குறித்து சொல்லும் உறுதிமொழி.

General No. ஜனரல் நம்பர்.	Rank. வகுப்பு.	Name in full. பெயர் முழுதும்.	Date of Enlistment சேவகத்தில் தாக்கலான தேதி.	Date of election. கோரிக்கொண்ட தேதி.	Signature of Officer taking Declaration. உறுதி மொழியை வாங்குகிற ஆபீசருடைய கையொப்பம்.
1308	3rd class Const.	Balagooroo Naik Naidu	18th May 1867	18 Aug 1872	[illegible]

I பாலகுரு நா described above now serving as Madras Police do hereby elect to serve for Pension and Gratuity under* Superannuation Fund Rules

நான்* மேற்கண்டவும், இப்போது மதராஸ் போலீசில் சேவகஞ்செய்கிறவுமாகிய பாலகுரு நா படிக்கு பிஞ்சனுக்காகவும் கிராட்டியூட்டிக்காகவும் சேவகஞ்செய்ய இதினால் கோரிக்கொள்ளுகிறேன்.

*Here enter conditions of Superannuation Fund Rules of or under conditions laid down in G. O. G. I. dated 19th July 1871.

*இவ்விடத்தில் சூபர்னியுயேஷன் பண்டு நிபந்தனைகளின் ஷரத்துகளின் படிக்கானதும், அல்லது 1871 ௵ ஜுலைமீ 19௳ இண்டியா கவரன்மெண்டு ஜனரல் ஆர்டரில் கண்ட ஷரத்துகளின்படிக்கானதும் யெழுதவேண்டியது.

Defaulter Sheet of Balaguru Naidu **Name** 2nd class Const **Rank** **No.** 98/1308

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	Punishment.		By whom ordered.	Date of order.
		Black Marks.	Other Punishments.		
Absence from night beat	29. 10. 69	"	Fined 4 annas	Supt	"
For hushing up a crime by effecting a compromise between the parties whom he had first arrested and subsequently released	19. 8. 70	✓	Reduced to 2nd C.C.	Do	"
For charging a case with insufficient evidence.	8. 10. 70	"	Fined 8 annas	Do	"
For hasty arrest in Crime No 3/93	8. 10. 70	"	Fined 8 annas	Do	"
Absent from night rounds	15. 6. 71	"	Fined Rs 0-6-9	Do	"
For gross neglect of duty in not executing summons	31. 8. 71	"	Fined Rs 0-3-4	Do	"
For neglect of beat duty	23. 11. 71	"	Fined Rs 0-3-4	Do	"
For aiding and abetting his S. H. O. in hushing up cases of House-breaking and High-way robbery	14. 1. 80	✓ "	Reduced to 3rd C.C	Do	"
Neglect of duty and disobedience of orders	18. 3. 89	1	& fined 4 annas	Do	"
For disobedience of orders and for very suspicious conduct supported by evidence in ~~calling~~ colluding with the relatives of a person he was told to arrest	7. 5. 89	1	& fined 8 annas	Do	"
For sleeping while on guard on Sub Jail	23. 5. 90	1	& fined one day's pay	Do	12. 8. 90
For gross neglect and suspicious conduct in connection with the execution of a warrant issued by the Sub-Magistrate, Manaparai	10. 5. 96	✓ "	Reduced to 2nd C.C	Do	10. 5. 96

(True Extract)

District Police Office
Tanjore 15th June 1896

A. T. Agar
Superintendent of Police

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	PUNISHMENT. Black Marks.	PUNISHMENT. Other Punishments.	By whom ordered.	Date of order.

799

Memo of calculation of average emoluments of 2nd class Constable No. 98/1308. Balaguru Naidoo from 11th June 1893 to 10th June 1896

Pay as 1st class Const. from 11 to 30.6.93 @ Rs 8 - Rs 5.5.4

Do - Do. from 1.7.93 to 30.4.96 - " - Rs 272 - " "

Do - Do. from 1 to 9.5.96 — " — Rs 2.5.2

Do. as 2nd C.C. from 10 to 31.5.96 @ Rs 7 — Rs 4.15.5

Do - Do. from 1 to 10.6.96 - " — Rs 2.5.4

Total Rs 286-15-3

Average (monthly) is Rs 7-15-6

Pension due i.e half of Rs 7.15.6 = Rs 3.15.9

36)286.15.3(7
252
34
16
36)559(15
36
199
180
19
12
36)231(6
216
15

District Police Office
Tanjore 15th June 1896

N. R. Iyer
Superintendent of Police

CSL

6

4

299

Minute

1st class Constable No $\frac{1308}{98}$ Balaguru Naik is reduced to 2nd class Constable on 19. 8. 70, for hushing up a crime by effecting a compromise between the complainant and accused whom he had 1st arrested, but whom he subsequently released.

(Signed) H. S. Court, Captain
Superintendent of Police

True Copy

Tanjore
9th July 1896

A. I. Agar
Superintendent of Police

CSb

Minute

1st class Constable No $\frac{1308}{98}$ Balaguru Nai
is reduced to 3rd class Constable on 14.1.80. for
persistently denying all knowledge of the two
Offenders of the House-breakings and for aiding
and abetting his Station House Officer in
hushing up serious cases.

/Signed/ Stanley Thompson
Asst Supt of Police

Confirmed/

/Signed/ A. F. Rolland
Supt of Police

/True Copy//

Tanjore
9th July 1896}

A. F. Agar
Superintendent of Police

6
PENSION DEPARTMENT
Enc. No. 3
C. No. 799

188 6
PENSION DEPARTMENT.
Enc. .... No. 2
No. 299

Minute. 89

P.D. 17/8/96

1. 1st. Class Constable No.: 850 Govindasawmy Raju
(a) attached to Thirumallaivasal Station.
(b) 1st. Class Constable No.: 98. Balaguru
Naik: — attached to Thirumallaivasal
St. 7. Divn.: —

D Dno 138/96 D Dno 137/96

2 (a). For gross neglect regarding the execution of
a warrant issued by the Sub. Magte.
of Mannaparai: —
(b). For gross neglect and suspicious
conduct in the execution connection
with the same: —

---

3. On 26. xii. 95 a bailable warrant was
issued by the Sub Magt.: of Mannaparai
in C. C. No: 402. I.P.C 170 & 384. I.P.C.
against one Sr. Rengasawmy Naik
~~of V~~ residing in Vamshabatam
~~attached~~ a village attached to
Thirumallaivasal St.: & when it
was sent for Execution - It was
received in the St.: on 1.1.96. by
[illegible] 1st. Class Const: No: 98

Balaguru Naik who was ~~the~~ Sn. in charge during the S.H.O.s absence. On 2. 1. 96. the Summons & warrant Register shews that he endorsed it to himself and on 4. 1. 96. it was returned unexecuted by P.C. C. C. No: 98 to Kalabet S.H.O. (Tindy Sn.) under the plea that the man was with his brother-in-law Shanmuga Naik of that place: —

The Magt. finding that the warrant still remained unexecuted issued a non-bailable warrant which was received in Thirumallaivasal Sn. On 1. 4. 96. by the S.H.O. then Actg. of the G. H. C. No: 859. Govinda Samy Raja who endorsed it to 2nd Cl: C. No: 218. on the same date and up to date it still remains un-executed: —

On 22. 4. 96. I visited Thirumallaivasal Sn. and on examining the Summons & warrant register I noticed this warrant remained unexecuted. The Inspr. Daniel Pillay then complained to me that he suspected the conduct of the S.H.O. and the Hd. Con. No. 98 - the Sn. writer - the latter turns out to be related to the same

Ramaswamy Naik - I therefore at once instituted enquiries ~~[illegible]~~ and called for the warrant. C. No: 4 stated that the warrant was with C. No: 98 - when the warrant was asked, he stated that the warrant was endorsed to C. No: 218 by the S.H.O. & that it was not with him - the S.H.O. was then questioned & he stated that he endorsed it to 218 on 1. 4. 96. & that 218 had reported sick on 16. 4. 96. and the warrant ~~was probably~~ must be with him - 218 was questioned & he denied that he took the warrant with him & stated that it was with the Sn. writer C. No: 98 corroborating S.H.O.s statement. - On 27. 4. 96 C. No: 98 produced the warrant and stated that he found it in the coat pocket of C. No: 218 in his House! There is only one endorsement on the back of it & that is the one made by S.H.O. 859 on 1. 4. 96. when he endorsed it to 218. —

I shall now shew how C. No: 98 neglected his duty in the execution of this warrant —

As already reported above he received the bailable warrant on 2. 1. 96. which he endorsed to himself and returned it on 4. 1. 96. because the accused had gone [illegible] distant. - Duty Roster shews that he was St. duty on 2 and 4.1.96. and on 3.1.96. he ~~was~~ went on Beat No. III. The accused Rengasamy Naik for whose arrest the warrant was issued resides in a village attached to Beat No. I. So that we find C. No: 98 made absolutely no efforts whatever to execute the warrant — His conduct is still more suspicious in returning the warrant on 4.1.96. to the Lalapet S.HO. ~~because~~ how he came to know that he had gone there is when he made no inquiries about him is a mystery — Further, the suspicious way he produced the warrant after I threatened to suspend him and his culpable neglect in the execution of it, coupled with the fact that he is related to the accused is damning evidence against him —

Then as regards the S.HO.'s conduct —

Inspr. Daniel Pillay states that on the 22nd of March at Nagapillay an adjacent villager informed him that the reason why the warrant had not been executed was because C. No: 98 was related to the accused & was conniving at his concealment & offered to

shew the Inspr. where his abode was — the Inspr. accompanied him but the accused hearing of their arrival in the village absconded — the Inspr. then went to the St. and reprimanded the S.HO. and the writer for their neglect in not executing the warrant when the S.HO. and the St. writer remarked that the accused had probably appeared before the Magte.! As the Inspr. very rightly remarks "if they had not been conniving at his concealment how was it possible for them to know this" —

Further, S.HO. made no personal efforts to execute the warrant ~~from~~ on 1.4.96. ~~up to date~~ — N.B. He was on casual leave from 2.4 to 12.4.96 to 27.4.96 and from 12.4.96 ~~to 27~~. Even after 2/8 went to the hospital he knew nothing about the warrant (a) regarding what was being done to execute it which shews his gross indifference in the matter, and his failing to report 98's conduct ~~in the matter~~ makes his conduct look still more suspicious viz that he must have connived at his 98's procedure: —

4. Head Const. G.N. No. 863 in his defence states: that the bailable warrant was ~~issued~~ to the St. on 1.1.96. G.C. No: 98

and returned on 4. 1. 96. by him (98). Contd. that he believed what he reported — that he did not ~~name~~ depute him any one specially on warrant duty as the Stn was not up to its sanctioned strength — that he did not disobey the orders of his Inspr regarding the execution of the warrant and accordingly endorsed the second warrant that was received to 218 & not 98. — that he was not present when 218 went to Hospital and that the Stn writer should have seen to the warrant being returned by 218. He offers no explanation for the non execution of the warrant: —

Pt. C.C. No. 58 ~~[illegible]~~ admits that he is related to the accused & ~~says~~ also that he did not go to the village to execute the warrant but says that he heard (is unable to state from whom) that the accused had gone to Talobet Stn & so returned the warrant to that S.Ho. for execution — he denies that he received the warrant from 218 when he went to Hospital —

5. Duty Roster & S.H.D.s show that S.Ho. 85 was in charge of Thirumullaivasal Stn from 1.1.96 to 4.1.96. (on which date the warrant was retd) and must have known what was going on — the Stn was up to its full strength so the excuse that he had no one to depute on warrant duty is preposterous — then himself directing 218 to proceed to Hospital on 16.4.96. (as reported by S.Ho. 85) and therefore he had every opportunity of asking him for the warrant — his gross indifference in the matter from 16.4.96. to 27.4.96. on which date the warrants were not endorsed to any one is evidence of culpable neglect on his part: —

Now as regards C. No: 98. I consider his explanation most unsatisfactory the fact of his not being able to state where he obtained his information from regarding the whereabouts of the accused ~~to~~ and the fact of his not testing [illegible] information [illegible] (sufficient if more) it as true coupled with the fact of his not going to the village at all to make inquiries shews gross negligence & culpable neglect on his part.

Further the fact of his being related to the accused, & the suspicions only he produced the warrant shews that his conduct towards the accused was ~~free~~ not above suspicion. —

6. I consider the charges have been ~~clo~~ conclusively established against the above man, and I therefore order their reduction to 2nd Class.

C. Borthwick.
Ag. Dist. S.P.

W.S.
96

H.S. Sir,
Noted P.D.

189 6
PENSION DEPARTMENT
No. 1
799

Pension Department.

Chief Office of the Inspector-General of Police,
Madras, 18 July 1896.

No. 168/P.P.

From

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,
Inspector-General of Police,
Madras,

To

The Under Secretary to Government,
Pension Department,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit for orders of Government an application for the grant of a pension to Balaguru Nayudu late a 2nd Class Constable No. 98/1308 of the Tanjore District.

Enclosures. 8

2. He has served in the Police Department for 29 years ~~months~~ and 23 days, and has borne a fair character. ~~He is now unfit for further effective service of any kind as certified by~~

3. He is entitled to a retiring monthly pension of Rupees (3-15-9) Three, annas fifteen and pies nine payable at the Shiyali Taluk Treasury from 11th June 1896 according to Section IV of the Police Superannuation Fund Rules and Articles 509 and 552 scale A of the Civil Service Regulations (Second Edition).

4. The records relating to the applicant's reduction in March 1896 are submitted in original. I request that they may be returned to me when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,

Asst. Inspr. Genl. of Police,
for Inspr. Genl. of Police.

Exd.

PENSION (1896) DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 799

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,
Inspector-General of Police,
Madras,

To

The Under Secy. to Government,
Pension Department,
Fort St. George.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
20 JUL 1896
PENSION DEPARTMENT

No. 168/P.R.

Dated 18 } July 1896.
Received }

SUBJECT.

Submits an application for the grant

of a pension

to Balaguru Nayudu

late a 2nd Class Constable

the Tanjore District

([3] 500-2-4-94.)

C. No. 799
Pension Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers :—

From the Inspector-General of Police, dated 18th July 1896, No. 168/P.R.

Character — Fair.

No. of years service : 29

No. of defaulters. = 12

Offences:-

Absence from night beat —
Fined 2 annas. (69)

Hushing up a crime by effecting a compromise between the parties who he had first arrested and subsequently released.
Reduced to 2 C. Cons. (1870)

3. Charging a case with insufficient evidence — Fined 8 annas. (1870)

4. Hasty arrest in crime No. 3/93 —
Fined 8 annas (1870)

5. Absent from night rounds —
Fined Rs. - - 1 - 9 - (71)

6. Gross neglect of duty in not executing summons —
Fined Rs. - - 3 - 4. (71)

7. Neglect of beat duty —
Fined Rs. - - 3 - 4. (71)

8. Aiding & abetting his S. H. O. in hushing up cases of House breaking & Highway robbery — Reduced to 3rd C. C. ('80)

Order thereon, 4th Augt. 1896 No. 719.

Under Articles 509 & 552 Scale A of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to grant to Balaguru Nayudu, late 2nd Class Con: No. 98/1308 of the Tanjore Dt., a retiring pension — of Rupees (3-12-0) Three & annas twelve only p.m. payable at Shiyali from 11th June 96 in consideration of his qualifying Police service of 29 years, ~~months~~ and 23 days.

~~2. The applicant's absence without leave has, under Article 464 of the Regulations, been commuted into leave without allowances.~~

2. The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant's conduct & character have not been thoroughly satisfactory.

21-7-96

To the Accountant-General, with the Register and L. P. C.
" Inspector-General of Police. with papers.

Neglect of duty & disobedience of
10 orders {Awarded 1 Bm & Fined 4 annas. (89)

11 Disobedience of orders and for very suspicious conduct supported by evidence in colluding with the relatives of a person he was told to arrest - Awarded 1 Bm & Fined 8 annas - - (89)

Sleeping while on guard on Sub Jail - Awarded 1 Bm & Fined one days pay - (90)

12 Gross neglect & suspicious conduct in connection with the execution of a warrant issued by the Sub-Magistrate, Manaparai - Reduced to 2nd C. Cons.

(May 96)

Punishments

Fined : 9 times
Awarded Bms : 3 times
Reduced : 3 times
Max^m pension : Rs 3. 15. 9 p.m.

He retires only a month after his last reduction & thus escapes the effect of the punishment:- if he had stayed on his max^m pension wd have been reduced from Rs 3-15-9 to Rs 3-8-0. There are two other reductions against him; but the total service is over 29 years & there is a clean sheet between 1871-~~80~~ & 1881, - 1880 and 1889 & 1890 and 1896 - three periods aggregating 24 years.

Rs 3 - 12 - 0 suggested

H.H.
28.7.96

Approved
J.G.
29/7/96

C. No. 799

Govt. of (1896) Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

The Hon: Mr Grose C.S.

Dated

Desp.

DESPATCH
D.

G.O., 4th August 1896 No. 779

GRANTING a retiring pension of Rs 3-12-0 p.m. to Balaguru Nayudu, late a 2.c.c of the Tanjore Dt.

2917
3077

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.