

Pension (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 695.

DATED 1 Aug 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Granting a *re-bering* pension of Rs. 3-8-0 per mensem to *S.*
Imthialu Inayudu late a 2nd class Constable
No 328 of the *Glucose* post *S.*

2037.
11732

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

- Name of Applicant. *Salavakum Muttialos Aaidu*
- Father's name. *Salavakum Elleppa Aaidu*
- Race, Sect and Caste. *Hindu*
- Residence showing Village and Pergunnah. *Salavakum, Madras District*
- Present or last employment, including name of establishment. *2nd Class Const. of Police No 328 of 6th Division District*
- Date of beginning of service. *30-3-71*
- Date of ending of service. *4-6-96*
- Length of service, including interruptions

of which superior	25-2-5
inferior	25-4-25
non-qualifying and interruptions	4-1-10
- Class of pension or gratuity applied for, and cause of application. *Retiring*
- [Average] emoluments or pay. *Rs 7-3-6*
- Proposed pension. *Rs 3-9-9-8*
- Proposed gratuity. *Nil*
- Date from which pension is to commence. *5th June 1906*
- Place of payment. *Salug Treasury Chingleput*
- Date of Applicant's birth by Christian era. *30th June 1852*
- Height. *5 feet 6 inches*
- Marks. *Complexion Dark brown, Face pitted with small pox, A Scar on right hand, Tattoo mark on forehead*
- Date. *15-6-96*

* If the application is for a compensation, pension or gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† (If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.)

John Lawrence B. B. B.
 Superintendent of Police
 SIGNATURE OF HEAD OF OFFICE.
17.6.96

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY—

Date of Application.

Name of Applicant.

Last Appointment.

Class of pension or gratuity.

Amount of pension sanctioned.

Amount of gratuity sanctioned.

Date of commencement.

Date of sanction.

இலலா.
Declaration of conditions under which the Undermentioned Police
elects to serve for Pension and Gratuity.
அடியின்மீட்ட போலீசு உத்தியோகத்தின் பின்னாலுள்ள கிடைக்கக்கூடிய சேவகம் செய்யப்படி
கொடுக்கிற ஷரத்துகளைக் குறித்து இவ்வாறு உறுதிமொழி.

General No. ஜனரல் நம்பர்.	Rank. வகுப்பு.	Name in full. பெயர் முழுதும்.	Dated of En- listment. சேவகத்தில் தாக்கலான தேதி.	Date of elec- tion. கொள்கொண் ட தேதி.	Signature of Officer taking Declaration. உறுதி மொழியை வாங்குகிற ஆபீசருடைய கையொப்பம்.
870	3 rd Class	Soothyalo	1/11/11	11/3/12	<i>[Signature]</i>

I *[Signature]*
described above now serving as
serve for Pension and Gratuity under *[Signature]* Madras Police do hereby elect to
மேற்கண்டவர், இப்போது மதராஸ் போலீசில் சேவகம் செய்கிறவராகிய
நான்*
பிஞ்சனாகவும் கிராட்டி யூட்டிக்காகவும் சேவகஞ்செய்ய இதனால் கொள்கொள்ளுகிறேன்.

*Here enter conditions of Superannuation Fund Rules of or under conditions laid down in G. O. G. I. dated 19th July 1871.
*இவ்விடத்தில் சூடர்னியேஷன் டண்டு நிபந்தனைகளின் ஷரத்துகளின்படிக்கானதும், அல்லது 1871 ஆம் சூலை 19-ல்
இண்டியா கவர்ன்மெண்டு ஜனரல் ஆர்டரில் கண்ட ஷரத்துகளின்படிக்கானதும் பெருதவெண்டியது.

Defaulter Sheet of *Mutuals*Name *L.C.C* Rank *3287* No.

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	PUNISHMENT.		By whom ordered.	Date of order.
		Black Marks.	Other Punishments.		
<i>Abuse contempt leave for 10 days</i>	<i>13. 6. 72</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>Stopped to stop pay</i>	<i>Superintendent</i>	
<i>Allowing the escape of a prisoner</i>	<i>28. 12. 75</i>	<i>"</i>	<i>Reduced to 3rd</i>	<i>"</i>	
<i>Allowing a prisoner to escape from his custody</i>	<i>4. 5. 78</i>		<i>Discontinued for</i>		
<i>Forging the name of (Kumam) a village in the Besh Lickh</i>	<i>1. 3. 85</i>		<i>Private family for d.</i>		
<i>Entering a false signature in his book</i>	<i>9. 9. 91</i>		<i>Reduced to 3rd</i>	<i>"</i>	
<i>Falsely stating that the 14 accused in CC No 572 of 93 on file of the Trivellore Magistrate, was sent a short distance to Trivellore and brought back on account of sickness on the 25th month at 3 PM. Start the camp shed in the case was ready at 3 PM.</i>			<i>Trivellore</i>	<i>"</i>	

Minutes 84

24th Constable 328 Mutuatos of
Vengub Station is reported by his Inspector
after personal enquiry for not having
visited the village of Villivoor in his
beat on 13-1-85 and for having forged
the signature of the Custom Kurnam
Pillay. The Constable in his explanation
states that he did go to the village on
the date, for the signature of the
said Kurnam that the Kurnam
now denies through fear.

As Superintendent does not
believe the explanation of the Constable
he reduces him to 324.

/Sd/ J. B. Blomland
/True Copy/ 2-4-85

John W. B. Boyd
Superintendent of Police
17.6.96

15.6.96

Brief description of offence.	Date when committed.	PUNISHMENT.		By whom ordered.	Date of order.
		Black Marks.	Other Punishments.		
Moral detection of the accused in Customs the prisoner's time allowed by him, getting his note book written by a constable in a suspicious manner, making him 30 as station pass on 24-11-93 when he was on beat and waiting in Cottichin in Beat which to much his demeanor, leaving the station without a station ticket on 24-11-93. Seeing only 1 constable instead of 2 while the prisoner in above case had not in conducting the least of accused's house in person.	28/1/94		Reduced to 24th	6 5 239	

District Police Officer

Mon 17.5.6-96

17/5/96

John W. B. Boyd
Superintendent of Police

Even Signed Order
17.6.96

1st Class Const. No 328 Kuntaloo } 1st Class Const. 328 is found to 2nd Class Const.
2nd Class Const. No 278 Kuntaloo } and removed from Station Charge for falsely

stating that the 1st accused in Calcutta Case No. 512 of 1893 on file of Srivillase Magistrate was sent to a short distance to Srivillase and brought back on account of sickness on the 25th November at 3 P.M. and that the Charge Sheet in the Case was ready at 3 P.M. Illegally detention of the accused in custody over the prescribed time allowed by law, getting his note book written by a constable in a suspicious manner, washing Const. No. 73 at Station para on 24-11-93 when he went on 1st Beat and making Corrections in beat book to suit his defence, leaving the Station without a Station watch on 28/11/93 leaving only one Constable instead of two with the prisoners in above Case and not conducting the search of accused's house in person.

Watch.

2nd Class Const. No 278 Kuntaloo is awarded a black mark and transferred to Srivillase Substation and fined Rs. 3/- for falsely saying that the 1st accused in Cal Case No. 512 of 1893 on the file of Srivillase Magistrate was sent a short distance to Srivillase and brought back on account of sickness on the 25th November at 3 P.M. and that the Charge Sheet in the Case was ready by 3 P.M. of 25th November thus aiding and abetting his S. No. 328 who has been punished for this.

In Calcutta Case No. 512 of the Stationary 2nd Class Magistrate of Srivillase Sections 380 + 109 S.P. Crim. No. 12 of 1893 Ramnagar Station, in which 6 persons were charged, the first for theft of a bull and the others for abetment of the same. The Magistrate remarks in his judgment: I that no attempt was made to search the 1st accused's house, till 4 P.M. of 24-11-93 though his name was mentioned to the Village Munsiff and when and he was arrested the previous evening by Constable Kuntaloo. 2 That the 1st accused was kept in the Station lock up on the 24th night and not produced before the Magistrate till 12 noon of the 26th November.

Sent

3946

3. That the S.H.C. Ramenji (No 328 Multitator) & Const. No 278 Gundaramy have both perjured themselves in swearing (1) that the 1st accused was forwarded to Sidhore on the 29th November (2) that the Charge Sheet was signed and ready by 3 P.M. that day and (3) that the 1st accused was not put in stocks on the 24th November and the S.H.C. is also liable for wrongful confinement.

Regarding the 1st Charge the Const. No 328 admits searching the house after the 1st accused at 4 P.M. after the 24th November and explains he was delayed till 2 P.M. in taking down the statement of witnesses at Vedantakoti and then he proceeded to Ariyapattinam 2 miles & miles while the 1st accused resided and searched his house and found nothing. He is not much to blame in this as there was not sufficient reason to believe that the property would be found in his house. Since the inmates expelled the house to be searched and he was engaged in examining witnesses, Const. No. 278 and the V.D. had no power to search the house before the arrival after S.H.C. 328. The S.H.C. had the house searched by a constable instead of searching it himself. He says he was near

2nd Charge: 278 Gundaramy admits that he brought the man at 10 P.M. of 23. 11. 93 and kept in the Charge of the Kali V.D., the V.D. being absent but says he did not arrest him he kept him thinking he would go to Kolar (what is this but an arrest) but 328 has recorded in the Search Register that he was arrested at 3 P.M. of 24. 11. 93 at Ariyapattinam which is an incorrect date of arrest and 278 admits himself saying he simply secured the prisoner after the man but did not arrest him on 23. 11. 93 at 10 P.M. Kali V.D. Parmath Swaik of Ariyapattinam states that the 1st accused

of the 23. 11. 93 and detained in his custody that night near his (V.D.) house and taken to Vedantakoti next morning. The Magistrate proves that the prisoner was produced before him at 12 noon of the 26th November thus showing illegal detention of the prisoner. The Search Register shows that the prisoner was sent on charge of 278 at 3 P.M. after the 25. 11. 93 and that 278 brought the prisoner back saying he was unable to walk and so he (328) took him on 26. 11. 93. This was not reported in the Station Report. The Station House Officer explains it was an oversight and that he registered it in the Crime Register first but Const. No. 73 and 161 say that the prisoner was not taken & brought back to the Station on plea that he could not walk and accused himself saying he was not sick and unable to walk, so this is false. The accused appears to have been illegally detained in the Station from the 24th to 26th November over 24 hours. 328 says that the prisoner was arrested in the village at 3 P.M. after the 24th November and was brought to the Station at 9 P.M. and that he forwarded the prisoner within 24 hours but as he was sick it took more than 24 hours.

It also appears that the 1st accused went to Vedantakoti where the S.H.C. was there when he was enquiring the complainant and witnesses at Vedantakoti. On the 24th the 1st accused was the S.H.C. says found out at Ariyapattinam. The (S.H.C.) sent the accused at Const. No. 6 at 6 A.M. of 26th. He did not ask whether the accused thinking that he had been brought by the Calia of fact reported in 2nd Charge and produced before the Magistrate on 26th November to the statement of Const. No. 328 and 278 that

him
but
the
non stop
written

the accused was forwarded to Trivellore on the
boundaries to false therefore the assertion that the
of which was signed and ready at 3 P.M. of 25th
should be false Constable 73 and 14 say
it was not ready till 6 P.M. of or 7 P.M. that day

Regarding the prisoners being put in stocks
Constable 73 states that he went to Vellattakottai on
24th November at 10 P.M. and 328 followed him
a distance and he (73) saw the accused in
stocks. 328 says he did not see him in stocks. None
of the Villagers say that the accused was put in
stocks. The accused says he was put in stocks as
the Village Magistrate is his enemy. 278 says he was
not present when 328 & 73 came to the place and 328
says 278 was not present when he went there but
73 says 278 was present. There is not therefore
sufficient evidence to show that the accused was
put in stocks though it may be true. There are
some other charges against 328 found on enquiry
into this matter (A) he has got his note book written
by Constable 73 (B) the 73 is marked as station pass
on 24-11-93 when he really had gone on 1st Beach
(C) 328 kept his station on 24-11-93 without keeping
a single Constable in the station (D) 328 only sent
one Constable instead of 2 with the above prisoners
as ordered by Disputations (E) The SHO did not
conduct the search of the house himself vide supra

Regarding (a) 328 says that as the witnesses
were young boys and telugu people he questioned
them and got his book written by 73 from dictation
at the time of the examination of the witnesses but
73 says that he wrote it in the Police Station 5 or 6
days after the date it purports to have been written

written, picking up the facts from the Crime Register
328 denies this and says the note book was written
at the time of enquiry. Regarding Beach 73 went
with 328 on 24-11-93. This fact is not disputed by both
of them. Entry Book shows that 73 was station
watch on 24-11-93. 328 says he took the station
watch Constable with him as there was no one
with him and left the station locked and un-
watched. 73 says he went on 1st Beach that day with
328 and met 684 of Vellattakottai. This is borne
out by S.H.B. of Punalone, so what 328 says is false
that is that 73 went on Beach and was not station
watch that day. The Corrections made in Beach ticket
and only Roster supports this. He also says that as
there was no Constable he did not leave any one
in the station.

Further 328 has marked 73 as on 1st Beach
on 24-11-93 in the Beach ticket and duty Roster
and has again struck out 24 and written 25 in
both of them and has put Constable 73 station
watch on 24-11-93. He states that as there was none
for the station watch, he put 73 as watch withdrawing
him from 1st Beach and as there was none within when
going for investigation after case he took 73 with
him to collect witnesses. This explanation is not satis-
factory. When Punalone (S. A. D. Smith) S.H.B. says
that 73 met 684 of his station on 24-11-93.

Regarding D. 328 says that as all the
Constables had returned just then from Beach, he
sent only one man with the prisoners.

The SHO's explanation is unsatisfactory
and so is that of 278 in falsely saying that
the accused was sent to Trivellore on the

25/11/93

25-11-93 and the prisoner not being able to walk
he brought him back to the station and sent the
Charge Clerk was ready by 3 P.M. of 25th Nov/93

The same evidence stands against the town
As recorded above

I from 328 to 27th Nov and removed
him from Charge of the station and I saw
278 a Black mark and from him No 3.


W. J. Gullett
Superintendent of Police

(True Copy)

John W. Boyd 17.6.96
Superintendent of Police
Hendy Park, Warrack

15.6.96

cc



Calculation of Pension

3 years average Emoluments from 5 June/93 to
4 June/96 —

5 to 30 June/93 at Rs 8 p d — = 6-14-11

1 July to 31 December/93 — do — = 48 — —

1 to 27 January/94 — do — = 6-15-6

28 to 31 January/94 at Rs 7 p d — = 4-14-5

1st February/94 to 31 May/96 do — = 106-4-4

1 to 4 June/96 — do — = 4-14-11

Pension = $\frac{1}{2}$ of Rs 7-3-5
Rs 3-9-9

15/6/96

John W. Boyd
Superintendent of Police
17.6.96 Warrack

Date	Instances	Reward by whom recommended?
26. 2. 93	For detecting Ramnagari Station Crime No 51 of 1892 Sec 457 380. 2 prisoners Sentenced to 4 months R.L. each —	Awarded 1894
29. 11. 93	Assisting Insp in obtaining information of A.H.B Case No $\frac{49}{189}$ of Ramnagari Station Accused Sentenced to 6 months R.L. and a fine of Rs 50f. —	Awarded 1894 + a reward of Rs 5f. offered by Complainant & sanctioned by D.G. of S. Div

District Office
Memorandum 15/6/26 } *CL*

Col. Edward E. Boyd
Superintendent of Prison
17.6.96

11727

[Faint, mirrored handwriting, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. The text is mostly illegible due to fading and orientation.]

6
1.
239

2

239

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

No. *169*
PR

CHIEF OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

MADRAS,

6 July 189*6*.

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,

TO

THE UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PENSION DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

SIR,

I have the honor to submit for orders of Government an application for the grant of a pension to *Salavakum Muthialu Nayudu* late a *2 Class Constable* No. 328 of the *Chingleput* District.

Enclosures *67*

2. He has served in the Police Department for *25* years *months* and *25* days, and has borne a *fair* character. ~~He is now unfit for further effective service of any kind as certified by~~

3. He is entitled to a *retiring* monthly pension of *Rup* (*3-9-8*) *Three Annas Nine and pie eight pagas* at the *Taluk Treasury, Chingleput*, from *5 June* according to *Section IV* of the Police Superannuation Fund Rules and Articles *509 and 552* *Scale A* of the Civil Service Regulations (Second Edition).

4. I request that his absence without leave of *13th to 22nd June 1872* may be retrospectively commuted into leave without allowance under article 464 of the Regulations.

I have the honor to be,
your most obedient servant

M. Hammick

Inspector-General of Police

105
2 *PR*

PENSION (1896) DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 739.
PENSION DEPARTMENT.

FROM

M. HAMMICK, Esq., I.C.S.,

INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF POLICE,

Madras,

To

THE UNDER SECY. TO GOVERNMENT,

PENSION DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. George.

No. 169
PR

Dated 8

Received 9

July 1896.

SUBJECT.

SUBJECTS an application for the grant

of a

to

late a

the

pension
Salauakum Anathialu Nayudu
2 Class Constable
Chingleput District

([3])
500-2-1-94.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:—

From the Inspector-General of Police, dated

6th July 1896, No. 169 PR

ORDER THEREON, 1st Aug. 1896 No 645

UNDER Articles 509 + 552 scale A
of the Civil Service Regulations (2nd Edition),
the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is
pleased to grant to Salauakum

Anathialu Nayudu,
late a 2nd Class Constable
No 328 of the Chingleput
Dist., a retiring pension
of Rupees (3/8/-) thereon
and annuity 1/8 p.m.

payable at Chingleput
from 5th June 1896
in consideration of his qualifying Police
service of 25 years,
months and 25
days.

2. The applicant's absence without leave
has, under Article 464 of the Regulations, been
commuted into leave without allowances.

Character - Fair.
No of Years service - 25.
No of defaulters - 6.
Offences:-
1. Absence without leave for 10 days.
Stopped 10 days' pay. (72)
2. Allowing the escape of a prisoner
Reduced to 3rd C. C. (45)
3. Allowing a prisoner to escape from
his custody -
Suspended for 1 month. (78)
4. Forging the name of (Kusnam) a
villager in the local ticket -
Reduced to 2nd C. C. (85)
5. Entering a false signature in
his local ticket -
Fined 2 annas. (91)
6. Falsely stating that the 1st accused
in C. C. No 512 of 1930 on file of the
Trinellore Magistrate, was sent
a short distance to Trinellore
and brought back on account
of sickness on the 25th hour at 3
P.M. & that the charge sheet
case was ready at 3 P.M. Illegal

To the Accountant-General, with the Register and L. P. C. 3.
Inspector-General of Police.

The full pension admissible under the rules has not been granted as the applicant's character & conduct have not been thoroughly satisfactory.

Illegal Detention of the Accused
in Custody over the prescribed
time allowed by law, getting
his name look written by a
Constable in a suspicious
manner, marking Const: 73 as
station pass on 24-11-93 when
he went on 1st beat and making
corrections in Beat ticket to meet
his defence, leaving the station
without a station watch on
24-11-93, sending only 1 Constable
instead of 2 with the prisoner
in the case and not conducting
the search of accused's house in
person -

Reduced to 2nd Class. Carri-

Nothing against him from 78 to 85 only 2 entries
subsequent to March 85

Punishments:-

Fined twice

Suspended once

Reduced thrice

Max. pension Rs 3-9-8.

I think he is here
R-3.8.0 Jg
23/7/96

16/11/96 A. V. 7.9.96 R. 3/6/-
the late reduction
in time has brought
back the necessary
I think he is here
R-3.8.0 Jg
23/7/96

C. No. 739

Gobt. of 1891 Madras.

PENSION.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated

Desp. The Hon. Mr. Grose, C.I.E.

G.O., 1st August 1896 No. 695

GRANTING a retiring pension

of Rs. 3,800 p.m. to S. Muthiah
Iyengar, late a 2nd Class
Counstable No 328 of the
Chingleput Dist.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.:-

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.